



EVOLVED ENERGY RESEARCH

Prepared for: Portland General Electric

Prepared by: Evolved Energy Research

2022 August 15

Contents

- Background
- Modeling approaches and assumptions
 - Modeling framework
 - Scenarios
- Results
- Summary





Background

Motivation and context

- In 2017, Portland General Electric (PGE) commissioned Evolved Energy Research (EER) to undertake an independent study exploring pathways to deep decarbonization for its service territory ("Deep Decarb Study")
 - Study evaluated an economy-wide reduction in GHG emissions of 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050
- Since then, Oregon has adopted two keynote environmental policies limiting greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions
 - **1.** House Bill (HB 2021) establishes emissions reduction targets for PGE's electricity mix; and
 - 2. Climate Protection Program (CPP) limits GHG emissions associated with the use of fossil fuels in buildings, industry and transportation



Purpose and scope

- The *Deep Decarb Study Update* explores pathways that achieve the HB 2021 and CPP emissions targets ("study policy targets") for PGE's service territory
- Questions posed:
 - What are the opportunities and challenges of achieving the study policy targets?
 - What are the result implications for electricity system operations and planning?
 - What are the cross-sectoral impacts of the two policy targets?





Study policy targets



page 6

EVOLVED ENERGY

RESEARCH



Modeling Approaches and Assumptions

High-level description of modeling approach

• Modeling projects energy demand for PGE's service territory and the leastcost way to provide that energy under policy constraints





Analysis covers energy system for PGE's entire service territory

- Study includes a detailed representation of the PGE service territory's energy system, including infrastructure stocks and energy demands for buildings, industry and transportation
- Cost-optimal portfolios for electricity and fuels are developed to achieve policy goals at least-cost





Modeling result considerations

- Our modeling results may differ from PGE's IRP and DSP due to the use of alternative models and the inclusion of direct access loads in our scope
- Scenarios do not reflect PGE's business plan or future resource acquisitions
- This study's modeling approach and results do not replace existing tools or processes used by PGE, such as defining "need" for resource adequacy or identifying optimal portfolios





Scenarios



Four pathways designed to meet the study policy targets

1. Electric Economy

- Electrify buildings, industry & transportation to the extent possible to meet CPP targets
- Deploy primarily transmission-sited (supply-side) resources to meet HB 2021

2. Consumer Transformation

- Electrify homes & businesses, while consumers actively participate to provide flexibility
- Very high customer-sited solar and storage adoption, plus end-use load flexibility

3. Advanced Technology

- Assess the impact of nascent clean energy technologies
- Understand broad impacts of offshore wind and hydrogen use in power generation

4. Clean Fuels

- Maintain gas use in buildings and decarbonize fuel supply
- Prolific use of hydrogen in transportation and industry



Scenario framework answers key questions





High-level assumptions table

Values are for 2050 unless specified otherwise

| | | Electric Economy [S1] | Consumer Transformation [S2] | Advanced Technology [S3] | Clean Fuels [S4] |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Demand side | End-use electrification | High Electrification | | | Low Electrification |
| | Load Flexibility | Base | Aspirational | Same as S1 | Same as S1 |
| Electricity Supply | Customer-sited resources | Base | Aspirational | Same as S1 | Same as S1 |
| | Supply-side resources | Onshore wind, solar PV and energy storage | | Allow offshore wind and H ₂ turbines | Same as S1 |
| | Existing thermal resources | - Colstrip : planned exit - Existing gas-fired resources : burn NG and then ZCF as needed (100% ZCF by 2040 | | |) |
| Fuel Supply | Zero-carbon fuels | Minimal deployment since emissions reductions are primarily from demand-side reductions | | | High RNG and H ₂ use |





Results

- The results in this section are presented as follows
- The **Electric Economy** scenario is presented first with an in-depth focus on how the PGE service territory energy system transforms to meet the study policy targets
- Next, the Consumer Transformation, Advanced Technology and Clean Fuels pathways are presented in the context of how the results differ relative to the Electric Economy scenarios





Emissions and Energy demand

Electric Economy



Covered CO₂ emissions

- Emissions covered by HB 2021 and CPP are approximately 20 Mt CO₂ today
 - Emissions associated with liquid fuel consumption, overwhelmingly found in transportation, make up almost half of all emissions
- Abatement is front-loaded during the first decade primarily due to HB 2021's 2030 carbon target
- Emissions decline to under 1 Mt by 2050



