



RFP QUESTIONS & ANSWERS SUMMARY PART 4

RFP # PGE01-GID05-SH-004 - Environmental Permits and Land Use Authorizations for the Warm Springs Power Pathway Project

RFP QUESTIONS			RFP ANSWERS		
#	Supplier Questions	Question Date	PGE Answers	Answer Date	RFP Update Required (Y/N)
6	For the “Key Persons” listed in the table in Section 8 – Resource Plan on pages 33-34 of the RFP, please elaborate how public safety experience is related to the Tribal Permitting Lead role.	7/3/2025	<p>PGE takes public safety very seriously to ensure the safety of all communities. All Key Persons for this project should have some experience in:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Wildfire prevention and mitigation programs, including vegetation management and system hardening in high-risk areas2. Emergency response planning and coordination with local first responders3. Public education campaigns about electrical safety4. Power line safety programs and regular infrastructure inspections5. Public Safety Power Shutoffs (PSPS) during extreme weather conditions6. Coordination with local emergency management agencies <p>PGE has removed “public safety” from the Tribal Permitting Lead’s role, and instead added a separate section in 8.3 of the SOW about public safety that applies to all Key Persons for this project. See #7 in RFP Amendment #3 for this specific change.</p>	7/11/25	Y
7	In task 4.2.4.1.2 on page 23 of the RFP, the Contractor is required to “Prepare and submit Biological Assessments”, but this is not listed in Section 5 tasks or the deliverable table in Section 9 of the SOW. Can you confirm that the tasks and subtasks shown in the deliverable table are comprehensive, or do Offerors need to do	7/3/2025	<p>Section 5 and section 9 of the SOW do not provide an exhaustive list of all the necessary intermediate steps required to achieve the objective of the scope of services described in section 4 of the SOW on pages 22-23 of the RFP. Offerors are expected to know all the necessary intermediate steps and sub-tasks required to fulfill the:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. scope of services described in section 4 of the SOW on pages 22-23 of the RFP; and	7/11/25	N

RFP QUESTIONS			RFP ANSWERS		
#	Supplier Questions	Question Date	PGE Answers	Answer Date	RFP Update Required (Y/N)
	an extensive cross-check to confirm that Sections 4, 5, and the deliverable table in Section 9 are all captured?		2. all the deliverables identified in section 9 of the SOW on pages 35-39 of the RFP.		
8	Is PGE expecting a written scope of work by task, or are responses to Annex D questions with task-specific assumptions associated with pricing sufficient?	7/3/2025	<p>PGE is not expecting a written scope of work by task.</p> <p>In order for Offeror's proposals to be considered for PGE evaluation, each offeror must complete and submit the below listed documents. Additionally, Offerors must follow the proposal preparation instructions specified in each criterion in both <i>Annex C - Mandatory Evaluation Criteria</i> on pages 47-51 of the RFP, and in <i>Annex D - Point-Rated Evaluation Criteria</i> on pages 52-56 of the RFP.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Annex B - Basis of Payment found in the Excel document; 2. Annex C - Mandatory Technical Criteria found on page 47-51 of the RFP; 3. Annex D - Point Rated Technical Criteria found on pages 52-56 of the RFP; 4. Annex E - Contractor Representation & Certification Form found on pages 57-58 of the RFP; 5. Annex F - Non-Collusion Certification found on page 59 of the RFP; and 6. Annex G - Byrd Anti-lobbying Amendment Certification found on page 60 of the RFP. 	7/11/25	N
9	Providing firm fixed pricing for a duration of seven years on a scope that is not yet clearly defined is incredibly challenging, and very risky for a firm to take on, leading to the need to include significant contingency funding. We are also concerned that it will be very challenging for PGE to actually receive comparable pricing between Offerors. Would PGE be open to considering a revised pricing matrix for say a firm list of tasks that are likely to occur in the first year,	7/3/2025	<p>All work will be performed on a Time & Materials ("T&M") basis. This was stated on page 20 of the RFP. PGE requires that Offerors specify and commit to the total price for the full seven-year period. The Contractor shall invoice PGE on a monthly basis for the T&M that went towards the deliverables identified in Section 9 of the SOW in accordance with <i>Annex B - Basis of Payment</i>. See #8 in RFP Amendment #3 for this specific change.</p> <p>The Excel document provided to the Offerors on June 13, 2025 titled, <i>Annex B - Basis of Payment</i>, includes a list of</p>	7/11/25	Y

RFP QUESTIONS			RFP ANSWERS		
#	Supplier Questions	Question Date	PGE Answers	Answer Date	RFP Update Required (Y/N)
	and then ballpark estimated pricing and/or a rate discussion for the following years?		<p>tasks that will be required throughout the seven year period. It is unclear which of those tasks will be likely to occur in the first year. There are separate worksheets within that spreadsheet titled "Option 1 Spread Pricing", "Option 2 EFSC Pricing", and "Option 2 No-EFSC Spread Pricing". Offerors are invited to specify their escalation rates per year there.</p> <p>Each offeror will need to add a 15% contingency to their project cost in each of the worksheet within the Annex B - Basis of Payment. There's a separate line item in each worksheet for this. In this respect, all offerors will be treated equally.</p>		
10	Could PGE extend the solicitation closing date from July 25, 2025 to August 8, 2025?	7/3/2025	Yes. See numbers 1-4 in RFP Amendment #3 for this specific change.	7/11/25	Y
11	Are any access road improvements or upgrades anticipated as part of the project? If so, could that information be provided?	7/3/2025	Yes, new access roads and improvements will be required for this project. The extent of the improvements is currently unknown. It is dependent on design avoidance based on data from desk and filed studies and the completion of 30% design.	7/11/25	N
12	Is it possible to obtain a GIS layer or mapping file showing access roads, proposed laydown, and staging areas associated with the transmission line corridor?	7/3/2025	This project is in the early stages of engineering. Additional access needs, laydown areas and staging areas will be identified as part of an iterative process with the successful Offeror to minimize impacts.	7/11/25	N
13	In what year was the original transmission line constructed?	7/3/2025	As stated in section 1.2.1 on page 19 of the RFP, the original line was built in the 1960s. It was put in service in 1964.	7/11/25	N
14	Has the existing transmission line previously undergone any review under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?	7/3/2025	No review under NEPA has been completed for the existing transmission line.	7/11/25	N
15	How many right-of-way (ROW) agreements will require amendments for Bureau of Land	7/3/2025	Currently there is one ROW agreement for BLM Lands in Marion County and one ROW agreement for the national forests, which includes Willamette, Mt. Hood, and Ochoco.	7/11/25	N

RFP QUESTIONS			RFP ANSWERS		
#	Supplier Questions	Question Date	PGE Answers	Answer Date	RFP Update Required (Y/N)
	Management (BLM) and U.S. Forest Service (USFS) lands affected by the project?				
16	Could potential Offerors obtain a GIS layer or mapping file showing the location of the Mt. View Switchyard and Lambert Substation?	7/3/2025	This information will be provided only to the successful bidder.	7/11/25	N