FINAL REPORT - 2018-11-28

IMAGING OF PENETRATING TRAUMA

(STAB INJURIES, GUNSHOT WOUNDS, IMPALEMENT INJURIES)

p 25

- REPORT FROM NORDTER CONSENSUS MEETING, SIGTUNA OCT 18-19, 2017

Content

Radiologist

Summary of recommendations	p 2
Background & presentation	p 5
Participating experts & consensus group	Р 5
The report and recommendations structure	p 7

RECOMMENDATIONS – BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

ISOLATED PENETRATING HEAD INJURY	p 7
ISOLATED PENETRATING NECK INJURY	p 9
PENETRATING TORSO (TRUNK) INJURY	p 11
PENETRATING EXTREMITY INJURY	p 15
MASS CASUALTIES	p 16

ADDENDUM	P 18
SUMMARY OF ACTION CARDS BY PROFESSION	P 21
Surgeon	p 21
Radiographer	p 23

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

- an evidence-based consensus by International and Nordic clinical and radiological experts

ISOLATED PENETRATING HEAD INJURY

- ALL PATIENTS
 - non-contrast head CT (use scout to localize foreign objects)
- SALVAGEABLE PATIENTS
 - o non-contrast head CT (use scout to localize foreign objects)
 - o CTA from vertex to aortic arch (neck CTA) to detect vascular injuries
 - Facial vascular injuries are included
 - CTV in selected cases if sinus rupture is suspected (such as in penetrating posterior fossa injuries)
- In case of GSW, consider additional
 - o DSA if metal artifacts and CTA is inconclusive

Wound marks are not required

ISOLATED PENETRATING NECK INJURY

WHICH PATIENT SHOULD GO TO RADIOLOGY

- Hemodynamically stable patients with suspected platysma injury, without "hard signs"*
 - *(active hemorrhage, expanding or pulsatile hematoma, bruit or thrill in the area of injury, shock unresponsive to initial fluid resuscitation, massive hemoptysis or hematemesis, and air bubbling through the injury site)
- Wound marks are recommended

WHAT RADIOLOGY EXAMS SHOULD BE PERFORMED

- CR (if CT is not available)
 - Localize foreign objects (bullets)
- CTA head+neck (from vertex to at least aortic arch)
 - CT scout to identify foreign objects
 - Note: CTA will reveal AV-fistulas as well
- CTA head+neck+thorax if "Zone I and II**" injuries, as 15-20% have chest injuries

If CT is inconclusive with regard to airway injury, consider laryngoscopy and/or bronchoscopy

In case of suspected cervical esophageal injury, endoscopy preferred to esophagography

In case of high suspicion and inconclusive endoscopy, consider esophagography (swallow study)



PENETRATING TORSO (TRUNK) INJURY

EMERGENT SURGERY when needed – i.e. at the discretion of the surgeon in charge.

IMAGING IN ER/OR

Pre-CT imaging is not encouraged in stable patients

- Unstable patients
 - e-FAST and chest X-ray (+ pelvic X-ray in GSW)
 - Cave: Large hemothorax may conceal hemopericardium
- Wound marking recommended (Arrow, paperclip, Vitamin E capsule...)

WHICH RADIOLOGICAL EXAMS SHOULD BE PERFORMED IN STABLE PATIENTS (to help to decide to operate or not, and to guide the surgeon and/or interventionist)

- 1. CT scouts: localize foreign bodies in GSW to define the optimal scan length
- 2. CT chest-abdomen with i.v. contrast, especially if the entry wound is below intermammary line in stab wounds and almost always with GSW.
 - a. Consider triple contrast CT. (Level II Evidence, see Appendix for details).
 - b. Late arterial (to visualize arterial injuries) to lesser trochanters + venous phase abdomen.
 - c. Add late phase (5-10 min delay) if kidney and ureter are in injury trajectory. Radiologist's supervision required
- 3. CT cystogram should be performed in urinary bladder injuries
- 4. Wound marking strongly recommended (Arrow, paperclip, Vitamin capsule...)

CO₂-DSA can be used in unequivocal cases with suspected vascular injuries Peroperative angiogram is not discussed here Angioembolization is part of management and not discussed here

PENETRATING EXTREMITY INJURY

- Exsanguinating or acutely ischemic and site of injury is known -> no vascular imaging
- CTA is the diagnostic study of choice when vascular imaging is required (Level 1 evidence)
- This applies, however, imaging outside OR. In OR, imaging is conventional angiography
- Wound marking is recommended.

MASS CASUALTIES – major incidents (MI)

PREPARATION

DISASTER PLAN SHOULD BE IN PLACE AND COORDINATED

- Radiology is an integral part of the response to major incidents
- Develop strategies to maintain effective communication (vertically and horizontally)
- Maintain CT capability and capacity during the reception phase of a MI
- Traumatic brain injury management is especially dependent on CT

PATIENT FLOW PLANNING

- Identification of patients
- Routine for identifying unknown patients
- Identify and eliminate bottlenecks
- Removal of patients from radiology department after scans

IMAGING

ROLE OF FAST/E-FAST

• Triage for CT in the initial phase

WHAT CT PROTOCOLS TO USE

- Whole body trauma protocol (WBCTT) available on all scanners, techs should be trained
- Ideally one standardized protocol for all hospitals for maximum efficiency
- Consider WBCT in ALL patients requiring CT in mass casualty events (especially blast events), to avoid rescans

IMAGE, READING AND REPORTING FLOW

- Basic imaging data to PACS
- Reading directly at CT or workstation
- Quick, concise documentation, standardized reporting*
- Consider backup paper-based system
- Life-threatening findings must be reported immediately

RADIOLOGY PLAYS A SIGNIFICANT PART IN MULTIDISCIPLINARY ASSESSMENT; WHICH SHOULD BE PLANNED FOR IN TERMS OF LOAD MANAGEMENT

* **Standardized report example**: <u>http://www.nordictraumarad.com/Homepage/Download-</u> File/f/287341/h/7ef5cf88d5ac5b8adb4a5ba2ea9ddbc8/Poster

End of consensus recommendation summary

Background/Presentation

Nordic forum for trauma and emergency radiology (NORDTER) staged this meeting as its third consensus conference. We held this multidisciplinary consensus meeting to review the literature and to scrutinize surgical and radiological routines. We invited international and Nordic expertise for guidance through the evidence in the literature; and summoned Nordic representatives for surgery, vascular surgery and trauma & interventional radiology to create evidence-based recommendations for the Nordic trauma scene.

