OKX

Sustainability indicators for crypto-assets

Disclosures pursuant to Article 66(5) MiCA

This report was provided by:

CRYPTO RISK METRICS
DON'T TRUST. VERIFY.

Copyright © 2026 Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH. All rights reserved.

2026-09-06

Table of Contents

Preamble 11
Overview 11
Sustainability indicators 24
- Bitcoin 24
- Dogecoin 28
- Litecoin 32
- Bitcoin Cash 35
- Ethereum Classic Ether 40
- Zcash 43
- DigiByte 47
- Core 52
- Dash 55
- Ravencoin 59
- ORDI 62
- Stacks 66
- Solana SOL 69
- Internet Computer Token 73
- EthereumPOW 77
- TRON TRX 80
- Filecoin 84
- Ethereum Eth 89
- Conflux 92
- Gram 95
- Chia 97
- NEAR Protocol 100
- Avalanche AVAX 105
- Cardano ADA 108
- MultiversX EGLD 112
- Polkadot DOT 116
- THETA 122
- Flow 125
- Kusama 128
- Ripple XRP 131
- USDC 136

Arweave	158
Algorand	161
Sui	164
Merlin	167
Tezos	169
Wallet Connect	172
Aptos Coin	174
Berachain BERA	176
Injective Token	178
SEI	184
Cosmos ATOM	187
Canton Coin	193
Terra	195
Flare	197
Zilliqa	199
IOST	204
ICON	207
PlatON	209
Cronos	211
Polygon POL	218
Qtum	221
Binance Coin	223
Casper	226
Hedera HBAR	228
Mina	230
Celestia	234
Ontology	237
Hyperliquid	239
Gas	241
IOTA	243
NEO	245
Story	248
WAX	250
Pi Network	252
Monad	254
Kaia	256

Stellar Lumen	258
Nesa AI	260
Babylon	262
Harmony ONE	264
Celo	268
Ronin	272
Lisk	274
Allora	276
Band	280
Aelf	285
Zeta	289
Bittensor	291
Linea	293
Chiliz	295
Metis Token	298
Espresso	301
Scroll	304
Pharos	306
Fusion	309
Sophon	312
Gensyn	314
Movement	316
Uniswap	318
Plasma	322
Astar	324
Katana	326
Fogo	330
ChainLink Token	332
ZKsync	341
Curve DAO Token	343
1INCH Token	349
OKB	357
SHIBA INU	360
Aave Token	362
Global Dollar	372
NAVX	374

Numeraire	376
Threshold Network Token	378
Pepe	380
ENA	382
Pump.fun	384
Cetus Protocol	387
Arbitrum	389
MegaETH	391
EURC	393
Lighter	398
Ondo	400
SKY Governance Token	402
Convex Token	404
Pendle	406
yearn.finance	409
DuckChain Token	419
ApeCoin	421
ether.fi governance token	425
Lido DAO Token	427
Liquid staked Ether 2.0	433
Velodrome	435
Axie Infinity Shard	437
SushiSwap	443
Livepeer	449
Morpho Token	452
Zama	454
Momentum	459
Compound	461
Basic Attention Token	469
Orbs	477
Bancor	481
Worldcoin	486
Centrifuge	488
API3	490
StorjToken	492
Turbo	494

Decentraland	496
Ethereum Name Service	501
Safe Token	505
SAND	507
Synthetix Network	512
Eigen	521
Yield Basis	523
World Liberty Financial	525
Fabric Protocol	530
Spark	532
Biconomy Token	535
Reserve Rights	537
LayerZero	540
Gala	542
DAPPOS	544
Optimism	547
OFFICIAL TRUMP	549
Bonk	554
Pyth Network	557
Aethir Token	560
Graph Token	562
Fetch	564
Raydium	566
Fluid	569
Render Token	572
Virtual Protocol	576
StarkNet Token	580
FLOKI	582
LoopringCoin V2	585
Vaulta	587
Zentry	589
VELO	593
Immutable X	595
BIO	598
Aevo	602
Smooth Love Potion	604

Animecoin	607
zkPass	609
Rocket Pool	611
Synapse	614
Pudgy Penguins	622
Kamino Finance	625
Wormhole Token	628
0x Protocol Token	633
SSV	639
Gravity	641
WINkLink	643
Grvt	646
Mask Network	649
SPACE ID	653
Re	656
Midnight	658
Lombard	662
Bounce Token	665
Big Time	668
Alchemy	670
edgeX_edge	673
DoubleZero	676
Memecoin	679
Succinct	681
Blur	683
Illuvium	685
Yield Guild Games Token	689
Liquity	695
Pixels	697
USD.AI	700
Resolv	702
OMI Token	704
SKALE	706
Polyhedra Network Token	708
Sahara AI	710
Arkham	713

Civic	715
JoeToken	718
Wootrade Network	721
dogwifhat	728
Enjin	731
Kyber Network Crystal v2	735
Wayfinder Prompt	739
Brevis	742
Jito	745
UMA Voting Token v1	748
Banana	750
Gluwa Creditcoin Vesting Token	752
Billions Network	754
ConstitutionDAO	756
Sentient	758
Golem Network Token	760
Status Network Token	762
Stader	764
DODO bird	766
Zora	769
Solstice	771
BOOK OF MEME	774
Baby Doge Coin	777
Tellor Tributes	782
SwftCoin	787
Spacecoin	790
Tottenham Hotspur FC Fan Token	792
Zebec Network	796
CelerToken	799
aixbt by Virtuals	802
Kite	806
Trabzonspor Fan Token	810
Irys	814
dYdX	817
Adventure Gold	821
Flamengo Fan Token	823

Manchester City Fan Token	827
Gods Unchained	831
Grass	833
Open Loot	836
XMON	838
MAGIC	840
Gmt	842
Tensor	845
Magic Eden	848
Peanut the Squirrel	851
Horizen	854
cat in a dogs world	856
Jupiter	859
Moo Deng	862
Parcl	865
Huma Finance	868
Avantis	872
Doodles	874
Goatseus Maximus	877
Argentine Football Association Fan Token	880
Catizen	882
DOGS	884
Hamster Kombat	886
NOT	888
ZEUS	890
Degen	893
Simons Cat	895
Portugal National Team Fan Token	899
Solayer	901
Toshi	904
Sonic SVM	906
OneFootball Credits	909
Neiro	911
Aergo	913
KAITO	915
Aspecta	917

Particle Network 921
Leo Token 923
Caplab 925

Preamble

About the Crypto-Asset Service Provider (CASP)

Name of the CASP: OKCoin Europe Ltd
Street and number: Piazzetta Business Plaza, Office Number 4, Floor 2, Triq Ghar il-Lembi
City: Sliema
Country: Malta
LEI: 54930069NLWEIGLHXU42

About this report

This disclosure serves as evidence of compliance with the regulatory requirements of Article 66(5) MiCA. Under this provision, crypto-asset service providers are required to disclose information on the principal adverse impacts on the climate and the environment. In particular, this disclosure complies with "Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2025/422 of 17 December 2024 supplementing Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to regulatory technical standards specifying the content, methodologies and presentation of information in respect of sustainability indicators in relation to adverse impacts on the climate and other environment-related adverse impacts". The optional information referred to in Article 6(8), points (a) to (d), of Delegated Regulation (EU) 2025/422 is not included.

This report remains valid until material changes occur in the underlying data, in which case it will be updated promptly.

Overview

This is an overview of the core indicator "energy consumption" and does not constitute the disclosure required under Article 66(5) MiCA. The full disclosure is set out below.

#	Crypto-Asset Name	Crypto-Asset FFG	Energy consumption (kWh per calendar year)
1	Bitcoin	V15WLZJMF	166,570,023,634.78
2	Dogecoin	35PLJP6J7	5,561,742,156.48
3	Litecoin	D74JZ1VRD	972,502,888.51
4	Bitcoin Cash	919BF3W7L	578,429,532.65
5	Ethereum Classic Ether	DGMQMFZD4	355,966,894.30
6	Zcash	7JPSSTXMS	322,297,400.51
7	DigiByte	9TSBBQ5PH	166,570,024.44
8	Core	5JRWC5LZQ	112,481,238.99
9	Dash	PPDH6B273	67,500,000.80

#	Crypto-Asset Name	Crypto-Asset FFG	Energy consumption (kWh per calendar year)
10	Ravencoin	SVCV42BS8	35,935,825.77
11	ORDI	/	10,077,203.30
12	Stacks	PQTGLSGRG	7,495,651.06
13	Solana SOL	6QZ1LNC12	6,843,750.00
14	Internet Computer Token	4DHTM5D7P	5,834,160.00
15	EthereumPOW	N1NLFX5KR	5,578,735.56
16	TRON TRX	HZ9HHNPLG	4,026,416.57
17	Filecoin	S6702SWRZ	2,409,029.52
18	Ethereum Eth	D5RG2FHH0	2,159,953.20
19	Conflux	2K9VS42WV	1,837,140.73
20	Gram	KK12JMBTX	1,419,120.00
21	Chia	RGF9P4WD7	985,500.00
22	NEAR Protocol	MXXM59Z0T	920,067.21
23	Avalanche AVAX	S6JCBF70N	790,135.47
24	Cardano ADA	76QS7QCXB	773,946.00
25	MultiversX EGLD	H1V5PHW7P	716,132.11
26	Polkadot DOT	SGD9NLTRG	642,546.00
27	THETA	JZP0682XF	597,082.52
28	Flow	6T49BCSXZ	526,695.00
29	Kusama	PX4GCX5B5	474,616.80
30	Ripple XRP	42PHJB2BS	456,264.96
31	USDC	TJWK5QTRK	454,778.88
32	Arweave	CPX6BGPR0	437,563.06
33	Algorand	K8S6W74KS	420,961.80
34	Sui	64RFW3D8P	394,725.60

#	Crypto-Asset Name	Crypto-Asset FFG	Energy consumption (kWh per calendar year)
35	Merlin	NW1M13H3L	349,797.05
36	Tezos	FLJPFR9RS	282,247.25
37	Wallet Connect	/	269,238.60
38	Aptos Coin	C4CQCGLH2	262,800.00
39	Berachain BERA	L7XQXLN44	244,404.00
40	Injective Token	92M9B0DZ7	242,216.00
41	SEI	XCDVP53WR	210,305.17
42	Cosmos ATOM	6C7F2WVZH	186,476.73
43	Canton Coin	VQ9SP7NFH	184,222.80
44	Terra	8SDRWQDG7	183,960.00
45	Flare	DVRV6HSK5	170,820.00
46	Zilliqa	ZJ9HGBW9R	157,680.69
47	IOST	N156HCF29	137,970.00
48	ICON	P3JS307D2	115,106.40
49	PlatON	/	110,770.20
50	Cronos	GWM30MLW3	109,293.19
51	Polygon POL	GB8DQ8DWN	96,634.86
52	Qtum	SH1MLXP1W	91,191.60
53	Binance Coin	8N2VXJKB1	90,228.00
54	Casper	K508S2JCD	85,935.60
55	Hedera HBAR	2WWB8QS47	82,125.00
56	Mina	133H6VJ48	81,205.20
57	Celestia	M7NN4STH9	80,791.98
58	Ontology	M2W3DQB67	71,192.52
59	Hyperliquid	HLTPNVXN0	67,014.00

#	Crypto-Asset Name	Crypto-Asset FFG	Energy consumption (kWh per calendar year)
60	Gas	/	65,700.00
61	IOTA	HNJD61GD1	65,700.00
62	NEO	N2FWML4CL	65,700.00
63	Story	BVZJV41L8	59,130.00
64	WAX	H76QDN5MF	54,750.00
65	Pi Network	2Z2WZP5KM	54,399.60
66	Monad	/	53,348.40
67	Kaia	/	52,560.00
68	Stellar Lumen	ZCN8SR2H7	52,560.00
69	Nesa AI	W7HTSC136	42,048.00
70	Babylon	/	40,208.40
71	Harmony ONE	D6KMQGX4Z	39,460.10
72	Celo	VWWMVDM0J	39,420.00
73	Ronin	TVJX4PPWF	39,420.00
74	Lisk	7J6VRX7JZ	32,850.00
75	Allora	6V2VLBJV9	32,643.53
76	Band	1QM2QZQ5N	28,875.92
77	Aelf	PKCG83B6G	26,284.96
78	Zeta	9BJJBHB21	25,536.85
79	Bittensor	LBQFC0FVK	25,228.80
80	Linea	DW1NCGR64	24,390.42
81	Chiliz	KNS06KRXQ	23,164.33
82	Metis Token	RCGXTQ3G0	21,108.35
83	Espresso	/	20,995.89
84	Scroll	/	20,235.60

#	Crypto-Asset Name	Crypto-Asset FFG	Energy consumption (kWh per calendar year)
85	Pharos	/	19,769.83
86	Fusion	XJG917XPQ	19,710.00
87	Sophon	BSVMDF86Q	18,658.80
88	Gensyn	/	17,878.30
89	Movement	11KGBJ2W4	15,861.69
90	Uniswap	XMB84LZBZ	13,756.92
91	Plasma	ZC0VHB8ZB	13,402.80
92	Astar	87L5SX99N	13,140.00
93	Katana	/	10,643.62
94	Fogo	MPR97NPM6	10,643.40
95	ChainLink Token	3R3J70FDR	7,537.39
96	ZKsync	BCBLPFRBX	6,571.17
97	Curve DAO Token	P8DXFQ5LD	6,367.45
98	1INCH Token	SVRFHQRZN	6,217.44
99	OKB	/	5,573.54
100	SHIBA INU	M4HFTFNPC	4,734.11
101	Aave Token	H618RN577	4,582.43
102	Global Dollar	R9MZCNWS4	4,347.15
103	NAVX	/	3,552.53
104	Numeraire	TCRZ9GZRF	3,283.24
105	Threshold Network Token	LTSB7W5CZ	2,851.38
106	Pepe	J41R6PF81	2,706.63
107	ENA	SPRSCSVSW	2,636.10
108	Pump.fun	C2F189JNL	2,630.84
109	Cetus Protocol	WMW834RP9	2,379.55

#	Crypto-Asset Name	Crypto-Asset FFG	Energy consumption (kWh per calendar year)
110	Arbitrum	44TP35HF9	2,209.94
111	MegaETH	/	2,049.84
112	EURC	13XTMPZT3	1,976.10
113	Lighter	W0746TPZW	1,805.18
114	Ondo	WKH09L3DV	1,728.69
115	SKY Governance Token	G4GDNF84C	1,665.45
116	Convex Token	MDLR8VGRS	1,621.26
117	Pendle	5RJT6339X	1,608.24
118	yearn.finance	LS56Z3QRX	1,512.52
119	DuckChain Token	91C5G6GWN	1,419.12
120	ApeCoin	7WKVRWPNR	1,342.27
121	ether.fi governance token	RJTJR45MC	1,316.38
122	Lido DAO Token	8W8GLGL65	1,162.83
123	Liquid staked Ether 2.0	FS3VQH874	1,132.11
124	Velodrome	3QN6WNR4L	1,127.44
125	Axie Infinity Shard	RTTDS5MHT	1,031.89
126	SushiSwap	C1Z2W2TT1	1,020.90
127	Livepeer	J80JRP8VM	968.24
128	Morpho Token	CH7NXRXR1	907.32
129	Zama	/	870.70
130	Momentum	/	789.45
131	Compound	KCHF60NW7	678.37
132	Basic Attention Token	51F8M277P	642.01
133	Orbs	XW3GWJ75G	632.88
134	Bancor	HXXRZB3CB	615.49

#	Crypto-Asset Name	Crypto-Asset FFG	Energy consumption (kWh per calendar year)
135	Worldcoin	BJD0TZ8V1	608.35
136	Centrifuge	J8HB3QFM5	584.12
137	API3	9PJW29SF7	579.87
138	StorjToken	3W1DV4L6C	566.41
139	Turbo	3GCHWJSWC	552.46
140	Decentraland	21C6LF4SP	543.76
141	Ethereum Name Service	B70SMJ5DQ	538.44
142	Safe Token	GLNXBZWH5	524.98
143	SAND	BVGX9WS2C	523.93
144	Synthetix Network	RSN26S0SB	522.80
145	Eigen	QRLFSB800	518.47
146	Yield Basis	PVRBPLN36	498.57
147	World Liberty Financial	3XVWCVFSX	471.48
148	Fabric Protocol	/	431.71
149	Spark	GS4H3VSB1	402.15
150	Biconomy Token	Z2JL201QX	398.64
151	Reserve Rights	DQT9BWGCF	397.76
152	LayerZero	C0HH6QTBN	396.92
153	Gala	XS363HTZB	381.15
154	DAPPOS	/	369.10
155	Optimism	9NRMM2RC4	366.78
156	OFFICIAL TRUMP	LJDPGNXXK	346.31
157	Bonk	H6KJTT0CP	328.31
158	Pyth Network	3980Q2CPS	302.36
159	Aethir Token	1SL20Z9P1	297.37

