Antiseptics and sterilization methods

By Stephanie Saridakis, DO, and Morgan Amigo, MD

Antiseptics							
Agent	Mechanism	Onset	Advantages	Disadvantages	Residual activity	Comments	
Alcohol (isopropyl and ethanol) 70%=optimal strength	Denatures pro- teins (bacterial cell walls)	Fastest (Skin must remain wet for 2 min for max effect)	Broad antimicro- bial coverage: G(+), G(-), mycobacteria, fungi, and many viruses	Inactive against spores and some nonenveloped virus- es. Not effective for soiled hands.	None, dissi- pates upon evaporation	• Inexpensive, but flammable!	
Chlorhexidine (2-4%)	Disrupts cell membranes	Fast	Broad antimicro- bial coverage: G(+), G(-), mycobacteria, fungi, and viruses. Blood/sputum do not inactivate.	Inactive against spores; Risk of oto- toxicity and keratitis/ conjunctivitis. Risk of contact urti- caria, ICD, and ACD. Anaphylaxis rare.	#1 overall (>6 h, even when wiped from field; binds to stratum cor- neum)	Longest acting	
Chlorhexidine- isopropyl alcohol combination (Typically, 2% CHG and 70% isopropyl alcohol)	Denatures cell walls, disrupts cell membranes	Fast	Improved broad spectrum coverage: G(+), G(-), myco- bacteria, fungi, and viruses	Inactive against spores; ototoxic and ocular toxicity risk (CHG). Supplied as single-use applicator.	48 h	Provides both short- and long- term effects More effective than povidone- iodine	
Chloroxylenol (PCMX)	Deactivates enzymes, alters cell walls	Intermediate	Fairly broad-spectrum: G(+) > G(-), mycobacteria, and viruses	Decreased efficacy in presence of organic materials.	Several hours; still not as long- lasting as CHG	• Ineffective against Pseudomonas unless combined with chelating agent like EDTA	
Hexachlorophene	Inactivates enzymes	Slow	Strong effect against G(+) cocci	Ineffective against G(-), fungi and myco- bacteria. Neurotoxic in infants; teratogen.	Modest	No longer in useHigh skin absorption	
lodine and lodophors (e.g., Povidone-iodine)	Oxidation leads to disruption of protein syn- thesis and cell membranes	Fast (Must wait for it to dry to be effective)	Very broad coverage: G(+), G(-), bacterial spores, mycobacteria, fungi, and viruses	Skin irritation and discoloration. Inactivated by blood and sputum. ACD/ ICD risk. Chronic maternal use → risk of neonatal hypothyroidism	Minimal, especially if wiped from skin	May cross-react with iodine in radiocontrast media and iodides in medi- cations Stains fabrics	
Quaternary ammonium com- pounds (e.g., Benzalkonium)	Induces leaks in cytoplasmic membranes	Slow	G (+) and lipophilic viruses	Ineffective against G(-), mycobacteria and fungi; inactivated by organic materials and cotton gauze. ACD risk > ICD	Good	Also found in cosmetics and ophthalmic solutions	
Triclosan	Alters cytoplas- mic membrane and synthesis of RNA, fatty acids, and pro- teins	Fast	Broad coverage: G (+), mycobacte- ria, and candida; not inactivated by organic material	Ineffective against G(-) and filamentous fungi	Good	• FDA banned use in certain OTC antiseptic products for use in health care setting	
Soap and water	Detergent; removes dirt, organic substanc- es; disinfects.	Very rapid	Highly effective against <i>C. Difficile</i> and Norwalk virus	Skin irritation	None	Most appropriate for soiled hands	



Stephanie
Saridakis, DO, is
a PGY-4 dermatology
resident at
OhioHealth Riverside
Methodist Hospital.



Morgan Amigo, MD, is a PGY-2 dermatology resident at OhioHealth Riverside Methodist Hospital.

Additional Considerations:

- Antiseptic solutions may become contaminated and support bacterial growth (e.g., Serratia colonizing chlorhexidine bottles) leading to infection
- Do not shave prior to procedures, it is best to use clippers and/or chemical depilatories right before procedure. However, lowest risk of infection when hair is left intact within the surgical field.
- Hand hygiene: alcohol or alcohol plus chlorhexidine reduces bacterial counts the most.

Antiseptics and sterilization methods

By Stephanie Saridakis, DO, and Morgan Amigo, MD

Sterilization methods:						
Method	Advantages	Disadvantages	Settings			
Steam autoclave	Most popular in office setting Easiest/safest	Corrosive → may dull sharp instruments	Specific settings required (20-30 min at 2atm pressure & 121°C)			
Chemiclave	Lower humidity than steam, therefore less damage to sharp instruments	PPE & ventilation, cannot be used in small spaces	Special chemical required (mix- ture of formaldehyde, methyl ethyl ketone, acetone, and alcohols)			
Dry heat (oven)	Inexpensive No risk to instruments	Cannot use cloth, paper, or plastic	High temperature, longer duration (1h at 171 °C; 6h at 121 °C)			
Gas sterilization	Mostly used in hospitals since better for large volumes Effective for heat and moisture-sensitive instruments	Expensive equipment Toxic and mutagenic gas	Longer durations (1 day for paper, 7 days for polyvinyl chlo- ride)			
Cold sterilization (glutaraldehyde or ortho-phthalal- dehyde solutions)	Simple and inexpensive Used for heat-sensitive equipment	ACD risk due to glutaraldehyde Not recommended as only method; instruments must be used immediately, cannot be wrapped Not always effective against bacterial spores (ortho-phthalaldehyde has greater sporicidal activity) or hepatitis B virus				

References:

- 1. Alikhan A, Hocker TL. Review of Dermatology. Elsevier; 2017.
- 2. Bolognia J, Jorizzo J, Schaffer I. Dermatology. Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2017.
- 3. Darouiche, Rabih O., et al. "Chlorhexidine–alcohol versus povidone–iodine for surgical-site antisepsis." New England Journal of Medicine 362.1 (2010): 18-26.
- 4. Hemani, Micah L., and Herbert Lepor. "Skin preparation for the prevention of surgical site infection: which agent is best?." Reviews in urology 11.4 (2009): 190.
- 5. Mariwalla, Kavita, and David J. Leffell. *Primer in Dermatologic Surgery: A Study Companion*. American Society for Dermatologic Surgery, 2011.
- 6. Robinson JK, Hanke CW, Siegal DM, Fratila A. Surgery of the Skin. Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2015. Wolverton, Stephen E., and Jashin J. Wu. Comprehensive dermatologic drug therapy. Elsevier Health Sciences, 2019.

Got Boards?



AAD welcomes new Boards Fodder chart ideas.

View the Boards Fodder guidelines for submission at www.aad.org/member/publications/more/dir.

Contact DermWorld Directions Editor Dean Monti at dmonti@aad.org.

More boards!



charts online! We recently posted an extended chart on Mohs micrographic surgery by Michael J. Visconti, DO, Emily R. Davis, DO, Brayden J. Healey, DO, and Kent J. Krach, MD, FAAD; plus a new chart on cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma staging, risk classification, and management by Hope Barone, DO. Check out the full archives at www.aad. org/boardsfodder.