As in our earlier consensus conferences; expert speakers presented the evidence/literature status for radiology/intervention and surgery/vascular surgery; followed by a consensus discussion. The group discussions followed an informal format with the chairperson directing discussion and delegating tasks. Although our group was encouraged to attempt to reach consensus, members were also encouraged to include alternative views.

The references after each topic were provided by the speakers. The number of references were kept to minimum, and only the most pertinent were included.

For those who would like to be more familiar with consensus decision making, please refer to Murphy MK, Black NA, Lamping DL, McKee CM, Sanderson CFB, Askham J, *et al.* Consensus development methods, and their use in clinical guideline development. *Health Technol Assessment* 1998; 2(3).

Expert speakers

Kathirkamanathan Shanmuganathan, M.D.

Professor of Radiology, Department of Diagnostic Radiology & Nuclear Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, USA

Deborah M. Stein, M.D., MPH, FACS, FCCM

R Adams Cowley Professor in Shock and Trauma, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, USA; Chief of Trauma and Medical Director, Neurotrauma Critical Care, R Adams Cowley Shock Trauma Center, University of Maryland Medical Center, Baltimore, USA

Karim Brohi, Professor, FRCS FRCA

Director, Centre for Trauma Sciences, Queen Mary University of London; Consultant Trauma & Vascular Surgeon, Barts Health NHS Trust; Director, London Major Trauma System, Great Britain

Ajay Singh, M.D.,

Associate Director, Division of Emergency Radiology, Department of Radiology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, USA

Carl Montán, MD, PhD,

(surgeon, vascular surgeon; Director Disaster Medicine courses); Senior consultant, Dept. of Vascular Surgery, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

Consensus group

NORDIC TRAUMA SURGEONS/CLINICIANS

Eva-Corina Caragounis, Surgeon, head of trauma team, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg **Lennart Adamsson**, Orthopedic surgeon, head of the Trauma Unit, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm

Fredrik Linder, Surgeon, Head of trauma team. Akademiska Sjukhuset, Uppsala University Hospital **Martin Weckman**, Surgeon, Helsinki University Hospital, Finland.

VASCULAR SURGEON

Carl Montán, Senior consultant, Dept. of Vascular Surgery, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm

NORDIC RADIOLOGISTS

Maria Lindblom, Linköping University hospital Birthe Höjlund, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen Ilja Laesser, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg Frank Bensch, Helsingfors Central University hospital, Helsinki Mari Nummela, Helsingfors Central University hospital, Helsinki Johann Baptist Dormagen, University Hospital, Oslo NORDTER ORGANIZING GROUP/ RADIOLOGISTS Hampus Eklöf, Head of Radiology, Unilabs, Sweden Seppo Koskinen, Professor of radiology, Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska University Hopital, Stockholm Bertil Leidner, freelance radiologist, formerly Karolinska University Hospital, Huddinge, Stockholm Mats Beckman, Head of trauma unit/radiology, Karolinska University Hospital, Solna, Stockholm

INTERVENTIONAL RADIOLOGIST

Wojciech Cwikiel, interventional radiologist, Skane University Hospital, Lund

TRAUMA RADIOGRAPHERS

Helen Milde, radiographer, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg Seyma Cankaya, radiographer, Trauma Unit, Karolinska University hospital, Solna, Stockholm

The report and recommendations structure

- a. Isolated penetrating head injury
- b. Isolated penetrating neck injury
- c. Penetrating torso (trunk) injury
- d. Penetrating extremity injury
- e. Mass casualties

Each part follows the same structure

- I Consensus summary
- II Action cards
- III Reference documents

The second part "Action Cards" (- structured information flow cards) presents the most important information in a structure geared to be used in the critical situation, possible to print and have at hand. The action cards answer the following questions: <u>Who</u> needs the information? <u>What</u> questions needs to be answered?

<u>Surgeon</u> –when & what radiological exam to order; <u>Radiographer</u> – what exam? what to prepare? <u>Radiologist</u> – what exam? what diagnostic focus?

ISOLATED PENETRATING HEAD INJURY

ISOLATED PENETRATING HEAD INJURY

CONSENSUS SUMMARY:

- ALL PATIENTS
 - o non-contrast head CT (use scout to localize foreign objects)
- SALVAGEABLE PATIENTS
 - o non-contrast head CT (use scout to localize foreign objects)
 - \circ $\,$ CTA from vertex to a ortic arch (neck CTA) to detect vascular injuries
 - Facial vascular injuries are included
 - CTV in selected cases if sinus rupture is suspected (such as in penetrating posterior fossa injuries)
- In case of GSW, consider additional
 - o DSA if metal artifacts and CTA is inconclusive

Wound marks are not required.

• ACTION CARD:

Who needs the information? What question(s) to be answered? Surgeon – what radiological exam to order

- All patients - Non-contrast head CT -

- Salvageable patients – non-contrast head CT (use scout to localize

foreign objects) – CTA vertex to aortic arch; – CTV if sinus injury is suspected

Radiographer - What exam? What to prepare?

- Non-contrast CT of the Head; include whole face
- CTA head & neck to aortic arch
- (- CTV if sinus injury is suspected)
- prepare contrast for all patients

Radiologist – What exam?

- ALL: Non-contrast CT of the Head; include whole face

FOR SALVAGABLE PATIENTS

- non-contrast head CT (use scout to localize foreign objects)
- CTA head & neck to aortic arch -
- CTV if sinus injury is suspected
- (GSW DSA if metal artifacts/inconclusive CTA)

Diagnostic focus?

- (use scout to localize foreign objects)
- Brain injury,
- vascular injuries head & neck;
- facial injuries incl vascular

REFERENCES

Aarabi B, Tofighi B, Kufera JA, Hadley J, Ahn ES, Cooper C, Malik JM, Naff NJ, Chang L, Radley M, Kheder A, Uscinski RH. Predictors of outcome in civilian gunshot wounds to the head. J Neurosurg. 2014 May;120(5):1138-46. doi: 10.3171/2014.1.JNS131869. Epub 2014 Feb 7. PubMed PMID: 24506239.