#	Crypto-Asset Name	Crypto-Asset FFG	Energy consumption (kWh per calendar year)
160	Graph Token	VMQPVH41W	297.31
161	Fetch	HWBLGXNBX	294.34
162	Raydium	7HFXRDFHH	286.21
163	Fluid	RKQWM0VRQ	272.42
164	Render Token	XR0JSKLNZ	270.00
165	Virtual Protocol	7WMBV0R29	267.53
166	StarkNet Token	NLWPNNWQZ	265.22
167	FLOKI	R1XC4HQT5	260.74
168	LoopringCoin V2	NZCPF6J82	259.62
169	Vaulta	D9D9M48K2	246.38
170	Zentry	/	243.21
171	VELO	Q52FMJ684	236.60
172	Immutable X	9LJ0XLJBT	229.24
173	BIO	TBR0XDRN8	221.75
174	Aevo	GXXVVRJW1	212.17
175	Smooth Love Potion	1JMZBTS1G	204.59
176	Animecoin	7WD8SJ6W6	201.97
177	zkPass	GCSVX89G8	195.32
178	Rocket Pool	69Q6NLJ3M	194.89
179	Synapse	GS8ZHZ81L	192.56
180	Pudgy Penguins	13JBPT88T	192.29
181	Kamino Finance	LLRPNCLLK	175.79
182	Wormhole Token	25J14XV4F	174.95
183	0x Protocol Token	HX56HH1ZX	168.82
184	SSV	920QFJ930	162.95

#	Crypto-Asset Name	Crypto-Asset FFG	Energy consumption (kWh per calendar year)
185	Gravity	JQGMWFSXV	157.08
186	WINkLink	DMFRX829S	156.90
187	Grvt	/	156.31
188	Mask Network	VTV046TSP	150.50
189	SPACE ID	C1D0QW564	148.78
190	Re	/	148.37
191	Midnight	/	147.77
192	Lombard	/	147.17
193	Bounce Token	CX8MCQ9R0	146.61
194	Big Time	DM8NPMHHW	146.52
195	Alchemy	MBKSZCVQM	141.91
196	edgeX_edge	/	141.77
197	DoubleZero	/	137.54
198	Memecoin	THW1BFN8J	132.64
199	Succinct	1ZZ7H452N	125.85
200	Blur	R91SVKW99	120.68
201	Illuvium	1J74CCB0S	119.68
202	Yield Guild Games Token	WV93P8B45	118.86
203	Liquity	C5D1WG11D	117.13
204	Pixels	5HPLWBHDP	116.05
205	USD.AI	6FNTLPHG8	112.85
206	Resolv	50HC509TX	112.60
207	OMI Token	3JJ63T2TQ	112.11
208	SKALE	9KVZ861DR	109.91
209	Polyhedra Network Token	WBRGJ0BFP	109.42

#	Crypto-Asset Name	Crypto-Asset FFG	Energy consumption (kWh per calendar year)
210	Sahara AI	4PS1S77QG	106.96
211	Arkham	327V1DQ8H	104.32
212	Civic	95LV3DCWZ	102.64
213	JoeToken	VV204Q4J4	100.32
214	Wootrade Network	G4515JG80	99.62
215	dogwifhat	10G31SK86	92.83
216	Enjin	M9LNQXMNV	91.78
217	Kyber Network Crystal v2	L0DZSBLVZ	91.16
218	Wayfinder Prompt	3DFF12GSV	84.55
219	Brevis	/	84.43
220	Jito	D3XB6KZ00	83.19
221	UMA Voting Token v1	JM4LKD71T	80.66
222	Banana	5WZXL33SN	79.95
223	Gluwa Creditcoin Vesting Token	CJSC8G9C3	77.97
224	Billions Network	/	76.20
225	ConstitutionDAO	M69M29HTV	73.44
226	Sentient	CL5MH7KPZ	70.61
227	Golem Network Token	XRWVBJL68	69.54
228	Status Network Token	X41MS94D1	59.84
229	Stader	T1CP5RKGN	57.65
230	DODO bird	SPRN0WLRS	56.49
231	Zora	M4DCVM90D	56.22
232	Solstice	S6PKQMKSC	52.99
233	BOOK OF MEME	JQCH4ZRFB	52.06
234	Baby Doge Coin	/	47.64

#	Crypto-Asset Name	Crypto-Asset FFG	Energy consumption (kWh per calendar year)
235	Tellor Tributes	B1P335VP1	46.59
236	SwftCoin	3N9BLCRG2	40.74
237	Spacecoin	/	40.44
238	Tottenham Hotspur FC Fan Token	/	38.03
239	Zebec Network	DHV5NRJCF	37.27
240	CelerToken	3W8JZTFR6	37.00
241	aixbt by Virtuals	8762TQWQ6	36.41
242	Kite	/	36.26
243	Trabzonspor Fan Token	/	34.19
244	Irys	/	33.91
245	dYdX	K8XRF7MFZ	33.80
246	Adventure Gold	2QNVF4SDM	33.78
247	Flamengo Fan Token	/	30.26
248	Manchester City Fan Token	/	28.50
249	Gods Unchained	56SF1DNGQ	27.90
250	Grass	MNCR2MKHP	26.59
251	Open Loot	/	21.10
252	XMON	BQCLHDP88	18.98
253	MAGIC	F41439TS4	18.54
254	Gmt	/	12.67
255	Tensor	NTJJ182D7	12.40
256	Magic Eden	7987R7S6H	11.91
257	Peanut the Squirrel	75D0KJ7WN	11.40
258	Horizen	T9QL1ZT5D	11.03

#	Crypto-Asset Name	Crypto-Asset FFG	Energy consumption (kWh per calendar year)
259	cat in a dogs world	5Z3NS3NDB	10.85
260	Jupiter	LKWXN892M	10.47
261	Moo Deng	H3X85BK7M	10.30
262	Parcl	JPJ2QRH6R	9.98
263	Huma Finance	PPPSTQ5M9	9.97
264	Avantis	DGJJGJJPB	9.45
265	Doodles	KF6RX3LR1	8.98
266	Goatseus Maximus	7FS1WX5VK	8.05
267	Argentine Football Association Fan Token	/	7.57
268	Catizen	MFR19R16P	6.45
269	DOGS	JTT6RNXDG	6.45
270	Hamster Kombat	S770D4DST	6.45
271	NOT	2W30TD6D9	6.45
272	ZEUS	1TTNHMLDR	5.42
273	Degen	253CXJJ4H	5.20
274	Simons Cat	/	4.56
275	Portugal National Team Fan Token	/	4.02
276	Solayer	PWG559QJQ	3.80
277	Toshi	WT9GJ1K9R	3.46
278	Sonic SVM	WLR4VX2XB	3.34
279	OneFootball Credits	/	3.33
280	Neiro	Q4TK4Q00M	3.05
281	Aergo	BL2RCZBPD	2.34
282	KAITO	Z3JGDP628	2.02

#	Crypto-Asset Name	Crypto-Asset FFG	Energy consumption (kWh per calendar year)
283	Aspecta	/	0.68
284	Particle Network	/	0.32
285	Leo Token	59RRBDSKQ	0.00
286	Caplab	/	0.00

Sustainability indicators



Bitcoin

BTC | V15WLZJMF

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Bitcoin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Bitcoin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	166570023634.77820	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	34.4781471084	%
S.11 Energy intensity	3.27313	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	68626193.67332	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	1.34852	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Bitcoin is present on the following networks: Bitcoin, Lightning Network.

The Bitcoin blockchain network uses a consensus mechanism called Proof of Work (PoW) to achieve distributed consensus among its nodes. Here's a detailed breakdown of how it works:

Core Concepts:

1. Nodes and Miners:
   - Nodes: Nodes are computers running the Bitcoin software that participate in the network by validating transactions and blocks.
   - Miners: Special nodes, called miners, perform the work of creating new blocks by solving complex cryptographic puzzles.

2. Blockchain: The blockchain is a public ledger that records all Bitcoin transactions in a series of blocks. Each block contains a list of transactions, a reference to the previous block (hash), a timestamp, and a nonce (a random number used once).
3. Hash Functions: Bitcoin uses the SHA-256 cryptographic hash function to secure the data in blocks. A hash function takes input data and produces a fixed-size string of characters, which appears random.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation: Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by miners into a block. Each transaction must be validated by nodes to ensure it follows the network's rules, such as correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. Mining and Block Creation:
   - Nonce and Hash Puzzle: Miners compete to find a nonce that, when combined with the block's data and passed through the SHA-256 hash function, produces a hash that is less than a target value. This target value is adjusted periodically to ensure that blocks are mined approximately every 10 minutes.
   - Proof of Work: The process of finding this nonce is computationally intensive and requires significant energy and resources. Once a miner finds a valid nonce, they broadcast the newly mined block to the network.
3. Block Validation and Addition: Other nodes in the network verify the new block to ensure the hash is correct and that all transactions within the block are valid. If the block is valid, nodes add it to their copy of the blockchain and the process starts again with the next block.
4. Chain Consensus: The longest chain (the chain with the most accumulated proof of work) is considered the valid chain by the network. Nodes always work to extend the longest valid chain. In the case of multiple valid chains (forks), the network will eventually resolve the fork by continuing to mine and extending one chain until it becomes longer.

For the calculation of the corresponding indicators, the additional energy consumption and the transactions of the Lightning Network have also been taken into account, as this reflects the categorization of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation for the respective functionally fungible group ("FFG") relevant for this reporting. If one would exclude these transactions, the respective estimations regarding the "per transaction" count would be substantially higher.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Bitcoin is present on the following networks: Bitcoin, Lightning Network.

The Bitcoin blockchain relies on a Proof-of-Work (PoW) consensus mechanism to ensure the security and integrity of transactions. This mechanism involves economic incentives for miners and a fee structure that supports network sustainability:

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Block Rewards:
   - Newly Minted Bitcoins: Miners are incentivized by block rewards, which consist of newly created bitcoins awarded to the miner who successfully mines a new block. Initially, the block reward was 50 BTC, but it halves every 210,000 blocks (approx. every four years) in an event known as the "halving."
   - Halving and Scarcity: The halving mechanism ensures that the total supply of Bitcoin is capped at 21 million, creating scarcity and potentially increasing value over time.

2. Transaction Fees:
   - User Fees: Each transaction includes a fee paid by the user to incentivize miners to include their transaction in a block. These fees are crucial, especially as the block reward diminishes over time due to halving.
   - Fee Market: Transaction fees are determined by the market, where users compete to have their transactions processed quickly. Higher fees typically result in faster inclusion in a block, especially during periods of high network congestion.

For the calculation of the corresponding indicators, the additional energy consumption and the transactions of the Lightning Network have also been taken into account, as this reflects the categorization of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation for the respective functionally fungible group ("FFG") relevant for this reporting. If one would exclude these transactions, the respective estimations regarding the "per transaction" count would be substantially higher

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'top-down' approach is being used, within which an economic calculation of the miners is assumed. Miners are persons or devices that actively participate in the proof-of-work consensus mechanism. The miners are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. Hardware is pre-selected based on the consensus mechanism's hash algorithm: SHA-256. A current profitability threshold is determined on the basis of the revenue and cost structure for mining operations. Only Hardware above the profitability threshold is considered for the network. The energy consumption of the network can be determined by taking into account the distribution for the hardware, the efficiency levels for operating the hardware and on-chain information regarding the miners' revenue opportunities. If significant use of merge mining is known, this is taken into account. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) lightning_network is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If

no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Dogecoin

DOGE | 35PLJP6J7

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Dogecoin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Dogecoin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	5561742156.48348	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	34.4781471084	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.34045	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	2291415.86261	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.14027	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Dogecoin (DOGE) uses a Proof of Work (PoW) consensus mechanism, similar to Bitcoin, but with some key differences.

Core Concepts :

1. Nodes and Miners:
   - Nodes: Nodes in the Dogecoin network are computers running the Dogecoin software. They validate transactions, maintain the blockchain, and relay information across the network.
   - Miners: Miners are specialized nodes that solve cryptographic puzzles to create new blocks and validate transactions. This process is known as mining.
2. Blockchain: The blockchain is a public ledger that records all Dogecoin transactions in a series of blocks. Each block contains a list of transactions, a reference to the previous block (hash), a timestamp, and a nonce (a random number used once).

3. Hash Functions: Dogecoin uses the Scrypt hash function, which is different from Bitcoin's SHA-256. Scrypt is designed to be more memory-intensive, making it more resistant to ASIC (Application-Specific Integrated Circuit) mining and encouraging more widespread participation by regular users with less powerful hardware.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation: Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by miners into a block. Each transaction is validated by nodes to ensure it adheres to the network's rules, such as correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. Mining and Block Creation:
   - Nonce and Hash Puzzle: Miners compete to find a nonce that, when combined with the block's data and passed through the Scrypt hash function, produces a hash below a certain target value. This target value is adjusted periodically to maintain a consistent block creation time.
   - Proof of Work: Finding a valid nonce requires significant computational effort. Once a miner finds a valid nonce, the new block is broadcast to the network.
3. Block Validation and Addition: Other nodes in the network verify the new block to ensure the hash is correct and that all transactions within the block are valid. If the block is valid, nodes add it to their copy of the blockchain, and the process repeats for the next block.
4. Chain Consensus: The longest chain (the chain with the most accumulated proof of work) is considered the valid chain by the network. Nodes always work to extend the longest valid chain. In the case of multiple valid chains (forks), the network will eventually resolve the fork by continuing to mine and extending one chain until it becomes longer.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Miners:
   - Block Rewards: Miners are incentivized to participate in the network by receiving block rewards. Initially, Dogecoin had a variable block reward, but now it offers a fixed reward of 10,000 DOGE per block.
   - Transaction Fees: Miners also collect transaction fees from the transactions included in the block. These fees provide an additional incentive for miners.
2. Security:
   - Hash Rate and Difficulty: The security of the Dogecoin network is directly proportional to its hash rate, the total computational power of all miners. A higher hash rate means more difficult and costly attacks.
   - 51% Attack: An attacker would need to control more than 50% of the network's hash rate to double-spend or rewrite parts of the blockchain. The cost and resource requirement for such an attack make it impractical for a sufficiently large and decentralized network like Dogecoin.
3. Merged Mining: Dogecoin supports merged mining with Litecoin (LTC). This means miners can mine both Dogecoin and Litecoin simultaneously without additional computational effort. This enhances the security of both networks by pooling their hash rates.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Dogecoin uses a Proof of Work (PoW) consensus mechanism to ensure network security and integrity, relying on economic incentives for miners and transaction fees from users.

Incentive Mechanisms

1. Miners:
   - Block Rewards: Miners receive block rewards for successfully mining new blocks. Initially, Dogecoin had a variable block reward, but it now offers a fixed reward of 10,000 DOGE per

block. These rewards are a primary incentive for miners to invest in the computational power necessary to secure the network.
- Transaction Fees: In addition to block rewards, miners also earn transaction fees from the transactions they include in the blocks they mine. Although Dogecoin's transaction fees are typically low, they still provide an important supplementary income for miners.
- Merged Mining: Dogecoin supports merged mining with Litecoin, allowing miners to simultaneously mine both cryptocurrencies without additional computational effort. This process increases the hash rate and security of both networks by pooling their resources.

2. Security:
- Hash Rate and Difficulty: The security of Dogecoin's network is directly related to its hash rate, the total computational power used by all miners. A higher hash rate makes the network more resistant to attacks. The mining difficulty adjusts periodically to ensure that blocks are mined approximately every minute, maintaining network stability. 51% Attack Deterrence: Controlling more than 50% of the network's hash rate to perform a 51% attack is costly and difficult. The significant computational power and energy required make such attacks impractical for a large and decentralized network like Dogecoin.

Fees Applicable on the Dogecoin Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
- Flat Fee Structure: Dogecoin uses a relatively simple fee structure. The typical transaction fee is 1 DOGE per kilobyte of transaction data. This low fee is one of Dogecoin's appeals, making it suitable for small and micro-transactions.
- Incentives for Faster Processing: Although transaction fees are generally low, users can choose to pay higher fees to incentivize miners to include their transactions in the next block, ensuring faster processing times.

