



Eli Lilly & Co.

CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2026

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Read full terms of disclosure](#)

Contents

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

☒ English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

☒ USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

☒ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

Eli Lilly and Company (Lilly) is a global healthcare company committed, since our founding in 1876, to creating high-quality medicines that meet real needs. Our purpose is to unite caring with discovery to create medicines that make life better for people around the world. We discover, develop, manufacture, and market products and related services for human pharmaceuticals. We are headquartered in Indianapolis, Indiana, USA, and at the end of 2025, employed approximately 50,000 people worldwide. We manufacture and distribute our products through facilities in the United States (U.S.), including Puerto Rico, and in Europe and Asia. Our products are marketed in countries around the world. While Lilly's primary contribution to society is the discovery and development of innovative medicines to make life better for people around the world, our sustainability strategy, efforts and goals extend to how we operate our business, care for the environment and strengthen communities. We believe our core values of integrity, excellence and respect for people are key to promoting the long-term interests of our shareholders and other company stakeholders. As a global company committed to making life better for people, we acknowledge that climate change is an ever-present reality that is contributing to a reduction in human and environmental health. We recognize our role to seek to reduce our carbon footprint and manage climate-related risks and opportunities to support the transition to a low-carbon economy. We continue to evaluate how to improve our energy resiliency and expand our use of renewable electricity consistent with our goal to diversify our energy sources and decrease our GHG emissions over time. Caution: The disclosures in this questionnaire may differ in significant ways from our mandatory regulatory reporting, such as those under U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules. While information included in responses may be referred to as "significant" or "material," that does not necessarily mean (i) that it is significant or material to our financial results or our

operations, or (ii) that it meets standards of materiality or significance used for the purposes of complying with U.S. securities laws or laws in other jurisdictions. Lilly is reviewing the European Union's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive and ESRS framework (collectively, the CSRD) but does not yet report according to those standards. Any usage of similar terms within the questionnaire (such as "risks", "impacts", "opportunities", "material") should not be read as necessarily having the same meanings or meeting the requirements of the CSRD. This questionnaire also contains forward-looking statements (statements that do not relate solely to historical or current facts) that generally use words like "plan", "expect", "will", "may," or similar expressions. These statements reflect management's views at the time regarding climate and sustainability objectives, targets, plans, commitments, forecasts, programs, and other initiatives. There is no assurance that any such expectations, plans, forecasts, goals, targets or beliefs will occur or be achieved or that such targets, goals or commitments will be binding on our business decisions and/or management. In addition, actual results may differ materially due to various risks and uncertainties. Many risks and uncertainties are described in Lilly's Form 10-K for the year ended 12/31/2025 and other filings with the SEC. Except where required by law, Lilly does not commit to updating forward-looking statements to reflect new developments.

[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

(1.4.1) End date of reporting year

12/31/2025

(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ 4 years

(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ 4 years

(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ 3 years

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

65179000000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US5324571083

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

532457108

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

LLY

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

FRDRIPF3EKNDJ2CQJL29

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

006421325

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|---|
| ☒ China | ☒ Qatar |
| ☒ Egypt | ☒ Spain |
| ☒ India | ☒ Brazil |
| ☒ Italy | ☒ Canada |
| ☒ Japan | ☒ Cyprus |
| ☒ France | ☒ Norway |
| ☒ Greece | ☒ Poland |
| ☒ Israel | ☒ Serbia |
| ☒ Latvia | ☒ Sweden |
| ☒ Mexico | ☒ Austria |
| ☒ Belgium | ☒ Germany |
| ☒ Croatia | ☒ Hungary |
| ☒ Czechia | ☒ Ireland |
| ☒ Denmark | ☒ Lebanon |
| ☒ Finland | ☒ Romania |
| ☒ Türkiye | ☒ Pakistan |
| ☒ Ukraine | ☒ Portugal |
| ☒ Bulgaria | ☒ Slovakia |
| ☒ Colombia | ☒ Slovenia |
| ☒ Malaysia | ☒ Thailand |
| ☒ Viet Nam | ☒ Singapore |

- ☒ Argentina
- ☒ Australia
- ☒ Indonesia
- ☒ Lithuania
- ☒ Switzerland
- ☒ Saudi Arabia
- ☒ South Africa
- ☒ Taiwan, China
- ☒ United Kingdom

- ☒ Kazakhstan
- ☒ Netherlands
- ☒ Philippines
- ☒ Puerto Rico
- ☒ United Arab Emirates
- ☒ Bosnia and Herzegovina
- ☒ Korea (The Republic of)
- ☒ United States of America
- ☒ China, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ No, we do not have this data and have no plans to collect it	<i>None</i>

[Fixed row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

- ☒ Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Lilly has identified and mapped its Tier 1 supplier value chain across its operation. The value chain mapping process encompasses the lifecycle of Lilly's pharmaceutical products, starting from research and development (R&D) through to manufacturing, distribution, and patient delivery. Lilly's supply chain organization is responsible for the value chain mapping which includes identification of upstream activities such as raw material sourcing and active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) manufacturing. Downstream, it covers the logistics and distribution channels that deliver our products to healthcare providers and patients, as well as the end-of-life disposal of our products. By mapping its value chain, Lilly can identify key areas where climate-related risks and opportunities may exist, such as reducing emissions in manufacturing processes, optimizing supply chain logistics, and collaborating with suppliers.

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

1

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

We address short-term issues in our annual business plan. In the short-term, we address business objectives including dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities to improve business outcomes and performance including energy efficiency, GHG emissions, water stewardship and waste reduction and recycling.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

1

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

We track mid-term milestones related to water, waste and climate-related goals, including major project milestones and progress toward goals such as percentage of renewable electricity, GHG emissions, and capital expenditures for sustainability projects.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☒ No

(2.1.3) To (years)

10

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Our long-term strategy focuses on transitioning key energy sources used for our operations to renewable energy and implementing new technologies to support our transition to a low carbon economy. Our long-term goals include securing 100% of purchased electricity from renewable sources, to achieve Carbon Neutrality (combined scope 1 and scope 2 emissions) by 2030, and to enhance our tracking and reporting of full value-chain emissions (Scope 3). Additionally, we track long term goals related to water stress and waste management.

[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: ☒ Yes	Select from: ☒ Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: ☒ Yes	Select from: ☒ Both risks and opportunities	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

☒ Upstream value chain

☒ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☒ Partial

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- ☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- ☒ Not location specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

- ☒ Enterprise Risk Management

Other

- ☒ Internal company methods

(2.2.2.15) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- ☒ Drought
- ☒ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

Chronic physical

- ☒ Increased severity of extreme weather events
- ☒ Water availability at a basin/catchment level
- ☒ Water stress

Policy

- ☒ Changes to national legislation

Technology

- ☒ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

(2.2.2.16) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Customers
- ☒ Employees
- ☒ Investors
- ☒ Suppliers
- ☒ Regulators
- ☒ Local communities

(2.2.2.17) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(2.2.2.18) Further details of process

Lilly considers climate-related risks through enterprise risk management, business continuity planning, operational reviews and facility-level risk management processes. Considerations may include severe weather, flooding, drought, water availability, energy supply, regulatory developments and potential business interruption, as applicable.

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☒ Full

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☒ Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- ☒ A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- ☒ Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

- ☒ Enterprise Risk Management

Other

- ☒ External consultants
- ☒ Internal company methods
- ☒ Scenario analysis

(2.2.2.15) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- ☒ Drought
- ☒ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

Chronic physical

- ☒ Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- ☒ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
- ☒ Increased severity of extreme weather events
- ☒ Water availability at a basin/catchment level
- ☒ Water stress

Policy

- ☒ Changes to national legislation

Technology

- ☒ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

(2.2.2.16) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Customers
- ☒ Employees
- ☒ Investors
- ☒ Local communities
- ☒ Regulators

(2.2.2.17) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(2.2.2.18) Further details of process

Lilly considers climate-related risks through enterprise risk management, business continuity planning, operational reviews and facility-level risk management processes. Considerations may include severe weather, flooding, drought, water availability, energy supply, regulatory developments and potential business interruption, as applicable.

Row 3

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☒ Full

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- ☒ A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- ☒ Site-specific
- ☒ Local

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

- ☒ WRI Aqueduct
- ☒ WWF Water Risk Filter

Enterprise Risk Management

- ☒ Enterprise Risk Management

International methodologies and standards

- ☒ Alliance for Water Stewardship Standard
- ☒ Environmental Impact Assessment

Other

- ☒ Internal company methods

(2.2.2.15) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- ☒ Drought
- ☒ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

Chronic physical

- ☒ Water stress
- ☒ Groundwater depletion
- ☒ Declining water quality
- ☒ Water quality at a basin/catchment level
- ☒ Precipitation or hydrological variability
- ☒ Water availability at a basin/catchment level
- ☒ Seasonal supply variability/interannual variability

Liability

- ☒ Non-compliance with regulations and legislation

(2.2.2.16) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Customers
- ☒ Employees
- ☒ Suppliers
- ☒ Regulators
- ☒ Water utilities at a local level
- ☒ Other water users at the basin/catchment level

☒ Local communities

(2.2.2.17) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☒ No

(2.2.2.18) Further details of process

Lilly uses a recurring, site-specific process to identify and assess water-related dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities in direct operations. The process considers dependency on suitable freshwater and reliable local water infrastructure, together with potential impacts of withdrawals, consumption, wastewater discharges, stormwater and operations on shared water resources and surrounding communities. Assessments use facility information and recognized screening tools, including WRI Aqueduct and WWF Water Risk Filter, supplemented by site knowledge, environmental impact and compliance assessments, operational water data, regulatory requirements and local stakeholder engagement where relevant. Quantitative withdrawal, consumption, discharge, recycling and reuse data are considered alongside qualitative information on basin conditions, regulation, operational resilience, stakeholder concerns and supply reliability. Results prioritize locations, inform site water-management and water-stress plans, establish localized actions, and identify opportunities for efficiency, reclaimed-water use, cooling optimization, recycling, reuse and resilience.

Row 4

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Biodiversity

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☒ Full

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☒ As important matters arise

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☒ Medium-term

☒ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☒ A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- ☒ Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

- ☒ IBAT – Integrated Biodiversity Assessment Tool
- ☒ LEAP (Locate, Evaluate, Assess and Prepare) approach, TNFD
- ☒ WWF Biodiversity Risk Filter

Other

- ☒ External consultants
- ☒ Internal company methods

(2.2.2.15) Risk types and criteria considered

Chronic physical

- ☒ Change in land/freshwater/ocean use
- ☒ Declining ecosystem services
- ☒ Increased ecosystem vulnerability
- ☒ Operations in or adjacent to areas important for biodiversity

Policy

- ☒ Protected area designation

Reputation

- ☒ Increased concern and/or negative feedback from partners and stakeholders

(2.2.2.16) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Customers

- ☒ Employees
- ☒ Investors
- ☒ Local communities
- ☒ Regulators

(2.2.2.17) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(2.2.2.18) Further details of process

Lilly has undertaken a structured, site-specific screening assessment to improve understanding of biodiversity- and nature-related dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities across its direct operational footprint. The assessment applies emerging science-based nature frameworks, including relevant elements of the TNFD LEAP approach, and uses recognized biodiversity screening tools and datasets to examine site locations and ecological context, evaluate potential interactions with nature, and develop a consistent site-level view of relative biodiversity considerations. It considers potential dependencies on natural resources and ecosystem services and potential operational interactions with biodiversity and surrounding ecosystems. Results support site differentiation and prioritization, further analysis and engagement, continued development of Lilly's biodiversity strategy, and readiness for emerging reporting expectations. Because biodiversity is highly location-specific, findings are treated as screening and prioritization outputs and may be supplemented by more detailed site-level review where warranted. The assessment is refreshed as important matters arise; an annual recurring cycle has not been established.

[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

We have utilized the Taskforce for Nature-related Financial Disclosures (TNFD) LEAP approach to assess how they depend on and impact nature. LEAP stands for "Locate, Evaluate, Assess, and Prepare". Locate: Identify business activities and geographic areas with the highest impact on and dependence from nature. This involves overlaying business operations with spatial data on ecosystems and biomes. Evaluate: Understand the organization's dependencies and impacts on nature,

and the severity of both positive and negative impacts. Assess: Identify nature-related risks and opportunities. Prepare: Develop a plan for responding to and reporting on nature-related issues.
[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

☒ Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

☒ Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to water

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

Priority locations were identified based on water-stress analysis using the WRI Aqueduct tool. The tool provides information on water-related risks and assessment of exposure to water risk across multiple locations. Locations rated as high for water-stress have been prioritized.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

☒ No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it

[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Qualitative
- ☒ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

- ☒ Direct operating costs

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

- ☒ Absolute increase

(2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

1000000000

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- ☒ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Likelihood is rated on a scale ranging from rare or occur (<20% likelihood within the strategic planning timeframe) to almost certain to occur (>80% likely to occur within the strategic planning timeframe or has occurred in the past 12 months).

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☒ Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☒ Time horizon over which the effect occurs

☒ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Likelihood is rated on a scale ranging from rare or occur (<20% likelihood within the strategic planning timeframe) to almost certain to occur (>80% likely to occur within the strategic planning timeframe or has occurred in the past 12 months).

[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

☒ Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.2) Details of policies and processes in place to identify and classify potential water pollutants

1. We conduct an environmental development review (EDR) for products. An EDR is a systematic, detailed analysis of product development processes to identify, understand, evaluate, prioritize, and resolve complicated and subtle environmental issues of future manufacturing processes. The goal of environmental development reviews is to assess whether a process with an acceptable environmental profile for long-term manufacturing will be delivered to the manufacturing site and that the manufacturing site will be prepared to handle wastes generated by that process. These reviews enhance the company's overall understanding of environmental issues and provide general learning points to improve process development and product manufacturing. 2. Lilly has management and chemical tracking systems that screen for and track the use of hazardous substances in our product development and manufacturing processes. We have raw material and solvent selection guides that help us transition away from sourcing/using hazardous substances in our processes and products. MEASURES/INDICATORS: The EDR process has successfully influenced raw materials substitutions, optimized processes for process efficiency and influenced environmental control operational and capital spending [Fixed row]

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☒ Other synthetic organic compounds

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Uncontrolled releases of active pharmaceutical ingredients may cause water quality issues and negatively affect our company reputation.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

☒ Upstream value chain

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☒ Implementation of Best Available Techniques (BATs) for pollution control

- ☒ Improving wastewater treatment processes/capacity
- ☒ Reduction or phase out of hazardous substances
- ☒ Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes

(2.5.1.5) Indicators for measuring and evaluating the success of the procedure

Select all that apply

- ☒ Compliance with discharge permits / regulatory limits
- ☒ Reduction in pollutant concentration or load against a baseline
- ☒ Zero incidents (spills, exceedances, waterborne disease cases)

(2.5.1.6) Please explain

We are committed to understanding the potential effects of products in the environment as well as on humans. We have public goals for sites and active ingredient discharges. We support using science-based evaluations to assess and minimize the environmental risks of our products. We collaborate with partners, academia, and researchers. PROCEDURES: We have procedures to help develop safe levels for predicted, no-effect concentrations in the environment and company standards that require our sites to meet established discharge limits. Our internal notification procedures specify that senior management be notified when we have exceeded a limit or may have had a "near miss" event that could have caused an exceedance. MEASURES OF SUCCESS: We actively assessed emissions of active ingredients from our manufacturing facilities and require sites to report annually on their compliance with emissions limits. In 2025, 100% of Lilly manufacturing sites met pharmaceutical PNEC values established by the Lilly Aquatic Exposure Guideline (LAEG) program.