Alvis-Miranda HR, M Rubiano A, Agrawal A, Rojas A, Moscote-Salazar LR,Satyarthee GD, Calderon-Miranda WG, Hernandez NE, Zabaleta-Churio N.Craniocerebral Gunshot Injuries; A Review of the Current Literature. Bull EmergTrauma. 2016 Apr;4(2):65-74. Review. PubMed PMID: 27331062; PubMed Central PMCID:PMC4897986.

de Vries CS, Africa M, Gebremariam FA, van Rensburg JJ, Otto SF, Potgieter HF. The imaging of stab injuries. Acta Radiol. 2010 Feb;51(1):92-106. doi: 10.3109/02841850903225198. Review. PubMed PMID: 20088643.

Intracranial pressure monitoring in the management of penetrating brain injury. J Trauma. 2001 Aug;51(2 Suppl):S12-5. Review. PubMed PMID: 11505194.

Kim PE, Go JL, Zee CS. Radiographic assessment of cranial gunshot wounds. Neuroimaging Clin N Am. 2002 May;12(2):229-48. Review. PubMed PMID: 12391634.

Kim TW, Lee JK, Moon KS, Kwak HJ, Joo SP, Kim JH, Kim SH. Penetrating gunshot injuries to the brain. J Trauma. 2007 Jun;62(6):1446-51. PubMed PMID: 17563664.

Muehlschlegel S, Ayturk D, Ahlawat A, Izzy S, Scalea TM, Stein DM, Emhoff T, Sheth KN. Predicting survival after acute civilian penetrating brain injuries: The SPIN score. Neurology. 2016 Nov 22;87(21):2244-2253. Epub 2016 Oct 26. PubMed PMID: 27784772; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5123553.

Surgical management of penetrating brain injury. J Trauma. 2001 Aug;51(2 Suppl):S16-25. Review. PubMed PMID: 11505195.

Turco L, Cornell DL, Phillips B. Penetrating Bihemispheric Traumatic Brain Injury: A Collective Review of Gunshot Wounds to the Head. World Neurosurg. 2017 Aug;104:653-659. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2017.05.068. Epub 2017 May 19. Review. PubMed PMID: 28532914.

Vakil MT, Singh AK. A review of penetrating brain trauma: epidemiology, pathophysiology, imaging assessment, complications, and treatment. Emerg Radiol. 2017 Jun;24(3):301-309. doi: 10.1007/s10140-016-1477-z. Epub 2017 Jan 14. Review. PubMed PMID: 28091809.

ISOLATED PENETRATING NECK INJURY

WHICH PATIENT SHOULD GO TO RADIOLOGY

- Hemodynamically stable patients with suspected platysma injury, without "hard signs" *
 - *(active hemorrhage, expanding or pulsatile hematoma, bruit or thrill in the area of injury, shock unresponsive to initial fluid resuscitation, massive hemoptysis or hematemesis, and air bubbling through the injury site)
- Wound marks are recommended

WHAT RADIOLOGY EXAMS SHOULD BE PERFORMED

- CR (if CT is not available)
 - Localize foreign objects (bullets)
- CTA head+neck (from vertex to at least aortic arch)
 - CT scout to identify foreign objects
 - Note: CTA will reveal AV-fistulas as well
- CTA head+neck+thorax if "Zone I and II**" injuries, as 15-20% have chest injuries

If CT is inconclusive with regard to airway injury, consider laryngoscopy and/or bronchoscopy

In case of suspected cervical esophageal injury, endoscopy preferred to esophagography

In case of high suspicion and inconclusive endoscopy, consider esophagography (swallow study)

**Neck zones



ACTION CARD:

Who needs the information? What question(s) to be answered?

Surgeon – Which patient to image?

- Hemodynamically stable patients with suspected or obvious platysma violation without "hard signs" – see below*

What radiological exam to order?

- CTA head & neck → aortic arch & *possibly thorax* (Zone I + II) *
- --[If CT is not possible consider radiographs to localize foreign object (bullets)]
- Wound marks are recommended (vitamin E capsules)

If inconclusive CT

- in regard to airway injury, consider laryngoscopy and/or bronchoscopy
- if suspected cervical esophageal injury, endoscopy preferred to esophagography

- In case of <u>high suspicion & inconclusive endoscopy</u>, consider esophagography (swallow study)

* <u>Hard signs:</u> active hemorrhage, expanding or pulsatile hematoma, bruit or thrill in the area of injury, shock unresponsive to initial fluid resuscitation, massive hemoptysis or hematemesis, and air bubbling through the injury site



Radiographer - what to prepare?

- CTA head & neck \rightarrow aortic arch & add thorax on request
- prepare contrast for all patients
- ask for wound marks

Radiologist - what exam?

- CTA head & neck to aortic arch - consider including whole thorax (zone I+II injuries)

What diagnostic focus?

- look for wound marks
- vascular injuries ongoing bleeds, AV- fistulas
- lung/mediastinal injuries
- airway injury?
- esophageal injury?
- look for foreign bodies (scout view!)

REFERENCES

de Vries CS, Africa M, Gebremariam FA, van Rensburg JJ, Otto SF, Potgieter HF. The imaging of stab injuries. Acta Radiol. 2010 Feb;51(1):92-106. doi: 10.3109/02841850903225198. Review. PubMed PMID: 20088643.

Inaba K, Branco BC, Menaker J, Scalea TM, Crane S, DuBose JJ, Tung L, Reddy S, Demetriades D. Evaluation of multidetector computed tomography for penetrating neck injury: a prospective multicenter study. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2012 Mar;72(3):576-83; discussion 583-4; quiz 803-4. doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e31824badf7. PubMed PMID: 22491539.

Low GM, Inaba K, Chouliaras K, Branco B, Lam L, Benjamin E, Menaker J, Demetriades D. The use of the anatomic 'zones' of the neck in the assessment of penetrating neck injury. Am Surg. 2014 Oct;80(10):970-4. PubMed PMID: 25264641.

