Amputee Clinics, Ontario Workers' Compensation Board, Ontario, Canada

## Prosthetic use in adult upper limb amputees: a comparison of the body powered and electrically powered prostheses

Prosthetics and Orthotics International, 1986, 10, 27-34

	Electrically vs body powered prostheses						
Major Findings	<ul> <li>→ The most preferred prosthesis was electrically powered prosthesis.</li> <li>→ The cable operated hook was the second most favoured prosthesis.</li> <li>→ 82% of below-elbow patients fitted with electrically powered prosthesis reported using it.</li> <li>→ 69% of below-elbow patients fitted with body powered prosthesis reported using it.</li> <li>→ The majority of amputees used more than one prosthesis for their functional needs suggesting that it is necessary to fit amputees with more than one type of prosthesis.</li> </ul>						
	Ac pr	osthe	ance of body-pow ses	ered and ellectr	rically powered		
	use of the prosthesis (%)	80 ···· 60 ···· 40 ···· 20 ···				<ul> <li>cable operated hool</li> <li>cable operated hand</li> <li>cosmetic prosthesis</li> <li>electrically powered</li> </ul>	

Amputees reported that electrically powered prosthesis is the most preferred one, followed by the cable operated hook, cosmetic and cable operated hand. Acceptance rate for electrically powered prosthesis was 82% at below elbow, 86% at above elbow and 100% at high level amputation.

Population	Subjects: Prosthesis type:	314 upper limb amputees cable operated hook, cable operated hand, cosmetic prosthesis, electrically powered
	Amputation causes: Mean age:	work related accident 49 years
	Mean time since amputation:	15 years.
Study Design	Retrospective study:	

The period between amputation and follow-up ranged from 1 to 49 years with a mean of 15 years. Evaluation after the follow-up period included the questionnaire and the review of patients' records.

Body Function		Activity			Participation	Others	
Mechanics	Pain	Grip patterns / force	Manual dexterity	Activities of daily living (ADL)	Satisfaction and Quality of life (QoL)	Training 1 a	echnical Ispect
Category		Outcomes		Results for e prostheses	lectrically vs b	ody powered	Sig.*
Activities of daily living		Questionnaire (self-designed)		The electrical 8h each day th The cable ope age 8h each w The cable ope erage 5h each worn on avera	ly powered pros hrough the wee erated hook was work day and 7h erated hand was n day and cosm age 4h per weel	sthesis was used k. s used for an ave n on weekend da s used for an av- etic hand was k day.	+ אר- וע.
				Work use: An powered pros involved office tact with gene Amputees who ses had jobs t and handling sharp.	nputees who us thesis primarily work, supervis ral public. o used cable op that required lift objects that we	ed electrically had jobs that ory work or con perated prosthe- ing heavy object re dirty, greasy o	+ - - s or
				<b>Sports use:</b> E ered prosthes sports.	Both electrically ses were used fo	and body pow- or variety of	0
				Social use: If was more acc the cable oper	Electrically pow eptable in the s rated hook.	ered prosthesis ocial sphere tha	+ .n
				Home use: E was used mos and occasiona	lectrically powe st often for eatir ally driving a ca	red prosthesis ig, holding objec r.	+ cts
Satisfaction		Questionnaire (self-designed)		Complete or u prosthesis wa elbow ampute tees and 60%	useful acceptan is reported in 89 ees, 76% of abo o of high level ar	ce of an upper 9% of below- ove-elbow ampu nputees.	n.a
				Amputees rep prosthesis is t by the cable o	oorted that elect the most preferm operated hook.	rically powered red one, followed	+ t
				Acceptance ra 69% for below and 38% for h Acceptance ra 21% for below and 6% for hi Acceptance ra 59% for below and 40% for h	ate for <u>cable op</u> w elbow, 73% f nigh level ampu ate for <u>cable op</u> w elbow, 18% f gh level amputa ate for <u>cosmetic</u> w elbow, 20% f nigh level ampu	erated hook was or above elbow tation. erated hand was or above elbow tition. prosthesis was or above elbow tation.	; + ;

Acceptance rate for <u>electrically powered</u> was 82% for below elbow, 86% for above elbow and 100% for high level amputation.

Results

Category	Outcomes	Results for electrically vs body powered	Sig.*
		prostheses	

\* no difference (0), positive trend (+), negative trend (-), significant (++/--), not applicable (n.a.)

**Author's Conclusion** "The findings of the review of 314 upper limb amputees confirm that complete or useful acceptance of and upper limb prosthesis was reported in 89% of belowelbow, 76% of above-elbow and 60% of high level amputees. Prostheses are well used and essential to the amputees' personal and employment activities. Most upper limb amputees should be fitted with both a body powered and electrically powered prosthesis to meet their various functional requirements. The benefits of these prostheses far outweigh their costs. The cable operated hook s well accepted and used by the majority of amputees for heavy work and precision tasks at work and at home. It provides good sight of grasped objects is not easily damaged and is easy to clean. The cable operated hand and cosmetic prosthesis are used by a small number of amputees primarily for cosmesis at social occasions. In spite of the high initial cost and continued maintenance and repair, improvement in comfort, cosmesis and comfort and function have led to good levels of acceptance of the electrically powered prosthesis. For high level amputees, it provides better function, superior pinch force and requires less energy expenditure than the body powered prosthesis." (Millstein et al. 1986)

© 2014, Otto Bock HealthCare Products GmbH ("Otto Bock"), All Rights Reserved. This article contains copyrighted material. Wherever possible we give full recognition to the authors. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material according to Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107 of US Copyright Law. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner. All trademarks, copyrights, or other intellectual property used or referenced herein are the property of their respective owners. The information presented here is in summary form only and intended to provide broad knowledge of products offered. You should consult your physician before purchasing any product(s). Otto Bock disclaims any liability related from medical decisions made based on this article summary.