2. Mining Rewards:
- Block Subsidy: The fixed block reward of 10,000 DOGE incentivizes miners to continue securing the network. This reward will persist as Dogecoin does not have a maximum supply cap, ensuring continuous incentives for miners.
- Fee Inclusion: Besides the block subsidy, the inclusion of transaction fees provides an additional, albeit smaller, incentive for miners to process transactions efficiently.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'top-down' approach is being used, within which an economic calculation of the miners is assumed. Miners are persons or devices that actively participate in the proof-of-work consensus mechanism. The miners are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. Hardware is pre-selected based on the consensus mechanism's hash algorithm: Scrypt. A current profitability threshold is determined on the basis of the revenue and cost structure for mining operations. Only Hardware above the profitability threshold is considered for the network. The energy consumption of the network can be determined by taking into account the distribution for the hardware, the efficiency levels for operating the hardware and on-chain information regarding the miners' revenue opportunities. If significant use of merge mining is known, this is taken into account. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Litecoin

LTC | D74JZ1VRD

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Litecoin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Litecoin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	972502888.50853	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	34.4781471084	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.02974	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	400667.35970	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.01225	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Litecoin, like Bitcoin, uses Proof of Work (PoW) as its consensus mechanism, but with a few key differences:

1. Scrypt Hashing Algorithm: Unlike Bitcoin's SHA-256 algorithm, Litecoin uses the Scrypt hashing algorithm, which is more memory-intensive. This makes mining Litecoin more accessible to regular users and limits the advantages of specialized hardware (like ASICs) in the early years.
2. Mining and Block Creation: Miners compete to solve cryptographic puzzles and, upon success, add new blocks to the blockchain. This process involves solving the Scrypt algorithm, which requires computational work. The first miner to solve the problem earns the block reward and transaction fees associated with the transactions in the block.
3. Block Time: Litecoin has a block time of 2.5 minutes, much faster than Bitcoin's 10 minutes. This means transactions confirm more quickly, increasing the overall network speed.

4. Block Reward Halving: Similar to Bitcoin, Litecoin has a block reward halving event approximately every four years. Initially, miners earned 50 LTC per block, but this reward decreases by half after each halving event. This process continues until the maximum supply of 84 million LTC is reached.
5. Difficulty Adjustment: Litecoin adjusts the mining difficulty approximately every 2,016 blocks (about every 3.5 days) to ensure that blocks continue to be mined at a consistent rate of 2.5 minutes per block, regardless of fluctuations in the total network hash rate.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Litecoin, like Bitcoin, uses the Proof of Work (PoW) consensus mechanism to secure transactions and incentivize miners.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Mining Rewards:
   Block Rewards: Miners are rewarded with Litecoin (LTC) for successfully mining new blocks. Initially, miners received 50 LTC per block, but this reward halves approximately every four years. Transaction Fees: Miners also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they mine. Users pay fees to have their transactions processed by miners, especially when they need faster confirmation times.
2. Halving:
   The halving mechanism ensures that over time, fewer Litecoins are introduced into circulation, creating a deflationary model. This makes mining more valuable as the circulating supply becomes scarcer, incentivizing miners to continue participating in the network even as block rewards decrease.
3. Economic Security:
   The cost of mining (e.g., hardware and electricity) provides a strong economic incentive for miners to act honestly. If miners attempt to cheat or attack the network, they risk losing the computational work they invested, as invalid blocks will be rejected by the network.

Fees on the Litecoin Blockchain:

- Transaction Fees: Litecoin users pay a transaction fee for each transaction, typically calculated in LTC per byte of transaction data. The fees are dynamic and vary based on network congestion.
- Low Fees: Litecoin is known for its relatively low transaction fees compared to other blockchains like Bitcoin, which makes it ideal for smaller transactions and micro-payments.
- Fee Redistribution: Collected transaction fees are distributed to miners as part of their rewards for validating transactions and securing the network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'top-down' approach is being used, within which an economic calculation of the miners is assumed. Miners are persons or devices that actively participate in the proof-of-work consensus mechanism. The miners are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. Hardware is pre-selected based on the consensus mechanism's hash algorithm: Scrypt. A current profitability threshold is determined on the basis of the revenue and cost structure for mining operations. Only Hardware above the profitability threshold is considered for the network. The energy consumption of the network can be determined by taking into account the distribution for the hardware, the efficiency levels for operating the hardware and on-chain information regarding the miners' revenue opportunities. If significant use of merge mining is known, this is taken into account. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all

implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Bitcoin Cash

BCH | 919BF3W7L

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Bitcoin Cash

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Bitcoin Cash	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	578429532.64988	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	34.4781471084	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.05759	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	238310.68921	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.02373	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Bitcoin Cash is present on the following networks: Bitcoin Cash, Smart Bitcoin Cash.

The Bitcoin Cash blockchain network uses a consensus mechanism called Proof of Work (PoW) to achieve distributed consensus among its nodes. It originated from the Bitcoin blockchain, hence has the same consensus mechanisms but with a larger block size, which makes it more centralized.

Core Concepts:

1. Nodes and Miners:
   - Nodes: Nodes are computers running the Bitcoin Cash software that participate in the network by validating transactions and blocks.
   - Miners: Special nodes, called miners, perform the work of creating new blocks by solving complex cryptographic puzzles.

2. Blockchain: The blockchain is a public ledger that records all Bitcoin Cash transactions in a series of blocks. Each block contains a list of transactions, a reference to the previous block (hash), a timestamp, and a nonce (a random number used once).
3. Hash Functions: Bitcoin Cash uses the SHA-256 cryptographic hash function to secure the data in blocks. A hash function takes input data and produces a fixed-size string of characters, which appears random.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation: Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by miners into a block. Each transaction must be validated by nodes to ensure it follows the network's rules, such as correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. Mining and Block Creation:
   - Nonce and Hash Puzzle: Miners compete to find a nonce that, when combined with the block's data and passed through the SHA-256 hash function, produces a hash that is less than a target value. This target value is adjusted periodically to ensure that blocks are mined approximately every 10 minutes.
   - Proof of Work: The process of finding this nonce is computationally intensive and requires significant energy and resources. Once a miner finds a valid nonce, they broadcast the newly mined block to the network.
3. Block Validation and Addition:
   - Other nodes in the network verify the new block to ensure the hash is correct and that all transactions within the block are valid.
   - If the block is valid, nodes add it to their copy of the blockchain and the process starts again with the next block.
4. Chain Consensus:
   - The longest chain (the chain with the most accumulated proof of work) is considered the valid chain by the network. Nodes always work to extend the longest valid chain.
   - In the case of multiple valid chains (forks), the network will eventually resolve the fork by continuing to mine and extending one chain until it becomes longer.

Smart Bitcoin Cash (SmartBCH) operates as a sidechain to Bitcoin Cash (BCH), leveraging a hybrid consensus mechanism combining Proof of Work (PoW) compatibility and validator-based validation.

Core Components:
- Proof of Work Compatibility: SmartBCH relies on Bitcoin Cash's PoW for settlement and security, ensuring robust integration with BCH’s main chain. SHA-256 Algorithm: Uses the same SHA-256 hashing algorithm as Bitcoin Cash, allowing compatibility with existing mining hardware and infrastructure.
- Consensus via Validators: Transactions within SmartBCH are validated by a set of validators chosen based on staking and operational efficiency. This hybrid approach combines the hash power of PoW with a validator-based model to enhance scalability and flexibility.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Bitcoin Cash is present on the following networks: Bitcoin Cash, Smart Bitcoin Cash.

The Bitcoin Cash blockchain operates on a Proof-of-Work (PoW) consensus mechanism, with incentives and fee structures designed to support miners and the overall network's sustainability:

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Block Rewards:
   - Newly Minted Bitcoins: Miners receive a block reward, which consists of newly created bitcoins for successfully mining a new block. Initially, the reward was 50 BCH, but it halves approximately every four years in an event known as the "halving."
   - Halving and Scarcity: The halving ensures that the total supply of Bitcoin Cash is capped at 21 million BCH, creating scarcity that could drive up value over time.
2. Transaction Fees:
   - User Fees: Each transaction includes a fee, paid by users, that incentivizes miners to include the transaction in a new block. This fee market becomes increasingly important as block rewards decrease over time due to the halving events.
   - Fee Market: Transaction fees are market-driven, with users competing to get their transactions included quickly. Higher fees lead to faster transaction processing, especially during periods of high network congestion.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Bitcoin Cash transactions require a small fee, paid in BCH, which is determined by the transaction's size and the network demand at the time. These fees are crucial for the continued operation of the network, particularly as block rewards decrease over time due to halvings.
2. Fee Structure During High Demand:
   In times of high congestion, users may choose to increase their transaction fees to prioritize their transactions for faster processing. The fee structure ensures that miners are incentivized to prioritize higher-fee transactions.

SmartBCH's incentive model encourages validators and network participants to secure the sidechain and process transactions efficiently.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Validator Rewards: Validators are rewarded with a share of transaction fees for their role in validating transactions and maintaining the network.
- Economic Alignment: The system incentivizes validators to act in the network's best interest, ensuring stability and fostering adoption through economic alignment.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Fees for transactions on SmartBCH are paid in BCH, ensuring seamless integration with the Bitcoin Cash ecosystem.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital

Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'top-down' approach is being used, within which an economic calculation of the miners is assumed. Miners are persons or devices that actively participate in the proof-of-work consensus mechanism. The miners are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. Hardware is pre-selected based on the consensus mechanism's hash algorithm: SHA-256. A current profitability threshold is determined on the basis of the revenue and cost structure for mining operations. Only Hardware above the profitability threshold is considered for the network. The energy consumption of the network can be determined by taking into account the distribution for the hardware, the efficiency levels for operating the hardware and on-chain information regarding the miners' revenue opportunities. If significant use of merge mining is known, this is taken into account. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical

Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Ethereum Classic Ether

ETC | DGMQMFZD4

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Ethereum Classic Ether

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Ethereum Classic Ether	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	355966894.30381	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	34.4781471084	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.08933	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	146656.95842	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.03680	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum Classic operates on a Proof of Work (PoW) consensus mechanism with the Etchash algorithm, which is a modified version of Ethash. This PoW model requires computational work from miners to validate transactions and secure the network.

Core Components:

- Proof of Work with Etchash Mining and Security: Miners use computational resources to perform the work necessary to add blocks to the blockchain, ensuring network security and resistance to tampering.
- Code is Law Philosophy Immutable Ledger: Following the 2016 DAO hack, Ethereum Classic upheld the “Code is Law” principle by retaining the unaltered blockchain. This commitment to immutability sets Ethereum Classic apart, preserving its original ledger without reverting transactions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum Classic's incentive model combines block rewards and transaction fees, encouraging miner participation and network security.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Block Rewards:
   Deflationary Supply Model: Miners receive ETC through block rewards, which decrease over time, similar to Bitcoin's model. This deflationary design supports ETC's value retention and incentivizes continued mining efforts.
2. Transaction Fees:
   User-Paid Fees: Users pay fees in ETC for sending transactions, interacting with smart contracts, and utilizing dApps. These fees provide miners with additional income and help maintain network security.

Applicable Fees: Ethereum Classic's fee structure involves user-paid transaction fees to support network operations and discourage spam transactions.

1. Transaction Fees:
   - User-Paid Fees: Every transaction on Ethereum Classic incurs a fee in ETC, based on the computational effort required. These fees ensure that resources are efficiently used and contribute to miner revenue.
   - Dynamic Demand-Based Fees: Fees vary according to transaction complexity and network demand, helping maintain transaction efficiency and preventing congestion.
2. Mining Rewards:
   Block Rewards Reduction: Block rewards, which are scheduled to reduce over time, provide a primary income source for miners. This model aims to balance network security while managing ETC's supply.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'top-down' approach is being used, within which an economic calculation of the miners is assumed. Miners are persons or devices that actively participate in the proof-of-work consensus mechanism. The miners are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. Hardware is pre-selected based on the consensus mechanism's hash algorithm: Etchash. A current profitability threshold is determined on the basis of the revenue and cost structure for mining operations. Only Hardware above the profitability threshold is considered for the network. The energy consumption of the network can be determined by taking into account the distribution for the hardware, the efficiency levels for operating the hardware and on-chain information regarding the miners' revenue opportunities. If significant use of merge mining is known, this is taken into account. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Zcash

ZEC | 7JPSSTXMS

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Zcash

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Zcash	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	322297400.50888	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	34.4781474410	%
S.11 Energy intensity	2.12739	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	132785.25901	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.87648	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Zcash is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Zcash.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated

periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Zcash operates on a Proof of Work (PoW) consensus mechanism, using the Equihash algorithm, which requires miners to dedicate computational power to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

Core Components:

1. Equihash PoW: Mining Process:
   Miners compete to add new blocks by investing computational resources. This work serves as proof that miners are actively contributing to network security.
2. zk-SNARKs Integration:
   Zcash utilizes zk-SNARKs (Zero-Knowledge Succinct Non-Interactive Arguments of Knowledge) to enable privacy-focused transactions. This cryptographic proof mechanism allows transactions to be verified without revealing sensitive information, such as the sender, recipient, or amount.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Zcash is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Zcash.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Zcash incentivizes miners through block rewards and transaction fees, supporting both network security and operational efficiency.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Block Rewards: Miners receive ZEC as a reward for creating blocks, encouraging ongoing network support and computational investment.
2. Transaction Fees: Users pay transaction fees in ZEC for processing transactions, which miners receive in addition to block rewards.

Applicable Fees:

Enhanced Privacy and Shielded Transactions:
zk-SNARKs Efficiency: While shielded transactions offer privacy, they require more computational resources, which may slightly increase fees. Upgrades like Halo aim to enhance the efficiency of zk-SNARKs, keeping shielded transaction costs manageable.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'top-down' approach is being used, within which an economic calculation of the miners is assumed. Miners are persons or devices that actively participate in the proof-of-work consensus mechanism. The miners are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. Hardware is pre-selected based on the consensus mechanism's hash algorithm: Equihash. A current profitability threshold is determined on the basis of the revenue and cost structure for mining operations. Only Hardware above the profitability threshold is considered for the network. The energy consumption of the network can be determined by taking into account the distribution for the hardware, the efficiency levels for operating the hardware and on-chain information regarding the miners' revenue opportunities. If significant use of merge mining is known, this is taken into account. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If

no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

DigiByte

DGB | 9TSBBQ5PH

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for DigiByte

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	DigiByte	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	166570024.43937	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	34.4781471032	%
S.11 Energy intensity	3.13604	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	68626.19399	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	1.29204	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

DigiByte is present on the following networks: Digibyte, Tron.

DigiByte employs a multi-algorithm Proof of Work (PoW) consensus model with five separate hashing algorithms, offering greater security and decentralization than single-algorithm blockchains. These five algorithms are SHA-256, Scrypt, Qubit, Skein, and Groestl.

Core Components of DigiByte's Consensus:

1. Multi-Algorithm Design:
   - The use of five distinct PoW algorithms allows a diverse range of miners with various types of hardware (ASICs, GPUs, FPGAs) to participate in securing the network.
   - By spreading mining power across multiple algorithms, DigiByte minimizes the risk of any single mining group controlling the network. This multi-algorithm approach protects against 51% attacks by making it more difficult for a malicious actor to control a majority of the network's hash power.

2. Real-Time Difficulty Adjustment:
   - DigiByte uses Dynamic Difficulty Adjustment for each algorithm individually. This means that the difficulty level for each algorithm can adjust in real-time based on network conditions and hashing power.
   - The system prevents any single algorithm from producing blocks faster than others, ensuring even distribution of block production across all algorithms and preventing sudden spikes in mining difficulty.
3. Segregated Chain for Security:
   - DigiByte implements a unique multi-layered blockchain structure that segments the blockchain to allow faster validation and improved security.
   - The use of separate algorithms in a layered approach reduces the chance of a blockchain split or double-spend attacks and increases the blockchain's scalability.

The Tron blockchain operates on a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism, designed to improve scalability, transaction speed, and energy efficiency.

Core Components:

1. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS): Tron uses DPoS, where token holders vote for a group of delegates known as Super Representatives (SRs)who are responsible for validating transactions and producing new blocks on the network. Token holders can vote for SRs based on their stake in the Tron network, and the top 27 SRs (or more, depending on the protocol version) are selected to participate in the block production process. SRs take turns producing blocks, which are added to the blockchain. This is done on a rotational basis to ensure decentralization and prevent control by a small group of validators.
2. Block Production: The Super Representatives generate new blocks and confirm transactions. The Tron blockchain achieves block finality quickly, with block production occurring every 3 seconds, making it highly efficient and capable of processing thousands of transactions per second.
3. Voting and Governance: Tron's DPoS system also allows token holders to vote on important network decisions, such as protocol upgrades and changes to the system's parameters. Voting power is proportional to the amount of TRX (Tron's native token) that a user holds and chooses to stake. This provides a governance system where the community can actively participate in decision-making.
4. Super Representatives: The Super Representatives play a crucial role in maintaining the security and stability of the Tron blockchain. They are responsible for validating transactions, proposing new blocks, and ensuring the overall functionality of the network. Super Representatives are incentivized with block rewards (newly minted TRX tokens) and transaction feesfor their work.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

DigiByte is present on the following networks: Digibyte, Tron.