Osborn TM, Bell RB, Qaisi W, Long WB. Computed tomographic angiography as an aid to clinical decision making in the selective management of penetrating injuries to the neck: a reduction in the need for operative exploration. J Trauma. 2008 Jun;64(6):1466-71. doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e3181271b32. PubMed PMID: 18545110.

Tisherman SA, Bokhari F, Collier B, Cumming J, Ebert J, Holevar M, Kurek S, Leon S, Rhee P. Clinical practice guideline: penetrating zone II neck trauma. J Trauma. 2008 May;64(5):1392-405. doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e3181692116. Review. PubMed PMID: 18469667.

PENETRATING TORSO (TRUNK) INJURY

PENETRATING TORSO (TRUNK) INJURY

EMERGENT SURGERY when needed – i.e. at the discretion of the surgeon in charge.

IMAGING IN ER/OR

Pre-CT imaging is not encouraged in stable patients

- Unstable patients
 - e-FAST and chest X-ray (+ pelvic X-ray in GSW)
 - Cave: Large hemothorax may conceal hemopericardium
- Wound marking recommended (Arrow, paperclip, Vitamin E capsule)

WHICH RADIOLOGICAL EXAMS SHOULD BE PERFORMED IN STABLE PATIENTS (to help to decide to operate or not, and to guide the surgeon and/or interventionist)

- 1. CT scouts: localize foreign bodies in GSW to define the optimal scan length
- 2. CT chest-abdomen with i.v. contrast, especially if the entry wound is below intermammary line in stab wounds and almost always with GSW.
 - a. Consider triple contrast CT. (Level II Evidence, see Appendix for details).
 - b. Late arterial (to visualize arterial injuries) to lesser trochanters + venous phase abdomen.
 - c. Add late phase (5-10 min delay) if kidney and ureter are in injury trajectory. Radiologist's supervision required
- 3. CT cystogram should be performed in urinary bladder injuries
- 4. Wound marking strongly recommended (Arrow, paperclip, Vitamin capsule...)

CO₂-DSA can be used in unequivocal cases with suspected vascular injuries Peroperative angiogram is not discussed here Angioembolization is part of management and not discussed here

ACTION CARD:

IMAGING IN ER/UNSTABLE PATIENT

Who needs the information? What question(s) to be answered?

Surgeon –when & what radiological exam to order

- Emergency surgery if needed
- Unstable patients e-FAST & chest X-ray & pelvic X-ray in GSW
- Stable patients Pre-CT imaging is NOT encouraged

Radiographer - what exam? what to prepare?

ER – chest/pelvic X-ray- (portable) x-ray machine

- wound markings paper clip/E-vitamin capsule
- (e-FAST bring ultrasound machine)

Radiologist - what exam? What diagnostic focus?
- (e-FAST)
- chest X-ray; foreign bodies/wound markings
beware - box of death - large hemothorax may conceal hemopericardium

IMAGING IN THE STABLE PATIENT

(to help to decide to operate or not, and to guide the surgeon and/or interventionist) *Who needs the information? What question(s) to be answered?*

Surgeon - Contraindications to CT (see also full text document) Absolute: Hemodynamic instability - Emergent laparotomy or thoracotomy is needed Relative: Pneumoperitoneum on radiograph Peritonitis

Hematuria: However, many renal injuries that can be managed nonoperatively may still present with hematuria. CT is often used for grading penetrating renal injuries Hematochezia: Usually indicative of hollow visceral injury requiring laparotomy Hematemesis

Surgeon –when CT:

- request CT thorax & abdomen dual phase
- (- if agreed on locally, use triple contrast CT in dual phase)
- Wound marking strongly recommended, paper clip/E-vitamin capsule*

Radiographer – what exam? what to prepare?

- Use CT scouts to localize foreign bodies in GSW to define the optimal scan field
- CT chest-abdomen late arterial (to visualize arterial injuries) to lesser trochanters+ venous phase abdomen.
- Consider triple contrast i.e. addition of oral + rectal contrast
- Contrast recommendations from Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm:
- --- Oral: 50 ml Iohexol (Omnipaque) 140 mg I / ml in 450 ml water;
- --- <u>Rectal</u>: 150 ml Iohexol (Omnipaque) 140 mg I / ml in 1350 ml water
- late phase? if urological injuries!
- if CT cystography: 25 ml Omnipaque 240 mg I / ml in 225 ml NaCl 9 mg/ml

Radiologist – what exam?

- CT chest-abdomen - late arterial (to visualize arterial injuries) to lesser trochanters+ venous phase upper/whole abdomen.

- Consider triple contrast CT. (Level II Evidence). (if agreed on locally)
- Add late phase (5-10 min delay) if kidney and ureter are in injury trajectory.
- CT cystogram should be performed in urinary bladder injuries

- check Wound marking strongly recommended, paper clip/E-vitamin capsule etc.*
 (- Use CT scouts to localize foreign bodies in GSW)

What diagnostic focus?

<u>Tangential or superficial wounds</u>: Exclusion of peritoneal or pleural penetration <u>Thoracoabdominal wounds/anterior abdominal wounds</u>: For gastric, small bowel, or colonic injury, high-grade solid organ injury, pancreaticobiliary injury, major vascular injury, and diaphragmatic injury <u>Transpelvic gunshot wounds</u>: For rectal or bladder injury, and intra- vs. extraperitoneal

involvement; evaluate for major vascular injury;

<u>Back and flank wounds</u>: For retroperitoneal injury potentially involving colon, kidneys, ureters or major vessels

<u>Precordial, parasternal, periclavicular and transmediastinal</u> wounds: For cardiac injuries, closed aortic or great vessel injuries, and aerodigestive tract injuries

REFERENCES

Baxi AJ, Restrepo C, Mumbower A, McCarthy M, Rashmi K. Cardiac Injuries: A Review of Multidetector Computed Tomography Findings. Trauma Mon. 2015 Nov;20(4):e19086. doi: 10.5812/traumamon.19086. Epub 2015 Nov 23. Review. PubMed PMID: 26839855; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4727463.

Clarke DL, Allorto NL, Thomson SR. An audit of failed non-operative management of abdominal stab wounds. Injury. 2010 May;41(5):488-91. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2009.10.022. Epub 2009 Nov 12. PubMed PMID: 19913226.