Row 2

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- ☒ Nitrates

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Excessive nutrients in surface waters can lead to undesirable eutrophication conditions (i.e., lack of dissolved oxygen, taste issues for drinking water). Increased resilience to future regulatory changes is considered strategic because our operations throughout the world are subject to various regulations. Conformance to these regulations is required to be able to maintain operations. We have proactively been developing future controls needed for discharges of nitrogen.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- ☒ Implementation of Best Available Techniques (BATs) for pollution control
- ☒ Reduction or phase out of hazardous substances
- ☒ Upgrading of process equipment/methods

(2.5.1.5) Indicators for measuring and evaluating the success of the procedure

Select all that apply

- ☒ Compliance with discharge permits / regulatory limits
- ☒ Reduction in pollutant concentration or load against a baseline

(2.5.1.6) Please explain

PROCEDURES: We conduct Environmental Development Reviews (EDRs) which are a systematic, detailed analysis of product development processes to identify, understand, evaluate, prioritize, and resolve complicated and subtle environmental issues of future manufacturing processes. The potential for nitrogen discharge for new products and processes is addressed in EDRs. We also have collaboration-information sharing across business units that provide opportunities for sharing best practices and lessons learned on how to optimize the nutrient needs for cell culturing to influence not having excess nitrogen discharges. MEASURES OF SUCCESS: The EDR process has successfully influenced raw materials substitutions and optimized processes to reduce nitrogen emissions.

[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☒ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

The assessment of climate related risks did not meet or exceed the requirements described in section 2 for having a substantive impact to our organization.

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:
☒ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

The assessment of water related risks did not meet or exceed the requirements described in section 2 for having a substantive impact to our organization.
[Fixed row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations
	Select from: ☒ No

[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:
☒ Yes

(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.

Select all that apply

☒ EU ETS

(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.

EU ETS

(3.5.2.1) % of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS

15.3

(3.5.2.2) Scope 2 emissions approach

Select from:

☒ No compliance obligation for scope 2 emissions

(3.5.2.3) % of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS

0

(3.5.2.4) Period start date

01/01/2025

(3.5.2.5) Period end date

12/31/2025

(3.5.2.6) Allowances allocated

10841

(3.5.2.7) Allowances purchased

22020

(3.5.2.8) Verified Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e

31495.47

(3.5.2.9) Verified Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e

0

(3.5.2.10) Details of ownership

Select from:

☒ Facilities we own and operate

(3.5.2.11) Comment

Kinsale + Limerick Manufacturing site in Ireland
[Fixed row]

(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?

Lilly's operations in Ireland are subject to the EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS). Our strategy is to maintain compliance with applicable EU ETS monitoring, reporting, verification and allowance requirements while continuing to improve energy efficiency and reduce greenhouse gas emissions from covered operations. Annual EU ETS emissions are monitored and reported in accordance with regulatory requirements and independently verified. Lilly manages emissions allowances to meet its compliance obligations and uses specialist third-party support for emissions trading strategy and compliance. In parallel, we continue to evaluate and implement energy-efficiency and lower-carbon opportunities to reduce emissions and manage longer-term exposure to carbon pricing associated with emissions trading systems.

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☒ No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

☒ Opportunities exist, but none anticipated to have a substantive effect on organization

(3.6.3) Please explain

The assessment of climate related opportunities did not meet or exceed the requirements described in section 2 for having a substantive impact to our organization.

Water

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☒ No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

☒ Opportunities exist, but none anticipated to have a substantive effect on organization

(3.6.3) Please explain

The assessment of water related opportunities did not meet or exceed the requirements described in section 2 for having a substantive impact to our organization.
[Fixed row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☒ More frequently than quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☒ Executive directors or equivalent

☒ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☒ Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

The board seeks director candidates who represent a mix of backgrounds and experiences that will enhance the quality of deliberations and decisions. Candidates are expected to have substantial experience with one or more publicly traded national or multinational companies or to have achieved a high level of distinction in their chosen field.

[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: ☒ Yes
Water	Select from: ☒ Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Board mandate

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy

☒ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy

☒ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The Directors and Corporate Governance Committee (DCGC) of the Board is responsible for identifying and bringing to the attention of the Board, as appropriate, current and emerging social, environmental, political and governance trends and public policy issues that may affect the business operations, performance or reputation of the company. The Board is engaged in strategic sustainability oversight, receiving regular updates (at least annually) on sustainability matters at Board meetings, reviewing the company's long-term environmental goals, business strategy and significant strategic investments.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board mandate

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- ☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- ☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
☒ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
☒ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The Directors and Corporate Governance Committee (DCGC) of the Board is responsible for identifying and bringing to the attention of the Board, as appropriate, current and emerging social, environmental, political and governance trends and public policy issues that may affect the business operations, performance or reputation of the company. The Board is engaged in strategic sustainability oversight, receiving regular updates (at least annually) on sustainability matters at Board meetings, reviewing the company's long-term environmental goals, business strategy and significant strategic investments.

Biodiversity

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Board mandate

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy

☒ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy

☒ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The Directors and Corporate Governance Committee (DCGC) of the Board is responsible for identifying and bringing to the attention of the Board, as appropriate, current and emerging social, environmental, political and governance trends and public policy issues that may affect the business operations, performance or reputation of the company. The Board is engaged in strategic sustainability oversight, receiving regular updates (at least annually) on sustainability matters at Board meetings, reviewing the company's long-term environmental goals, business strategy and significant strategic investments.

[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☒ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☒ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: ☒ Yes
Water	Select from:

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
	☒ Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ President

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

☒ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- ☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- ☒ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☒ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

Other

- ☒ Providing employee incentives related to environmental performance

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Executive Vice President and President of Manufacturing Operations, who is a member of the company's Executive Committee and reports directly to the CEO, is responsible for assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities. The President of Manufacturing chairs our Global Health, Safety and Environment Committee which meets quarterly and oversees performance related to compliance with environmental regulations, policies, procedures and standards globally, as well as assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities, assessing performance against our climate-related goals and driving improvement on environmental performance throughout the organization. The Global Health, Safety and Environment Committee membership also includes executives and senior leadership from business functions across the company to drive cross-functional alignment and action.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- ☒ President

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- ☒ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- ☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- ☒ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☒ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

Other

- ☒ Providing employee incentives related to environmental performance

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Executive Vice President and President of Manufacturing Operations, who is a member of the company's Executive Committee and reports directly to the CEO, is responsible for assessing and managing water-related risks and opportunities. The President of Manufacturing chairs our Global Health, Safety and Environment Committee which meets quarterly and oversees performance related to compliance with environmental regulations, policies, procedures and standards globally, as well as assessing and managing water-related risks and opportunities, assessing performance against our water-related goals and driving improvement on environmental performance throughout the organization. The Global Health, Safety and Environmental Committee membership also includes executives and senior leadership from business functions across the company to drive cross-functional alignment and action.

Biodiversity

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ President

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- ☒ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- ☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- ☒ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☒ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

Other

- ☒ Providing employee incentives related to environmental performance

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Executive Vice President and President of Manufacturing Operations, who is a member of the company's Executive Committee and reports directly to the CEO, is responsible for assessing and managing biodiversity-related risks and opportunities. The President of Manufacturing chairs our Global Health, Safety and Environment Committee which meets quarterly and oversees performance related to compliance with environmental regulations, policies, procedures and standards

globally, as well as assessing and managing biodiversity-related risks and opportunities, assessing performance against relevant goals and driving improvement on environmental performance throughout the organization. The Global Health, Safety and Environmental Committee membership also includes executives and senior leadership from business functions across the company to drive cross-functional alignment and action.

[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.5.3) Please explain

Improvement in certain environmental performance areas, including climate- and water-related issues (e.g., reducing greenhouse gas emissions, transitioning to renewable electricity, increasing supplier engagement on climate-related issues, improving energy efficiency, and enhancing water use efficiency and stewardship practices), are included in the performance expectations for the company's Chairman, President, and CEO, as well as relevant members of the executive team such as the Executive Vice President and President of Manufacturing. Performance against these goals and expectations is considered among other factors when evaluating overall executive performance and determining future compensation awards.

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.5.3) Please explain

Improvement in certain environmental performance areas, including climate- and water-related issues (e.g., reducing greenhouse gas emissions, transitioning to renewable electricity, increasing supplier engagement on climate-related issues, improving energy efficiency, and enhancing water use efficiency and stewardship

practices), are included in the performance expectations for the company's Chairman, President, and CEO, as well as relevant members of the executive team such as the Executive Vice President and President of Manufacturing. Performance against these goals and expectations is considered among other factors when evaluating overall executive performance and determining future compensation awards.

[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☒ President

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☒ Bonus - % of salary

☒ Salary increase

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☒ Progress towards environmental targets

☒ Achievement of environmental targets

Emission reduction

☒ Increased share of renewable energy in total energy consumption

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☒ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Improvement in certain environmental performance areas, including climate- and water-related issues (e.g., reducing greenhouse gas emissions, transitioning to renewable electricity, increasing supplier engagement on climate-related issues, improving energy efficiency, and enhancing water use efficiency and stewardship practices), are included in the performance expectations for the company's Chairman, President, and CEO, as well as relevant members of the executive team such as the Executive Vice President and President of Manufacturing. Performance against these goals and expectations is considered among other factors when evaluating overall executive performance and determining future compensation awards.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

By providing monetary incentives to key members of the executive team, it helps drive engagement and alignment on priorities. These priorities are typically cascaded from the top-down in the organization and can help provide support (prioritization, resources or budget) for the organization in implementing environmental commitments, climate transition activities and contribute to achieving environmental-related (climate, waste, water, etc) targets and goals. Monetary rewards and incentives provide motivation for them to proactively identify and implement innovative solutions, establish robust sustainability strategies, and exceed established goals. By aligning financial rewards with performance indicators related to environmental sustainability, we ensure a dedicated focus on achieving tangible and measurable outcomes.

Water

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☒ President

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☒ Bonus - % of salary

☒ Salary increase

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- ☒ Progress towards environmental targets
- ☒ Achievement of environmental targets

Emission reduction

- ☒ Increased share of renewable energy in total energy consumption

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- ☒ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Improvement in certain environmental performance areas, including climate- and water-related issues (e.g., reducing greenhouse gas emissions, transitioning to renewable electricity, increasing supplier engagement on climate-related issues, improving energy efficiency, and enhancing water use efficiency and stewardship practices), are included in the performance expectations for the company's Chairman, President, and CEO, as well as relevant members of the executive team such as the Executive Vice President and President of Manufacturing. Performance against these goals and expectations is considered among other factors when evaluating overall executive performance and determining future compensation awards.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

By providing monetary incentives to key members of the executive team, it helps drive engagement and alignment on priorities. These priorities are typically cascaded from the top-down in the organization and can help provide support (prioritization, resources or budget) for the organization in implementing environmental commitments, climate transition activities and contribute to achieving environmental-related (climate, waste, water, etc) targets and goals. Monetary rewards and incentives provide motivation for them to proactively identify and implement innovative solutions, establish robust sustainability strategies, and exceed established goals. By aligning financial rewards with performance indicators related to environmental sustainability, we ensure a dedicated focus on achieving tangible and measurable outcomes.

[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Water
- ☒ Biodiversity

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Our policy is applicable to all employees in all geographies and business areas.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☒ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

Climate-specific commitments

☒ Commitment to 100% renewable energy

Water-specific commitments

☒ Commitment to control/reduce/eliminate water pollution

Social commitments

☒ Commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights

Additional references/Descriptions

☒ Acknowledgement of the human right to water and sanitation

☒ Reference to timebound environmental milestones and targets

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, in line with Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☒ Publicly available

[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

☒ UN Global Compact

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

Our company has an ongoing commitment to support and advance the United Nations Global Compact's ten universally accepted principles in the areas of human rights, labor, environment, and anti-corruption, in addition to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Yes

☒ Yes, we engaged directly with policy makers

☒ Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

(4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

☒ Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

(4.11.4) Attach commitment or position statement

Lilly_2025_Sustainability_Highlights_Report_FINAL.pdf

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☒ Yes, my organization is registered

(4.11.6) Types of transparency register your organization is registered on

Select all that apply

☒ Mandatory government register

(4.11.7) Disclose the transparency registers on which your organization is registered & the relevant ID numbers for your organization

European Transparency Register, ID number: 04657143399-39

[Fixed row]

(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?

Row 1

(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

General Pharmaceutical Legislation

(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Environmental protection and management procedures

☒ Environmental protection requirements

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☒ Regional

(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

☒ Europe

(4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- ☒ Support with minor exceptions

(4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

Issue- and legislation-specific exceptions may exist.

(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

- ☒ Ad-hoc meetings
- ☒ Submitting written proposals/inquiries

(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

We continue to strive to meet environmental objectives without hindering our objectives to improve human health through production of medicines that make lives better for people around the world. This has informed our engagement as we strive to balance these priorities. The measurement of success is if we are able to minimize environmental risks while speeding patient access to medicines.

(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- ☒ Paris Agreement
- ☒ Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

[Add row]

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

☒ Other trade association in Europe, please specify :European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations (EFPIA)

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☒ Consistent

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

Lilly is a member of the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations (EFPIA). Lilly's engagement with EFPIA on environmental matters, including climate change and water, is consistent with Lilly's position on these issues.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

☒ Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

[Add row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

☒ First time carrying out analysis

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

☒ First time carrying out analysis

[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☒ RCP 2.6

(5.1.1.2) SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☒ SSP1

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☒ 1.6°C - 1.9°C

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2020

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☒ 2030

☒ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

☒ Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Stakeholder and customer demands

☒ Impact of nature footprint on reputation

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☒ Global regulation

Relevant technology and science

☒ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)

Direct interaction with climate

☒ On asset values, on the corporate

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Assumptions: Physical risk was assessed using the SSP1-2.6 low-emissions pathway (approximately 1.6°C warming by 2100), drawing on internal facility data and external hazard datasets. Uncertainties: Regional climate model variation, and the frequency, severity and timing of extreme weather events, are uncertain. Constraints: High-resolution, site-specific hazard data is limited globally; compound and cascading impacts are not fully represented; financial quantification is directional only.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Lilly selected the IPCC SSP1-2.6 pathway, a widely used publicly available scenario, to assess acute and chronic physical climate risks to operations and supply chains

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Water scenarios

☒ WRI Aqueduct

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2020

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☒ 2030

☒ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☒ Number of ecosystems impacted

☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Stakeholder and customer demands

☒ Impact of nature footprint on reputation

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☒ Global regulation

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

We evaluate statistical long-term low flow data for rivers and streams that either directly or indirectly receive wastewater from one active ingredient and drug product manufacturing sites. This helps protect water quality under more extreme drought conditions. We also apply mixing zone restrictions for specific substances that further protect water quality. This also helps to protect biodiversity.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

These scenarios and tools help us understand and respond to water risks – such as water stress, variability from season-to-season, pollution, and water access.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☒ RCP 4.5

(5.1.1.2) SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☒ SSP2

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☒ 2.5°C - 2.9°C

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☒ 2030

☒ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

☒ Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Stakeholder and customer demands

☒ Impact of nature footprint on reputation

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☒ Global regulation

Relevant technology and science

☒ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)

Direct interaction with climate

☒ On asset values, on the corporate

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Assumptions: Physical risk was assessed using the SSP2-4.5 intermediate-emissions pathway (approximately 2.7°C warming by 2100), drawing on internal facility data and external hazard datasets. Uncertainties: Regional climate model variation, and the frequency, severity and timing of extreme weather events, are uncertain. Constraints: High-resolution, site-specific hazard data is limited globally; compound and cascading impacts are not fully represented; financial quantification is directional only.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Lilly selected the IPCC SSP1-4.5 pathway, a widely used publicly available scenario, to assess acute and chronic physical climate risks to operations and supply chains

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☒ RCP 8.5

(5.1.1.2) SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☒ SSP5

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- ☒ 4.0°C and above

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2020

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ 2030
- ☒ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- ☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

- ☒ Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Stakeholder and customer demands

- ☒ Impact of nature footprint on reputation

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- ☒ Global regulation

Relevant technology and science

- ☒ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)

Direct interaction with climate

- ☒ On asset values, on the corporate

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Assumptions: Physical risk was assessed using the SSP5-8.5 high-emissions pathway (approximately 4.4°C warming by 2100), drawing on internal facility data and external hazard datasets. Uncertainties: Regional climate model variation, and the frequency, severity and timing of extreme weather events, are uncertain. Constraints: High-resolution, site-specific hazard data is limited globally; compound and cascading impacts are not fully represented; financial quantification is directional only.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Lilly selected the IPCC SSP1-8.6 pathway, a widely used publicly available scenario, to assess acute and chronic physical climate risks to operations and supply chains

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☒ IEA NZE 2050

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- ☒ Policy
- ☒ Market
- ☒ Reputation
- ☒ Technology
- ☒ Liability

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- ☒ 1.5°C or lower

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2020

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ 2030
- ☒ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- ☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

- ☒ Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Stakeholder and customer demands

- ☒ Impact of nature service delivery on consumer

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☒ Global regulation

Relevant technology and science

☒ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)

Direct interaction with climate

☒ On asset values, on the corporate

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Assumptions: Transition considerations were assessed using the IEA Net Zero Emissions by 2050 scenario, covering carbon pricing, energy system shifts and changing market demand. Uncertainties: Policy adoption, technology scaling and market responses may differ from scenario assumptions. Constraints: The analysis does not capture all country-specific policies, relies on sector-level rather than site-level assumptions, and is bounded by published IEA scenarios.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Lilly selected the IEA Net Zero Emissions by 2050 scenario, a widely used publicly available benchmark, to consider transition-related matters such as policy, carbon pricing, technology and market developments
[Add row]

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

☒ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the influence of the scenario analysis on the selected business processes

Lilly has conducted a climate scenario analysis, covering physical and transition considerations across multiple scenarios. The analysis supports climate-related risk and opportunity identification and assessment.