Final energy demand

- Final energy demand defined
 - <u>Includes</u>: energy used in the delivery of services such as heating or transportation (e.g., includes pipeline gas consumed in a furnace to provide heat)
 - <u>Excludes</u>: energy consumed in converting to other forms of energy (e.g., excludes pipeline gas consumed in a power plant and electricity consumed in electrolysis)
- Aggressive electrification in the building, industrial and transportation sectors results in large declines in demand for pipeline gas and liquid fuels
 - Electricity consumption more than doubles



Final energy demand by sector

- The largest transformation takes place in the buildings and transportation sectors, driven by aggressive end-us electrification
 - Heat pumps shift pipeline gas consumption to electricity in buildings
 - BEVs shift liquid fuel consumption to electricity in transportation
- Industrial sector demand continues to grow due to baseline growth embedded in PGE's load forecast and assumed switching from gas to electricity

Final Energy Demand









Electricity Sector Results

Electric Economy



Electricity generation

- PGE's generation mix rapidly shifts from a hydro-thermal dominated mix to wind and solar-oriented
 - Gas generation decreases from 42% of total in 2022 to 14% by 2030
- Cost-optimal 100% clean generation mix (2040-2050):
 - <u>Solar</u>: 35%
 - <u>Onshore wind</u>: 55%
 - <u>Hydro</u>: 5%
 - <u>Gas (zero-carbon)</u>: 5%



page 22

ENERGY

RESEARCH

Installed capacity: today through 2030

- PGE's total installed capacity more than doubles by 2030 as energy, reliability and emission constraints bind
- Colstrip exit and expiration of contracts (e.g., PUD hydro) introduces a large capacity and energy gap that can only be met with renewables and storage
- Size of installed capacity is large since the incremental sources generally are low utilization (e.g., capacity factor 20-40%)



Installed capacity through 2050

Installed Capacity

MW





Fuel for existing thermal resources

- HB 2021 emission limits are met through 2035 by reducing thermal resource generation and natural gas use
- Starting in 2040, fuel supply is 100% decarbonized, including ~40% hydrogen and ~60% RNG (biomass-derived)



📕 Pipeline Gas 📃 Hydrogen

ENERGY

Distribution system

- We approximate total distribution system capacity by summing the capacity of each feeder archetype
 - Approximately equivalent to the sum of each individual feeder's weak link
- Most distribution capacity upgrades are triggered post-2030
- Total simulated distribution capacity grows by one third from today to 2050



ENERGY



Results

Consumer Transformation



Distribution system comparison

- A combination of customer-sited solar and storage, plus load shifting contributes to avoiding growth on the distribution system
- Approximately 500 MW of nominal capacity is avoided by 2050 and most of this occurs on residential-oriented feeders



ENERGY

Additional considerations

- On operationally challenging days, one of the ways to engage customers and realize further distribution deferral benefits is to implement critical peak pricing over sustained time (e.g., all day) to *reduce* rather than shift load
 - Specifically, voluntary vehicle load shedding on peak days would produce significant reductions
- In addition to programs that reduce consumption, customer-sited resources could be targeted on feeders near the planning threshold
- Furthermore, thermal ratings in the winter are typically higher than summer ratings, which provides additional distribution system capacity





Results

Advanced Technology



Resource mix comparison

- H₂ turbines and offshore wind are both economic (~2 GW deployment for each) with nearand long-term impacts on PGE's resource mix
- These technologies primarily displace supply-side solar and storage resources, and make more efficient use of renewable electricity
 - Total installed capacity requirements decrease by nearly 10 GW by 2050
 - Curtailment is ~2/3 lower

Installed Capacity: 2030-2050







Hourly dispatch comparison

Sample day in winter 2050

 Higher levels of dispatchable resources (H₂ turbines) and renewables with strong output in the winter (offshore wind) reduce the need for high-duration storage to meet challenging system conditions



ENERGY

RESEARCH

page 32

Generation, MW



Results Clean Fuels



Energy demand comparison

Clean Fuels pathway retains significant use of gas in buildings, while expanding direct hydrogen consumption in freight transportation





As a result, retail electricity sales are ~10,000 GWh lower by 2050 relative to the Electric Economy scenario

Retail Electricity Sales





EVOLVED ENERGY

RESEARCH

Distribution system comparison

- Lower residential and commercial building electrification translates into lower distribution system growth
- However, upgrades are still needed due to extensive passenger transportation electrification and base industrial load growth