Como JJ, Bokhari F, Chiu WC, Duane TM, Holevar MR, Tandoh MA, Ivatury RR, Scalea TM. Practice management guidelines for selective nonoperative management of penetrating abdominal trauma. J Trauma. 2010 Mar;68(3):721-33. doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e3181cf7d07. Review. PubMed PMID: 20220426.

de Vries CS, Africa M, Gebremariam FA, van Rensburg JJ, Otto SF, Potgieter HF. The imaging of stab injuries. Acta Radiol. 2010 Feb;51(1):92-106. doi: 10.3109/02841850903225198. Review. PubMed PMID: 20088643.

Demetriades D, Hadjizacharia P, Constantinou C, Brown C, Inaba K, Rhee P, Salim A. Selective nonoperative management of penetrating abdominal solid organ injuries. Ann Surg. 2006 Oct;244(4):620-8. PubMed PMID: 16998371; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1856549.

Dreizin D, Bodanapally UK, Munera F. MDCT of complications and common postoperative findings following penetrating torso trauma. Emerg Radiol. 2015 Oct;22(5):553-63. doi: 10.1007/s10140-015-1325-6. Epub 2015 May 27. PubMed PMID: 26013026.

Dreizin D, Borja MJ, Danton GH, Kadakia K, Caban K, Rivas LA, Munera F. Penetrating diaphragmatic injury: accuracy of 64-section multidetector CT with trajectography. Radiology. 2013 Sep;268(3):729-37. doi: 10.1148/radiol.13121260. Epub 2013 May 14. PubMed PMID: 23674790.

Dreizin D, Munera F. Multidetector CT for Penetrating Torso Trauma: State of the Art. Radiology. 2015 Nov;277(2):338-55. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2015142282. Review. PubMed PMID: 26492022.

Durso AM, Caban K, Munera F. Penetrating Thoracic Injury. Radiol Clin North Am. 2015 Jul;53(4):675-93, vii-viii. doi: 10.1016/j.rcl.2015.02.010. Review. PubMed PMID: 26046505.

Gunn ML, Clark RT, Sadro CT, Linnau KF, Sandstrom CK. Current concepts in imaging evaluation of penetrating transmediastinal injury. Radiographics. 2014 Nov-Dec;34(7):1824-41. doi: 10.1148/rg.347130022. Review. PubMed PMID: 25384283.

Inaba K, Okoye OT, Rosenheck R, Melo N, Branco BC, Talving P, Lam L, Reddy S, Salim A, Demetriades D. Prospective evaluation of the role of computed tomography in the assessment of abdominal stab wounds. JAMA Surg. 2013 Sep;148(9):810-6. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2013.2521. PubMed PMID: 23824102.

Lamb CM, Garner JP. Selective non-operative management of civilian gunshot wounds to the abdomen: a systematic review of the evidence. Injury. 2014 Apr;45(4):659-66. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2013.07.008. Epub 2013 Jul 27. Review. PubMed PMID: 23895795.

Mendoza AE, Wybourn CA, Charles AG, Campbell AR, Cairns BA, Knudson MM. Routine computed tomography after recent operative exploration for penetrating trauma: What injuries do we miss? J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2017 Oct;83(4):575-578. doi: 10.1097/TA.000000000001558. PubMed PMID: 28930951.

Múnera F, Morales C, Soto JA, Garcia HI, Suarez T, Garcia V, Corrales M, Velez G. Gunshot wounds of abdomen: evaluation of stable patients with triple-contrast helical CT. Radiology. 2004 May;231(2):399-405. PubMed PMID: 15128986.

Patterson BO, Holt PJ, Cleanthis M, Tai N, Carrell T, Loosemore TM; London Vascular Injuries Working Group. Imaging vascular trauma. Br J Surg. 2012 Apr;99(4):494-505. doi: 10.1002/bjs.7763. Epub 2011 Dec 22. Review. PubMed PMID: 22190106.

Saksobhavivat N, Shanmuganathan K, Boscak AR, Sliker CW, Stein DM, Bodanapally UK, Archer-Arroyo K, Miller LA, Fleiter TR, Alexander MT, Mirvis SE, Scalea TM. Diagnostic accuracy of triplecontrast multi-detector computed tomography for detection of penetrating gastrointestinal injury: a prospective study. Eur Radiol. 2016 Nov;26(11):4107-4120. Epub 2016 Mar 16. PubMed PMID: 26984429.

Shanmuganathan K, Mirvis SE, Chiu WC, Killeen KL, Hogan GJ, Scalea TM. Penetrating torso trauma: triple-contrast helical CT in peritoneal violation and organ injury--a prospective study in 200 patients. Radiology. 2004 Jun;231(3):775-84. Epub 2004 Apr 22. PubMed PMID: 15105455.

Strumwasser A, Chong V, Chu E, Victorino GP. Thoracic computed tomography is an effective screening modality in patients with penetrating injuries to the chest. Injury. 2016 Sep;47(9):2000-5. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2016.05.040. Epub 2016 Jun 1. PubMed PMID: 27324324.

PENETRATING EXTREMITY INJURY

- Exsanguinating or acutely ischemic and site of injury is known -> no vascular imaging
- CTA is the diagnostic study of choice when vascular imaging is required (Level 1 evidence)
- This applies, however, imaging outside OR. In OR, imaging is conventional angiography
- Wound marking is recommended.

ACTION CARD:

Who needs the information? What question(s) to be answered?

Surgeon –when & what radiological exam to order

NO IMAGING needed when exsanguinating or acutely ischemic <u>and</u> site of injury is known.

CTA of the injured extremity – method of choice - Wound marking is recommended In OR – conventional angiography

Radiographer - what exam? what to prepare?

CTA – prepare adding venous series over same field of examination

Radiologist – what exam?

CTA – consider adding venous series

What diagnostic focus? Bleeding Pseudoaneurysm AV-fistula

REFERENCES

Colip CG, Gorantla V, LeBedis CA, Soto JA, Anderson SW. Extremity CTA for penetrating trauma: 10year experience using a 64-detector row CT scanner. Emerg Radiol. 2017 Jun;24(3):223-232. doi: 10.1007/s10140-016-1469-z. Epub 2016 Nov 29. PubMed PMID: 27896450.