DigiByte incentivizes network participation and security through block rewards, transaction fees, and a deflationary schedule for block rewards.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Block Rewards for Miners:
   Miners receive newly minted DGB tokens for successfully mining blocks. This block reward encourages miners to contribute computing power to secure the network and validate transactions. b. DigiByte's block rewards follow a deflationary schedule, decreasing over time, which promotes long-term value by controlling the rate of token issuance.

2. Transaction Fees:
   Users pay transaction fees in DGB tokens for network activities. These fees are distributed to miners, providing them with an ongoing income source and incentivizing efficient transaction processing.
3. Real-Time Difficulty Adjustment:
   Difficulty adjustments are calculated based on the hashing power of each algorithm, ensuring fair distribution of rewards and reducing the risk of a single mining pool or participant dominating the network's hashing power.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction fees on DigiByte are calculated based on network demand, with miners prioritizing transactions with higher fees during congested periods. The larger block size reduces overall fees, supporting affordability for users.

The Tron blockchain uses a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism to secure its network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Super Representatives (SRs) Rewards:
   - Block Rewards: Super Representatives (SRs), who are elected by TRX holders, are rewarded for producing blocks. Each block they produce comes with a block reward in the form of TRX tokens.
   - Transaction Fees: In addition to block rewards, SRs receive transaction fees for validating transactions and including them in blocks. This ensures they are incentivized to process transactions efficiently.
2. Voting and Delegation:
   - TRX Staking: TRX holders can stake their tokens and vote for Super Representatives (SRs). When TRX holders vote, they delegate their voting power to SRs, which allows SRs to earn rewards in the form of newly minted TRX tokens.
   - Delegator Rewards: Token holders who delegate their votes to an SR can also receive a share of the rewards. This means delegators share in the block rewards and transaction fees that the SR earns.
   - Incentivizing Participation: The more tokens a user stakes, the more voting power they have, which encourages participation in governance and network security.
3. Incentive for SRs:
   SRs are also incentivized to maintain the health and performance of the network. Their reputation and continued election depend on their ability to produce blocks consistently and efficiently process transactions.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   - Fee Calculation: Users must pay transaction fees to have their transactions processed. The transaction fee varies based on the complexity of the transaction and the network's current demand. This is paid in TRX tokens. Transaction
   - Fee Distribution: Transaction fees are distributed to Super Representatives (SRs), giving them an ongoing income to maintain and support the network.
2. Storage Fees:
   Tron charges storage fees for data storage on the blockchain. This includes storing smart contracts, tokens, and other data on the network. Users are required to pay these fees in TRX tokens to store data.

3. Energy and Bandwidth:
   Energy: Tron uses a resource model that allows users to access network resources like bandwidth and energy through staking. Users who stake their TRX tokens receive \energy

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'top-down' approach is being used, within which an economic calculation of the miners is assumed. Miners are persons or devices that actively participate in the proof-of-work consensus mechanism. The miners are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. Hardware is pre-selected based on the consensus mechanism's hash algorithm: multiple. A current profitability threshold is determined on the basis of the revenue and cost structure for mining operations. Only Hardware above the profitability threshold is considered for the network. The energy consumption of the network can be determined by taking into account the distribution for the hardware, the efficiency levels for operating the hardware and on-chain information regarding the miners' revenue opportunities. If significant use of merge mining is known, this is taken into account. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) tron is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Core

CORE | 5JRWC5LZQ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Core

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Core	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	112481238.99007	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	34.4781471084	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.04047	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	46341.82744	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.01667	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Core employs the Satoshi Plus consensus mechanism, which combines Delegated Proof of Work (DPoW), Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS), and Non-Custodial Bitcoin Staking to provide robust security and scalability.

Core Components:

- Delegated Proof of Work (DPoW):
  Integrates Bitcoin miners into the network by allowing them to contribute hash power to secure Core, without interfering with Bitcoin's primary operations.
- Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS):
  CORE token holders delegate their tokens to validators who handle block production and transaction validation, ensuring efficiency and decentralization.

Non-Custodial Bitcoin Staking:
Bitcoin holders can stake their BTC to participate in the network consensus, adding an extra layer of security while preserving ownership of their assets.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Core incentivizes network participation through staking rewards, transaction fees, and governance opportunities.

Incentive Mechanisms:

Validator Rewards:
Validators earn rewards from transaction fees and newly minted CORE tokens distributed through the blockchain's inflation policy, with payouts proportional to their delegated hash power and CORE stake.
Staking Incentives:
Both CORE and Bitcoin stakers receive rewards for contributing to network security and stability, encouraging broader participation across asset classes.
Governance Participation:
CORE token holders have voting rights, allowing them to influence protocol upgrades and network parameters, supporting decentralized decision-making.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees:
Users pay transaction fees in CORE tokens for executing transactions and smart contracts. These fees are distributed to validators as compensation for securing the network.
Inflation Policy:
A portion of validator rewards comes from newly minted CORE tokens, providing an additional incentive while maintaining a controlled inflation model.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used

which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Dash

DASH | PPDH6B273

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Dash

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Dash	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	67500000.80459	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	34.4781470956	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.24714	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	27809.73446	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.10182	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Dash is present on the following networks: Dash, Tron.

Dash combines Proof of Work (PoW) for block production with a masternode network, which adds enhanced services and governance. This hybrid approach enables Dash to offer both secure transaction validation and additional features like fast payments.

Core Components:

1. Proof of Work (PoW) with X11 Algorithm:
   Block Production: Miners use the X11 algorithm to solve cryptographic puzzles, with the first to solve adding the next block and receiving a block reward.
2. Masternode Network – Proof of Service (PoSe):
   - Collateral Requirement: Operating a masternode requires locking 1,000 DASH, which acts as collateral to secure services provided by the node.

- Network Services: Masternodes support features such as InstantSend for rapid payments, PrivateSend for enhanced privacy, and governance.

The Tron blockchain operates on a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism, designed to improve scalability, transaction speed, and energy efficiency.

Core Components:

1. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS): Tron uses DPoS, where token holders vote for a group of delegates known as Super Representatives (SRs)who are responsible for validating transactions and producing new blocks on the network. Token holders can vote for SRs based on their stake in the Tron network, and the top 27 SRs (or more, depending on the protocol version) are selected to participate in the block production process. SRs take turns producing blocks, which are added to the blockchain. This is done on a rotational basis to ensure decentralization and prevent control by a small group of validators.
2. Block Production: The Super Representatives generate new blocks and confirm transactions. The Tron blockchain achieves block finality quickly, with block production occurring every 3 seconds, making it highly efficient and capable of processing thousands of transactions per second.
3. Voting and Governance: Tron's DPoS system also allows token holders to vote on important network decisions, such as protocol upgrades and changes to the system's parameters. Voting power is proportional to the amount of TRX (Tron's native token) that a user holds and chooses to stake. This provides a governance system where the community can actively participate in decision-making.
4. Super Representatives: The Super Representatives play a crucial role in maintaining the security and stability of the Tron blockchain. They are responsible for validating transactions, proposing new blocks, and ensuring the overall functionality of the network. Super Representatives are incentivized with block rewards (newly minted TRX tokens) and transaction feesfor their work.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Dash is present on the following networks: Dash, Tron.

Dash rewards both miners and masternode operators to maintain network security and provide services, while also supporting community initiatives through a treasury fund.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Block Reward Distribution:
   - Miners: Receive 45% of each block reward for securing the network via PoW.
   - Masternodes: Earn 45% of each block reward, incentivizing them to operate and support network services.
   - Treasury Fund: 10% of each block reward is allocated to the Dash treasury, funding projects approved by the Dash DAO.
2. Masternode Staking and Rewards:
   Passive Income for Operators: By locking 1,000 DASH, masternode operators earn rewards for providing network services and participating in governance.

Applicable Fees:

- Standard Transactions: Users pay a small fee in DASH, making it suitable for daily transactions due to its low-cost structure.
- InstantSend Transactions: Available for a slightly higher fee, these transactions are processed immediately by masternodes for added security.

The Tron blockchain uses a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism to secure its network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Super Representatives (SRs) Rewards:
   - Block Rewards: Super Representatives (SRs), who are elected by TRX holders, are rewarded for producing blocks. Each block they produce comes with a block reward in the form of TRX tokens.
   - Transaction Fees: In addition to block rewards, SRs receive transaction fees for validating transactions and including them in blocks. This ensures they are incentivized to process transactions efficiently.
2. Voting and Delegation:
   - TRX Staking: TRX holders can stake their tokens and vote for Super Representatives (SRs). When TRX holders vote, they delegate their voting power to SRs, which allows SRs to earn rewards in the form of newly minted TRX tokens.
   - Delegator Rewards: Token holders who delegate their votes to an SR can also receive a share of the rewards. This means delegators share in the block rewards and transaction fees that the SR earns.
   - Incentivizing Participation: The more tokens a user stakes, the more voting power they have, which encourages participation in governance and network security.
3. Incentive for SRs:
   SRs are also incentivized to maintain the health and performance of the network. Their reputation and continued election depend on their ability to produce blocks consistently and efficiently process transactions.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   - Fee Calculation: Users must pay transaction fees to have their transactions processed. The transaction fee varies based on the complexity of the transaction and the network's current demand. This is paid in TRX tokens. Transaction
   - Fee Distribution: Transaction fees are distributed to Super Representatives (SRs), giving them an ongoing income to maintain and support the network.
2. Storage Fees:
   Tron charges storage fees for data storage on the blockchain. This includes storing smart contracts, tokens, and other data on the network. Users are required to pay these fees in TRX tokens to store data.
3. Energy and Bandwidth:
   Energy: Tron uses a resource model that allows users to access network resources like bandwidth and energy through staking. Users who stake their TRX tokens receive \energy

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital

Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) tron is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Ravencoin

RVN | SVCV42BS8

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Ravencoin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Ravencoin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	35935825.77105	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	34.4781471084	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.61588	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	14805.41868	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.25374	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ravencoin employs a Proof of Work (PoW) consensus mechanism with an ASIC-resistant algorithm to promote decentralization and enable efficient mining.

Core Components:

- Proof of Work (PoW): Ensures network security and transaction validation by requiring miners to perform computational work.
- KawPow Algorithm: Utilizes an ASIC-resistant algorithm designed to allow mining with GPUs, encouraging broader participation and maintaining decentralization.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ravencoin incentivizes network security and transaction processing through block rewards and user fees.

Incentive Mechanisms:

Block Rewards: Miners are rewarded with newly minted RVN tokens for successfully mining blocks and securing the blockchain.

Transaction Fees: Users pay minimal fees in RVN for transferring assets or executing operations, providing miners with additional compensation.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Low fees in RVN are applied for all transactions, supporting cost-effective and efficient blockchain operations.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'top-down' approach is being used, within which an economic calculation of the miners is assumed. Miners are persons or devices that actively participate in the proof-of-work consensus mechanism. The miners are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. Hardware is pre-selected based on the consensus mechanism's hash algorithm: KawPow. A current profitability threshold is determined on the basis of the revenue and cost structure for mining operations. Only Hardware above the profitability threshold is considered for the network. The energy consumption of the network can be determined by taking into account the distribution for the hardware, the efficiency levels for operating the hardware and on-chain information regarding the miners' revenue opportunities. If significant use of merge mining is known, this is taken into account. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is

available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

ORDI

ORDI | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for ORDI

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	ORDI	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	10077203.29961	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	34.4781471084	%
S.11 Energy intensity	3.27313	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	4151.76807	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	1.34852	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Bitcoin blockchain network uses a consensus mechanism called Proof of Work (PoW) to achieve distributed consensus among its nodes. Here's a detailed breakdown of how it works:

Core Concepts:

1. Nodes and Miners:
   - Nodes: Nodes are computers running the Bitcoin software that participate in the network by validating transactions and blocks.
   - Miners: Special nodes, called miners, perform the work of creating new blocks by solving complex cryptographic puzzles.
2. Blockchain: The blockchain is a public ledger that records all Bitcoin transactions in a series of blocks. Each block contains a list of transactions, a reference to the previous block (hash), a timestamp, and a nonce (a random number used once).

3. Hash Functions: Bitcoin uses the SHA-256 cryptographic hash function to secure the data in blocks. A hash function takes input data and produces a fixed-size string of characters, which appears random.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation: Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by miners into a block. Each transaction must be validated by nodes to ensure it follows the network's rules, such as correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. Mining and Block Creation:
   - Nonce and Hash Puzzle: Miners compete to find a nonce that, when combined with the block's data and passed through the SHA-256 hash function, produces a hash that is less than a target value. This target value is adjusted periodically to ensure that blocks are mined approximately every 10 minutes.
   - Proof of Work: The process of finding this nonce is computationally intensive and requires significant energy and resources. Once a miner finds a valid nonce, they broadcast the newly mined block to the network.
3. Block Validation and Addition: Other nodes in the network verify the new block to ensure the hash is correct and that all transactions within the block are valid. If the block is valid, nodes add it to their copy of the blockchain and the process starts again with the next block.
4. Chain Consensus: The longest chain (the chain with the most accumulated proof of work) is considered the valid chain by the network. Nodes always work to extend the longest valid chain. In the case of multiple valid chains (forks), the network will eventually resolve the fork by continuing to mine and extending one chain until it becomes longer.

For the calculation of the corresponding indicators, the additional energy consumption and the transactions of the Lightning Network have also been taken into account, as this reflects the categorization of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation for the respective functionally fungible group (“FFG”) relevant for this reporting. If one would exclude these transactions, the respective estimations regarding the “per transaction” count would be substantially higher.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The Bitcoin blockchain relies on a Proof-of-Work (PoW) consensus mechanism to ensure the security and integrity of transactions. This mechanism involves economic incentives for miners and a fee structure that supports network sustainability:

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Block Rewards:
   - Newly Minted Bitcoins: Miners are incentivized by block rewards, which consist of newly created bitcoins awarded to the miner who successfully mines a new block. Initially, the block reward was 50 BTC, but it halves every 210,000 blocks (approx. every four years) in an event known as the "halving."
   - Halving and Scarcity: The halving mechanism ensures that the total supply of Bitcoin is capped at 21 million, creating scarcity and potentially increasing value over time.
2. Transaction Fees:
   - User Fees: Each transaction includes a fee paid by the user to incentivize miners to include their transaction in a block. These fees are crucial, especially as the block reward diminishes over time due to halving.

- Fee Market: Transaction fees are determined by the market, where users compete to have their transactions processed quickly. Higher fees typically result in faster inclusion in a block, especially during periods of high network congestion.

For the calculation of the corresponding indicators, the additional energy consumption and the transactions of the Lightning Network have also been taken into account, as this reflects the categorization of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation for the respective functionally fungible group ("FFG") relevant for this reporting. If one would exclude these transactions, the respective estimations regarding the "per transaction" count would be substantially higher

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) bitcoin is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset].

Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Stacks

STX | PQTGLSGRG

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Stacks

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Stacks	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	7495651.06357	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	34.4781471084	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.01180	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	3088.17872	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.00486	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Stacks blockchain, a Layer 2 solution on top of Bitcoin, uses a unique consensus mechanism called Proof of Transfer (PoX). PoX is inspired by Bitcoin's Proof of Work (PoW) and leverages Bitcoin's security by anchoring Stacks transactions on the Bitcoin blockchain.

Core Concepts of Proof of Transfer (PoX):

1. Recycling Bitcoin's Security:
   - Stacks utilizes Bitcoin's hash power and PoW energy by requiring Stacks miners to transfer BTC in exchange for the native token STX, essentially "recycling" Bitcoin's energy without additional mining.
   - Transactions on Stacks achieve Bitcoin finality—they are secured by Bitcoin's immutable blockchain.

2. Two-Layered Peg Mechanism:
   Stacks introduces a decentralized, trust-minimized peg called sBTC, allowing assets to move between Bitcoin and Stacks. b. This peg enables Stacks smart contracts to interact with Bitcoin securely and in a decentralized way, enhancing utility and enabling DeFi on Bitcoin.
3. Smart Contracts with Clarity Language:
   Stacks supports Clarity, a smart contract language designed for predictability and safety. Clarity contracts allow developers to know exactly what a contract will do before execution, ensuring security.
4. Miners and Stakers:
   Miners transfer BTC to earn newly minted STX, securing the network. b. STX Holders (stakers) are incentivized to lock up STX tokens, earning BTC rewards in return.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The Stacks network incentivizes secure transactions and network participation through its unique Proof of Transfer (PoX) consensus model, which integrates incentives for both miners and STX holders.

