

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL AMENDMENT NO. 1

Substation Owner's Engineer Services for the Warm Springs Power Pathway Project

RFP Number	PGE01-GID05-SH-017
Amendment	No. 1

Purpose of This Amendment

This Amendment revises the RFP to clarify the definition and delivery of the Intent to Award notice, the treatment of late or improperly submitted Proposals, joint venture and prime contractor/subconsultant teaming arrangements, responsiveness requirements, and technical evaluation requirements. This Amendment also accompanies PGE's responses to Offeror questions and requests for clarification.

Offeror action required: Review each change below and incorporate all applicable requirements into the Proposal.

1. Changes to the RFP

REPLACE

Section 1 - Definitions - "Intent to Award"

Current text

"Intent to Award" is a written notice identifying the intended Contract awardee. This notice will be published on the PGE website.

Replace with

a. "Intent to Award" means a written notice identifying the Offeror that PGE intends to award the Contract. PGE will issue the Intent to Award notice to Offerors by email.

REPLACE

Section 3.2, Submission of Proposals - Sentence Concerning Late or Improperly Submitted Proposals

Current text

Any Proposal received after 5:00 p.m. PDT on the Proposal Closing Date, or submitted in a manner not specified in this RFP, will be considered non-responsive and may be excluded from award consideration.

Replace with

Any Proposal received after 5:00 p.m. PDT on the Proposal Closing Date, or submitted in a manner not specified in this RFP, will be considered non-responsive and will be excluded from award consideration.

Joint Ventures and Teaming Arrangements

ADD

Section 3.3 - Joint Ventures and Teaming Arrangements

For purposes of demonstrating compliance with Mandatory Evaluation Criteria and other solicitation requirements, applicable experience, qualifications, and personnel requirements may be satisfied by:

- a. the Offeror;
- b. a single joint venture member; or
- c. a single identified subconsultant.

Where a requirement is satisfied by a joint venture member or subconsultant, the Proposal must clearly identify the entity meeting the requirement and describe its roles, responsibilities, qualifications, and personnel.

Multiple entities may not combine partial qualifications, experience, personnel, or resources to collectively satisfy a single Mandatory Evaluation Criterion or other minimum solicitation requirement.

Responsiveness Requirements

REPLACE

Section 4.1 - Responsiveness Requirements

Current text

Responsiveness Requirements: To be deemed responsive, a Proposal must:

- i. Comply in all material respects with the requirements of this Solicitation; and
- ii. Satisfy all Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.

Proposals that do not satisfy both conditions above may be declared non-responsive and will not be evaluated further.

Replace with

Responsiveness Requirements

To be deemed responsive, a Proposal must:

- 1. Comply in all material respects with the requirements of this Solicitation; and
- 2. Satisfy all Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.

Proposals that do not satisfy both conditions above will be declared non-responsive and will not be evaluated further, except that PGE may waive a minor informality or immaterial irregularity in accordance with this Solicitation.

Technical Evaluation

REPLACE

Section 4.2 - Technical Evaluation

Current text

Mandatory Evaluation Criteria: Each Proposal will be reviewed to determine whether it meets all mandatory requirements of this Solicitation. Mandatory Evaluation Criteria are identified in Annex C. Proposals that do not comply with every mandatory requirement may be deemed non-responsive and disqualified. Other instructions containing the word “must” shall be interpreted in accordance with the responsiveness provisions of this Solicitation.

Point-Rated Evaluation Criteria: Each Proposal will be scored in accordance with the criteria described in Annex D of this Solicitation.

Replace with

Mandatory Evaluation Criteria: Each Proposal will be reviewed to determine whether it meets all Mandatory Evaluation Criteria identified in Annex C. Requirements expressly identified in Annex C as Mandatory Evaluation Criteria are pass/fail requirements. A Proposal that fails to satisfy a Mandatory Evaluation Criterion will be deemed non-responsive and will not proceed to point-rated evaluation. PGE may waive only a minor informality or immaterial irregularity in accordance with this Solicitation.

Point-Rated Evaluation Criteria: Requirements contained in Annex D are point-rated and will be evaluated in accordance with the scoring methodology stated in Annex D. Failure to fully address a Point-Rated Evaluation Criterion may result in a reduced score but will not, by itself, render a Proposal non-responsive, unless the Solicitation expressly states that failure to comply with a particular requirement will result in non-responsiveness.

Reference Checks: PGE may conduct reference checks for any Offeror at any stage of the evaluation process. Reference checks may be conducted using references provided by the Offeror or through other sources known to PGE.

Information obtained through reference checks may be considered as part of PGE's responsibility determination and overall evaluation of the Offeror.

If PGE is unable to successfully contact one or more references provided by the Offeror, PGE may request alternate references. Failure of a reference to respond shall not, by itself, render a Proposal non-responsive.

2. Effect of Amendment

This Amendment forms part of the Solicitation. If this Amendment conflicts with the originally issued RFP or any previously issued solicitation document, this Amendment controls. Except as expressly revised by this Amendment, all other terms and requirements of the Solicitation remain unchanged.

END OF AMENDMENT NO. 1