Fox N, Rajani RR, Bokhari F, Chiu WC, Kerwin A, Seamon MJ, Skarupa D, Frykberg E; Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma. Evaluation and management of penetrating lower extremity arterial trauma: an Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma practice management guideline. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2012 Nov;73(5 Suppl 4):S315-20. doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e31827018e4. PubMed PMID: 23114487.

Konwinski RR, Singh A, Soto J. Imaging of lower extremity trauma from Boston Marathon bombing. Emerg Radiol. 2016 Oct;23(5):433-7. doi: 10.1007/s10140-016-1414-1. Epub 2016 Jun 7. PubMed PMID: 27272899.

Wallin D, Yaghoubian A, Rosing D, Walot I, Chauvapun J, de Virgilio C. Computed tomographic angiography as the primary diagnostic modality in penetrating lower extremity vascular injuries: a level I trauma experience. Ann Vasc Surg. 2011 Jul;25(5):620-3. doi: 10.1016/j.avsg.2011.02.022. PubMed PMID: 21724101.

MASS CASUALTIES – major incidents (MI)

PREPARATION

DISASTER PLAN SHOULD BE IN PLACE AND COORDINATED

- Radiology is an integral part of the response to major incidents
- Develop strategies to maintain effective communication (vertically and horizontally)
- Maintain CT capability and capacity during the reception phase of a MI
- Traumatic brain injury management is especially dependent on CT

PATIENT FLOW PLANNING

- Identification of patients
- Routine for identifying unknown patients
- Identify and eliminate bottlenecks
- Removal of patients from radiology department after scans

IMAGING

ROLE OF FAST/e-FAST

• Triage for CT in the initial phase

WHAT CT PROTOCOLS TO USE

- Whole body trauma protocol (WBCT) available on all scanners, techs should be trained
- Ideally one standardized protocol for all hospitals for maximum efficiency
- Consider WBCT in ALL patients requiring CT in mass casualty events (especially blast events), to avoid rescans

IMAGE, READING AND REPORTING FLOW

- Basic imaging data to PACS
- Reading directly at CT or workstation
- Quick, concise documentation, standardized reporting*
- Consider backup paper-based system
- Life-threatening findings must be reported immediately

RADIOLOGY PLAYS A SIGNIFICANT PART IN MULTIDISCIPLINARY ASSESSMENT; WHICH SHOULD BE PLANNED FOR IN TERMS OF LOAD MANAGEMENT

* **Standardized report example**: <u>http://www.nordictraumarad.com/Homepage/Download-</u> File/f/287341/h/7ef5cf88d5ac5b8adb4a5ba2ea9ddbc8/Poster

ACTION CARD:

SURGEON

-when & what radiological exam to order
 e-FAST – consider using e-FAST to triage for CT in the initial phase
 Trauma-CT – consider including whole body scan for every patient to avoid rescanning
 (retransporting the patient to the CT suite during a major incident causes confusion)

RADIOGRAPHER

what exam? what to prepare?

Ideally one standardized CT-protocol for all for maximum efficiency

Consider WBCT in all patients requiring CT in mass casualty events (especially blast events) to *avoid rescans*

RADIOLOGIST

what exam? What diagnostic focus?

e-FAST – consider using to triage for CT in the initial phase

Trauma-protocol available on all scanners.

Ideally one standardized protocol for all for maximum efficiency

Consider **WBCT in all** patients requiring CT in mass casualty events (especially blast events) to avoid rescans

Basic imaging data to PACS, **reading directly from modality console**/workstation Quick, concise documentation, **standardized reporting** - based on local practice (consider backup paper-based system)

Life-threatening findings must be reported immediately

REFERENCES

Bolster F, Linnau K, Mitchell S, Roberge E, Nguyen Q, Robinson J, Lehnert B, Gross J. Emergency radiology and mass casualty incidents-report of a mass casualty incident at a level 1 trauma center. Emerg Radiol. 2017 Feb;24(1):47-53. doi: 10.1007/s10140-016-1441-y. Epub 2016 Sep 13. PubMed PMID: 27623691.

Brunner J, Singh AK, Rocha T, Havens J, Goralnick E, Sodickson A. Terrorist bombings: foreign bodies from the Boston Marathon bombing. Semin Ultrasound CT MR. 2015 Feb;36(1):68-72. doi: 10.1053/j.sult.2014.10.006. Epub 2014 Oct 16. Review. PubMed PMID: 25639179.

Singh AK, Buch K, Sung E, Abujudeh H, Sakai O, Aaron S, Lev M. Head and neck injuries from the Boston Marathon bombing at four hospitals. Emerg Radiol. 2015 Oct;22(5):527-32. doi: 10.1007/s10140-015-1322-9. Epub 2015 May 12. PubMed PMID: 25962489.

Singh AK, Ditkofsky NG, York JD, Abujudeh HH, Avery LA, Brunner JF, Sodickson AD, Lev MH. Blast Injuries: From Improvised Explosive Device Blasts to the Boston Marathon Bombing. Radiographics. 2016 Jan-Feb;36(1):295-307. doi: 10.1148/rg.2016150114. Review. PubMed PMID: 26761543. Singh AK, Goralnick E, Velmahos G, Biddinger PD, Gates J, Sodickson A. Radiologic features of injuries from the Boston Marathon bombing at three hospitals. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2014 Aug;203(2):235-9. doi: 10.2214/AJR.14.12549. PubMed PMID: 25055253.

Singh AK, Sodickson A, Abujudeh H. Imaging of abdominal and pelvic injuries from the Boston Marathon bombing. Emerg Radiol. 2016 Feb;23(1):35-9. doi: 10.1007/s10140-015-1354-1. Epub 2015 Oct 7. PubMed PMID: 26445949.

Sosna J, Sella T, Shaham D, Shapira SC, Rivkind A, Bloom AI, Libson E. Facing the new threats of terrorism: radiologists' perspectives based on experience in Israel. Radiology. 2005 Oct;237(1):28-36. Epub 2005 Aug 11. Review. PubMed PMID: 16100082.