Incentive Mechanisms to Secure Transactions:

1. Miners' Incentives:
   - BTC Transfers for Block Rewards: Stacks miners secure the network by transferring Bitcoin (BTC) to compete for the opportunity to mine a new block and earn STX rewards. This transfer of BTC ensures miners are vested in the network's security without directly consuming additional computational power.
   - Newly Minted STX Rewards: Miners who successfully mine a block are rewarded with newly minted STX tokens. This reward incentivizes miners to continue participating in securing the Stacks network.
   - Bitcoin Finality: Since Stacks blocks are anchored to Bitcoin, the network leverages Bitcoin's security to ensure finality, meaning that once transactions are confirmed on Bitcoin, they are considered immutable and secure.
2. Incentives for STX Holders (Stacking Rewards):
   - Stacking BTC Rewards: STX holders can participate in the consensus process through a mechanism called Stacking. By locking up (temporarily holding) their STX tokens, these participants contribute to network stability and security. In return, they receive BTC rewards paid by the miners.
   - Decentralization and Security Contribution: The Stacking process promotes decentralization by encouraging broad participation from STX holders, who help maintain network stability and security. This model reduces reliance on a few large players and provides economic rewards for active participation.
3. Two-Layered Peg and Decentralized Utility:
   By utilizing a two-way peg mechanism, the Stacks network allows BTC to be moved between Bitcoin and Stacks, enabling secure, decentralized transactions. This enhances the overall utility of the network, encouraging more users and developers to participate in the ecosystem.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the

hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Solana SOL

SOL | 6QZ1LNC12

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Solana SOL

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Solana SOL	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	6843750.00000	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	38.5831139958	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.00000	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	2319.13534	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.00000	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.

2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The

information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Internet Computer Token

ICP | 4DHTM5D7P

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Internet Computer Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Internet Computer Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	5834160.00000	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	35.9000000000	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.00720	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	2047.79016	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.00253	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Internet Computer Protocol (ICP) uses a unique consensus mechanism called Threshold Relay combined with Chain Key Technology to ensure decentralized, scalable, and secure operations for its network.

Core Components of ICP's Consensus Mechanism:

1. Threshold Relay:
   Threshold Relay is a consensus protocol that enables the network to achieve finality without a traditional Proof-of-Work or Proof-of-Stake mechanism. It leverages a group of nodes called "the committee" to generate a random beacon that is used for the selection of the next block producer. The protocol is designed to provide scalability and speed while maintaining decentralization by allowing any node to join the consensus process. The key feature of Threshold Relay is that it utilizes a threshold signature scheme, where a group of nodes must

collaborate to create a valid signature, ensuring that consensus is achieved even in the presence of faulty or malicious nodes.

2. Chain Key Technology:

Chain Key Technology is used to manage the state of the Internet Computer, allowing it to scale effectively across a vast number of nodes while still providing fast and secure transaction finality. This technology enables the creation and management of many independent blockchains (also known as subnet blockchains), each with its own set of validators. Chain Key Technology allows the Internet Computer to support billions of smart contracts without compromising speed, as it facilitates quick communication between the subnets and enables cross-chain interoperability.

3. Canister Smart Contracts:

- The Internet Computer utilizes a decentralized model where the computation of canister smart contracts (which hold the application logic) occurs across different nodes in the network. These canisters can run autonomously and scale with the network's growth.
- Finality and Security: The consensus mechanism ensures finality once a transaction is validated, meaning that once a block is added, it cannot be reverted, providing the security required for high-stakes applications. The use of Threshold Relay provides robust Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT), enabling the network to tolerate faulty or malicious behavior without compromising network integrity.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The Internet Computer Protocol (ICP) incentivizes network participants (validators, node operators, and canister developers) through various reward mechanisms and transaction fees. Here's a breakdown of the incentive mechanisms and applicable fees related to ICP:

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Network Participation and Rewards:
   - Validators: Validators are crucial for maintaining the integrity and security of the network. They stake ICP tokens to participate in consensus and are rewarded for validating blocks, maintaining the integrity of the decentralized network, and ensuring its performance. Rewards for validators are based on their participation in the consensus mechanism and their stake in the network.
   - Node Operators: Node operators who maintain the physical infrastructure of the network (such as hardware and server resources) are also rewarded. These operators run the nodes that participate in the Threshold Relay and provide computational power to the network.
2. Canister Developers and Network Participants:
   - Canister Smart Contracts: Developers of canisters (smart contracts) on the Internet Computer are incentivized through the creation of decentralized applications (dApps). Developers may also benefit from transaction fees generated by the usage of their dApps and the deployment of smart contracts on the network.
   - Usage Fees: Users of decentralized applications (dApps) or canisters are incentivized to pay for their usage through fees. These fees are often paid in ICP tokens, and developers can receive a share of these fees based on the usage of their deployed applications.
3. Governance:

The ICP Token is used for governance via the Network Nervous System (NNS), where holders of ICP tokens participate in decisions regarding the protocol, such as network upgrades, incentive adjustments, and the allocation of funds. Token holders are rewarded with the ability to influence the future of the network.

4. Staking Rewards:

Staking: ICP token holders can participate in staking their tokens in the NNS, which influences network consensus and governance. By participating in staking, they help secure the network

and are rewarded with staking rewards (a form of passive income). The staking rewards are given to token holders who participate in securing the network via the NNS.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   - Canister Calls: Every interaction with a canister (smart contract) on the Internet Computer incurs a transaction fee. These fees are typically paid in ICP tokens and are used to cover the computational resources required to process requests, store data, and manage execution.
   - Fee Structure: Transaction fees depend on the complexity and resources consumed by the canister call or network operation. For example, operations that require more computational power or data storage may incur higher fees.
2. Storage Fees:
   Canister Data Storage: Developers and users who deploy applications on the Internet Computer are required to pay fees for storing data. These fees ensure that network resources are used efficiently and that canisters do not waste storage space. The cost of storage is typically paid in ICP tokens.
3. Governance Participation Fees:
   Voting and Proposal Fees: Participation in the governance process via the NNS (Network Nervous System) may require a small fee, depending on the type of governance action (such as submitting a proposal or voting). These fees ensure that governance is distributed and prevent spam attacks on the governance system.
4. Node and Validator Fees:
   Fees for Node Operations: Node operators who provide computational power to the network may incur costs related to maintaining hardware and operating nodes. These fees are partially offset by rewards for providing network resources.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) internet_computer is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of

participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.



EthereumPOW

WETHW | N1NLFX5KR

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for EthereumPOW

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	EthereumPOW	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	5578735.56114	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	34.4781471084	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.00352	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	2298.41708	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.00145	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum PoW employs the traditional Proof of Work (PoW) consensus mechanism, maintaining the original Ethereum blockchain's mining-based validation process after the transition to Proof of Stake (PoS) by the Ethereum mainnet.

Core Components:

- Proof of Work (PoW): Ethereum PoW secures its network through miners competing to solve cryptographic puzzles to validate transactions and produce new blocks. The mining process is computationally intensive, requiring significant energy consumption and specialized hardware (e.g., GPUs and ASICs).
- Ethash Algorithm: The blockchain uses the Ethash algorithm, designed to be memory-intensive and resistant to ASIC dominance, ensuring broader participation in mining by allowing GPUs to compete effectively.

- Block Production and Finality: New blocks are added to the blockchain by miners who successfully solve the cryptographic puzzle, with block rewards and transaction fees acting as incentives. Ethereum PoW achieves probabilistic finality, meaning transactions become increasingly irreversible as additional blocks are added to the chain.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum PoW maintains the traditional incentive structure of Proof of Work, rewarding miners for securing the network and processing transactions, while users pay transaction fees for network operations.

Incentive Mechanism:

- Block Rewards: Miners earn block rewards in ETHW (Ethereum PoW tokens) for successfully mining new blocks and adding them to the blockchain. These rewards incentivize miners to dedicate computational power to secure the network.
- Transaction Fees: In addition to block rewards, miners receive transaction fees paid by users for executing transactions or interacting with smart contracts on the network. These fees are included in the blocks miners validate, providing an additional revenue stream.
- Deflationary Model: A portion of transaction fees (base fee) may be burned under the EIP-1559 model implemented in the original Ethereum chain, reducing the overall token supply over time and potentially increasing the value of ETHW.

Applicable Fees:

- Gas Fees: Users pay gas fees in ETHW for network transactions, which vary based on the complexity of the transaction and network demand. Gas fees include a base fee (burned) and a priority fee (paid to miners).
- Smart Contract Fees: Smart contract interactions incur additional gas costs, reflecting the computational resources required to execute the operations.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'top-down' approach is being used, within which an economic calculation of the miners is assumed. Miners are persons or devices that actively participate in the proof-of-work consensus mechanism. The miners are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. Hardware is pre-selected based on the consensus mechanism's hash algorithm: Etchash. A current profitability threshold is determined on the basis of the revenue and cost structure for mining operations. Only Hardware above the profitability threshold is considered for the network. The energy consumption of the network can be determined by taking into account the distribution for the hardware, the efficiency levels for operating the hardware and on-chain information regarding the miners' revenue opportunities. If significant use of merge mining is known, this is taken into account. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereumpow is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

TRON TRX

TRX | HZ9HHNPLG

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for TRON TRX

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	TRON TRX	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	4026416.57267	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	33.4000001234	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.00002	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	1582.38171	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.00001	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

TRON TRX is present on the following networks: Base, Tron.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

The Tron blockchain operates on a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism, designed to improve scalability, transaction speed, and energy efficiency.

Core Components:

1. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS): Tron uses DPoS, where token holders vote for a group of delegates known as Super Representatives (SRs)who are responsible for validating transactions and producing new blocks on the network. Token holders can vote for SRs based on their stake in the Tron network, and the top 27 SRs (or more, depending on the protocol version) are selected to participate in the block production process. SRs take turns producing blocks, which are added to the blockchain. This is done on a rotational basis to ensure decentralization and prevent control by a small group of validators.
2. Block Production: The Super Representatives generate new blocks and confirm transactions. The Tron blockchain achieves block finality quickly, with block production occurring every 3 seconds, making it highly efficient and capable of processing thousands of transactions per second.
3. Voting and Governance: Tron's DPoS system also allows token holders to vote on important network decisions, such as protocol upgrades and changes to the system's parameters. Voting power is proportional to the amount of TRX (Tron's native token) that a user holds and chooses to stake. This provides a governance system where the community can actively participate in decision-making.
4. Super Representatives: The Super Representatives play a crucial role in maintaining the security and stability of the Tron blockchain. They are responsible for validating transactions, proposing new blocks, and ensuring the overall functionality of the network. Super Representatives are incentivized with block rewards (newly minted TRX tokens) and transaction feesfor their work.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

TRON TRX is present on the following networks: Base, Tron.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

The Tron blockchain uses a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism to secure its network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Super Representatives (SRs) Rewards:
   - Block Rewards: Super Representatives (SRs), who are elected by TRX holders, are rewarded for producing blocks. Each block they produce comes with a block reward in the form of TRX tokens.
   - Transaction Fees: In addition to block rewards, SRs receive transaction fees for validating transactions and including them in blocks. This ensures they are incentivized to process transactions efficiently.

2. Voting and Delegation:
   - TRX Staking: TRX holders can stake their tokens and vote for Super Representatives (SRs). When TRX holders vote, they delegate their voting power to SRs, which allows SRs to earn rewards in the form of newly minted TRX tokens.
   - Delegator Rewards: Token holders who delegate their votes to an SR can also receive a share of the rewards. This means delegators share in the block rewards and transaction fees that the SR earns.
   - Incentivizing Participation: The more tokens a user stakes, the more voting power they have, which encourages participation in governance and network security.
3. Incentive for SRs:
   SRs are also incentivized to maintain the health and performance of the network. Their reputation and continued election depend on their ability to produce blocks consistently and efficiently process transactions.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   - Fee Calculation: Users must pay transaction fees to have their transactions processed. The transaction fee varies based on the complexity of the transaction and the network's current demand. This is paid in TRX tokens. Transaction
   - Fee Distribution: Transaction fees are distributed to Super Representatives (SRs), giving them an ongoing income to maintain and support the network.
2. Storage Fees:
   Tron charges storage fees for data storage on the blockchain. This includes storing smart contracts, tokens, and other data on the network. Users are required to pay these fees in TRX tokens to store data.
3. Energy and Bandwidth:
   Energy: Tron uses a resource model that allows users to access network resources like bandwidth and energy through staking. Users who stake their TRX tokens receive \energy

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base, tron is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally

Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Filecoin

FIL | S6702SWRZ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Filecoin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Filecoin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	2409029.51821	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	37.9124175128	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.00173	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	801.75746	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.00058	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Filecoin is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Filecoin, Huobi.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated

periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Filecoin's consensus mechanism, Expected Consensus (EC), is designed to reward data storage providers based on the amount of storage they contribute.

Core Components of Expected Consensus (EC):

1. Storage Power-Based Block Production:
   Probabilistic Block Selection: Block producers (miners) are chosen probabilistically based on their storage power, meaning providers with more storage capacity have higher chances of being selected to produce new blocks.
2. Proof of Replication (PoRep):
   Initial Data Verification: Miners provide cryptographic Proof of Replication to verify they are uniquely storing clients' data at the start of each storage contract.
3. Proof of Spacetime (PoSt):
   Ongoing Verification: Miners periodically submit Proof of Spacetime to confirm they continue to store data over the contract's duration, maintaining data availability and integrity.
4. Chain Quality and Fork Choice:
   Chain Quality Rule: In cases of chain splits, the network follows the chain with the highest cumulative storage power, ensuring security by selecting the most robust chain.

The Huobi Eco Chain (HECO) blockchain employs a Hybrid-Proof-of-Stake (HPoS) consensus mechanism, combining elements of Proof-of-Stake (PoS) to enhance transaction efficiency and scalability.

Key Features of HECO's Consensus Mechanism:

1. Validator Selection: HECO supports up to 21 validators, selected based on their stake in the network.
2. Transaction Processing: Validators are responsible for processing transactions and adding blocks to the blockchain.
3. Transaction Finality: The consensus mechanism ensures quick finality, allowing for rapid confirmation of transactions.
4. Energy Efficiency: By utilizing PoS elements, HECO reduces energy consumption compared to traditional Proof-of-Work systems.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Filecoin is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Filecoin, Huobi.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are

updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Filecoin incentivizes storage providers (miners) to maintain data integrity and make decentralized storage available through block rewards and storage fees.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Block Rewards:
   - Storage-Based Block Rewards: Block rewards in FIL (Filecoin's native token) are given to storage providers selected to add new blocks, proportional to their storage power. These rewards incentivize providers to contribute more storage to the network, enhancing security and decentralized data availability.
   - Reward Distribution: Providers with higher storage capacity receive rewards more frequently, creating a direct economic incentive to offer larger storage volumes.
2. Storage Fees:
   - Client Payments: Clients pay storage providers (miners) in FIL tokens to store data, incentivizing providers to offer reliable storage.
   - Market Pricing: Storage costs are determined by supply and demand, allowing competitive, flexible pricing based on network conditions.
3. Data Retrieval Payments:
   In addition to storage fees, miners can earn retrieval fees for providing data access to clients. These fees incentivize storage providers to make stored data readily accessible, enabling Filecoin to support efficient, decentralized data retrieval services.
4. Slashing and Penalties:
   - If a miner fails to provide Proof of Spacetime, they may face slashing penalties, losing a portion of their FIL collateral. This mechanism disincentivizes data tampering or deletion by holding providers accountable to their storage commitments.
   - Client Refunds: In cases of missed proofs, clients may receive refunds or compensations, ensuring that the network maintains a high standard of data reliability and provider accountability.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Filecoin charges transaction fees for standard network operations, paid in FIL. These fees help maintain network functionality and discourage spam by aligning costs with network resource usage.

2. Gas Fees:
   Miners pay gas fees based on the computational resources required to submit PoRep and PoSt proofs. These fees are integral to the network's operation, ensuring that participants contribute fairly to Filecoin's resource demands.
3. Storage and Retrieval Fees:
   Clients pay miners for data storage on a contract basis, and retrieval fees are paid when miners deliver data on request. These fees are tailored to the type and duration of storage services, providing flexibility in data pricing and availability.

The Huobi Eco Chain (HECO) blockchain employs a Hybrid-Proof-of-Stake (HPoS) consensus mechanism, combining elements of Proof-of-Stake (PoS) to enhance transaction efficiency and scalability.