Water

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

☒ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the influence of the scenario analysis on the selected business processes

Outcomes of our analysis led to establishing and implementing water management plans for Lilly sites in water-stressed areas, as well as efforts to prevent our internal and external manufacturing operations from adversely impacting the waterways as a result of discharges of pharmaceuticals.

[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

	Transition plan	Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world	Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world
	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Other, please specify	<i>Lilly continues to evaluate its approach to climate transition planning. No climate transition plan has been formally adopted at this time.</i>

[Fixed row]

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition
	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ No, but we plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

5

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

5

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

5

(5.9.5) Please explain

The CAPEX value is estimated based on the annual amount of corporate capital projects tracked for water related investments. It does not reflect costs for licensing or permitting. Water-related CAPEX has increased year-over-year as we invest in new facilities, which includes water-related infrastructure and equipment, and we anticipate CAPEX will increase going forward as these multi-year projects continue. The OPEX value was estimated based on the annual water intake and wastewater discharge volumes and expenses. Water-related OPEX has increased year-over-year as we have increased production rates and brought new facilities online. For future OPEX, we are expecting continued increase as additional new facilities are brought online to support production growth. CAPEX and OPEX changes indicated represent estimates based on production and footprint growth. These are indicative estimates only.

[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

Carbon

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing for this environmental externality

Select from:

☒ Yes

Water

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing for this environmental externality

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

(5.10.2) Primary reason for not pricing this environmental externality

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.10.3) Explain why your organization does not price this environmental externality

Lilly does not currently apply an internal price to water. Water-related risks and opportunities are managed through our water stewardship approach, including assessment of water stress and development of water management plans for sites in water-stressed areas. Given these existing risk management and stewardship processes, implementing an internal water price is not currently an immediate strategic priority.

Other

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing for this environmental externality

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.10.1) Provide details of your organization's internal price on carbon.

Row 1

(5.10.1.1) Type of pricing scheme

Select from:

☒ Shadow price

(5.10.1.2) Objectives for implementing internal price

Select all that apply

- ☒ Drive low-carbon investment
- ☒ Incentivize consideration of climate-related issues in decision making

(5.10.1.3) Factors considered when determining the price

Select all that apply

- ☒ Benchmarking against peers
- ☒ Price/cost of renewable energy procurement
- ☒ Price/cost of voluntary carbon offset credits

(5.10.1.4) Calculation methodology and assumptions made in determining the price

Lilly uses an internal shadow carbon price on a limited basis as a supplementary analytical tool for selected environmental improvement projects. For the supplementary analysis, the shadow carbon price currently applied is \$25 per metric tonne of CO₂e and held constant across geographies and over time. The shadow price is not used in Lilly's financial statements, including impairment testing, and no carbon price used for internal decision-making has been applied in those areas.

(5.10.1.5) Scopes covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 1
- ☒ Scope 2

(5.10.1.6) Pricing approach used – spatial variance

Select from:

- ☒ Uniform

(5.10.1.8) Pricing approach used – temporal variance

Select from:

- ☒ Static

(5.10.1.10) Minimum actual price used (currency per metric ton CO2e)

25

(5.10.1.11) Maximum actual price used (currency per metric ton CO2e)

25

(5.10.1.12) Business decision-making processes the internal price is applied to

Select all that apply

☒ Capital expenditure

(5.10.1.13) Internal price is mandatory within business decision-making processes

Select from:

☒ No

(5.10.1.14) % total emissions in the reporting year in selected scopes this internal price covers

0

(5.10.1.15) Pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve objectives

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.10.1.16) Details of how the pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve your objectives

We monitor market pricing for renewable energy credits, carbon offset pricing, and industry standard pricing approach taken by peers and other benchmarking where available. We also evaluate if application of the shadow price influenced the project viability or investment decision.

[Add row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

Suppliers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

Customers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.11.3) Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.11.4) Explain why you do not engage with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Direct customer engagement on environmental sustainability topics is not a current strategic priority.

Investors and shareholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

Other value chain stakeholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.11.3) Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.11.4) Explain why you do not engage with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Other stakeholders engagements are ad-hoc.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☒ Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Of our Tier 1 suppliers, contract manufacturers / suppliers of active pharmaceutical ingredients and drug product are considered to be the most relevant to climate-related risks or impacts.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ None

Water

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

- ☒ Impact on water availability
- ☒ Impact on pollution levels

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

- ☒ 1-25%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Of our Tier 1 suppliers, contract manufacturers / suppliers of active pharmaceutical ingredients and drug product are considered to be the most relevant to water security or water pollution related risks or impacts.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- ☒ None

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Procurement spend
- ☒ Strategic status of suppliers

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

For climate, we focus on the suppliers representing higher proportions of our business relative to procurement spend, and suppliers that are of highest strategic impact. This is done because spend is typically correlated to scope 3 emissions, and suppliers of more strategic importance are typically more willing to work with us to achieve common goals and implement enhancements to their climate-related efforts.

Water

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Business risk mitigation
- ☒ Reputation management

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

For water-related issues, we focus on the suppliers representing higher risk to business continuity and reputation. This is done because water-related dependencies are linked to potential impacts to ensuring continuity of supply of medicines, and because of the importance of responsibly managing water discharges such as preventing potentially harmful discharges of active pharmaceutical ingredients.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to introduce environmental requirements related to this environmental issue within the next two years

(5.11.5.3) Comment

We have communicated climate-related expectations to suppliers, but these are not used as a requirement to do business with that supplier.

Water

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☒ Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Suppliers are required to comply with discharge permit limits and have controls in place to minimize risk of potentially harmful discharges of active pharmaceutical ingredients to wastewater.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Water

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ Setting and monitoring water pollution-related targets

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- ☒ First-party verification

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ 1-25%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ 100%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

- ☒ None

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

- ☒ Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics
- ☒ Developing quantifiable, time-bound targets and milestones to bring suppliers back into compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

We assess the pharmaceuticals in our medicines for potential environmental impacts before introducing a medicine to market. To do this we use environmental risk assessment procedures that are aligned with several global regulatory agencies. We also assess the environmental risk posed by manufacturing of our medicines as part of our internal Lilly Aquatic Exposure Guideline (LAEG) program. The results drive appropriate treatment and containment strategies at our manufacturing sites to protect aquatic species in downstream surface waters and the communities and wildlife using these waters. The LAEG program has been in place for more than three decades at Lilly facilities, and we are now fully implementing LAEG assessments at contract manufacturers across our supply chain. Lilly has committed to compliance with LAEG requirements at our manufacturing facilities and to ensure controls are in place at our contract manufacturers to prevent harmful discharge of our active pharmaceutical ingredients.

[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- ☒ Emissions reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

- ☒ Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers
- ☒ Collect targets information at least annually from suppliers

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☒ 51-75%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Engaging suppliers is an important element of Lilly's decarbonization strategy, recognizing that meaningful Scope 3 reductions require collaboration across the value chain. We have categorized suppliers based on ease of decarbonization, relative contribution to our Scope 3 emissions, and maturity of the supplier's decarbonization efforts and launched a phased Supplier Engagement Program. In Phase 1, we began collecting supplier emissions data (via CDP Supply Chain and desktop research) and developed a Scope 3 emissions baseline. Phase 2 focuses on active engagement—working with our most relevant suppliers to identify potential carbon reduction opportunities and share best practices for energy and emissions reductions. Phase 3 is anticipated to include potentially establishing more specific climate-related targets or expectations for suppliers within our value-chain and monitoring progress. While we are in the early stages, our intent is to support key suppliers in moving toward lower-carbon pathways and driving shared climate impact over time.

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☒ Yes

Water

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☒ No other supplier engagement

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Engagement is focused on preventing harmful discharges to waterways.

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☒ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ 26-50%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Investors and shareholders represent a substantial population of stakeholders in the company. Engagement can help us understand various perspectives, build trust, and provide early signals to potential evolving expectations.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

We regularly meet with investors and potential investors to discuss our sustainability strategy, goals and progress, including those related to climate change. In these discussions, we obtain feedback on our plans and how our plans compare with other companies in our industry which helps us assess if we are successfully meeting investor/shareholder expectations. We use this feedback to inform our prospective actions in the near and long-term.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☒ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ 26-50%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Investors and shareholders represent a substantial population of stakeholders in the company. Engagement can help us understand various perspectives, build trust, and provide early signals to potential evolving expectations.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

We regularly meet with investors and potential investors to discuss our sustainability strategy, goals and progress, including those related to water security. In these discussions, we obtain feedback on our plans and how our plans compare with other companies in our industry which helps us assess if we are successfully meeting investor/shareholder expectations. We use this feedback to inform our prospective actions in the near and long-term.

[Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

	Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement	Primary reason for not implementing environmental initiatives
	Select from: ☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years	Select from: ☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

[Fixed row]

C6. Environmental performance - Consolidation approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☒ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We apply the operational control approach for consolidating environmental performance data across climate, water, waste, plastics, and biodiversity. This means we include all facilities and operations over which we have full authority to introduce and implement operating policies, health and safety measures, and environmental management practices. We chose this approach because it best reflects our ability to manage and influence the environmental aspects of our operations — including greenhouse gas emissions, water withdrawals, waste generation, and other material impacts. Facilities not under our operational control are excluded from our reported figures. This approach aligns with our internal governance and accountability structures and is consistently applied across all environmental indicators.

Water

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☒ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We apply the operational control approach for consolidating environmental performance data across climate, water, waste, plastics, and biodiversity. This means we include all facilities and operations over which we have full authority to introduce and implement operating policies, health and safety measures, and environmental management practices. We chose this approach because it best reflects our ability to manage and influence the environmental aspects of our operations — including greenhouse gas emissions, water withdrawals, waste generation, and other material impacts. Facilities not under our operational control are excluded from our reported figures. This approach aligns with our internal governance and accountability structures and is consistently applied across all environmental indicators.

Biodiversity

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☒ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We apply the operational control approach for consolidating environmental performance data across climate, water, waste, plastics, and biodiversity. This means we include all facilities and operations over which we have full authority to introduce and implement operating policies, health and safety measures, and environmental management practices. We chose this approach because it best reflects our ability to manage and influence the environmental aspects of our operations — including greenhouse gas emissions, water withdrawals, waste generation, and other material impacts. Facilities not under our operational control are excluded from our reported figures. This approach aligns with our internal governance and accountability structures and is consistently applied across all environmental indicators.
[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

(7.1.1.1) Has there been a structural change?

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, an acquisition

(7.1.1.2) Name of organization(s) acquired, divested from, or merged with

- SiteOne Therapeutics acquisition - Scorpion Therapeutics acquisition - Morphic Holding acquisition

(7.1.1.3) Details of structural change(s), including completion dates

During 2025, Lilly completed acquisitions that resulted in structural changes to the organizational boundary. Acquired operations meeting Lilly's operational control criteria were included within the GHG reporting boundary for the remainder of the year following acquisition. Lilly also removed divested operations from the reporting boundary following divestiture. No acquisitions or divestitures during 2025 were material to the GHG inventory or met Lilly's threshold for base-year recalculation.

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?	Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)
	Select all that apply ☒ Yes, a change in methodology	<i>Minor methodology adjustments such as updates to emissions factors, global warming potentials and refinements to estimation methodologies.</i>

[Fixed row]

(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

(7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation

Select from:

☒ No, because the impact does not meet our significance threshold

(7.1.3.4) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold

Lilly follows GHGP guidance in making base year adjustments. Base year energy usage, area and/or GHG emissions will be adjusted for significant mergers, acquisitions and divestitures that occur during the goal period. If the acquisition is of significant change to Lilly's environmental performance, accounting for more than 5% change to either its emissions, water or waste footprint (priority being on the emissions footprint), the site's base-year emissions will be added to Lilly's baseline/base year quantity and a restatement will be issued during the next routine reporting cycle in any relevant internal and public disclosures. If it accounts for less than 5% change, no adjustments to the baseline/base year will be made. If the facility did not exist in the base year, no adjustment to Lilly's baseline/base year will be made.

(7.1.3.5) Past years' recalculation

Select from:

☒ No

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based	Comment
	Select from: ☒ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	Select from: ☒ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure	Lilly calculates and reports both location-based and market-based Scope 2 figures in accordance with existing GHG Protocol corporate standards.

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are excluded from your disclosure of climate-related data?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

192075.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Methodology: The 'average-data method' is used. Lilly activity data are multiplied with secondary emission factors for Scope 1 emissions. Activity data: Fuel, refrigerant, wastewater and combustion data sourced from Lilly reports are primary data and are considered to be of good quality. Emission factors: Emission factors were extracted from the Greenhouse Gas Protocol GHG Emissions Calculation Tool and are considered to be of good quality.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

596000.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Methodology: The 'average-data method' is used. Lilly activity data are multiplied with secondary emission factors for Scope 2 emissions. Activity data: Energy consumption data sourced from Lilly reports are primary data and are considered to be of good quality. Emission Factors: Emission factors were extracted from the Greenhouse Gas Protocol GHG Emissions Calculation Tool and are considered to be of good quality.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

466000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Methodology: The 'average-data method' is used. Lilly activity data are multiplied with secondary emission factors for Scope 2 emissions. Activity data: Energy consumption data sourced from Lilly reports are primary data and are considered to be of good quality. Emission Factors: Emission factors were extracted from the Greenhouse Gas Protocol GHG Emissions Calculation Tool and are considered to be of good quality.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2434210.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

For suppliers whose services are categorized as 'purchased goods and services', a composite approach was applied. Activity data for which mass and material information are available are multiplied with material emission factors to calculate GHG emissions. Cardboard packaging was assumed based on 10% of the product mass. Activity data for which mass and material information are unavailable are multiplied with EEIO data to calculate GHG emissions. Activity data: Spend and quantity data sourced from Lilly procurement reports are primary data and are considered to be of good quality. Product specification information was sourced based on the specific product in question and is considered to be of good quality. Emission factors: Material and process emission factors are sourced from a reputable secondary database (ecoinvent) are considered to be of good quality. USEEIO (2020) factors are updated to take inflation into account. They are sourced from the most recent and most comprehensive EEIO database and are considered to be of good quality.

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Category 2 is combined with Category 1 as line items cannot be distinguished. For those suppliers whose services are categorized as 'capital goods', the spend based method is used. Lilly spend data are multiplied with EEIO data to calculate absolute emissions from all capital goods. Activity data: Spend and quantity data sourced from Lilly procurement reports are primary data and are considered to be of good quality. Emission factors: USEEIO (2020) factors are updated to take inflation into account. They are sourced from the most recent and most comprehensive EEIO database and are considered to be of good quality.

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

116387.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The 'average-data method' is used. Lilly consumption data (eg kWh) are multiplied with secondary emission factors for well-to-tank upstream emissions. Emission factors: IEA and DEFRA emission factors are used. The factors include well-to-tank emissions. They are sourced from the most recent and most comprehensive IEA and DEFRA databases and are considered to be of good quality.

