



## Portland General Electric Company

PGE Supply Chain Department

121 SW Salmon St.

Portland, OR, 97204

### REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL ("RFP")

#### Transmission Line Owner's Engineer Services for the Warm Springs Power Pathway Project

**RFP Number: PGE01-GID05-SH-005**

#### **THIS RFP AMENDMENT #1 IS RAISED TO:**

1. Respond to questions and answers;
2. Change the due date for Offeror questions and requests for clarifications, and the due date for PGE's response to Offeror questions and requests for clarifications;
3. Amend "2. Questions during the Solicitation Period" in Section 2: Offeror Instructions;
4. Amend "3. Other Attachments" in Section 1: General Information;
5. Amend "4. Method of Award - Highest Combined Rating of Technical Score (50%) and Price (50%)" in Section 4: Evaluation Procedures and Basis of Selection;
6. Amend "5. Next Step Determination" in Section 4: Evaluation Procedures and Basis of Selection";
7. Amend the Statement of Work ("SOW") in Annex A of the RFP;
8. Amend the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria in Annex C of the RFP; and
9. Amend the Point-Rated Evaluation Criteria in Annex D of the RFP.

#### **THIS RFP AMENDMENT #1 IS HEREBY AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:**

1. **DELETE** from the table on page 1 of the RFP:

Due Date for Offeror Questions & Requests for Clarification	<b>August 8, 2025</b>
PGE's Response to Questions & Requests for Clarification to be sent on or before	<b>August 11, 2025</b>



And **REPLACE** those deleted rows with:

Due Date for Offeror Questions & Requests for Clarification	<b>July 25, 2025</b>
PGE's Response to Questions & Requests for Clarification to be sent on or before	<b>August 1, 2025</b>

2. **DELETE** from the table on pages 12-13 of the RFP:

August 8, 2025	Due Date for Offeror Questions & Requests for Clarification
August 11 2025	PGE response to Offeror's Questions & Requests for Clarification Due

And **REPLACE** those deleted rows with:

July 25, 2025	Due Date for Offeror Questions & Requests for Clarification
August 1, 2025	PGE response to Offeror's Questions & Requests for Clarification Due

3. **DELETE** *"all inquiries about this solicitation must be submitted in writing to the SPOC no later than seven (7) calendar days before the RFP closing date,"* from 2. Questions during the Solicitation Period in Section 2: Offeror Instructions on page 7 of the RFP, and **REPLACE** it with the following:
- all inquiries about this solicitation must be submitted in writing to the SPOC no later than July 25, 2025.
4. **DELETE** from 3. Other Attachments in Section 1: General Information of the RFP "a .kmz file titled, "Existing Bethel-Round Butte 230kV" which shows the current structure locations", and **REPLACE** it with:
- "a .kmz file titled, "Existing B-RB Structure List and Locations" which shows the current structure locations and mile numbers".
5. **DELETE** 4. *Method of Award - Highest Combined Rating of Technical Score (50%) and Price (50%)* in its entirety, and **REPLACE** it with:

**4. Method of Award - Highest Combined Rating of Technical Score (50), Price (50), and Interview Score (TBD)**

- To be declared responsive, a proposal must:
  - comply with all the requirements of the solicitation; and
  - meet all mandatory criteria.
- Proposals not meeting (i) and (ii) above will be declared non-responsive.
- The initial selection (pre-interview) will be based on the highest responsive combined rating of technical score and price. The ratio will be 50 for the point-rated criteria and 50 for price. To establish the technical score, the overall technical score for each



responsive proposal will be determined as follows: total number of points obtained (the average of the scores from each evaluator) divided by the maximum number of points available multiplied by (a weight of) 50.

- iv. To establish the pricing score, each responsive proposal will be prorated against the lowest evaluated price and multiplied by (a weight of) 50.
- v. For each responsive proposal, the technical score and the pricing score will be added to determine its combined rating (pre-interview).
- vi. Neither the responsive proposal obtaining the highest technical score nor the one with the lowest evaluated price will necessarily be accepted. The responsive proposals with the highest combined rating of technical score and price score will be recommended for an interview.
- vii. After interviews, the final total score for each Offeror interviewed will be the sum of the Technical Score (50), Price (50), and the Interview Score (TBD).

The table below illustrates an example where all three proposals are responsive and the lowest evaluated price is \$45,000, and the interview weight and scores are To Be Determined (TBD).