ENERGY

Fuels supply comparison

- The trade-off with lower electricity sector delivery (T&D) and generation infrastructure is the extensive use of expensive (\$20/MMBtu+) zero carbon fuels
- Zero carbon fuel consumption in 2050 to meet CPP is more than triple
 - Gasoline demand reductions from passenger transportation drive lead to most demand reductions



Liquid Fuels and Pipeline Gas Supply TBtu





Summary



- The results of the analysis demonstrate the feasibility of PGE achieving compliance with HB 2021 and CPP
- We use scenarios to evaluate alternate strategies to meet the emissions reductions required by those policies
- Through this process, we have identified key insights and important implications for PGE



Zero-carbon resource growth

- Meeting policy targets requires new renewable and storage procurement at an unprecedented scale and pace
- In the Electric Economy scenario, PGE adds ~1,500 MW/year of renewables and storage from 2026-2035
- Both technology and geographic diversity is key metrics for 2040:
 - Wind:solar generation ratio of 60:40
 - Montana wind is ~20% of total generation
 - When offshore wind is allowed as a resource, 1.5 GW is added (15% of generation)
- In the absence of new thermal, battery storage provides capacity and flexibility
 - Average duration of the battery storage fleet is 7 hours in 2030 and 10 hours by 2040



page

39



- Since PGE cannot build new thermal resources that burn fossil fuels, existing resources continue operations through 2050, supporting system reliability
 - Existing gas resources switch from natural gas to zero carbon fuel in 2040, in compliance with the CPP
- If new thermal resources (H₂ turbines) can be constructed, it complements existing gas resources and reduces over-reliance on battery storage
 - Significantly less resource procurement is needed
 - Renewable curtailment is reduced by two-thirds



Distributed resources

- Benefit of flexible load and increased penetration of customer-sited solar and storage is twofold
 - Align load with renewable production profile
 - Mitigate distribution upgrades
- Battery electric vehicle charging represents the largest opportunity to avoid distribution peak impacts
- Economic benefits could be maximized with:
 - Resources are targeted on feeders that are already near their planning threshold or anticipated to grow rapidly
 - Implement critical peak pricing over a sustained time (all day) to reduce rather than shift load to another time of day –specifically voluntary vehicle load shedding



Electrification versus clean fuels

- Clean fuels may be a viable alternative to electrification in meeting CPP targets, but they carry higher costs and risks
- Total zero carbon fuel consumption required in 2050 is 3x higher in the Clean Fuels scenario relative to Electric Economy
- Cost and availability of clean fuels in 2050 is also highly uncertain & all biomass-derived fuel potential would be required



CPP implications for PGE

- Oregon's CPP does not directly regulate PGE but it has important implications for the electric sector
- PGE should expect significant building and transportation electrification as a CPP compliance strategy
- This will increase total load, but also affect load characreristics in other ways
 - Electrifying heat in buildings will eventually transform PGE's system from a dual peaking to distinctly winter peaking system
 - Electric vehicle charging introduces valuable flexibility that can help avoid distribution system upgrades if managed carefully
 - Electrolyzers serving hydrogen demand in the industrial and transportation sectors introduce large, flexible electric loads that can absorb otherwise curtailed renewable generation





Thank You

www.evolved.energy

Update: directional impact of legislation on PGE strategy





Customer Demand

Oregon could see upwards of \$40 billion of investment over the next ten years, with tens of thousands of jobs and \$2-3 billion in local tax revenue.

Additional funding for transportation electrification, domestic semiconductor production/R&D, and IIJA funding for manufacturing to provide upward pressure on demand.¹

PGE long-term load forecast revised upward by 1% per year in response.



Price impact

Increased funding of renewable and carbon-free energy sources, credits expanded and extended:

60% solar ITC
\$35/MWh wind PTC
60% offshore wind PTC
30% storage ITC
\$31/MWh nuclear PTC

Leveled playing field through transferability and normalization fixes.



Technology availability

IIJA and IRA funding aims to make new technologies commercially feasible: EV adoption, solar and storage credits, and loan programs for customers.

Funding available for transmission projects.

ITC expanded to include offshore wind

Nuclear tax credits

Carbon capture and storage credits



Long-term earnings power

Legislative action drives potential for strategic capital investment while providing downward pressure on per-unit prices through tax credits.

Technology neutral tax incentives, grants, and funds for customer-sited technology will reduce customer rate impacts of decarbonizing.

Legislative action on normalization and transferability reduces earnings drag and makes solar ownership feasible.

Partnership in pursuit of grant funding likely to add to strategic capital opportunities.