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

141255.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The 'average-data method' is used. Lilly transportation data, including transport mode, origin, destination and shipped weight are multiplied with secondary emission factors for transport emissions. Emission factors: DEFRA emission factors are used. They are sourced from the most recent and most comprehensive DEFRA database and are considered to be of good quality.

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18387.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The 'average-data method' is used. Lilly waste treatment data are multiplied with secondary emission factors for waste disposal emissions. Emission factors: DEFRA and ecoinvent emission factors are used. They are sourced from the most recent and most comprehensive ecoinvent and DEFRA databases and are considered to be of good quality.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8581.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions are calculated using the 'average-data' method, using distances travelled. Incorporated under this category are air travel, road travel (cars not company owned) and rail travel. Emission factors: Transport emission factors are sourced from a reputable secondary database (ecoinvent) are considered to be of good quality.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

25108.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The 'average-data method' is used. Lilly full time equivalent commuting employee numbers are combined with commuting data and secondary emission factors for commuting by transport mode. Emission factors: Transport emission factors are sourced from a reputable secondary database (ecoinvent) are considered to be of good quality.

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Excluded as emissions from leased assets are included within Scope 1 and 2 reporting.

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

173777.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions are calculated using the 'average-data' method', tonnages of sold product. Lilly sold product tonnages are multiplied with secondary emission factors for downstream transport and storage emissions. Emission factors: DEFRA emission factors are used for transportation. They are sourced from the most recent and most comprehensive DEFRA database and are considered to be of good quality. Electricity emission factors are sourced from a reputable secondary database (ecoinvent) are considered to be of good quality.

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Excluded as there is no further processing of Lilly's sold products.

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Excluded as there are no impacts associated with the use of the products.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

69606.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The 'average-data method' is used and all waste assumed to be sent for hazardous incineration. Emission factors: Waste treatment emission factors are sourced from a reputable secondary database (ecoinvent) are considered to be of good quality.

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Excluded as emissions from leased assets are included within Scope 1 and 2 reporting.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Lilly does not have any franchises.

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This was not calculated for base year but has been calculated from 2021 onwards

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A

[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

206000

(7.6.3) Methodological details

All Scope 1 and 2 emissions are reported as CO₂ equivalents (CO₂e). To calculate these values accurately, CH₄ (methane) and N₂O (nitrous oxide) emissions are estimated separately from CO₂ using source-appropriate emission factors (EFs), and then converted to CO₂e using global warming potentials (GWPs) from the IPCC's Fifth Assessment Report (AR5). The formula applied is: $CO_2e = CO_2 + (CH_4 \times GWP_{CH_4}) + (N_2O \times GWP_{N_2O})$ GWP values used: CH₄ = 28, N₂O = 265 (based on AR5, 100-year time horizon). Emission Factors (EFs) and Units: EFs are updated annually in line with published reference sources such as U.S. EPA, DEFRA, IEA, or country-specific datasets. CO₂ emissions are calculated in kg per unit (e.g., kg CO₂ per MMBtu or kWh). CH₄ and N₂O emissions are initially calculated in grams per unit, then converted to kilograms before applying GWP values. All final emissions are reported in metric tonnes CO₂e. Source-Specific Calculation Methods: Stationary Combustion: Based on fuel volume or energy use (e.g., MMBtu), using combustion-specific EFs. Mobile Combustion: Preferred methodology is fuel-based (volume consumed), considering fuel type, vehicle class, and engine technology. Process Emissions: Calculated from material throughput, production metrics, or proprietary process data, using activity-specific EFs. Fugitive Emissions: Based on refrigerant charge size and leak rates or site-reported data; where unavailable, modeling based on building use and climate zone is applied. Purchased Electricity (Scope 2): - Location-based emissions: Grid-average EFs by country/region. - Market-based emissions: Calculated from utility-provided emission factors or supplier-specific residual mix data, where available. This methodological framework is outlined in detail in Eli Lilly's GHG Inventory Management Plan

Past year 1

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

192000

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2024

(7.6.3) Methodological details

All Scope 1 and 2 emissions are reported as CO₂ equivalents (CO₂e). To calculate these values accurately, CH₄ (methane) and N₂O (nitrous oxide) emissions are estimated separately from CO₂ using source-appropriate emission factors (EFs), and then converted to CO₂e using global warming potentials (GWPs) from the IPCC's Fifth Assessment Report (AR5). The formula applied is: $CO_2e = CO_2 + (CH_4 \times GWP_{CH_4}) + (N_2O \times GWP_{N_2O})$ GWP values used: CH₄ = 28, N₂O = 265 (based on AR5, 100-year time horizon). Emission Factors (EFs) and Units: EFs are updated annually in line with published reference sources such as U.S. EPA, DEFRA, IEA, or country-specific datasets. CO₂ emissions are calculated in kg per unit (e.g., kg CO₂ per MMBtu or kWh). CH₄ and N₂O emissions are initially calculated in grams per unit, then converted to kilograms before applying GWP values. All final emissions are reported in metric tonnes CO₂e. Source-Specific Calculation Methods: Stationary Combustion: Based on fuel volume or energy use (e.g., MMBtu), using combustion-specific EFs. Mobile Combustion: Preferred methodology is fuel-based (volume consumed), considering fuel type, vehicle class, and engine technology. Process Emissions: Calculated from material throughput, production metrics, or proprietary process data, using activity-specific EFs. Fugitive Emissions: Based on refrigerant charge size and leak rates or site-reported data; where unavailable, modeling based on building use and climate zone is applied. Purchased Electricity (Scope 2): - Location-based emissions: Grid-average EFs by country/region. - Market-based emissions: Calculated from utility-provided emission factors or supplier-specific residual mix data, where available. This methodological framework is outlined in detail in Eli Lilly's GHG Inventory Management Plan

Past year 2

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

182000

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2023

(7.6.3) Methodological details

All Scope 1 and 2 emissions are reported as CO₂ equivalents (CO₂e). To calculate these values accurately, CH₄ (methane) and N₂O (nitrous oxide) emissions are estimated separately from CO₂ using source-appropriate emission factors (EFs), and then converted to CO₂e using global warming potentials (GWPs) from the IPCC's Fifth Assessment Report (AR5). The formula applied is: $CO_2e = CO_2 + (CH_4 \times GWP_{CH_4}) + (N_2O \times GWP_{N_2O})$ GWP values used: CH₄ = 28, N₂O = 265 (based on AR5, 100-year time horizon). Emission Factors (EFs) and Units: EFs are updated annually in line with published reference sources such as U.S. EPA, DEFRA, IEA, or country-specific datasets. CO₂ emissions are calculated in kg per unit (e.g., kg CO₂ per MMBtu or kWh). CH₄ and N₂O emissions are initially calculated in grams per unit, then converted to kilograms before applying GWP values. All final emissions are reported in metric tonnes CO₂e. Source-Specific Calculation Methods: Stationary Combustion: Based on fuel volume or energy use (e.g., MMBtu), using combustion-specific EFs. Mobile Combustion: Preferred methodology is fuel-based (volume consumed), considering fuel type, vehicle class, and engine technology. Process Emissions: Calculated from material throughput, production metrics, or proprietary process data, using activity-specific EFs. Fugitive Emissions: Based on refrigerant charge size and leak rates or site-reported data; where unavailable, modeling based on building use and climate zone is applied. Purchased Electricity (Scope 2): - Location-based emissions: Grid-average EFs by country/region. - Market-based emissions: Calculated from utility-provided emission factors or supplier-specific residual mix data, where available. This methodological framework is outlined in detail in Eli Lilly's GHG Inventory Management Plan

Past year 3

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

171000

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2022

(7.6.3) Methodological details

All Scope 1 and 2 emissions are reported as CO₂ equivalents (CO₂e). To calculate these values accurately, CH₄ (methane) and N₂O (nitrous oxide) emissions are estimated separately from CO₂ using source-appropriate emission factors (EFs), and then converted to CO₂e using global warming potentials (GWPs) from the IPCC's Fifth Assessment Report (AR5). The formula applied is: $CO_2e = CO_2 + (CH_4 \times GWP_{CH_4}) + (N_2O \times GWP_{N_2O})$ GWP values used: CH₄ = 28, N₂O = 265 (based on AR5, 100-year time horizon). Emission Factors (EFs) and Units: EFs are updated annually in line with published reference sources such as U.S. EPA, DEFRA, IEA, or country-specific datasets. CO₂ emissions are calculated in kg per unit (e.g., kg CO₂ per MMBtu or kWh). CH₄ and N₂O emissions are initially calculated in grams per unit, then converted to kilograms before applying GWP values. All final emissions are reported in metric tonnes CO₂e. Source-Specific Calculation Methods: Stationary Combustion: Based on fuel volume or energy use (e.g., MMBtu), using combustion-specific EFs. Mobile Combustion: Preferred methodology is fuel-based (volume consumed), considering fuel type, vehicle class, and engine technology. Process Emissions: Calculated from material throughput, production metrics, or proprietary process data, using activity-specific EFs. Fugitive Emissions: Based on refrigerant charge size and leak rates or site-reported data; where unavailable, modeling based on building use and climate zone is applied. Purchased Electricity (Scope 2): - Location-based emissions: Grid-average EFs by country/region. - Market-based emissions: Calculated from utility-provided emission factors or supplier-specific residual mix data, where available. This methodological framework is outlined in detail in Eli Lilly's GHG Inventory Management Plan

Past year 4

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

157000

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2021

(7.6.3) Methodological details

All Scope 1 and 2 emissions are reported as CO₂ equivalents (CO₂e). To calculate these values accurately, CH₄ (methane) and N₂O (nitrous oxide) emissions are estimated separately from CO₂ using source-appropriate emission factors (EFs), and then converted to CO₂e using global warming potentials (GWPs) from the IPCC's Fifth Assessment Report (AR5). The formula applied is: $CO_2e = CO_2 + (CH_4 \times GWP_{CH_4}) + (N_2O \times GWP_{N_2O})$ GWP values used: CH₄ = 28, N₂O = 265 (based on AR5, 100-year time horizon). Emission Factors (EFs) and Units: EFs are updated annually in line with published reference sources such as U.S. EPA, DEFRA, IEA, or country-specific datasets. CO₂ emissions are calculated in kg per unit (e.g., kg CO₂ per MMBtu or kWh). CH₄ and N₂O emissions are initially calculated in grams per unit, then converted to kilograms before applying GWP values. All final emissions are reported in metric tonnes CO₂e. Source-Specific Calculation Methods: Stationary Combustion: Based on fuel volume or energy use (e.g., MMBtu), using combustion-specific EFs. Mobile Combustion: Preferred methodology is fuel-based (volume consumed), considering fuel type, vehicle class, and engine technology. Process Emissions: Calculated from material throughput, production metrics, or proprietary process data, using activity-specific EFs. Fugitive Emissions: Based on refrigerant charge size and leak rates or site-reported data; where unavailable, modeling based on building use and climate zone is applied. Purchased Electricity (Scope 2): - Location-based emissions: Grid-average EFs by

country/region. - Market-based emissions: Calculated from utility-provided emission factors or supplier-specific residual mix data, where available. This methodological framework is outlined in detail in Eli Lilly's GHG Inventory Management Plan
[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

428000

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

208000

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Scope 2 emissions at Eli Lilly result from the purchase of electricity, steam, and chilled water to support manufacturing, R&D (Lilly Research Laboratories), and office operations globally. We quantify Scope 2 emissions using both the location-based and market-based methods, in accordance with the GHG Protocol and Lilly's GHG Inventory Management Plan. Location-Based Method: Location-based emissions reflect the average emissions intensity of the regional or national grid that supplies electricity. Emission factors used include: U.S. sites: EPA eGRID subregion factors International sites: Country-level factors from IEA or national inventories These factors include CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O and are converted to CO₂e using IPCC AR5 100-year Global Warming Potentials. CO₂ is calculated in kilograms, and CH₄ and N₂O in grams per activity unit. Market-Based Method: This method reflects contractual arrangements and instruments such as: Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs) (e.g., RECs, GOs, I-RECs) - Green tariffs from utility providers - Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs) When 100% of a site's electricity use is covered by eligible renewable energy instruments, we report zero market-based emissions for that site. Where renewable coverage is partial or unavailable: We apply residual mix emission factors, such as those from Green-e (CO₂ only). If no residual mix is available, the location-based grid-average factor is used as a proxy. Note: Residual mix factors often include only CO₂. In such cases, CH₄ and N₂O are not included in the market-based Scope 2 calculation. All emission factors and GWPs are updated annually during the data compilation cycle, consistent with our GHG Inventory Management Plan. If actual data for Q4 is unavailable, estimation techniques such as extrapolation or prior-year proxy may be applied temporarily and replaced when actuals become available.

Past year 1

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

396000

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

258000

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2024

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Scope 2 emissions at Eli Lilly result from the purchase of electricity, steam, and chilled water to support manufacturing, R&D (Lilly Research Laboratories), and office operations globally. We quantify Scope 2 emissions using both the location-based and market-based methods, in accordance with the GHG Protocol and Lilly's GHG Inventory Management Plan. Location-Based Method: Location-based emissions reflect the average emissions intensity of the regional or national grid that supplies electricity. Emission factors used include: U.S. sites: EPA eGRID subregion factors International sites: Country-level factors from IEA or national inventories These factors include CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O and are converted to CO₂e using IPCC AR5 100-year Global Warming Potentials. CO₂ is calculated in kilograms, and CH₄ and N₂O in grams per activity unit. Market-Based Method: This method reflects contractual arrangements and instruments such as: Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs) (e.g., RECs, GOs, I-RECs) - Green tariffs from utility providers - Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs) When 100% of a site's electricity use is covered by eligible renewable energy instruments, we report zero market-based emissions for that site. Where renewable coverage is partial or unavailable: We apply residual mix emission factors, such as those from Green-e (CO₂ only). If no residual mix is available, the location-based grid-average factor is used as a proxy. Note: Residual mix factors often include only CO₂. In such cases, CH₄ and N₂O are not included in the market-based Scope 2 calculation. All emission factors and GWPs are updated annually during the data compilation cycle, consistent with our GHG Inventory Management Plan. If actual data for Q4 is unavailable, estimation techniques such as extrapolation or prior-year proxy may be applied temporarily and replaced when actuals become available.

Past year 2

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

406000

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

345000

(7.7.3) End date

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Scope 2 emissions at Eli Lilly result from the purchase of electricity, steam, and chilled water to support manufacturing, R&D (Lilly Research Laboratories), and office operations globally. We quantify Scope 2 emissions using both the location-based and market-based methods, in accordance with the GHG Protocol and Lilly's GHG Inventory Management Plan. Location-Based Method: Location-based emissions reflect the average emissions intensity of the regional or national grid that supplies electricity. Emission factors used include: U.S. sites: EPA eGRID subregion factors International sites: Country-level factors from IEA or national inventories These factors include CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O and are converted to CO₂e using IPCC AR5 100-year Global Warming Potentials. CO₂ is calculated in kilograms, and CH₄ and N₂O in grams per activity unit. Market-Based Method: This method reflects contractual arrangements and instruments such as: Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs) (e.g., RECs, GOs, I-RECs) - Green tariffs from utility providers - Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs) When 100% of a site's electricity use is covered by eligible renewable energy instruments, we report zero market-based emissions for that site. Where renewable coverage is partial or unavailable: We apply residual mix emission factors, such as those from Green-e (CO₂ only). If no residual mix is available, the location-based grid-average factor is used as a proxy. Note: Residual mix factors often include only CO₂. In such cases, CH₄ and N₂O are not included in the market-based Scope 2 calculation. All emission factors and GWPs are updated annually during the data compilation cycle, consistent with our GHG Inventory Management Plan. If actual data for Q4 is unavailable, estimation techniques such as extrapolation or prior-year proxy may be applied temporarily and replaced when actuals become available.