Method of Award - Highest Combined Rating of Technical Score (50), Price (50), and Interview (TBD)			
	Bidder A	Bidder B	Bidder C
Mandatory Criteria [Pass/Fail]	Pass	Pass	Pass
Point Rated Criteria [(Offeror's Average Raw Technical Score / 100) x 50 Points Weight]	(90/100) X 50 = 45	(70/100) X 50 = 35	(75/100) X 50 = 37.50
Offeror's Total Price	\$55,000	\$50,000	\$45,000
Lowest Price / Offeror's Price x 50 Points Weight	(\$45,000/\$55,000) X 50 = 40.91	(\$45,000/\$50,000) X 50 = 45	(\$45,000/\$45,000) X 50 = 50
Combined Rating of Technical Score and Price	45 + 40.91 = 85.91	35 + 45 = 80	37.50 + 50 = 87.50
Combined Rating of Technical Score, Price, and Interview Score	45 + 40.91 + TBD = TBD		37.50 + 50 + TBD = TBD
Overall Rating (out of 100 + Interview Score (TBD))	TBD PLACE	3RD PLACE	TBD PLACE

6. **DELETE** 5. *Next Step Determination* in Section 4: Evaluation Procedures and Basis of Selection on page 15 of the RFP in its entirety, and **REPLACE** it with:

## 5. Next Step Determination

PGE may conduct additional rounds of competition if in the best interest of PGE. If PGE elects to conduct additional rounds of competition, PGE shall provide written notice to all highest-scored Offerors describing the next step. An alternative round of competition may consist of, but will not be limited to:

### a. Interviews

Offerors should be prepared to provide a brief presentation of their proposal, lasting no more than 10-15 minutes, and respond to questions related specifically to their proposals and other pertinent matters regarding the RFP.



Questions may be directed to the Offeror's key project staff regarding qualifications, experience and the firm's overall approach. At a minimum, the project manager and the key individuals responsible for delivering the services should be in attendance. Interviews might be in-person or virtually via MS Teams. PGE will provide information to the highest scored Offerors regarding time and format for the interview prior to the interview. The total interview points to be awarded, should interviews be arranged, will be determined and communicated to the highest scored Offerors before the interviews begin.

At any time, PGE may dispense with the selected additional round and

1. award the Contract to the highest-scoring responsible Offeror; or
  2. cancel the solicitation.
7. **DELETE** from Section 1 of the SOW, "Part of the work will be fixed price and part of the work will be performed on a Time and Materials ("T&M") basis," and **REPLACE** it with:
- All of the work will be performed on a Time and Materials ("T&M") basis.
8. **DELETE** section 4.3.1 from the SOW in its entirety, and **REPLACE** it with:
- 4.3.1 Potential design options may include:
- a. For the 500 kV corridor:
    - One (1) or two (2) overhead static wires designed as Optical Ground Wire ("OPGW"); **and**
  - b. the 230 kV corridor:
    - One (1) or two (2) cables of 144-count ADSS
9. **DELETE** Section 14.1 from the SOW in its entirety.
10. **DELETE** Section 14.2 from the SOW in its entirety.
11. **DELETE** Section 14.3 from the SOW in its entirety, and **REPLACE** it with:
- 14.3 The Contractor shall invoice PGE on a monthly basis for the tasks and deliverables identified in the SOW in accordance with Annex B - Basis of Payment. The total not-to-exceed amount for the Initial Term is \$\_\_\_\_\_ USD.
12. **DELETE** "The Offeror must have a Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) from SAM.gov. The Offeror must provide their UEI number" from *Annex C - Mandatory Evaluation Criteria* on page 41 of the RFP, and **REPLACE** it with:
- The Offeror must be registered on SAM.gov and have a Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) from SAM.gov. The Offeror must provide their SAM.gov registration status and UEI number.



13. **DELETE** "Each project example will be worth a maximum of 5 points, for a total of 20 points for four (4) project examples but only the four best project examples will be scored" from *Annex D - Point-Rated Evaluation Criteria* on page 45 of the RFP, and **REPLACE** it with:

- Each of the four projects will be given a rating from 0 to 5. Then the Proposal Evaluators will take the average of the four ratings to get the rating for point rated criterion #1.

14. **DELETE** "Each project example will be worth a maximum of 5 points, for a total of 10 points for two (2) project examples but only the two best project examples will be scored" from *Annex D - Point-Rated Evaluation Criteria* on page 46 of the RFP, and **REPLACE** it with:

- Each of the two projects will be given a rating from 0 to 5. Then the Proposal Evaluators will take the average of the two ratings to get the rating for point rated criterion #2.

15. **DELETE** "Each project example will be worth a maximum of 5 points, for a total of 15 points for three (3) project examples" from *Annex D - Point-Rated Evaluation Criteria* on page 46 of the RFP, and **REPLACE** it with:

- Each of the three projects will be given a rating from 0 to 5. Then the Proposal Evaluators will take the average of the three ratings to get the rating for point rated criterion #3.

**ALL OTHER INFORMATION IN THIS RFP REMAINS THE SAME.**