ADDENDUM

- abbreviations

- BCVI blunt cerebrovascular injuries
- CTA CT angiogram
- CTV CT venogram
- DSA digital subtraction angiography
- ER emergency room
- OR operating room
- e-FAST Extended FAST (incl. exam for pneumothorax)
- FAST focused assessment with sonography for trauma
- GSW gunshot wound
- Triple contrast CT with oral, rectal and intravenous contrast media
- WBCT whole body CT
- MI major incident-



Triple contrast CT with the use of oral, rectal and intravenous contrast is recommended by US centers in order to optimize diagnosis of hollow viscous injuries. In blunt trauma free extraluminal air indicates visceral injury. In penetrating injury free air only indicates peritoneal violation. Thus, the use of oral/rectal contrast is more indicated in penetrating trauma, compared to blunt trauma CT. There is a fair amount of support in the literature for the use of triple contrast but no decisive evidence.

If triple contrast is to be used in the Scandinavian trauma scene, it is necessary to have a consensus agreement in the local hospital and to train the procedure, in order not to lose time in critical cases.

Wound markings are recommended with paper clips or Vitamin E capsules. Paper clips do not give significant artifacts in new CT scanners. Vitamin E capsules contain fat and give no artifacts at all.

Contrast recommendations from Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm:Oral:50 ml Iohexol (Omnipaque) 140 mg I / ml in 450 ml water;Rectal:150 ml Iohexol (Omnipaque) 140 mg I / ml in 1350 ml waterIn urinary bladder – CT cystography:25 ml Omnipaque 240 mg I / ml in 225 ml NaCl 9 mg/ml.

Reference for Triple contrast CT

TRIPLE-CONTRAST MULTIDETECTOR CT FOR PENETRATING TORSO TRAUMA INDICATIONS AND CONTRAINDICATIONS: Multidetector CT for Penetrating Torso Trauma: State of the Art1. David Dreizin, MD Felipe Munera, MD. Radiology.rsna.org Radiology: Volume 277: Number 2—November 2015

INDICATIONS (from this reference article)

- 1. <u>Tangential or superficial wounds</u>: Exclusion of peritoneal or pleural penetration.
- 2. <u>Thoracoabdominal wounds/anterior abdominal wounds</u>: For gastric-, small bowel, or colonic injury., high-grade solid organ injury, pancreaticobiliary injury, major vascular injury, and diaphragmatic injury
- 3. <u>Transpelvic gunshot wounds</u>: For rectal or bladder injury, and intra- versus extraperitoneal involvement; evaluate for major vascular injury; performed for surgical planning or to evaluate potential candidates for nonoperative management
- 4. <u>Back and flank wounds</u>: For retroperitoneal injury potentially involving colon, kidneys, ureters or major vessels
- 5. Precordial, parasternal, periclavicular and transmediastinal wounds: For cardiac injuries, closed aortic or great vessel injuries, and aerodigestive tract injuries
- 6. Other:
 - For wounds not amenable to local wound exploration (ie, gunshot wounds, obese or muscular patients, back and flank injuries, wounds above costal margin, long obliquely oriented wounds)
 - b. For severe distracting pain, neurologic injury, or intoxication, which may confound physical examination;
 - c. For patients with neurologic or extremity injuries which require surgical intervention and cannot be closely monitored

CONTRAINDICATIONS (from this reference article)

Absolute:

 Hemodynamic instability not responsive or transiently responsive to fluid resuscitation (sometimes defined as systolic blood pressure <90 mmHg after 2 liters of intravenous fluid).
 CT would delay life-saving care like emergent laparotomy or thoracotomy

Relative:

- 1. Pneumoperitoneum on radiograph: Air may result from perforated hollow viscera but can also be introduced into the abdominal cavity through wound track or from pneumothorax migrating through a diaphragmatic defect
- 2. Peritonitis: Subjective sign. May be masked or mimicked by severe pain. Classically from hollow visceral perforation but can sometimes result from solid organ injuries
- 3. Hematuria: May indicate surgical renal injury or ureteral injury. However, many renal injuries that can be managed nonoperatively may still present with hematuria. CT is often used for grading penetrating renal injuries
- 4. Hematochezia: Usually indicative of hollow visceral injury requiring laparotomy; however, hematochezia may result from extraperitoneal rectal injury, which can be treated laparoscopically in select cases. Preoperative CT can often be used to distinguish between extra- and intraperitoneal rectal injury
- 5. Hematemesis: If the patient is hemodynamically stable, CT may occasionally be used to determine injuries before surgical intervention

ACTION CARD – SURGEON

MASS CASUALTIES – major incidents (MI)

When & what radiological exam to order

E-FAST – consider using e-FAST to triage for CT in the initial phase Trauma-CT – consider including whole body scan for every patient to avoid rescanning - (retransporting the patient to the CT suite during a major incident causes confusion)

ISOLATED PENETRATING HEAD INJURY

What radiological exam to order

All patients - Non-contrast head CT –

- Salvageable patients – Non-contrast head CT and CTA vertex to aortic arch– CTV if sinus injury is suspected

ISOLATED PENETRATING NECK INJURY

Which patient to image?

- Hemodynamically stable patients with suspected or obvious platysma violation without "hard signs" – see below*

What radiological exam to order?

- CTA head & neck \rightarrow aortic arch & *possibly thorax* (Zone I + II) *
- --[If CT is not possible consider radiographs to localize foreign object (bullets)]
- Wound marks are recommended (vitamin E capsules)

If inconclusive CT

- in regard to <u>airway injury</u>, consider laryngoscopy and/or bronchoscopy
- if suspected cervical esophageal injury, endoscopy preferred to esophagography

- In case of <u>high suspicion & inconclusive endoscopy</u>, consider esophagography (swallow study)

* <u>Hard signs:</u> active hemorrhage, expanding or pulsatile hematoma, bruit or thrill in the area of injury, shock unresponsive to initial fluid resuscitation, massive hemoptysis or hematemesis, and air bubbling through the injury site



PENETRATING TORSO (TRUNK) INJURY

IMAGING IN ER/UNSTABLE PATIENT

When & what radiological exam to order

Emergency surgery if needed

- Unstable patients – e-FAST & chest X-ray & pelvic X-ray in GSW

- Stable patients – Pre-CT imaging is NOT encouraged

IMAGING IN THE STABLE PATIENT

(to help to decide to operate or not, and to guide the surgeon and/or interventionist) - **Contraindications to CT** (see also full text document)

Absolute:

Hemodynamic instability - Emergent laparotomy or thoracotomy is needed <u>Relative</u>:

Pneumoperitoneum on radiograph

Peritonitis

Hematuria: However, many renal injuries that can be managed nonoperatively may still present with hematuria. CT is often used for grading penetrating renal injuries Hematochezia: Usually indicative of hollow visceral injury requiring laparotomy Hematemesis

When CT:

- request CT thorax & abdomen - dual phase

(- if agreed on locally, use triple contrast CT in dual phase)

- Wound marking strongly recommended, paper clip/E-vitamin capsule*

PENETRATING EXTREMITY INJURY

Who needs the information? What question(s) to be answered? Surgeon –when & what radiological exam to order

NO IMAGING needed when exsanguinating or acutely ischemic <u>and</u> site of injury is known.