Past year 3

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

415000

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

374000

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2022

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Scope 2 emissions at Eli Lilly result from the purchase of electricity, steam, and chilled water to support manufacturing, R&D (Lilly Research Laboratories), and office operations globally. We quantify Scope 2 emissions using both the location-based and market-based methods, in accordance with the GHG Protocol and Lilly's GHG Inventory Management Plan. Location-Based Method: Location-based emissions reflect the average emissions intensity of the regional or national grid that supplies

electricity. Emission factors used include: U.S. sites: EPA eGRID subregion factors International sites: Country-level factors from IEA or national inventories These factors include CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O and are converted to CO₂e using IPCC AR5 100-year Global Warming Potentials. CO₂ is calculated in kilograms, and CH₄ and N₂O in grams per activity unit. Market-Based Method: This method reflects contractual arrangements and instruments such as: Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs) (e.g., RECs, GOs, I-RECs) - Green tariffs from utility providers - Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs) When 100% of a site's electricity use is covered by eligible renewable energy instruments, we report zero market-based emissions for that site. Where renewable coverage is partial or unavailable: We apply residual mix emission factors, such as those from Green-e (CO₂ only). If no residual mix is available, the location-based grid-average factor is used as a proxy. Note: Residual mix factors often include only CO₂. In such cases, CH₄ and N₂O are not included in the market-based Scope 2 calculation. All emission factors and GWPs are updated annually during the data compilation cycle, consistent with our GHG Inventory Management Plan. If actual data for Q4 is unavailable, estimation techniques such as extrapolation or prior-year proxy may be applied temporarily and replaced when actuals become available.

Past year 4

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

488000

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

466000

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2021

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Scope 2 emissions at Eli Lilly result from the purchase of electricity, steam, and chilled water to support manufacturing, R&D (Lilly Research Laboratories), and office operations globally. We quantify Scope 2 emissions using both the location-based and market-based methods, in accordance with the GHG Protocol and Lilly's GHG Inventory Management Plan. Location-Based Method: Location-based emissions reflect the average emissions intensity of the regional or national grid that supplies electricity. Emission factors used include: U.S. sites: EPA eGRID subregion factors International sites: Country-level factors from IEA or national inventories These factors include CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O and are converted to CO₂e using IPCC AR5 100-year Global Warming Potentials. CO₂ is calculated in kilograms, and CH₄ and N₂O in grams per activity unit. Market-Based Method: This method reflects contractual arrangements and instruments such as: Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs) (e.g., RECs, GOs, I-RECs) - Green tariffs from utility providers - Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs) When 100% of a site's electricity use is covered by eligible renewable energy instruments, we report zero market-based emissions for that site. Where renewable coverage is partial or unavailable: We apply residual mix emission factors, such as those from Green-e (CO₂ only). If no residual mix is available, the location-based grid-average factor is used as a proxy. Note: Residual mix factors often include only CO₂. In such cases, CH₄ and N₂O are not included in the market-based Scope 2 calculation. All emission factors and GWPs are updated

annually during the data compilation cycle, consistent with our GHG Inventory Management Plan. If actual data for Q4 is unavailable, estimation techniques such as extrapolation or prior-year proxy may be applied temporarily and replaced when actuals become available.
[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

5650000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Hybrid method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

25

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1110000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

25

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

127000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1060000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Distance-based method

☒ Spend-based method

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

24000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

85200

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Distance-based method

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

34700

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☒ Emissions covered under scope 1 or 2

(7.8.6) Please explain

This category has been determined not to be applicable. It is excluded as emissions from leased assets are included within Scope 1 and 2 emissions reporting.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

150

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Distance-based method

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☒ Not applicable to our organization's activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

This category has been determined not to be applicable.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☒ Not applicable to our organization's activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

This category has been determined not to be applicable. There are not quantifiable emissions related to the patient use of products.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

120000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☒ Emissions covered under scope 1 or 2

(7.8.6) Please explain

This category has been determined not to be applicable. It is excluded as emissions from leased assets are included within Scope 1 and 2 emissions reporting.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☒ Not applicable to our organization's activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

This category has been determined not to be applicable. It is excluded as Lilly does not have any franchises.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

6800

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

[Fixed row]

(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.

Past year 1

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2024

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

4345000

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

779000

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

109000

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

708000

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

39000

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

47000

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

51000

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

86000

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

10000

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

Capital goods and purchased goods separated out

Past year 2

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2023

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

3098800

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

721200

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

144900

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

957400

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

36400

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

40500

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

45100

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

6600

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

83800

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

4800

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

Capital goods and purchased goods separated out

Past year 3

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2022

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

2087950

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

137940

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

784900

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

31070

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

22400

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

33440

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

4010

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

77280

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

Capital goods emissions for 2022 and 2021 are not separately reported and are entered as 0 in this field.

[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: ☒ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: ☒ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	Select from: ☒ Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

EY_2025_Independent_Accountants_Review_Report.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

N/A

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☒ Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☒ Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

EY_2025_Independent_Accountants_Review_Report.pdf

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☒ Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

Row 2

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☒ Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

EY_2025_Independent_Accountants_Review_Report.pdf

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☒ Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☒ Scope 3: Franchises | ☒ Scope 3: Use of sold products |
| ☒ Scope 3: Investments | ☒ Scope 3: Upstream leased assets |
| ☒ Scope 3: Capital goods | ☒ Scope 3: Downstream leased assets |
| ☒ Scope 3: Business travel | ☒ Scope 3: Processing of sold products |
| ☒ Scope 3: Employee commuting | ☒ Scope 3: Purchased goods and services |
| ☒ Scope 3: Waste generated in operations | |
| ☒ Scope 3: End-of-life treatment of sold products | |
| ☒ Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution | |
| ☒ Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution | |
| ☒ Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) | |

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

- ☒ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

BV_Assurance_Report_Eli_Lilly_Environmental_KPIs_2025.pdf

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☒ ASAE3000

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of selected emissions categories verified (%)

100

(7.9.3.9) Proportion of total reported scope 3 emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

64506.5

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

13.9

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Market-based emissions decreased primarily due to expanded procurement of renewable electricity through mechanisms such as power purchase agreements, energy attribute certificates and utility-supplied renewable electricity. Increased renewable electricity coverage reduced the emissions associated with purchased electricity during the reporting year.

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

14748.4

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

3.18

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Changes in operational activity resulted in both increases and decreases across emission sources. Increased energy demand and operational growth contributed to higher emissions from fuel use and purchased steam, while process-related emissions decreased due to changes in manufacturing activity and improvements in emissions quantification. The net effect of these output-related changes was an increase in emissions compared with the previous year.

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

764.7

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.16

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Emissions increased due to updates to calculation methodologies and emission factors, including adoption of updated global warming potentials and refinements to estimation methodologies where direct activity data was unavailable.

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

2534.3

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.5

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Fugitive emissions increased due to higher refrigerant losses from cooling and refrigeration equipment during the reporting year.

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2984.1

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.64

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

A combination of smaller year-over-year changes across fuel consumption, transportation activities, wastewater treatment, utility consumption and other operational activities collectively contributed to the change in emissions. Individually, none of these changes were considered material drivers.

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2984.108

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.6446

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Other individually smaller movements produced a net decrease of 2,984.108 metric tons CO2e. These comprise lower emissions from incineration, distillate fuel oil, propane, on-road vehicles, wastewater and chilled water, partly offset by increases from LNG and aircraft fuel. Percentage = $2,984.108 / 462,967.377 \times 100 = 0.6446\%$. Together with the other disclosed reasons, these movements reconcile to the total 49,443.093 metric ton CO2e decrease in gross combined Scope 1 and Scope 2 market-based emissions.

[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.12) Does your organization have significant land sector activities in its operations or value chain?

	Agriculture, forestry or other land sector activities	Specify the reason for no significant activities
	Select from: ☒ No, explanation provided	Select from: ☒ No relevant activities identified

[Fixed row]

(7.13) Does your organization choose to account for and report technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geologic storage, or have you done so in previous years?

	Technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geologic storage in the reporting year	Specify the reason for no technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geological storage in the reporting year	Technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geologic storage were accounted for and reported in previous years
	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ No, explanation provided	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ No relevant activities identified	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ No

[Fixed row]

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 and/or Scope 2 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

(7.15.1) Emissions breakdown by greenhouse gas type

Select from:

☒ Yes, Scope 1 emissions only

[Fixed row]

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

195933.03

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

195933.03

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ CH4

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

28.321

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

764.662

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ N2O

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0.711

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

194.002

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 4

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ HFCs

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

4.104

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

8691.696

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

[Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Argentina

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

30.7

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

69.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

69.3

Austria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

46.5

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

37

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

26

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

190.3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

75.5

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

67

Bosnia and Herzegovina

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.1

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1862.5

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

213.5

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

213.5

Bulgaria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.5

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1069.6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

17.2

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

404.9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

23253

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6898

China, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Colombia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

19.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

19.4

Croatia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

31.6

Cyprus

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2.8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

19.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.2

Czechia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

248.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

61.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

81.3

Denmark

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

150

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

40.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

170.1

Egypt

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2.6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.1

Finland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

123.8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

19.4

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6632

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2066.8

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

30.7

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3052.5

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

656.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

829.7

Greece

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

110.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

331.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

357.8

Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

310.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

22.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

37.9

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

320.3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2956.6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2585.7

Indonesia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.3

Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

33860.6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

25235.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

52.2

Israel

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

314.9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

45.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

45.1

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

20272.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2009.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

810.6

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5617.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3270.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

830

Kazakhstan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.6

Korea (The Republic of)

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

226.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

194.8

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

194.8

Latvia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.5

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.7

Lebanon

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

21.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

21.7

Lithuania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.3

Malaysia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

29.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

215.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

215.2

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

205.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

850.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

850.9

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

60

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

60

Norway

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

76.6

Pakistan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5.3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

24.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

24.3

Philippines

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.7

Poland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

799.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

88.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

112.4

Portugal

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

963.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

22.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

71.3

Puerto Rico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

50768.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

19838.72

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

17865.7

Qatar

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.9

Romania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

300.9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

37

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

31.1

Saudi Arabia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

14.3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

104.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

104.1

Serbia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

14.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

17.1

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

327.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

327.9

Slovakia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

204.8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.5

Slovenia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3.8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

19

South Africa

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11.5

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

65.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

65.3

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4585

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2236.8

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

69.9

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

107.8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.4

Switzerland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Taiwan, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

303.3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

161.6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

161.6

Thailand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5

Türkiye

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

396.3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

58

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

58

Ukraine

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.5

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.6

United Arab Emirates

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8.8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

43.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

43.2

United Kingdom

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

99.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

230.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

45.1

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

114912.8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

362857.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

183119.9

Viet Nam

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.6
[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☒ By activity

(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	Total Stationary Combustion Emissions	143461.5
Row 2	Total Mobile Combustion Emissions	50336.3
Row 3	Total Process Emissions	3093.9
Row 4	Total Fugitive Emissions	8691.7

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☒ By activity

(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	<i>Chilled Water</i>	<i>194.7</i>	<i>194.7</i>
Row 2	<i>Electricity consumption</i>	<i>288016.1</i>	<i>67811</i>
Row 3	<i>Steam</i>	<i>139935.3</i>	<i>139935.3</i>

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

206000

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

428000

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

208000

(7.22.4) Please explain

The 2025 GHG inventory boundary applies the operational control approach to Lilly operations included in the consolidated accounting group. No emissions from entities outside the consolidated accounting group were separately identified in the inventory.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

No other entities outside the consolidated accounting group were included in the 2025 combined Scope 1 and Scope 2 reporting boundary.
[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:
☒ No

(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.

Row 1

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ Eli Lilly & Co.

[Add row]

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Row 1

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

☒ Diversity of product lines makes accurately accounting for each product/product line cost ineffective

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Supplier Engagement activities that will be prioritized at a later date

[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

(7.28.1) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.28.3) Primary reason for no plans to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(7.28.4) Explain why you do not plan to develop capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers

Future priority

[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

☒ Don't know

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

966626.5

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

966626.50

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

612917

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

160357.2

(7.30.1.4) MWh from non-renewable zero or near-zero emission sources

0

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

773274.20

Consumption of purchased or acquired steam

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

617687.7

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

617687.70

Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

1925.4

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

1925.40

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

6275.2

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

6275.20

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

619192.2

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

1746596.8

(7.30.1.4) MWh from non-renewable zero or near-zero emission sources

0

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

2365789.00

[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: ☒ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: ☒ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: ☒ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: ☒ Yes
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.2) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

NA

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.2) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

NA

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.2) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

NA

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.2) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

NA

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

255251.5

(7.30.7.2) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

Includes liquid petroleum fuels used in stationary and mobile combustion, including distillate fuel oil, gasoline, diesel and jet fuel.

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

711375

(7.30.7.2) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

Includes natural gas, liquefied natural gas and propane.

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.2) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

NA

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

966626.5

(7.30.7.2) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

Reconciles to total fuel consumption reported in question 7.30.1. Fuel-use application columns are left blank because the inventory does not allocate fuel quantities between electricity, heat and steam generation.

[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Argentina

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

98.4

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

98.40

Australia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

108.3

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

108.30

Austria

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

292.8

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

292.80

Belgium

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

508.6

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

508.60

Bosnia and Herzegovina

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

19.3

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

19.30

Brazil

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2865.2

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2865.20

Bulgaria

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

26.2

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

26.20

Canada

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

380.9

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

380.90

China

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

30490.2

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

22977.1

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

53467.30

China, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Colombia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

130.6

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

130.60

Croatia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

55.1

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

55.10

Cyprus

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

33

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

33.00

Czechia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

139.1

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

139.10

Denmark

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

403.2

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

403.20

Egypt

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

30

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

30.00

Finland

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

118.5

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

118.50

France

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

32243

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

4214

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

36457.00

Germany

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1790.5

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1790.50

Greece

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

974.8

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

974.80

Hungary

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

119

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

119.00

India

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4022.1

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

33.4

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4055.50

Indonesia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0.4

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.40

Ireland

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

86990.5

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

58.1

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

87048.60

Israel

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

103.2

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

103.20

Italy

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

6423.3

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

105.5

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

6528.80

Japan

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

7023.6

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

7023.60

Kazakhstan

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1.1

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1.10

Korea (The Republic of)

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

450.6

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

450.60

Latvia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

17.2

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

17.20

Lebanon

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

48

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

48.00

Lithuania

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

11.1

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

11.10

Malaysia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

341

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

341.00

Mexico

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2311

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2311.00

Netherlands

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

210.1

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

210.10

Norway

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

143.2

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

143.20

Pakistan

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

61.5

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

61.50

Philippines

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2.4

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2.40

Poland

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

139.1

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

139.10

Portugal

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

142.2

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

142.20

Puerto Rico

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

28338

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

28338.00

Qatar

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

12.5

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

12.50

Romania

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

133.6

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

133.60

Saudi Arabia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

167.4

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

167.40

Serbia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

19.1

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

19.10

Singapore

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

546.1

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1263.10

Slovakia**(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

34.3

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

34.30

Slovenia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

44.2

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

44.20

South Africa

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

65.8

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

65.80

Spain

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

13065.7

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

1266.8

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

14332.50

Sweden

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

133.6

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

133.60

Switzerland

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

87

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

87.00

Taiwan, China

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

291.5

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

291.50

Thailand

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

10.3

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

10.30

Türkiye

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

137.3

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

137.30

Ukraine

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

17.1

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

17.10

United Arab Emirates

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

103.1

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

103.10

United Kingdom

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1173

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1173.00

United States of America

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

580203.1

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

597.5

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

596089.9

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1176890.50

Viet Nam

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1.1

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1.10
[Fixed row]

(7.30.15) Provide details on the electricity purchases that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used*Select from:*☒ REC [US and Canada]**(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute***Select from:*☒ United States of America**(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?***Select from:*☒ No**(7.30.15.14) Comment**

2025 inventory; facility: Indianapolis - LTC; renewable instrument: Renewable Energy Certificates + Unbundled RECs. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 2**(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption***Select from:*☒ United States of America**(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method***Select from:*☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

95209.5

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Indianapolis - LCC; renewable instrument: Renewable Energy Certificates + Unbundled RECs. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 3

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Ireland

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

67861.8

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Ireland

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Kinsale; renewable instrument: Green Power from Utility + On-site PPA. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 4

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

37284.4

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Concord; renewable instrument: Unbundled RECs. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 5