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Validator Rewards:
   Validators are selected based on their stake in the network. They process transactions and add blocks to the blockchain. Validators receive rewards in the form of transaction fees for their role in maintaining the blockchain's integrity.
2. Staking Participation:
   Users can stake Huobi Token (HT) to become validators or delegate their tokens to existing validators. Staking helps secure the network and, in return, participants receive a portion of the transaction fees as rewards.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees (Gas Fees):
   Users pay gas fees in HT tokens to execute transactions and interact with smart contracts on the HECO network. These fees compensate validators for processing and validating transactions.
2. Smart Contract Execution Fees:
   Deploying and interacting with smart contracts incur additional fees, which are also paid in HT tokens. These fees cover the computational resources required to execute contract code.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, huobi is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of

the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Ethereum Eth

ETH | D5RG2FHH0

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Ethereum Eth

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Ethereum Eth	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	2159953.20000	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	37.9124101186	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.00006	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	718.86066	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.00002	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is

available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Conflux

CFX | 2K9VS42WV

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Conflux

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Conflux	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1837140.73200	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	34.4781471084	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.01013	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	754.94600	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.00417	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Conflux operates on a unique Tree-Graph consensus mechanism that combines Optimized Proof of Work (PoW) with Proof of Stake (PoS), allowing high transaction throughput, security, and scalability.

Core Components:

1. Tree-Graph Structure:
   - Concurrent Block Production: Conflux's Tree-Graph model enables blocks to be produced in parallel, rather than sequentially in a single chain. This structure significantly increases transaction throughput and efficiency compared to traditional blockchains.
   - Hierarchy for Fork Reduction: Unlike typical PoW blockchains where forks are common, Conflux's Tree-Graph organizes blocks hierarchically, allowing multiple chains to coexist without causing divergences. This minimizes the need for forks, ensuring stability and continuity in block production.

2. Optimized Proof of Work (PoW):
   Conflux uses an optimized PoW model to maintain security and decentralization, offering similar security guarantees to traditional PoW systems but with enhanced efficiency, allowing high-performance block processing.
3. Proof of Stake (PoS) Integration:
   - PoS for Finality: PoS nodes in Conflux are selected based on the amount of staked CFX (Conflux's native token). These nodes sign pivot blocks to finalize them, reducing the probability of forks and ensuring rapid finality.
   - Balance Between PoW and PoS: By combining PoW and PoS, Conflux achieves a balanced, secure consensus system that leverages PoW's security while incorporating PoS for faster finality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Conflux incentivizes network participation and security through block rewards, transaction fees, and staking rewards, along with unique ecosystem support and storage fee structures.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Block Rewards and Transaction Fees for Miners:
   Miners receive CFX rewards not only for mining blocks but also for securing the network. These rewards, including transaction fees, create an ongoing incentive for miners to participate actively and uphold network stability.
2. Staking Rewards for PoS Nodes:
   PoS nodes, responsible for signing and finalizing pivot blocks, earn staking rewards based on their staked CFX amount. This reward structure encourages reliable PoS participation, enhancing network security and finality.
3. Dynamic Gas Fee Model:
   - Ethereum-Like Gas Model: Conflux uses a gas model similar to Ethereum's, where fees are calculated based on the computational resources required (measured in gas) and the current gas price, which adjusts based on network demand.
   - Dynamic Adjustment: During high network demand, gas fees increase to help manage congestion, while fees decrease in low-demand periods to promote network activity.
4. Ecosystem Fund Allocation:
   A portion of transaction fees is allocated to the Conflux ecosystem fund, which supports long-term network development, community initiatives, and ecosystem growth. This fund helps sustain the network and fosters innovation within the ecosystem.
5. Storage Fee Model:
   Conflux incorporates a storage fee to discourage unnecessary data storage on the blockchain. This model supports long-term sustainability by reducing blockchain bloat, helping to maintain efficient network performance over time.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital

Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) conflux is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Gram

GRAM | KK12JMBTX

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Gram

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Gram	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1419120.00000	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	37.9124101186	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.00003	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	472.30168	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.00001	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Open Network uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism based on the Catchain protocol. Validators stake GRAM to participate in block production, transaction validation, and network security. Catchain provides Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus across the network's sharded architecture, enabling multiple shardchains to process transactions in parallel while maintaining a consistent global state. Validators are selected and rotated periodically, and once consensus is reached, blocks achieve deterministic finality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The Open Network incentivizes network participation through staking rewards and transaction fees. Validators receive rewards for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security, with rewards distributed according to their participation and stake. Transaction fees are paid in GRAM and vary depending on the computational resources required by a transaction. The

protocol also applies penalties to validators that fail to meet their obligations or act maliciously, encouraging reliable network operation and honest participation.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Chia

XCH | RGF9P4WD7

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Chia

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Chia	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	985500.00000	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	37.9124101186	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.38682	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	327.98728	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.12874	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Chia employs a unique consensus mechanism called Proof of Space and Time (PoST), which emphasizes energy efficiency while maintaining a secure and decentralized network.

Core Components:

- Proof of Space (PoS):
  Participants, called "farmers," allocate unused hard drive storage to create plots, which store cryptographic data. During block production, farmers compete to find a plot that satisfies a cryptographic challenge. Unlike traditional Proof of Work (PoW), this approach uses storage rather than computational power, significantly reducing energy consumption.
- Proof of Time (PoT):
  Time Lords, specialized nodes, verify the chronological order of blocks using Verifiable Delay Functions (VDFs). This ensures that blocks are added sequentially, enhancing the network's security and consistency.

- Decentralized Farming:
  The combination of PoS and PoT ensures that farming is decentralized and accessible, as it relies on widely available storage resources.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The Chia blockchain's incentive model rewards participants for contributing storage resources and maintaining the network's security while employing a transparent and predictable fee structure.

Incentive Mechanism:

- Block Rewards: Farmers earn rewards in XCH (Chia tokens) by allocating storage to the network and successfully producing blocks. Block rewards decrease over time based on a predetermined schedule, fostering long-term token scarcity.
- Incentives for Time Lords: Time Lords, responsible for verifying the chronological order of blocks, ensure network integrity and security. While they do not receive direct rewards from the protocol, they are incentivized by their critical role in maintaining the blockchain's stability.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees: Users pay fees in XCH for executing transactions on the Chia network. Transaction fees are awarded to farmers, creating an additional revenue stream for network participants.
- Fee Model: Transaction fees are dynamically determined based on network activity and transaction size, ensuring scalability and cost efficiency for users.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy

Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

NEAR Protocol

NEAR | MXXM59Z0T

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for NEAR Protocol

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	NEAR Protocol	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	920067.20935	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	37.4189062626	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.00008	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	309.83923	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.00003	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

NEAR Protocol is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Near Protocol.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated

periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

The NEAR Protocol uses a unique consensus mechanism combining Proof of Stake (PoS) and a novel approach called Doomslug, which enables high efficiency, fast transaction processing, and secure finality in its operations.

Core Concepts:

1. Doomslug and Proof of Stake:
   - NEAR's consensus mechanism primarily revolves around PoS, where validators stake NEAR tokens to participate in securing the network. However, NEAR's implementation is enhanced with the Doomslug protocol.
   - Doomslug allows the network to achieve fast block finality by requiring blocks to be confirmed in two stages. Validators propose blocks in the first step, and finalization occurs when two-thirds of validators approve the block, ensuring rapid transaction confirmation.
2. Sharding with Nightshade:
   - NEAR uses a dynamic sharding technique called Nightshade. This method splits the network into multiple shards, enabling parallel processing of transactions across the network, thus significantly increasing throughput. Each shard processes a portion of transactions, and the outcomes are merged into a single "snapshot" block.
   - This sharding approach ensures scalability, allowing the network to grow and handle increasing demand efficiently.

Consensus Process:

1. Validator Selection:
   - Validators are selected to propose and validate blocks based on the amount of NEAR tokens staked. This selection process is designed to ensure that only validators with significant stakes and community trust participate in securing the network.
2. Transaction Finality:
   - NEAR achieves transaction finality through its PoS-based system, where validators vote on blocks. Once two-thirds of validators approve a block, it reaches finality under Doomslug, meaning that no forks can alter the confirmed state.
3. Epochs and Rotation:
   - Validators are rotated in epochs to ensure fairness and decentralization. Epochs are intervals in which validators are reshuffled, and new block proposers are selected, ensuring a balance between performance and decentralization.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

NEAR Protocol is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Near Protocol.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

NEAR Protocol employs several economic mechanisms to secure the network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanisms to Secure Transactions:

1. Staking Rewards:
   Validators and delegators secure the network by staking NEAR tokens. Validators earn around 5% annual inflation, with 90% of newly minted tokens distributed as staking rewards. Validators propose blocks, validate transactions, and receive a share of these rewards based on their staked tokens. Delegators earn rewards proportional to their delegation, encouraging broad participation.
2. Delegation:
   Token holders can delegate their NEAR tokens to validators to increase the validator's stake and improve the chances of being selected to validate transactions. Delegators share in the validator's rewards based on their delegated tokens, incentivizing users to support reliable validators.
3. Slashing and Economic Penalties:
   Validators face penalties for malicious behavior, such as failing to validate correctly or acting dishonestly. The slashing mechanism enforces security by deducting a portion of their staked tokens, ensuring validators follow the network's best interests.
4. Epoch Rotation and Validator Selection:
   Validators are rotated regularly during epochs to ensure fairness and prevent centralization. Each epoch reshuffles validators, allowing the protocol to balance decentralization with performance.

Fees on the NEAR Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Users pay fees in NEAR tokens for transaction processing, which are burned to reduce the total circulating supply, introducing a potential deflationary effect over time. Validators also receive a portion of transaction fees as additional rewards, providing an ongoing incentive for network maintenance.
2. Storage Fees:
   NEAR Protocol charges storage fees based on the amount of blockchain storage consumed by accounts, contracts, and data. This requires users to hold NEAR tokens as a deposit proportional to their storage usage, ensuring the efficient use of network resources.
3. Redistribution and Burning:
   A portion of the transaction fees (burned NEAR tokens) reduces the overall supply, while the rest is distributed to validators as compensation for their work. The burning mechanism helps maintain long-term economic sustainability and potential value appreciation for NEAR holders.
4. Reserve Requirement:
   Users must maintain a minimum account balance and reserves for data storage, encouraging efficient use of resources and preventing spam attacks.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we

update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Avalanche AVAX

AVAX | S6JCBF70N

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Avalanche AVAX

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Avalanche AVAX	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	790135.47172	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	36.3152910542	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.00005	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	296.66885	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.00002	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Avalanche AVAX is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Avalanche X Chain.

The Avalanche C-Chain uses the Snowman++ consensus mechanism, which is Avalanche's consensus model for linear blockchains and is implemented through the ProposerVM wrapper. Under this model, validators repeatedly query a small random subset of other validators and converge on a preferred block once the required confidence thresholds are met. Only Primary Network validators are entitled to validate the C-Chain. To participate as a validator on Avalanche mainnet, a node must stake a minimum number of token. Token holders may also participate indirectly by delegating an existing validator. Validator identity and admission to staking require the relevant staking credentials, including BLS proofs of possession under the current staking framework. For block production, Snowman++ uses stake-weighted proposer windows. Through the ProposerVM, block-building opportunities are assigned to proposers in 5-second windows, after which block production may fall back more broadly to validators if necessary. This mechanism is intended to regulate block production while preserving network liveness. Consensus voting itself remains based on repeated

sub-sampled polling rather than fixed validator committees. Avalanche documentation describes the finality model as sub-second and treated by the protocol as final and irreversible once accepted, while noting that safety is probabilistic in the formal sense because the probability of conflicting acceptance can be reduced to an arbitrarily low level through the protocol parameters. The protocol does not rely on slashing of staked principal. Instead, validator reward eligibility depends on compliance with protocol conditions, including uptime requirements.

The Avalanche X-Chain uses the Avalanche consensus protocol, which relies on repeated subsampling of validators to reach agreement on transactions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Avalanche AVAX is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Avalanche X Chain.

The Avalanche C-Chain is secured economically through the native AVAX token. Validator incentives are based primarily on staking rewards, not on redistribution of C-Chain transaction fees. A fixed amount of 360 million AVAX was minted at genesis, while additional AVAX is minted over time as validator rewards, subject to Avalanche's capped token supply framework. Validator rewards are paid at the end of the staking period and are determined by factors such as the validator's stake and compliance with staking conditions. Unlike some proof-of-stake systems, the Avalanche Primary Network does not use slashing of bonded principal as an ordinary penalty mechanism. Instead, the main protocol-level economic consequence for underperformance is the loss of reward eligibility. Where a validator fails to satisfy the applicable uptime requirement during its staking term, that validator does not receive the corresponding staking reward. Transaction fees apply on the C-Chain for transfers and smart-contract execution. The fee model follows EIP-1559 logic, meaning that transactions are priced through a dynamic base fee mechanism. In contrast to Ethereum's validator tip model, C-Chain transaction fees are burned rather than distributed to validators. This means that C-Chain fees function as a supply-reduction mechanism and are intended in part to offset inflation arising from the minting of validator rewards. In addition to ordinary transaction and smart-contract execution fees, Avalanche documentation also recognises protocol fees in connection with other network operations on other chains of the Primary Network, such as certain import or export operations and staking-related actions. However, for the C-Chain itself, the core applicable fee category is the gas fee for transaction inclusion and contract execution, and those fees are handled through the protocol burn mechanism rather than paid to validators or a treasury.

Validator incentives on the X-Chain are indirect and come from network-wide AVAX issuance. Transaction fees are fixed and burned to prevent spam and reduce the total supply of AVAX over time

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The

information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) avalanche, avalanche_x_chain is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Cardano ADA

ADA | 76QS7QCXB

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Cardano ADA

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Cardano ADA	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	773946.00000	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	37.4187578605	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.00109	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	260.63169	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.00037	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Core Components: Cardano uses the Ouroboros consensus mechanism, a Proof of Stake (PoS) protocol designed for scalability, security, and energy efficiency.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of Stake (PoS): Validators (called slot leaders) are selected based on the amount of ADA they have staked, rather than solving complex computational puzzles. Validators propose and validate blocks, which are added to the blockchain.
2. Epochs and Slot Leaders: Cardano divides time into epochs (fixed time periods), each of which is subdivided into slots. Slot leaders are selected for each slot to validate and propose blocks. Slot leaders are chosen randomly based on the amount of ADA staked. More stake increases the probability of being selected. Validators are responsible for confirming transactions during their slot and passing the block to the next slot leader.

3. Delegation and Staking Pools: ADA holders can delegate their tokens to staking pools, which increases the pool's chances of being selected to validate a block. The pool operator and delegators share the rewards based on their stakes. This system ensures that participants who do not want to operate a full validator node can still earn rewards and contribute to network security by supporting trusted staking pools.
4. Security and Adversary Resistance: Ouroboros ensures security even in the presence of potential attacks. It assumes that adversaries may attempt to propagate alternative chains or send arbitrary messages. The protocol is secure as long as more than 51% of the staked ADA is controlled by honest participants. Settlement Delay: To protect against adversarial attacks, the new slot leader must consider the last few blocks as transient. Only the blocks preceding these are treated as finalized, ensuring that chain finality is secure against manipulation attempts. This mechanism also allows participants to temporarily go offline and resynchronize as long as they are not disconnected for more than the settlement delay period.
5. Chain Selection: Cardano's nodes adopt the longest valid chain rule: each node stores a local copy of the blockchain and replaces it with any discovered valid, longer chain. This ensures that all nodes eventually converge on a single version of the blockchain, maintaining network consistency.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Cardano uses incentive mechanisms to ensure network security and decentralization through staking rewards, slashing mechanisms, and transaction fees.