CTA of the injured extremity – method of choice - Wound marking is recommended In OR – conventional angiography

ACTION CARD RADIOGRAPHER

ISOLATED PENETRATING HEAD INJURY

Radiographer - What exam? What to prepare?

- Non-contrast CT of the Head; include whole face
- CTA head & neck to aortic arch
- (- CTV if sinus injury is suspected)
- prepare contrast for all patients

ISOLATED PENETRATING NECK INJURY

Radiographer - what to prepare?

- CTA head & neck \rightarrow aortic arch & add thorax on request
- prepare contrast for all patients
- ask for wound marks

PENETRATING TORSO (TRUNK) INJURY

IMAGING IN ER/UNSTABLE PATIENT

Radiographer – what exam? what to prepare?

ER – chest/pelvic X-ray- (portable) x-ray machine

- wound markings paper clip
- (e-FAST bring ultrasound machine)

IMAGING IN THE STABLE PATIENT

Radiographer – what exam? what to prepare?

- Use CT scouts to localize foreign bodies in GSW to define the optimal scan field
- CT chest-abdomen late arterial (to visualize arterial injuries) to lesser trochanters+ venous phase abdomen.
- Consider triple contrast ie addition of oral + rectal contrast
- Contrast recommendations from Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm:
- --- Oral: 50 ml Iohexol (Omnipaque) 140 mg I / ml in 450 ml water;
- --- <u>Rectal</u>: 150 ml Iohexol (Omnipaque) 140 mg I / ml in 1350 ml water
- late phase? if urological injuries!
- if CT cystography: 25 ml Omnipaque 240 mg I / ml in 225 ml NaCl 9 mg/ml

PENETRATING EXTREMITY INJURY

Radiographer – what exam? what to prepare?

CTA – prepare adding venous series over same field of examination

MASS CASUALTIES – major incidents (MI)

Radiographer – what exam? what to prepare?

Ideally one standardized CT-protocol for all for maximum efficiency

Consider WBCT in all patients requiring CT in mass casualty events (especially blast events) to *avoid rescans*

ACTION CARD – RADIOLOGIST

ISOLATED PENETRATING HEAD INJURY

Radiologist – What exam?

- ALL: Non-contrast CT of the Head; include whole face

FOR SALVAGABLE PATIENTS

- Non-contrast head CT
- CTA head & neck to aortic arch -
- CTV if sinus injury is suspected
- (GSW DSA if metal artifacts/inconclusive CTA)

Diagnostic focus?

- (use scout to localize foreign objects)
- Brain injury,
- vascular injuries head & neck;
- facial injuries incl vascular

ISOLATED PENETRATING NECK INJURY

Radiologist - what exam?

- CTA head & neck to aortic arch - consider including whole thorax (zone I+II injuries)

What diagnostic focus?

- look for wound marks
- vascular injuries ongoing bleeds, AV- fistulas
- lung/mediastinal injuries
- airway injury?
- esophageal injury?
- look for foreign bodies (scout view!)

PENETRATING TORSO (TRUNK) INJURY

IMAGING IN ER/UNSTABLE PATIENT

Radiologist – what exam? What diagnostic focus?

- (e-FAST)

chest X-ray; foreign bodies/wound markings
 beware – box of death – large hemothorax may conceal hemopericardium

IMAGING IN THE STABLE PATIENT

Radiologist – what exam?

- CT chest-abdomen - late arterial (to visualize arterial injuries) to lesser trochanters+ venous phase upper/whole abdomen.

- Consider triple contrast CT. (Level II Evidence). (if agreed on locally)
- Add late phase (5-10 min delay) if kidney and ureter are in injury trajectory.
- CT cystogram should be performed in urinary bladder injuries
- check Wound marking strongly recommended, paper clip/E-vitamin capsule etc.*

(- Use CT scouts to localize foreign bodies in GSW)
 What diagnostic focus?
 <u>Tangential or superficial wounds</u>: Exclusion of peritoneal or pleural penetration
 <u>Thoracoabdominal wounds/anterior abdominal wounds</u>: For gastric, small bowel, or

colonic injury, high-grade solid organ injury, pancreaticobiliary injury, major vascular injury, and diaphragmatic injury

<u>Transpelvic gunshot wounds</u>: For rectal or bladder injury, and intra- versus extraperitoneal involvement; evaluate for major vascular injury;

<u>Back and flank wounds</u>: For retroperitoneal injury potentially involving colon, kidneys, ureters or major vessels

<u>Precordial, parasternal, periclavicular and transmediastinal</u> wounds: For cardiac injuries, closed aortic or great vessel injuries, and aerodigestive tract injuries

PENETRATING EXTREMITY INJURY

Radiologist – what exam?

CTA – consider adding venous series

What diagnostic focus? Bleeding Pseudoaneurysm AV-fistula

MASS CASUALTIES - major incidents (MI)

RADIOLOGIST

what exam? What diagnostic focus?

e-FAST – consider using to triage for CT in the initial phase

Trauma-protocol available on all scanners.

Ideally one standardized protocol for all for maximum efficiency

Consider **WBCT in all** patients requiring CT in mass casualty events (especially blast events) to avoid rescans

Basic imaging data to PACS, **reading directly from modality console**/workstation Quick, concise documentation, **standardized reporting** - based on local practice (consider backup paper-based system) Life-threatening findings must be reported immediately

End of document