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ France

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

30935.9

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ France

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Fegersheim; renewable instrument: Green Power from Utility. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 6

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

27636

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GEC [China]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Suzhou East Lake; renewable instrument: Green electricity certificate transaction vouchers (GECs). Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 7

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

24085.5

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: RTP Durham; renewable instrument: Unbundled RECs. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 8

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Ireland

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

17612.5

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Ireland

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Limerick - Clive House; renewable instrument: Green Power from Utility. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 9

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

12826.4

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Alcobendas; renewable instrument: Green Power from Utility + On-site PPA. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 10

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

6853.1

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Plainfield Distribution Center; renewable instrument: Unbundled RECs. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 11

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Italy

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

4586

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Italy

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Sesto; renewable instrument: Guarantees of Origin (GoOs). Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 12

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

4168.1

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Indianapolis - Point Biopharma; renewable instrument: Unbundled RECs. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 13

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Purchase from an on-site installation owned by a third party (on-site PPA)

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

3530.5

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: PR01/PR05; renewable instrument: On-site PPA. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 14

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used*Select from:*☒ REC [US and Canada]**(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute***Select from:*☒ United States of America**(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?***Select from:*☒ No**(7.30.15.14) Comment***2025 inventory; facility: MQLC; renewable instrument: Unbundled RECs. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.***Row 15****(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption***Select from:*☒ United States of America**(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method***Select from:*☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)**(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type**

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1913.7

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Dakota Warehouse; renewable instrument: Unbundled RECs. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 16

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Ireland

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1373.5

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Ireland

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Cork SSC; renewable instrument: Green Power from Utility + Retired EACs. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 17

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1084.2

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Greenwood; renewable instrument: Unbundled RECs. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 18

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ India

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Purchase from an on-site installation owned by a third party (on-site PPA)

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

746.9

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ Indian national EAC system

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Bangalore - Embassy TechVillage - 5692; renewable instrument: On-site PPA. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 19

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United Kingdom

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

648.1

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REGO [UK]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United Kingdom

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Basing View; renewable instrument: Renewable Energy Guarantees of Origin (REGOs). Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 20

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Germany

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

645.3

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Germany

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Lilly Germany - Pharma; renewable instrument: Guarantees of Origin (GoOs). Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 21

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ India

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Purchase from an on-site installation owned by a third party (on-site PPA)

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

625

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ Indian national EAC system

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Bangalore - Embassy TechVillage - 5691; renewable instrument: On-site PPA. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 22

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ India

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Purchase from an on-site installation owned by a third party (on-site PPA)

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

503.1

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ Indian national EAC system

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Bangalore - Embassy TechVillage - 5693; renewable instrument: On-site PPA. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 23

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

437.6

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Lilly Aviation Facility; renewable instrument: Unbundled RECs. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 24

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United Kingdom

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

417.6

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REGO [UK]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United Kingdom

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Arlington Square; renewable instrument: Renewable Energy Guarantees of Origin (REGOs). Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 25

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

349.7

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Fortune Circle; renewable instrument: Unbundled RECs. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 26

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Austria

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

87.2

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Austria

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Lilly Austria - Pharma; renewable instrument: Green Power from Utility. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 27

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Switzerland

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

87

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Switzerland

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Lilly Switzerland - Pharma; renewable instrument: Green Power from Utility. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 28

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Finland

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

70.6

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Finland

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Mannerheimintie 117; renewable instrument: Green Power from Utility + Retired EACs. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 29

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

461633.1

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Branchburg; renewable instrument: Purchased Scope 2. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 30

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

6275.2

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Lilly Biotechnology Center San Diego; renewable instrument: Onsite Scope 1. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

Row 31

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Japan

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

467908.4

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Japan

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

2025 inventory; facility: Seishin; renewable instrument: Total. Technology mix and commissioning year were not specified in the inventory.

[Add row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.0000063444

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

413524.28

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☒ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

65179000000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

38.27

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☒ Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.45.9) Please explain

The intensity figure was calculated by dividing gross global combined Scope 1 and Scope 2 market-based emissions by audited total revenue in US dollars. Emissions intensity decreased compared with the previous year because combined market-based emissions declined while revenue increased. The emissions reduction was primarily driven by expanded renewable electricity procurement, together with operational and other year-on-year inventory changes. Revenue used was US\$65.179 billion for 2025 and US\$45.043 billion for 2024, as reported in the audited Form 10-K.
[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☒ Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not anticipate setting one in the next two years

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/01/2021

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☒ Methane (CH4)

☒ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2019

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

192075

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

616431

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

808506.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

100

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

205583.4

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

207940.9

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

413524.300

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

48.85

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

This target covers Scope 1 and 2 of Lilly's company-wide operational emissions and excludes Scope 3 emissions

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Lilly's climate target objective, as outlined in our Sustainability Report, is to achieve carbon neutrality in our combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 2030. We are actively working to decarbonize our global manufacturing and research footprint by transitioning to renewable energy, improving energy efficiency, and reducing direct

emissions. In addition, Lilly is advancing its climate strategy by engaging suppliers and partners to address emissions across the value chain. These actions are part of our broader commitment to sustainable innovation and long-term environmental stewardship.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Lilly is progressing toward its organization-wide 2030 carbon-neutrality target for our combined Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions. During the reporting year, progress was driven primarily by expanded renewable electricity procurement through power purchase agreements, utility green power and energy attribute certificates, together with onsite renewable generation, energy-efficiency projects and operational improvements. The reporting-year inventory shows a substantial reduction from the 2019 base-year emissions covered by the target. Lilly continues to prioritize direct emissions reductions and renewable electricity while developing additional decarbonization projects across its manufacturing and research footprint.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☒ No other climate-related targets

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Select from:

☒ No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.79.1) Provide details of the project-based carbon credits retired by your organization in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

☒ Methane avoidance

(7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

☒ Emissions reduction

(7.79.1.3) Project description

Xinfeng AWMS GHG Mitigation Project in Liaoning province, China. The project mitigates methane emissions from an animal waste management system. Verified Carbon Units (VCUs) were retired through the Verra Registry for Lilly Suzhou Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

(7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

8887

(7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement

Select from:

☒ Voluntary offsetting

(7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.79.1.7) Vintage of credits at retirement

2021

(7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?

Select from:

☒ Purchased

(7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued

Select from:

☒ VCS/Verra (Verified Carbon Standard)

(7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project

Select all that apply

☒ Not assessed

(7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk

Select all that apply

☒ No risk of reversal

(7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed

Select all that apply

☒ Not assessed

(7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address

The AB 1305 disclosure identifies the credits as avoided-emissions credits from methane avoidance, not carbon removals. Project-specific additionality and leakage assessment details are not stated in the disclosure letter.

(7.79.1.14) Please explain

VCUs were retired on March 23, 2026. Serial number: 19208-932577955-932586841-VCS-VCU-1289-VER-CN-13-3880-24042021-31122021-0. Credits relate to residual Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions within the Suzhou site operational boundary. Lilly reports gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions without reducing or restating them for this retirement.
[Add row]

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

☒ No

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a quarter

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

At our larger sites, we rely on meters to measure the volume of wastewater discharge. At other sites, we rely on pumping times and knowing the set points in sumps to calculate water intake volumes. For some very small office type operations, we rely on local water bills, or we estimate withdrawals using employee headcount and use estimates.

(9.2.4) Please explain

In 2025, we tracked all 29 sites or business areas reporting on the volumes of water withdrawal on a QUARTERLY frequency in a centralized data system. At our larger sites, we rely on calibrated meters to measure the volume of water intake. For these devices, measurements are taken continuously. At other sites, we rely on pumping times and knowing the set points in sumps to calculate water intake volumes. For some very small office type operations, we estimate water intake volumes based on the number of days of operation, average number of employees at the site, and a standard local per employee water use rate.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a quarter

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Sites provide measured or estimated water intake volumes into a centralized data collection system on a QUARTERLY basis. At large sites, we rely on meters to measure the volume of water intake. We also rely on pump times/pump curve data to calculate water intake. For small offices, we estimate water intake volumes based on days of operation, number of employees and an employee water use rate.

(9.2.4) Please explain

In 2025, all 33 of our sites or business areas provided measured or estimated water intake data by source into a centralized corporate data collection system on a QUARTERLY BASIS. Sites may monitor intake or use available data from sources on a frequency ranging from daily to annually depending on the site. At our larger sites, we rely on calibrated meters to measure the volume of water intake. At other sites, we rely on pumping times and pump curve data to calculate water intake volumes or water bills. For some very small office type operations, we estimate water intake volumes based on the number of days of operation, average number of employees at the site, and a standard local per employee water use rate. Water volumes by source are important BECAUSE the quality can vary from different sources. The volume withdrawn from these sources can impact our approach to selection of which incoming water source to use within the manufacturing processes and supporting operations.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

- ☒ At least once a year

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

More general chemistry parameters, such as pH, conductivity, COD and TOC, may be monitored continuously, daily, or weekly. Several of our sites test for bacteriological quality on a daily or weekly basis. For many of our sites that rely on indirect suppliers, we obtain water quality reports from those operations to ensure we are receiving water of adequate quality. In most cases, they monitor water quality as frequently or more frequently than our sites.

(9.2.4) Please explain

In 2025, all 33 sites or business areas had water quality data monitored or available to us from source suppliers. The MONITORING FREQUENCY ranges from DAILY, WEEKLY, QUARTERLY, SEMI-ANNUALLY to ANNUALLY depending on the site and parameter. More general chemistry parameters, such as pH, conductivity, COD and TOC, may be monitored continuously, daily, or weekly. Several of our sites test for bacteriological quality on a daily or weekly basis. For many of our sites that rely on indirect suppliers, we obtain water quality reports from those operations to ensure we are receiving water of adequate quality. In most cases, they monitor water quality as frequently or more frequently than our sites. Water withdrawals quality is important BECAUSE the quality can vary from dissimilar sources. The water quality from these sources can impact our approach to selection of which incoming water source to use within the manufacturing processes and supporting operations.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

- ☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

- ☒ At least once a year

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

At our larger sites, we rely on calibrated meters to measure the volume of wastewater discharge. At other sites, we rely on pumping times and knowing the set points in sumps to calculate water intake volumes. For some very small office type operations, we rely on local sewer bills, or we estimate wastewater discharge volumes based on water intake volumes.

(9.2.4) Please explain

In 2025, we tracked all 33 sites or business areas reporting on the volumes of wastewater discharged by destination on an ANNUAL frequency in a centralized data system. At our larger sites, we rely on calibrated meters to measure the volume of water intake. These meters may also be associated with primary flow measurement devices (i.e., flumes) or may measure volumes directly in pipes (i.e., mag meters). For these devices, measurements are taken continuously. At other sites, we rely on pumping times and knowing the set points in sumps to calculate water intake volumes. For some very small office type operations, we rely on local sewer bills, or we estimate wastewater discharge volumes based on water intake volumes. The volume of water discharged by destination is important BECAUSE the destination of discharges can greatly impact the cost of treatment. Additionally, we are committed to protecting the waterways in the communities in which we operate.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a year

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

At our larger sites, we rely on meters to measure the volume of wastewater discharge. At other sites, we rely on pumping times and knowing the set points in sumps to calculate water intake volumes. For some very small office type operations, we rely on local sewer bills, or we estimate wastewater discharge volumes based on water intake volumes.

(9.2.4) Please explain

In 2025, we tracked all 33 sites or business areas reporting on the volumes of wastewater discharged by destination on an ANNUAL frequency in a centralized data system. At our larger sites, we rely on calibrated meters to measure the volume of water intake. These meters may also be associated with primary flow

measurement devices (i.e., flumes) or may measure volumes directly in pipes (i.e., mag meters). For these devices, measurements are taken continuously. At other sites, we rely on pumping times and knowing the set points in sumps to calculate water intake volumes. For some very small office type operations, we rely on local sewer bills, or we estimate wastewater discharge volumes based on water intake volumes. The volume of water discharged by destination is important BECAUSE the destination of discharges can greatly impact the cost of treatment. Additionally, we are committed to protecting the waterways in the communities in which we operate.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a year

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

At our larger sites, we rely on meters to measure the volume of wastewater discharge. At other sites, we rely on pumping times and knowing the set points in sumps to calculate water intake volumes. For some very small office type operations, we rely on local sewer bills, or we estimate wastewater discharge volumes based on water intake volumes.

(9.2.4) Please explain

In 2025, we tracked all 33 sites or business areas reporting on the volumes of wastewater by treatment method on an ANNUAL frequency. For on-site treatment systems, we measure treatment method performance through various chemical and physical parameters. Some treatment methods (for pH and temperature) are monitored continuously. The volume of water discharged by treatment method is important BECAUSE this information allows us to predict where future capital spending and increased operational expenses may occur due to local regulatory and permitting situations.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a year

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

At our larger sites, we may have daily or weekly COD measurements to characterize water discharge quality. At smaller or less complex sites where COD variability may be low, we may rely quarterly COD measurements or estimates to characterize water quality. For some very small office type operations, we rely on estimates of COD composition for municipal wastewater from recognized engineering handbooks to estimate the annual discharge of COD loads.

(9.2.4) Please explain

In 2025, all 33 sites or business areas monitored for COD or calculated COD values using engineering estimates. Our largest manufacturing sites report on COD emissions to wastewater based on samples that are taken on either a WEEKLY or MONTHLY frequency. The quantity of COD is important BECAUSE it ensures that biological treatment systems are removing oxygen demanding substances and allow us to estimate CO2 emissions.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 26-50

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a year

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

At our larger sites, we may have daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, or semi-annual measurements or estimates to characterize water discharge quality. 100% of our manufacturing sites either measure or perform mass balance estimates of active pharmaceutical emissions to wastewater. Eight of our largest manufacturing sites report on phosphorus emissions to wastewater. For phosphorus, discharges of phosphorus are calculated or samples are taken on either a WEEKLY or MONTHLY basis.

(9.2.4) Please explain

In 2025, 11 of 33 sites or business areas monitored for phosphorus or active pharmaceutical ingredients. 100% of our manufacturing sites either measure or perform mass balance estimates of active pharmaceutical emissions into wastewater. Eight of our largest manufacturing sites report on phosphorus emissions to wastewater. For phosphorus, mass balance estimates of phosphorus in raw materials are calculated or samples are taken on either a WEEKLY or MONTHLY frequency. Discharge of active pharmaceutical ingredients are assessed through either analytical measurement or through DAILY batch records. The quantity of phosphorus and active pharmaceutical ingredients is important BECAUSE they impact aquatic system biodiversity and can affect water system infrastructure.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 26-50

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a year

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Direct sample measurement using a calibrated temperature measurement device.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Many of our sites have been determined by regulators do not need temperature monitoring. We do have several large sites that do MONITOR CONTINUOUSLY for temperature. Most other sites take grab samples on either a DAILY, WEEKLY or MONTHLY frequency. Small office type operations and warehouses typically do not directly measure temperature in their wastewater. The temperature of water discharged is important BECAUSE temperature can impact aquatic life and wastewater treatment system performance.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a year

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Individual site and total company water consumption rates are calculated by subtracting the "Water discharges – total volumes" (as described above) from "Water withdrawals – total volumes" (as described above).

