

Bruce H. Thiers, MD, FAAD President Kenneth J. Tomecki, MD, FAAD President-elect Susan C. Taylor, MD, FAAD Vice President Neal Bhatia, MD, FAAD Vice President-elect Marta J. Van Beek, MD, MPH, FAAD Secretary-Treasurer Daniel D. Bennett, MD, FAAD Assistant Secretary-Treasurer Elizabeth K. Usher, MBA Executive Director & CEO

December 16, 2020

The Honorable Mitch McConnell Majority Leader U.S. Senate Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Charles Schumer Minority Leader U.S. Senate Washington, D.C. 20510 The Honorable Nancy Pelosi Speaker U. S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

The Honorable Kevin McCarthy Minority Leader U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Leader McConnell, Leader Schumer, Speaker Pelosi, and Leader McCarthy:

The American Academy of Dermatology Association (Academy), which represents more than 13,800 dermatologists nationwide, welcomes the opportunity to provide feedback on the recent bipartisan, bicameral agreement on surprise medical billing. We support efforts to hold patients harmless from the financial impact of unanticipated medical bills their insurance did not cover due to network inadequacy, while providing an opportunity for physicians and payers to resolve billing disputes through an Independent Dispute Resolution (IDR) process.

We appreciate the improvements made to the agreement over previous proposals, such as allowing physicians to enter an IDR process without a dollar-amount threshold and allowing for batching of claims. However, we have concerns that the current proposal places physician practices at a significant disadvantage to commercial health plans. Specifically, it permits the IDR entity to consider payment data from Medicare and Medicare (which often pay below the cost of providing care) but does not permit the consideration of billing charges or usual and customary charges. It also requires that physicians wait 90 days to seek fair compensation. This puts small dermatology practices at a significant disadvantage in that they have limited cash flow and lack the resources to utilize the IDR process to obtain fair compensation.

The agreement also requires out-of-network providers to include a list of in-network providers and information on medical care management (such as prior authorization) to the patient as part of the notice and consent agreement when the patient is seeking care from an out-of-network provider. If the dermatologist does not have a contractual relationship with said plan, it is unclear how the dermatologist would know the in-network options for the patient. In addition, out-of-network physicians would be held responsible through penalties for a plan's inaccurate network physician directory, including when they are listed in the directory but do not have a contractual relationship and are unaware that they are listed in the directory. Furthermore, there seems to be no disincentive for

CORRESPONDENCE PO Box 1968 Des Plaines, IL 60017-1968

EMAIL: mrc@aad.org WEB: aad.org ROSEMONT, IL OFFICE 9500 W Bryn Mawr Avenue, Suite 500 Rosemont, IL 60018-5216 MAIN: (847) 330-0230

MAIN: (847) 330-0230 FAX: (847) 240-1859 WASHINGTON, DC OFFICE 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 540 Washington, DC 20004-2401

MAIN: (202) 842-3555 FAX: (202) 842-4355 December 16, 2020 Page **2** of **2**

payers to limit their networks to very few providers since anyone outside of the network is at risk of being paid at the median rate. At a time when access to medical care is threatened by a shortage of healthcare providers, it would be a disservice to patients to establish a system where payers financially benefit from maintaining a very limited network of providers.

We are also concerned about the provisions related to providing a "good faith estimate" of cost to the patient. For example, it is not uncommon in the process of performing Mohs surgery for the dermatologist to not be able to predict the type of reconstruction needed or discover an unexpected diagnosis or issue that requires an additional procedure, which would then invalidate the "good faith estimate."

Physicians and other health care providers are currently working under unprecedented conditions in the midst of an historic global pandemic to meet patient needs, which has also threatened the financial stability and viability of many small practices. Physicians are also facing a two percent cut due to the expiration of the moratorium on the Medicare sequester at the end of the year, as well as additional cuts to services due to changes in the Medicare Physician Fee schedule impacting Evaluation and Management codes expected to go into effect on January 1, 2021.

We urge you to work with the House of Medicine and other stakeholders to address these and other issues to ensure a more balanced solution that protects patients and ensures a fair playing field between physicians and payers to resolve reimbursement disputes.

Sincerely,

frene of them

Bruce H. Thiers, MD, FAAD President, American Academy of Dermatology Association