(9.2.4) Please explain

In 2025, all 33 sites or business areas reported on measured or estimated water data that allows us to calculate our water consumption rate. We take our "Water withdrawals – total volumes" as described above and subtract the "Water discharges – total volumes" as described above to determine individual site and total company water consumption rates. Water withdrawal values are reported on a QUARTERLY basis for corporate metrics analysis in our centralized data collection system. High use sites measure incoming water using meters while smaller facilities rely on monthly or bimonthly water bills from utilities. Water discharged values are reported on an ANNUAL basis for corporate metrics analysis in our centralized data collection system. Water consumption is a water performance indicator checked by sites and the company BECAUSE it can help us reconcile water balance issues which may impact local fees and helps to monitor our impact in water stressed regions.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters)

9145.88

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Facility expansion

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

We experienced a 20.3% increase in water intake from 2024 to 2025. Most of the increases were attributable to bringing 4 new manufacturing facilities on-line and a few new acquisitions.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters)

5807.43

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Facility expansion

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

We experienced a 5.1% increase in wastewater discharge from 2024 to 2025. Most of the increases were attributable to bringing 4 new manufacturing facilities on-line and a few new acquisitions.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters)

2577.37

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Much higher

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Facility expansion

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

We experienced a 79.8% increase in water consumption intake from 2024 to 2025. Most of the increases were attributable to bringing 4 new manufacturing facilities on-line and a few new acquisitions.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

584.29

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Facility expansion

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

6.39

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Identification tool used

☒ WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

We experienced a 7.2% increase in water intake from 2024 to 2025 for site in water stressed areas. Most of the increases were attributable to production increases at 2 manufacturing facilities.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters)

0.36

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

We had a 5.6% increase in rainwater harvested for water intake. Rainwater supplied for water intake is only 0.004% of the total water intake for 2025.

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Not applicable

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters)

1474.83

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much higher

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

We had 16.1% increase in groundwater withdrawal from 2024 to 2025. We had a 32.9% increase in groundwater withdrawal for a geothermal well system that returns the groundwater to the aquifer. We had one manufacturing site that had a 15.5% in groundwater withdrawal due to an increase in production.

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Not applicable

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Not applicable

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters)

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much higher

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

We experienced a 27.1% increase in water intake from 2024 to 2025 from municipal water supplies. Most of the increases were attributable to bringing 4 new manufacturing facilities on-line and a few new acquisitions.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters)

676.72

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much higher

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

We experienced a 15.9% increase from 2024 to 2025 in the volume discharged to marine waters at one site due to production.

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters)

564.13

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

We had a 0.8% decrease in the volume of water discharged to groundwater from 2024 to 2025. This discharge is associated with a geothermal system.

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters)

4566.58

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

We had a 5.3% increase discharge to municipal treatment plants from 2024 to 2025. This increase was primarily driven by four manufacturing sites.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.9) Indicate the highest level to which your wastewater is treated (either by your organization or a third party) before being discharged to the environment.

Quaternary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

0

Tertiary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

137.63

(9.2.9.2) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much higher

(9.2.9.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.9.4) % of discharge volume treated in compliance with local regulatory standards

Select from:

☒ 100

(9.2.9.5) Factors considered in determining appropriate treatment level beyond local regulatory standards

Select all that apply

- ☒ Internally developed water quality standards or guidelines
- ☒ Sector-specific standards
- ☒ Profile of the receiving waterbody

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Discharge of tertiary treated wastewater is RELEVANT BECAUSE two manufacturing facilities provide tertiary wastewater treatment systems to meet local technology-based and water quality-based requirements. One plant utilizes biological treatment with nitrification and effluent filtration, and another site uses a membrane bioreactor followed by filtration and granular activated carbon. We discharged a MUCH HIGHER (i.e., more than a 10% change) volume of tertiary treated wastewater in 2025. From 2024 to 2025, we had a 24.3% increase in discharge from these sites. We are anticipating production volume growth going forward which may result in some increased "Tertiary" treatment. "Tertiary" treatment is expected to grow at a slower rate than production IN THE FUTURE.

Secondary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

5669.8

(9.2.9.2) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ Lower

(9.2.9.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.9.4) % of discharge volume treated in compliance with local regulatory standards

Select from:

☒ 91-99

(9.2.9.5) Factors considered in determining appropriate treatment level beyond local regulatory standards

Select all that apply

- ☒ Internally developed water quality standards or guidelines
- ☒ Sector-specific standards
- ☒ Profile of the receiving waterbody

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Discharge of secondary treated wastewater is RELEVANT BECAUSE two facilities have on-site systems that provide secondary treatment. We discharged a ABOUT THE SAME (i.e., less than a 5% change) volume of secondary treated wastewater in 2025. From 2024 to 2025, we had a 0.4% decrease in discharge of secondary treated wastewater from these sites. We have two plants that have on-site biological treatment. One of the plants also provides nitrification for ammonia removal. We are anticipating production volume growth going forward which may result in some increased "secondary" treatment, at these sites. We anticipate future "secondary" treatment will grow at a higher rate for all wastewater that will be managed IN THE FUTURE. For this reporting year we are also including sites that discharge wastewater treatment using "other" treatment other technologies to treat and manage wastewater (i.e., alkaline/acid hydrolysis, reverse osmosis, chemical precipitation, segregation for on-site or off-site treatment using thermal oxidation, etc.) in conjunction with local municipal treatment systems with at least secondary treatment. From 2024 to 2025, we had a 5.9% decrease in discharge of wastewater in this reporting category. We are anticipating production volume growth going forward which may result in increased use of this wastewater category.

Primary treatment only

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

0

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Not applicable

No treatment

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

0

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Not applicable

Unknown

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

0

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Not applicable

[Fixed row]

(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization's emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.

(9.2.10.1) Emissions to water in the reporting year (metric tons)

6.27

(9.2.10.2) Categories of substances included

Select all that apply

- ☒ Nitrates
- ☒ Phosphates
- ☒ Priority substances listed under the EU Water Framework Directive

(9.2.10.3) List the specific substances included

Cadmium (WFD priority substance); Mercury (WFD priority substance); Lead (WFD priority substance); Nickel (WFD priority substance); Octylphenols (WFD priority substance); Dichloromethane (WFD priority substance); Total Phosphorus; Total Nitrogen

(9.2.10.4) Please explain

BUSINESS OPERATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH EMISSIONS: Our manufacturing operations use phosphorus cleaners and buffer solutions. We do not utilize the four metals listed in our manufacturing processes. The four metals listed are associated with the wastewater discharge from an air pollution system associated with an on-site waste incinerator which handles solid waste that includes packaging. The reported values are based on laboratory measurements for total phosphorus as P, Total Nitrogen (instead of nitrates) and total recoverable metals (not acid soluble metals) because we have technology-based limits at one site that is directly discharged to a surface water. The measured values are based on analytical measurements combined with calibrated flow measurement. The mass emissions of ALL PRIORITY SUBSTANCES reported were less than 0.20% of the 6.266 metric tons total reported. LOCAL IMPACT: The emissions to water were NOT nearby any vulnerable communities or within water stressed areas and meet local water quality requirements. IN THE FUTURE: We are anticipating the amount of phosphorus directly discharged to surface waters to decrease due to a new treatment installation based on new technology-based limits.

[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

4

(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:

(9.3.4) Please explain

RISKS EXIST, BUT NO SUBSTANTIVE IMPACTS ARE ANTICIPATED. We assessed water stress at Lilly facilities using the WRI Aqueduct tool and using internal risk assessment knowledge. Only 4 manufacturing sites out of 33 total sites were identified being in a water stressed area. Three Lilly facilities/business areas associated with manufacturing were located in areas that were medium-high risk or greater according to the WRI Aqueduct tool or based on company knowledge. We also self-classified a fourth manufacturing site as being in water stressed area based on local historical issues. One of these sites is a multi-product bulk drug and drug product plant. The three other sites are drug product only (i.e. only filling, formulating and finishing final medicine products) manufacturing sites. Our facilities have developed business continuity plans (BCPs) for specific critical processes and services (which may include water and wastewater aspects). The frequency for required updates and approval of these plans is dependent on the nature and complexity of the facility. Comprehensive risk assessments of identified plausible unplanned events are included in the BCP process. Risk assessments conducted for our most recent facility BCPs did not lead us to conclude that we will have water risks that would generate a substantive impact. In addition to BCP plans, the 4 manufacturing sites have been required to develop WATER STRESS MANAGEMENT Plans using the Alliance for Water Stewardship Standard as a model. METHOD FOR IDENTIFYING IMPACT: The risk was analyzed as part of our investor relations group and our risk management group with regard to likelihood of occurrences impacting Lilly. EFFECT ON LILLY: As the impact could not be evaluated financially, it was evaluated qualitatively and found to be low impact.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

7

(9.3.4) Please explain

RISKS EXIST, BUT NO SUBSTANTIVE IMPACTS ARE ANTICIPATED. We identified 7 supplier facilities manufacturing active bulk drug substances and making finished drug product that were company priorities to assess and ensure that their operations do not create a water quality risk Discussions with our External Partners and Lilly Global Supply Chain groups lead us to conclude that appropriate mitigations are in place based on monitoring/measuring/accounting/administrative control practices. Assessments have concluded that wastewater discharges associated with our products do not have water-related risks that would generate a substantive impact to our business. We also have an active supplier engagement program aligned with the principles of the Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Initiative (PSCI) for high-risk or high-value third party manufacturers to address environmental risk on an ongoing basis. The program includes self-assessment and on-site audits.

Whenever water risks are identified and deemed material significant to our company, they are integrated into Lilly's risk management process. The risk owners decide on a targeted risk level based on cost-benefit analysis and define risk management strategies as well as risk management measures. These include risk avoidance, risk reduction, risk transfer and risk acceptance. We address site-level risks, e.g., flooding, through local internal and external crisis organizations. We have implemented early warning systems, continuous reporting and carry out regular crisis simulation exercises. Assessment of risks from these exercises are defined and appropriate measures are assigned to responsible business units.

[Fixed row]

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Row 1

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 1

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Lilly1

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Italy

☒ Other, please specify :Middle Valdarno

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

43.82352

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

11.218503

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

211.92

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

199.42

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

12.5

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

131.93

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

131.93

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

80

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

From 2024 to 2025 this site experienced a 10.9% increase in water intake and a 6.9% decrease in water consumption due to production changes. The site has a Water Stress Management Plan developed using the Alliance for Water Stewardship standard as a model. The site installed a new well in a deeper aquifer that is not under the demand pressures of the upper aquifer. The site has been working on ways to more efficiently use water in its injectable product manufacturing operations and has set a 2030 goal on water use for this operation.

Row 2

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 2

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☒ Other, please specify :Rio Grande de Loiza

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

18.372729

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-65.936653

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1130.43

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

95.37

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

1035.06

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

960.97

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

960.97

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

169.46

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

From 2024 to 2025, total water intake decreased 0.8% while water consumption decreased 3.3%. These changes were the result of production changes. Water evaporation is the primary route for water consumption at this site. The site has a Water Stress Management Plan developed using the Alliance for Water Stewardship standard as a model and is working on 11 projects to reduce water use, increase efficiency and improve resiliency and have them all implemented by 2030.

Row 3

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 3

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Lilly3

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Other, please specify :Taihu

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.319614

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

120.770586

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

286.71

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

86.71

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

263.94

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

263.94

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

22.78

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

From 2024 to 2025 this site experienced a 4.1% increase in water intake and a 28.7% increase in water consumption due to production changes. The site has a Water Stress Management Plan developed using the Alliance for Water Stewardship standard as a model. The site has been working on ways to more efficiently use water in its injectable product manufacturing operations and discharge less phosphorus. The site has set 2030 goals on the more efficient use of use and decreasing phosphorus discharge related to production.

Row 4

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 4

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Lilly4

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Spain

☒ Other, please specify :Tagus

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.535334

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-3.648024

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

82.95

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

8.71

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

74.24

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

53.49

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

54.49

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

29.46

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

From 2024 to 2025, water intake increased 9.4% while water consumption decreased 0.8%. These changes were the result of production changes and activities to more efficiently use water. The site has a Water Stress Management Plan developed using the Alliance for Water Stewardship standard as a model. The site installed 11 additional water meters to complement a water consumption measurement system that existed at the site. The site installed rain sensors in the existing irrigation controllers in order to eliminate the irrigation cycle on the day that as a result of high rainfall it is not necessary to irrigate. The site replaced grass in the parking lot areas with alternative drought resistant plant species and limestone gravel to reduce water consumption for irrigation.

Row 5

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 5

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

External Partner1

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Upstream value chain

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Zimbabwe

☒ Other, please specify :Confidential

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

RISKS EXIST, BUT NO SUBSTANTIVE IMPACTS ARE ANTICIPATED. This supplier manufactures three active bulk drug substances and was determined to be a company priority to assess and ensure that their operations do not create a water quality risk. Discussions with this External Partner and Lilly Global Supply Chain groups lead us to conclude that appropriate mitigations are in place based on MONITORING/ MEASURING/ ACCOUNTING/ TREATMENT SYSTEMS/ ACCOUNTING/ ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROL PRACTICES. Assessments have concluded that wastewater discharges associated with our products do not have water-related risks that would generate a substantive impact to our business. Because of legally binding contract obligations, we cannot disclose on water basin

identity, latitude/longitude, water withdrawal and wastewater discharge data for this site. Because of the limitations in the reporting tool, we report "0" values in columns requiring volumes of water and wastewater reported and used "About the same" in evaluating trends to comply with our contractual agreements.

Row 6

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 6

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

External Partner2

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Upstream value chain

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Zimbabwe

☒ Other, please specify :Confidential

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

RISKS EXIST, BUT NO SUBSTANTIVE IMPACTS ARE ANTICIPATED. This supplier manufactures three active bulk drug substances and was determined to be a company priority to assess and ensure that their operations do not create a water quality risk. Discussions with this External Partner and Lilly Global Supply Chain groups lead us to conclude that appropriate mitigations are in place based on MONITORING/ MEASURING/ ACCOUNTING/ TREATMENT SYSTEMS/ ACCOUNTING/ ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROL PRACTICES. Assessments have concluded that wastewater discharges associated with our products do not have water-related risks that would generate a substantive impact to our business. Because of legally binding contract obligations, we cannot disclose on water basin identity, latitude/longitude, water withdrawal and wastewater discharge data for this site. Because of the limitations in the reporting tool, we report "0" values in columns requiring volumes of water and wastewater reported and used "About the same" in evaluating trends to comply with our contractual agreements.

Row 7

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 7

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

External Partner3

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Upstream value chain

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Zimbabwe

☒ Other, please specify :Confidential

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

RISKS EXIST, BUT NO SUBSTANTIVE IMPACTS ARE ANTICIPATED. This supplier manufactures three active bulk drug substances and was determined to be a company priority to assess and ensure that their operations do not create a water quality risk. Discussions with this External Partner and Lilly Global Supply Chain groups lead us to conclude that appropriate mitigations are in place based on MONITORING/ MEASURING/ ACCOUNTING/ TREATMENT SYSTEMS/ ACCOUNTING/ ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROL PRACTICES. Assessments have concluded that wastewater discharges associated with our products do not have water-related risks that would generate a substantive impact to our business. Because of legally binding contract obligations, we cannot disclose on water basin identity, latitude/longitude, water withdrawal and wastewater discharge data for this site. Because of the limitations in the reporting tool, we report "0" values in columns requiring volumes of water and wastewater reported and used "About the same" in evaluating trends to comply with our contractual agreements.

Row 8

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 8

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

External Partner4

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Upstream value chain

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Zimbabwe

☒ Other, please specify :Confidential

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

RISKS EXIST, BUT NO SUBSTANTIVE IMPACTS ARE ANTICIPATED. This supplier manufactures three active bulk drug substances and was determined to be a company priority to assess and ensure that their operations do not create a water quality risk. Discussions with this External Partner and Lilly Global Supply Chain groups lead us to conclude that appropriate mitigations are in place based on MONITORING/ MEASURING/ ACCOUNTING/ TREATMENT SYSTEMS/ ACCOUNTING/ ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROL PRACTICES. Assessments have concluded that wastewater discharges associated with our products do not have water-related risks that would generate a substantive impact to our business. Because of legally binding contract obligations, we cannot disclose on water basin identity, latitude/longitude, water withdrawal and wastewater discharge data for this site. Because of the limitations in the reporting tool, we report "0" values in columns requiring volumes of water and wastewater reported and used "About the same" in evaluating trends to comply with our contractual agreements.

Row 9

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 9

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

External Partner5

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Upstream value chain

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Zimbabwe

☒ Other, please specify :Confidential

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

RISKS EXIST, BUT NO SUBSTANTIVE IMPACTS ARE ANTICIPATED. This supplier manufactures three active bulk drug substances and was determined to be a company priority to assess and ensure that their operations do not create a water quality risk. Discussions with this External Partner and Lilly Global Supply Chain groups lead us to conclude that appropriate mitigations are in place based on MONITORING/ MEASURING/ ACCOUNTING/ TREATMENT SYSTEMS/ ACCOUNTING/ ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROL PRACTICES. Assessments have concluded that wastewater discharges associated with our products do not have water-related risks that would generate a substantive impact to our business. Because of legally binding contract obligations, we cannot disclose on water basin identity, latitude/longitude, water withdrawal and wastewater discharge data for this site. Because of the limitations in the reporting tool, we report "0" values in columns requiring volumes of water and wastewater reported and used "About the same" in evaluating trends to comply with our contractual agreements.

Row 10

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 10

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

External Partner6

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Upstream value chain

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Zimbabwe

☒ Other, please specify :Confidential

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

RISKS EXIST, BUT NO SUBSTANTIVE IMPACTS ARE ANTICIPATED. This supplier manufactures three active bulk drug substances and was determined to be a company priority to assess and ensure that their operations do not create a water quality risk. Discussions with this External Partner and Lilly Global Supply Chain groups lead us to conclude that appropriate mitigations are in place based on MONITORING/ MEASURING/ ACCOUNTING/ TREATMENT SYSTEMS/ ACCOUNTING/ ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROL PRACTICES. Assessments have concluded that wastewater discharges associated with our products do not have water-related risks that would generate a substantive impact to our business. Because of legally binding contract obligations, we cannot disclose on water basin identity, latitude/longitude, water withdrawal and wastewater discharge data for this site. Because of the limitations in the reporting tool, we report "0" values in columns requiring volumes of water and wastewater reported and used "About the same" in evaluating trends to comply with our contractual agreements.

Row 11

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 11

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Upstream value chain

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Zimbabwe

☒ Other, please specify :Confidential

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

[Add row]

(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

Select all that apply

☒ ISAE 3000

Water withdrawals – volume by source

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

Select all that apply

☒ ISAE 3000

Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We had retained a verification service provider to perform an annual review of our water data, and this aspect was not in scope.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

Select all that apply

☒ ISAE 3000

Water discharges – volume by destination

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

Select all that apply

☒ ISAE 3000

Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We had retained a verification service provider to perform an annual review of our water data, and this aspect was not in scope.

Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

We had retained a verification service provider to perform an annual review of our water data, and this aspect was not in scope.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ 76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

Select all that apply

☒ ISAE 3000

[Fixed row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

☒ This is confidential

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

(9.5.1) Revenue (currency)

65179000000

(9.5.2) Total water withdrawal efficiency

7126596.89

(9.5.3) Anticipated forward trend

IN THE FUTURE we anticipate water withdrawal volumes to grow at a similar rate as revenue growth for 2026 compared to 2025, therefore, we anticipate our water withdrawal efficiency (megaliters per revenue) to remain roughly flat (less than 5% change).
[Fixed row]

(9.12) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization’s products or services.

Row 1

(9.12.1) Product name

Injectable product

(9.12.3) Numerator: Water aspect

Select from:
☒ Water withdrawn

(9.12.4) Denominator

1000 cartridges produced

(9.12.5) Comment

The use of 3110.7 Liters of water in 2025 used to manufacture 1000 cartridges is ahead of the site goal on water efficiency. This is a 31.8% reduction from the 2022 baseline year

Row 2

(9.12.1) Product name

Injectable product

(9.12.3) Numerator: Water aspect

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Grams P discharged per 10000000 cartridges produced

(9.12.4) Denominator

1000000 cartridges produced

(9.12.5) Comment

The discharge of 11.325 grams of P to manufacture 1000000 cartridges in 2025 is ahead of the site goal on water efficiency. The ratio of P discharge (grams) to 1000000 cartridges produced is 0.000011325. This is a 66.99% reduction from the 2022 baseline year.

Row 3

(9.12.1) Product name

Injectable product

(9.12.3) Numerator: Water aspect

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Liters of Purified Water Use

(9.12.4) Denominator

1000000 cartridges produced

(9.12.5) Comment

The site goal is to have no increase in the use of purified water used to manufacture 1000000 cartridges. The site 2025 value of 183 milliliters of purified water per 1000000 cartridges is currently 265% above the target goal of 69 milliliters of purified water per 1000000 cartridges and we are continuing to work on improvements to reduce water use.

[Add row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years

(9.14.7) Please explain

Water quality and availability to manufacture high-quality medicines are currently of primary importance. Classifying specific products relative to water impact has not yet become a priority internally and there is not currently evidence of the importance to external stakeholders.

[Fixed row]

(9.15) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

	Target set in this category	Science-based target set in this target category according to SBTN guidance
Water pollution	Select from: ☒ Yes	Select from: ☒ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years
Water withdrawals	Select from:	Select from:

	Target set in this category	Science-based target set in this target category according to SBTN guidance
	☒ Yes	☒ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years
Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services	Select from: ☒ Yes	

[Fixed row]

(9.15.1) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

Row 1

(9.15.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Water target 1

(9.15.1.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide (direct operations only)

(9.15.1.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water pollution

☒ Increase in the proportion of wastewater that is safely treated

(9.15.1.4) Date target was set

01/01/2022

(9.15.1.5) End date of base year

12/31/2022

(9.15.1.6) Base year figure

100

(9.15.1.7) End date of target year

12/31/2030

(9.15.1.8) Target year figure

100

(9.15.1.9) Reporting year figure

100

(9.15.1.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Achieved and maintained

(9.15.1.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

☒ Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework

☒ Wastewater Zero Commitment

(9.15.1.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

All direct operations Sites must meet predicted no-effect concentration (PNEC) discharge limits for Pharmaceuticals in the Environment through analytical measurement or mass-balance calculations.

(9.15.1.15) Actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

Sites must report annually on their performance. Additionally, sites must complete an Environmental Capability Assessment, a statistical analysis of their control capability, to meet discharge limits every three years.

(9.15.1.18) Further details of target

No additional information needed.

Row 2

(9.15.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Water target 2

(9.15.1.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Suppliers

(9.15.1.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water pollution

☒ Increase in the proportion of wastewater that is safely treated

(9.15.1.4) Date target was set

01/01/2022

(9.15.1.5) End date of base year

12/31/2022

(9.15.1.6) Base year figure

100

(9.15.1.7) End date of target year

12/31/2030

(9.15.1.8) Target year figure

100

(9.15.1.9) Reporting year figure

100

(9.15.1.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Achieved and maintained

(9.15.1.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

☒ Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework

☒ Wastewater Zero Commitment

(9.15.1.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

All internal bulk API and finished drug product manufacturing sites must be capable of meeting predicted no-effect concentration (PNEC) discharge limits for Pharmaceuticals in the Environment through analytical measurement or mass-balance calculations.

(9.15.1.15) Actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

Supplier sites supply data that is utilized in calculation tools to assess their performance and capability. The results from these tools are shared supplier sites.

(9.15.1.18) Further details of target

No additional information needed.

Row 3

(9.15.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Water target 3

(9.15.1.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Site/facility

(9.15.1.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water withdrawals

☒ Reduction in withdrawals per unit of production

(9.15.1.4) Date target was set

01/01/2022

(9.15.1.5) End date of base year

12/31/2022

(9.15.1.6) Base year figure

5026

(9.15.1.7) End date of target year

12/31/2030

(9.15.1.8) Target year figure

4775

(9.15.1.9) Reporting year figure

3110.7

(9.15.1.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Achieved and maintained

(9.15.1.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

☒ Fair Water Footprints

☒ Planetary Boundaries

(9.15.1.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Improve water efficiency by 5% indexed to unit production by 2030, with base year being 2022.

(9.15.1.15) Actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

The site replaced high water usage fixtures. The site is looking at ways to further standardize the use of low-flow fixtures.

(9.15.1.18) Further details of target

No additional information needed.

Row 4

(9.15.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Water target 4

(9.15.1.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Site/facility

(9.15.1.4) Date target was set

01/01/2022

(9.15.1.5) End date of base year

12/31/2022

(9.15.1.6) Base year figure

42.88

(9.15.1.7) End date of target year

12/31/2030

(9.15.1.8) Target year figure

(9.15.1.9) Reporting year figure

11.33

(9.15.1.10) Target status in reporting year*Select from:*☒ Achieved and maintained**(9.15.1.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target**

Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

☒ Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework☒ Wastewater Zero Commitment**(9.15.1.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions***Reduce the annual mass of Total Phosphorus discharged in wastewater by 20% indexed to production by 2030, with base year being 2022.***(9.15.1.15) Actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target***The site has been optimizing the control performance of its wastewater phosphorus removal system. They will continue to evaluate this system as production demands change.***(9.15.1.18) Further details of target***No additional information needed.***Row 5****(9.15.1.1) Target reference number**

Select from:

☒ Water target 5

(9.15.1.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide (direct operations only)

(9.15.1.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services

☒ Other WASH, please specify :100% of Lilly facilities continue to provide water, sanitation and hygiene services to its employees.

(9.15.1.4) Date target was set

01/01/2022

(9.15.1.5) End date of base year

12/31/2022

(9.15.1.6) Base year figure

100

(9.15.1.7) End date of target year

12/31/2030

(9.15.1.8) Target year figure

100

(9.15.1.9) Reporting year figure

(9.15.1.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Achieved and maintained

(9.15.1.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

☒ Fair Water Footprints

☒ Water Resilience Coalition

(9.15.1.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Potable water is provided in our permanent places of employment for drinking, personal washing, food washing, cooking, washing of cooking or eating utensils, washing of food preparation or processing premises, and in personal service rooms. New or modified materials or equipment that come in contact with finished potable water at Lilly-owned facilities must meet local code specifications for potable use. Lilly determines the need for WASH services during the design of a capital project using Lilly Engineering Standards and local codes. Potable water systems are REVIEWED AT THEIR TIME OF INSTALLATION OR CHANGE to ensure that adequate WASH services are provided before they become operational or modified. Potable water being provided to employees at work is important BECAUSE it helps us keep our employees healthy.

(9.15.1.15) Actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

New or modified materials or equipment that come in contact with finished potable water at Lilly-owned facilities must meet local code specifications for potable use. Lilly determines the need for WASH services during the design of a capital project using Lilly Engineering Standards and local codes. Potable water systems are REVIEWED AT THEIR TIME OF INSTALLATION OR CHANGE to assure that adequate WASH services are provided before they become operational or modified. Potable water being provided to all employees at work is important BECAUSE it helps us keep our employees healthy.

(9.15.1.18) Further details of target

No additional information needed.

Row 6

(9.15.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Water target 6

(9.15.1.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Site/facility

(9.15.1.4) Date target was set

01/01/2022

(9.15.1.5) End date of base year

12/31/2022

(9.15.1.6) Base year figure

0

(9.15.1.7) End date of target year

12/31/2030

(9.15.1.8) Target year figure

11

(9.15.1.9) Reporting year figure

3

(9.15.1.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(9.15.1.11) % of target achieved relative to base year

27

(9.15.1.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

☒ Fair Water Footprints

☒ Water Resilience Coalition

☒ Other, please specify :Alliance for Water Stewardship

(9.15.1.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

The site has 11 projects/activities to reduce water, reuse water, increase water efficiency and build resiliency.

(9.15.1.14) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

This site has eleven projects identified to complete by 2030. Examples of these projects include construction rain gardens, construction of rainfall harvesting systems, reuse of air handling condensate, MBR permeate reuse, changing how we pump backwash waters, repairing and overhauling a water reuse system, installation of an automated system to improve effective well water extraction, completing studies to increase well extraction efficiencies, and upgrading a site water treatment system to reduce municipal water intake.

(9.15.1.18) Further details of target

No additional information needed.

Row 7

(9.15.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Water target 7

(9.15.1.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Site/facility

(9.15.1.4) Date target was set

01/01/2022

(9.15.1.5) End date of base year

12/31/2022

(9.15.1.6) Base year figure

0.07

(9.15.1.7) End date of target year

12/31/2030

(9.15.1.8) Target year figure

0.07

(9.15.1.9) Reporting year figure

0.18

(9.15.1.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(9.15.1.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

- ☒ Fair Water Footprints
- ☒ Water Resilience Coalition
- ☒ Other, please specify :Alliance for Water Stewardship

(9.15.1.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Total volume of purified water (PW)/ million cartridges produced remains flat out to 2030 while increasing production with using a 2022 baseline.

(9.15.1.14) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

This site is working with a consultant identify, evaluate and implement water use reduction and water reuse projects.

(9.15.1.18) Further details of target

No additional information needed.

Row 8

(9.15.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

- ☒ Water target 8

(9.15.1.2) Target coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Site/facility

(9.15.1.4) Date target was set

01/01/2022

(9.15.1.5) End date of base year

12/31/2022

(9.15.1.6) Base year figure

0

(9.15.1.7) End date of target year

12/31/2027

(9.15.1.8) Target year figure

1

(9.15.1.9) Reporting year figure

1

(9.15.1.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Achieved and maintained

(9.15.1.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

☒ Fair Water Footprints

☒ Water Resilience Coalition

☒ Other, please specify :Alliance for Water Stewardship

(9.15.1.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Installation and operation of a fourth well from an alternate aquifer with simultaneous implementation of advanced control, recording and analysis systems of data relating to piezometric levels as well as to the volumes withdrawn by 2027.

(9.15.1.15) Actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

Installation and operation of a fourth well from an alternate aquifer with simultaneous implementation of advanced control, recording and analysis systems of data relating to piezometric levels as well as to the volumes withdrawn.

(9.15.1.18) Further details of target

No additional information needed.

Row 9

(9.15.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Water target 9

(9.15.1.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Suppliers

(9.15.1.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water pollution

☒ Increase in the proportion of wastewater that is safely treated

(9.15.1.4) Date target was set

01/01/2022

(9.15.1.5) End date of base year

12/31/2022

(9.15.1.6) Base year figure

100

(9.15.1.7) End date of target year

12/31/2023

(9.15.1.8) Target year figure

100

(9.15.1.9) Reporting year figure

100

(9.15.1.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Achieved and maintained

(9.15.1.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

☒ Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework

☒ Wastewater Zero Commitment

(9.15.1.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

All external partner bulk API and finished drug product manufacturing sites must be capable of meeting predicted no-effect concentration (PNEC) discharge limits for Pharmaceuticals in the Environment through analytical measurement or mass-balance calculations.

(9.15.1.15) Actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

Sites are evaluated every three years on their control capability to meet discharge limits every three years.

(9.15.1.18) Further details of target

No additional information needed.

[Add row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

(11.2.1) Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments

Select from:

☒ Yes, we are taking actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments

(11.2.2) Type of action taken to progress biodiversity- related commitments

Select all that apply

☒ Land/water management

☒ Species management

☒ Education & awareness

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?	Indicators used to monitor biodiversity performance
	Select from: ☒ Yes, we use indicators	Select all that apply ☒ Pressure indicators

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	Select from: ☒ No	"Near" is subjective.
UNESCO World Heritage sites	Select from: ☒ No	"Near" is subjective.
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	Select from: ☒ No	"Near" is subjective.
Ramsar sites	Select from: ☒ No	"Near" is subjective.
Key Biodiversity Areas	Select from: ☒ No	"Near" is subjective.
Other areas important for biodiversity	Select from: ☒ Data not available	Subjective.

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Water

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Water security

- ☒ Water withdrawals– total volumes

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

- General standards
- ☒ ASAE 3000
 - ☒ Attestation Standards (AT-C Section 105 & 210/205) established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA)

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

Lilly’s 2025 Scope 1 and Scope 2 data received limited assurance by Ernst & Young, LLP (EY).- Please see attached. Bureau Veritas was engaged by Eli Lilly and Company to provide limited assurance over the specified 2025 environmental performance data (Water) - Please see attached.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

BV_Assurance_Report_Eli_Lilly_Environmental_KPIs_2025.pdf,EY_2025_Independent_Accountants_Review_Report.pdf
[Add row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

	Additional information
	NA

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Executive Director - Global Health, Safety and Environment

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

☒ Environment/Sustainability manager

[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub to facilitate collective action in priority basins.

Select from:

☒ No