Incentive Mechanisms to Secure Transactions:

1. Staking Rewards:
   - Validators, known as slot leaders, secure the network by validating transactions and creating new blocks. To participate, validators must stake ADA, and those with larger stakes are more likely to be selected as slot leaders.
   - Validators are rewarded with newly minted ADA and transaction fees for successfully producing blocks and validating transactions.
   - Delegators, who may not wish to run a validator node, can delegate their ADA to staking pools. By doing so, they contribute to the network's security and earn a share of the rewards earned by the pool. The rewards are distributed proportionally based on the amount of ADA delegated.
2. Slashing Mechanism:
   - To prevent malicious behavior, Cardano employs a slashing mechanism. Validators who act dishonestly, fail to validate transactions properly, or produce incorrect blocks face penalties that involve the slashing of a portion of their staked ADA.
   - This provides strong economic incentives for validators to act honestly and ensures the network's integrity and security.
3. Delegation and Pool Operation:
   - Staking pools can charge operation fees (a margin on rewards) to maintain their infrastructure. This includes fixed costs set by pool operators. Delegators earn rewards after pool fees are deducted, providing a balanced incentive for both operators and delegators to participate actively.
   - Rewards are distributed at the end of each epoch, where staking pool performance and participation determine the distribution of ADA rewards to all stakeholders.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   - Transaction fees on Cardano are paid in ADA and are generally low. They are calculated based on the size of the transaction and the network's current demand. These fees are paid to validators for including transactions in new blocks.
   - The fee formula is: a + b × size, where a is a constant (typically 0.155381 ADA), b is a coefficient related to the transaction size (0.000043946 ADA/byte), and size refers to the transaction size in bytes. This ensures that the fee adapts based on network load and the size of each transaction.
2. Staking Pool Fees:
   - Staking pool operators charge operational costs and a margin fee, which covers the cost of running and maintaining the staking pool. These fees vary between pools but ensure that operators can continue to provide their services while offering rewards to delegators.
   - After the operator's fee, the remaining rewards are distributed among the delegators based on the size of their stake.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is

available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

MultiversX EGLD

EGLD | H1V5PHW7P

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for MultiversX EGLD

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	MultiversX EGLD	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	716132.11471	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	34.4781604613	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.00033	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	295.04356	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.00013	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

MultiversX EGLD is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Multiversx.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated

periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

MultiversX employs a consensus model called Secure Proof of Stake (SPoS), which integrates elements of Proof of Stake (PoS) with a rapid, randomized validator selection process. SPoS enables efficient and scalable consensus with high throughput and low latency.

Core Components:

1. Secure Proof of Stake (SPoS):
   - Randomized Validator Selection: Validators are selected in under 100 milliseconds based on their stake, with a quick rotation to maintain efficiency and prevent centralization.
   - Validator and Observer Nodes: Validator nodes process transactions and produce blocks, while Observer nodes are read-only, providing data access and network monitoring.
2. Adaptive State Sharding:
   Parallel Transaction Processing: Adaptive State Sharding splits the network into shards, allowing for simultaneous transaction processing across multiple shards, which enhances scalability and network performance.
3. Meta Chain Coordination:
   Cross-Shard Finalization: The Meta Chain manages cross-shard transactions, finalizing blocks and ensuring data consistency between shards.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

MultiversX EGLD is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Multiversx.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees

in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

MultiversX incentivizes network participation through staking rewards and transaction fees, supporting network security and performance.

Incentive Mechanisms:

Staking Rewards for Validators and Delegators:
- Validator Rewards: Validators earn EGLD tokens for processing transactions and producing blocks.
- Delegation Rewards: EGLD holders can delegate their tokens to validators to receive a portion of the staking rewards without managing a node.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Fee Structure: Fees are paid in EGLD and vary based on transaction complexity and size, covering smart contract execution, asset transfers, and other network interactions.
2. Delegation Opportunities:
   Passive Staking for EGLD Holders: EGLD holders who delegate their tokens share in staking rewards, supporting network security and earning passive income.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Polkadot DOT

DOT | SGD9NLTRG

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Polkadot DOT

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Polkadot DOT	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	642546.00000	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	39.0062350679	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.00004	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	190.07953	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.00001	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Polkadot DOT is present on the following networks: Astar, Polkadot, Statemint.

Astar uses a hybrid consensus mechanism that combines Proof of Stake (PoS) and Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS), with the added feature of Sharded Multichain capabilities. The primary goal is to provide a scalable, interoperable, and decentralized platform for building decentralized applications (dApps), which can run on multiple blockchains in parallel.

Key Features of Astar's Consensus Mechanism:

1. Proof of Stake (PoS): In Astar, validators participate by staking ASTR tokens, the native currency of the network. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected as a validator. Validators are responsible for validating transactions and securing the network. Validators receive block rewards for their efforts, which are paid in ASTR tokens.
2. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS): Astar incorporates DPoS to allow ASTR token holders to vote for validators. Token holders delegate their voting power to trusted validators, who then produce

blocks and validate transactions. This ensures greater decentralization by allowing the community to have a direct say in who validates the network. Delegators receive a share of the block rewards earned by their selected validators.
3. Sharded Multichain: Astar's consensus mechanism allows for multichain execution via Parachains in the Polkadot ecosystem, enabling Astar to process multiple parallel chains and increase scalability. This sharding mechanism ensures that Astar can scale effectively, maintaining high throughput while decentralizing the network.
4. Finality: Astar leverages Polkadot's GRANDPA (GHOST-based Recursive Ancestor Deriving Prefix Agreement) finality gadget for fast and deterministic finality. Once a block is finalized, it is irreversible, ensuring the integrity and security of transactions.

Polkadot, a heterogeneous multi-chain framework designed to enable different blockchains to interoperate, uses a sophisticated consensus mechanism known as Nominated Proof-of-Stake (NPoS). This mechanism combines elements of Proof-of-Stake (PoS) and a layered consensus model involving multiple roles and stages.

Core Components:

1. Validators: Validators are responsible for producing new blocks and finalizing the relay chain, Polkadot's main chain. They stake DOT tokens and validate transactions, ensuring the security and integrity of the network.
2. Nominators: Nominators delegate their stake to trusted validators, choosing which validators they believe will act honestly and effectively. They share in the rewards and penalties of the validators they nominate.
3. Collators: Collators maintain parachains (individual blockchains that connect to the Polkadot relay chain) by collecting transactions from users and producing state transition proofs for validators.
4. Fishermen: Fishermen monitor the network for malicious activity. They report bad behavior to the validators to help maintain network security.

Consensus Process: Polkadot's consensus mechanism operates through a combination of two key protocols: GRANDPA (GHOST-based Recursive Ancestor Deriving Prefix Agreement) and BABE (Blind Assignment for Blockchain Extension).

1. BABE (Block Production): BABE is the block production mechanism. It operates similarly to a lottery, where validators are pseudo-randomly assigned slots to produce blocks based on their stake. Each validator signs the blocks they produce, which are then propagated through the network.
2. GRANDPA (Finality): GRANDPA is the finality gadget that provides a higher level of security by finalizing blocks after they are produced. Unlike traditional blockchains where blocks are considered final after a number of confirmations, GRANDPA allows for asynchronous finality. Validators vote on chains, and once a supermajority agrees, the chain is finalized instantly.

Detailed Steps:

1. Block Production (BABE):
   - Slot Allocation: Validators are selected to produce blocks in specific time slots.
   - Block Proposal: The selected validator for a slot proposes a block, including new transactions and state changes.
2. Block Propagation and Preliminary Consensus: Proposed blocks are propagated across the network, where other validators verify the correctness of the transactions and state transitions.
3. Finalization (GRANDPA):
   - Voting on Blocks: Validators vote on the chains they believe to be the correct history.

- Supermajority Agreement: Once more than two-thirds of validators agree on a block, it is finalized.
- Instant Finality: This finality process ensures that once a block is finalized, it is irreversible and becomes part of the canonical chain.

4. Rewards and Penalties: Validators and nominators earn rewards for participating in the consensus process and maintaining network security. Misbehavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being offline, results in penalties, including slashing of staked tokens.

Statemint is a common-good parachain on the Polkadot and Kusama networks, designed to handle asset management and issuance efficiently while leveraging Polkadot's shared security model.

Core Components:

- Relay Chain Integration: Statemint inherits its consensus mechanism from the Polkadot Relay Chain, which operates on a Nominated Proof of Stake (NPoS) model. This model ensures robust security and decentralization by relying on validators and nominators.
- Shared Security: As a parachain, Statemint utilizes the Polkadot Relay Chain's validators for block validation, ensuring high security and interoperability without requiring independent validators.
- Collator Nodes: Statemint employs collator nodes to aggregate transactions into blocks and submit them to the Relay Chain validators for finalization. Collators do not participate in consensus directly but play a key role in transaction processing.
- Immediate Finality: The underlying Polkadot consensus mechanism ensures instant finality using the GRANDPA (GHOST-based Recursive Ancestor Deriving Prefix Agreement) protocol, which provides secure and efficient transaction confirmation.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Polkadot DOT is present on the following networks: Astar, Polkadot, Statemint.

Astar incentivizes network participation through block rewards, transaction fees, and staking rewards while encouraging governance via delegated voting.

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Staking Rewards: Validators earn ASTR tokens for validating transactions and securing the network. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of validating blocks.
2. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS): ASTR token holders can delegate their tokens to validators, sharing in the rewards based on the performance of their chosen validators.
3. Cross-Chain dApp Rewards: Developers deploying dApps on Astar earn rewards for using the network's multichain capabilities.
4. Governance Participation: ASTR token holders participate in on-chain governance to vote on proposals and protocol changes.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees: Users pay fees in ASTR tokens for transactions. These are collected by validators who process the transactions.
2. dApp Execution Fees: Developers pay for smart contract execution based on resource demands.
3. Cross-Chain Fees: Additional fees apply for asset transfers and interactions between different blockchain networks.
4. Parachain Slot Fees: Astar incurs fees for its parachain slot on the Polkadot network to ensure interoperability.

Polkadot uses a consensus mechanism called Nominated Proof-of-Stake (NPoS), which involves a combination of validators, nominators, and a unique layered consensus process to secure the network:

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are responsible for producing new blocks and finalizing the relay chain. They are incentivized with staking rewards, which are distributed in proportion to their stake and their performance in the consensus process. Validators earn these rewards for maintaining uptime and correctly validating transactions.
   - Commission: Validators can set a commission rate that they charge on the rewards earned by their nominators. This incentivizes them to perform well to attract more nominators.
2. Nominators:
   - Delegation: Nominators stake their tokens by delegating them to trusted validators. They share in the rewards earned by the validators they support. This mechanism incentivizes nominators to carefully choose reliable validators.
   - Rewards Distribution: The rewards are distributed among validators and their nominators based on the amount of stake contributed by each party. This ensures that both parties are incentivized to maintain the network's security.
3. Collators:
   Parachain Maintenance: Collators maintain parachains by collecting transactions and producing state transition proofs for validators. They are incentivized through rewards for their role in keeping the parachain operational and secure.
4. Fishermen:
   Monitoring: Fishermen are responsible for monitoring the network for malicious activities. They are rewarded for identifying and reporting malicious behavior, which helps maintain the network's security.
5. Economic Penalties:
   - Slashing: Validators and nominators face penalties in the form of slashing if they engage in malicious activities such as double-signing or being offline for extended periods. Slashing results in the loss of a portion of their staked tokens, which serves as a strong deterrent against bad behavior.
   - Unbonding Period: To withdraw staked tokens, participants must go through an unbonding period during which their tokens are still at risk of being slashed. This ensures continued network security even when validators or nominators decide to exit.

Fees on the Polkadot Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
   - Dynamic Fees: Transaction fees on Polkadot are dynamic, adjusting based on network demand and the complexity of the transaction. This model ensures that fees remain fair and proportional to the network's usage.
   - Fee Burn: A portion of the transaction fees is burned (permanently removed from circulation), which helps to control inflation and can potentially increase the value of the remaining tokens.
2. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Polkadot are based on the computational resources required. This encourages efficient use of network resources.
3. Parachain Slot Auction Fees:
   Bidding for Slots: Projects that want to secure a parachain slot must participate in a slot auction. They bid DOT tokens, and the highest bidders win the right to operate a parachain for a

specified period. This process ensures that only serious projects with significant backing can secure parachain slots, contributing to the network's overall quality and security.

Statemint is a common-good parachain on the Polkadot and Kusama networks, designed to enable efficient asset management while benefiting from Polkadot's shared security and governance model.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Relay Chain Validators: Validators securing the Polkadot Relay Chain are indirectly incentivized through block rewards and transaction fees collected across all parachains, including Statemint. This ensures the stability and security of the network without requiring Statemint-specific rewards.
- Collator Compensation: Collator nodes aggregate transactions and produce blocks for Statemint. They may be compensated through external arrangements, such as subsidies or user-driven incentives, depending on governance decisions and usage patterns.
- Governance Participation: Polkadot (DOT) and Kusama (KSM) token holders influence Statemint's operations, such as fee adjustments and protocol upgrades, through on-chain governance mechanisms.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees: Users pay transaction fees in the native tokens of the Relay Chain, DOT for Polkadot or KSM for Kusama. These fees are distributed to Relay Chain validators to support the network's maintenance.
- Asset Creation and Transfer Fees: Fees apply for creating new assets and transferring them on the Statemint chain. These fees help prevent spam and ensure efficient use of network resources.
- Governance-Defined Fee Adjustments: The Statemint parachain's fees can be adjusted through governance proposals, enabling the community to adapt costs to network conditions.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital

Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) astar is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. “Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute” [dataset]. Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data Europe”; Ember, “Yearly Electricity Data”; Energy Institute, “Statistical Review of World Energy” [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

THETA

THETA | JZP0682XF

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for THETA

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	THETA	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	597082.52065	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	37.9124101186	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.00023	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	201.07168	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.00008	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

THETA is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Theta.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network

upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Theta Network combines a Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT) consensus mechanism with Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high security and throughput.

Core Components:

- Hybrid BFT and PoS Model: The modified BFT mechanism allows for fast transaction processing, while PoS secures the network by requiring participants to stake THETA tokens.
- Two-Layer Node Structure Enterprise Validator Nodes: Run by large enterprises and strategic partners, such as Google, Samsung, and Sony, Validator Nodes propose and validate new blocks. These nodes are required to stake a substantial amount of THETA to maintain network integrity.
- Guardian Nodes: Community-operated nodes that finalize blocks created by Validator Nodes. Guardian Nodes add a layer of security by preventing a single entity from controlling the network, supporting decentralization and consensus stability.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

THETA is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Theta.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Theta Network operates a dual-token economy with THETA and TFUEL to support network security, resource sharing, and transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

Staking Rewards THETA Staking: Users can stake THETA by operating Validator or Guardian Nodes, earning TFUEL as staking rewards. This model incentivizes users to contribute to network security and efficiency. Rewards for Resource Sharing Users who share their bandwidth and computing resources by relaying video streams are rewarded with TFUEL. This aligns with Theta's vision of a decentralized content delivery network (CDN), encouraging broader participation in supporting video streaming and data delivery.

Applicable Fees:

- TFUEL as the Operational Token Transaction Fees: TFUEL is used to pay for transaction fees on the Theta Network, covering smart contract executions and other network interactions.
- dApp Operations: TFUEL powers data delivery, video streaming, and payments within the Theta ecosystem, supporting operational needs for dApps on the network. Dual-Token Utility THETA

serves as the governance token, enabling staking and securing the network through Validator and Guardian Nodes. TFUEL acts as the utility token, driving transaction fees and data delivery services essential to the network's decentralized video streaming model.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, theta is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Flow

FLOW | 6T49BCSXZ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Flow

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Flow	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	526695.00000	kWh/a
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	37.9124101186	%
S.11 Energy intensity	0.00029	kWh
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	0.00000	tCO2e
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	175.29098	tCO2e
S.14 GHG intensity	0.00010	kgCO2e

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Flow employs a Proof of Stake (PoS) model with a multi-role node architecture and the HotStuff Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) protocol to achieve high throughput, scalability, and fast finality.

Core Components of Flow's Consensus:

1. Proof of Stake with Multi-Role Architecture:
   Specialized Node Roles: Flow's PoS model features a multi-node architecture where node roles are divided among different types of specialized nodes, each responsible for specific tasks. This separation enhances scalability by allowing nodes to focus on particular operations, leading to efficient transaction processing and high throughput.
2. HotStuff Consensus Algorithm:
   - Optimized for High Throughput and Fast Finality: Flow utilizes an optimized version of the HotStuff consensus protocol, which is designed to support high-speed, low-latency transactions essential for Flow's performance-oriented blockchain.

- BFT Compliance: HotStuff is a BFT protocol, allowing it to tolerate up to one-third of nodes acting maliciously without compromising the network's security. This resilience ensures the network remains secure and functional, even with potential faults or dishonest nodes.

3. Leader-Based Block Proposal:
   - Leader and Replica Nodes: HotStuff operates with a leader-based approach where a designated leader node proposes new blocks, and other nodes (replicas) validate these blocks. This method simplifies the consensus process, reducing complexity and improving efficiency.
   - Leader Rotation Mechanism: To prevent centralization and enhance fault tolerance, HotStuff incorporates a leader rotation system, replacing the leader if it becomes unresponsive or acts maliciously. This rotation ensures continuous network reliability and minimizes downtime.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Flow's incentive model rewards validator nodes, supports ecosystem growth, and maintains affordable fees for developers and users.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Specialized Nodes:
   Role-Based Rewards: Validators earn Flow tokens according to their specific roles and contributions within the multi-node architecture, aligning rewards with each node's responsibilities to encourage balanced and effective network participation.
2. Transaction Fees:
   Stable and Consumer-Friendly Fees: Flow's fee structure is designed for predictability, keeping transaction costs stable for both developers and users. Fees are based on transaction complexity and provide an ongoing income stream for validators.
3. Misbehavior Penalties:
   Penalties for Downtime or Malicious Behavior: To maintain network stability, Flow imposes penalties on validators for misbehavior or downtime. This incentivizes high-quality validator participation and ensures consistent performance.
4. Ecosystem and Developer Support:
   Dedicated Portion of Fees and Rewards: A portion of Flow's transaction fees and rewards is allocated to developer initiatives, ecosystem growth, and community engagement. This investment fosters innovation, supports long-term network health, and aligns incentives for ecosystem development.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction.

Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

Kusama

KSM | PX4GCX5B5

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Kusama

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Kusama	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	474616.80000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Kusama is a scalable, interoperable blockchain platform built using a Nominated Proof of Stake (NPoS) consensus mechanism. It is a canary network for Polkadot, allowing developers to experiment and deploy new features before they are added to Polkadot. Kusama's NPoS mechanism ensures high security, decentralized control, and rapid block finality.

Key Features of Kusama's Consensus Mechanism:

1. Nominated Proof of Stake (NPoS):
   - Validators and Nominators: Kusama's consensus mechanism relies on validators and nominators. Validators are responsible for producing blocks and validating transactions, while nominators select trustworthy validators by staking KSM (Kusama's native token).
   - Staking and Security: Validators must stake KSM tokens to participate in consensus, and nominators back validators with their KSM tokens. The more KSM tokens staked by both validators and nominators, the more secure the network is.
   - Validator Rotation: Validators are selected based on the amount of KSM staked, with a fixed number of validators chosen to participate in consensus at any given time. The network periodically rotates validators to ensure fairness and prevent centralization.
   - Finality and Security: NPoS ensures secure and fast finality. Once a block is validated, it becomes part of the immutable blockchain, meaning it cannot be reverted or reorganized.
2. Governance:
   - On-Chain Governance: Kusama features a robust on-chain governance system that allows KSM holders to vote on important protocol decisions, including changes to the consensus mechanism, network upgrades, and other governance parameters.

- Democratic Decision-Making: All token holders have voting power proportional to the amount of KSM they hold and are willing to lock up. This ensures decentralized control over network upgrades and parameters.
- Governance Proposals: Kusama's governance is open and transparent, with proposals submitted by the community, allowing participants to shape the direction of the network.

3. Parachain Auctions:
   - Shared Security: Kusama supports parachains, which are individual blockchains that benefit from Kusama's shared security model. Parachain slots are won through auctions where participants bid with KSM tokens, ensuring that only the most committed participants secure a parachain slot.
   - Scalability: This multi-chain model enables Kusama to scale horizontally, allowing for the connection of numerous independent blockchains, which can interoperate within the Kusama ecosystem.
4. Fast Finality and High Throughput:
   - Speed: Kusama's consensus mechanism allows for rapid block finality and high throughput, supporting thousands of transactions per second.
   - Low Latency: The system's low-latency design ensures quick confirmation times, enabling Kusama to handle high transaction volumes efficiently.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Kusama's incentive mechanisms ensure active participation in securing and maintaining the network while its fee structure supports efficient operation and scalability of the ecosystem.

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Validator Rewards:
   - Block Rewards: Validators in Kusama earn rewards for successfully producing blocks and validating transactions. These rewards are given in KSM tokens and are distributed proportionally to the amount of KSM staked by validators and nominators.
   - Transaction Fees: In addition to block rewards, validators also earn transaction fees for validating and including transactions in blocks. These fees are paid by users who want their transactions included in the next block.
2. Nominator Rewards:
   - Staking Rewards: Nominators, who delegate their KSM tokens to trusted validators, share in the rewards earned by the validators they support. Nominators receive a proportion of both the block rewards and transaction fees, incentivizing them to choose high-performing validators.
   - Reward Distribution: The rewards earned by nominators are distributed based on the amount of KSM they have staked with a validator. More KSM staked means higher rewards for the nominator.
3. Parachain Auction Participation:
   - Slot Auctions: Kusama's parachain slots are won through an auction process, where participants bid using KSM tokens. This incentivizes KSM holders to lock up their tokens in parachain auctions to secure valuable parachain slots for their projects.
   - Crowdloan Incentives: Projects bidding for parachain slots can incentivize users to participate in crowdloans, where users lend their KSM tokens to the project in exchange for potential rewards once the project secures a parachain slot.
4. Governance Participation:

   Voting Rewards: KSM token holders who participate in governance decisions, such as voting on proposals and upgrades, are incentivized with the ability to influence the future of the network. Although there are no direct financial rewards for voting, active participation in governance ensures the sustainability and growth of the ecosystem.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   - Fee Structure: Kusama users pay transaction fees for processing their transactions on the network. These fees are generally low and are determined by the transaction's size and network demand. Transaction fees are paid in KSM tokens and are used to compensate validators for their work.
   - Dynamic Fee Adjustment: The fee rate can adjust based on the current network congestion. During periods of high demand, transaction fees can increase, prioritizing faster processing of transactions with higher fees.
2. Parachain Slot Auction Fees:
   Bidding Fees: Projects wishing to secure a parachain slot must participate in an auction and bid KSM tokens to win the slot. The auction fees paid to win a parachain slot are burned or redistributed within the Kusama ecosystem to support network growth and maintenance.
3. Storage Fees:
   Data Storage: Kusama charges fees for storing data on the network, including smart contracts and parachain data. These fees are required to ensure efficient data usage and prevent unnecessary resource consumption. Fees for storage are also paid in KSM tokens.
4. Governance Fees:
   Proposal and Voting Costs: Participants in governance, such as those submitting proposals or voting on network upgrades, may be required to pay minimal fees, ensuring the governance process is secure and spam-free. These costs help prevent abuse of the voting system and are intended to maintain an orderly governance environment.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Ripple XRP

XRP | 42PHJB2BS

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Ripple XRP

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Ripple XRP	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	456264.96360	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ripple XRP is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Klaytn, Ripple.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Klaytn employs a modified Istanbul Byzantine Fault Tolerance (IBFT) consensus algorithm, a variant of Proof of Authority (PoA), enabling high performance and immediate transaction finality.

Core Components of Klaytn's Consensus:

1. Modified IBFT Algorithm:
   Immediate Transaction Finality: Klaytn's IBFT algorithm ensures that once a block is validated, it is immediately final and cannot be reversed. This guarantees that transactions are quickly settled, providing a secure and efficient user experience.
2. Klaytn Governance Council:
   - Council-Driven Governance: The Klaytn network is governed by the Klaytn Governance Council, a consortium of global organizations responsible for selecting and maintaining Consensus Nodes (CNs). This council-based governance model balances decentralization with performance and ensures transparency in decision-making.
   - Two-Thirds Majority for Finalization: For a block to be finalized, it must receive signatures from more than two-thirds of the council members, ensuring broad consensus and network security.
3. Three-Tiered Node Architecture:
   - Consensus Nodes (CNs): The selected validators responsible for producing and validating blocks. CNs are at the core of the network's security and stability.
   - Proxy Nodes (PNs): Act as intermediaries, relaying data between CNs and the broader network, which helps distribute network traffic and improve accessibility.
   - Endpoint Nodes (ENs): Interface directly with end-users, facilitating transactions, executing smart contracts, and serving as user access points to the Klaytn network.

The Ripple blockchain, specifically the XRP Ledger (XRPL), uses a consensus mechanism known as the Ripple Protocol Consensus Algorithm (RPCA). It differs from Proof of Work (PoW) and Proof of Stake (PoS) as it doesn't rely on mining or staking but instead leverages trusted validators in a Federated Byzantine Agreement (FBA) model.

Core Concepts:

1. Validators and Unique Node Lists (UNL): Validators are trusted nodes in the network that validate transactions and propose new ledger updates. Each node maintains a list of trusted validators known as its Unique Node List (UNL). Consensus is achieved when 80% of the validators in a node's UNL agree on the validity of a transaction or block. This ensures high levels of security and decentralization.
2. Transaction Ordering and Validation: Transactions are broadcast to validators, and once 80% of the validators agree, the transaction is considered confirmed. Each ledger in the XRPL contains transaction data, and validators ensure the validity and proper ordering of these transactions.

Consensus Process:

1. Proposal Phase: Validators propose new transactions to be added to the ledger.
2. Validation Phase: Validators vote on proposed transactions by comparing them to their UNL. Consensus is achieved when 80% of validators agree.
3. Finalization: Once consensus is reached, the transactions are written into the new ledger, making them irreversible and final.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ripple XRP is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Klaytn, Ripple.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that

proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Klaytn's incentive structure includes block rewards and transaction fees distributed to Consensus Nodes (CNs) and various network funds, fostering network security, sustainability, and community development.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Rewards for Consensus Nodes (CNs):
   - Fixed Block Rewards: CNs earn fixed rewards in KLAY tokens for validating and producing blocks. This predictable income incentivizes CNs to maintain active participation and secure the network.
   - Transaction Fees: Users pay transaction fees in KLAY tokens, which are collected by the network and distributed among the CNs as additional rewards, further supporting network security and stability.
2. Block Reward Distribution: Governance Council (GC) Reward:
   - GC Block Proposer Reward: 10% of the block reward goes to the specific CN that proposed the block, incentivizing continuous active participation.
   - GC Staking Award: 40% of the block reward is distributed among all Governance Council members who stake KLAY, promoting network security by rewarding staked tokens.
   - Klaytn Community Fund (KCF): 30% of each block reward is allocated to the KCF to support community development, dApp creation, and overall ecosystem growth.
   - Klaytn Foundation Fund (KFF): 20% of the block reward goes to the KFF, providing resources for long-term network sustainability and future development initiatives.
3. Transaction Fees:
   - User Fees for Network Interaction: Users pay fees in KLAY based on gas usage and gas price for transactions. These fees are then distributed to CNs, incentivizing efficient transaction processing and active participation.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Transaction fees on Klaytn are paid in KLAY and calculated based on gas consumption. These fees support network maintenance by compensating validators and fostering economic sustainability.

The Ripple XRP blockchain uses a unique incentive structure that differs from traditional Proof of Work (PoW) or Proof of Stake (PoS) systems, focusing on its Ripple Protocol Consensus Algorithm (RPCA).

Incentive Mechanisms to Secure Transactions:

1. Validators: Validators on the Ripple network are not directly compensated with rewards like in PoW/PoS models. Instead, they are incentivized by the utility and stability of the network, particularly financial institutions that benefit from Ripple's efficiency in cross-border payments.
2. No Mining: Since Ripple does not use mining, it eliminates the need for energy-intensive computations, contributing to fast transaction speeds and scalability.

Fees on the Ripple XRP Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees: Ripple charges minimal transaction fees (typically fractions of an XRP, known as \drops") for each transaction. The purpose of these fees is to prevent network spam and overload.
2. Burn Mechanism: A portion of each transaction fee is burned, meaning it's permanently removed from circulation. This reduces the overall supply of XRP over time, contributing to potential long-term value stability.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, klaytn is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary

principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

USDC

USDC | TJWK5QTRK

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for USDC

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	USDC	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	454778.87604	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

USDC is present on the following networks: Algorand, Aptos Coin, Arbitrum, Avalanche, Base, Celo, Ethereum, Flow, Hedera Hbar, Hyperliquid, Injective, Linea, Near Protocol, Optimism, Plume, Polygon, Ripple, Sei, Solana, Sonic, Starknet, Statemint, Stellar, Sui, Tron, Xdc Network, Zksync.

The Algorand blockchain utilizes a consensus mechanism termed Pure Proof-of-Stake (PPoS). Consensus, in this context, describes the method by which blocks are selected and appended to the blockchain. Algorand employs a verifiable random function (VRF) to select leaders who propose blocks for each round.

Upon block proposal, a pseudorandomly selected committee of voters is chosen to evaluate the proposal. If a supermajority of these votes are from honest participants, the block is certified. What makes this algorithm a Pure Proof of Stake is that users are chosen for committees based on the number of algos in their accounts. This system leverages random committee selection to maintain high performance and inclusivity within the network.

The consensus process involves three stages:

1. Propose: A leader proposes a new block.
2. Soft Vote: A committee of voters assesses the proposed block.
3. Certify Vote: Another committee certifies the block if it meets the required honesty threshold.

Aptos utilizes a Proof-of-Stake approach combined with a BFT consensus protocol to ensure high throughput, low latency, and secure transaction processing.

Core Components:

- Parallel Execution: Transactions are processed concurrently using Block-STM, a parallel execution engine, enabling high performance and scalability.
- Leader-Based BFT: A leader is selected among validators to propose blocks, while others validate and finalize transactions.
- Dynamic Validator Rotation: Validators are rotated regularly, enhancing decentralization and preventing collusion.
- Instant Finality: Transactions achieve finality once validated, ensuring that they are irreversible.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

The Avalanche C-Chain uses the Snowman++ consensus mechanism, which is Avalanche's consensus model for linear blockchains and is implemented through the ProposerVM wrapper. Under this model, validators repeatedly query a small random subset of other validators and converge on a preferred block once the required confidence thresholds are met. Only Primary Network validators are entitled to validate the C-Chain. To participate as a validator on Avalanche mainnet, a node must stake a minimum number of token. Token holders may also participate indirectly by delegating an existing validator. Validator identity and admission to staking require the relevant staking credentials, including BLS proofs of possession under the current staking framework. For block production, Snowman++ uses stake-weighted proposer windows. Through the ProposerVM, block-building opportunities are assigned to proposers in 5-second windows, after which block production may fall back more broadly to validators if necessary. This mechanism is intended to regulate block production while preserving network liveness. Consensus voting itself remains based on repeated sub-sampled polling rather than fixed validator committees. Avalanche documentation describes the finality model as sub-second and treated by the protocol as final and irreversible once accepted, while noting that safety is probabilistic in the formal sense because the probability of conflicting acceptance can be reduced to an arbitrarily low level through the protocol parameters. The protocol does not rely on slashing of staked principal. Instead, validator reward eligibility depends on compliance with protocol conditions, including uptime requirements.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

Celo uses a Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus model, which supports a decentralized, community-driven approach to governance and network security.

Core Components of Celo's Consensus:

1. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   Validator Role: Validators are responsible for creating new blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining the security and integrity of the network. Validators are selected based on the amount of CELO tokens they hold and stake, incentivizing honest participation and network reliability.
2. Decentralized Governance:
   Community Voting: Governance on Celo is decentralized, allowing CELO token holders to vote on proposals and changes to the network. This community-driven approach ensures that token holders have a say in the network's development and strategic direction.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Flow employs a Proof of Stake (PoS) model with a multi-role node architecture and the HotStuff Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) protocol to achieve high throughput, scalability, and fast finality.

Core Components of Flow's Consensus:

1. Proof of Stake with Multi-Role Architecture:
   Specialized Node Roles: Flow's PoS model features a multi-node architecture where node roles are divided among different types of specialized nodes, each responsible for specific tasks. This separation enhances scalability by allowing nodes to focus on particular operations, leading to efficient transaction processing and high throughput.
2. HotStuff Consensus Algorithm:
   - Optimized for High Throughput and Fast Finality: Flow utilizes an optimized version of the HotStuff consensus protocol, which is designed to support high-speed, low-latency transactions essential for Flow's performance-oriented blockchain.
   - BFT Compliance: HotStuff is a BFT protocol, allowing it to tolerate up to one-third of nodes acting maliciously without compromising the network's security. This resilience ensures the network remains secure and functional, even with potential faults or dishonest nodes.
3. Leader-Based Block Proposal:
   - Leader and Replica Nodes: HotStuff operates with a leader-based approach where a designated leader node proposes new blocks, and other nodes (replicas) validate these blocks. This method simplifies the consensus process, reducing complexity and improving efficiency.
   - Leader Rotation Mechanism: To prevent centralization and enhance fault tolerance, HotStuff incorporates a leader rotation system, replacing the leader if it becomes unresponsive or acts maliciously. This rotation ensures continuous network reliability and minimizes downtime.

Hedera Hashgraph operates on a unique Hashgraph consensus algorithm, a directed acyclic graph (DAG) system that diverges from traditional blockchain technology. It uses Asynchronous Byzantine Fault Tolerance (aBFT) to secure the network.

Core Components:

1. Hashgraph Consensus and aBFT:
   Hedera Hashgraph's consensus mechanism achieves aBFT, which allows the network to tolerate malicious nodes without compromising security, ensuring high levels of fault tolerance and stability.
2. Gossip about Gossip Protocol:
   The network employs a "Gossip about Gossip" protocol, where nodes share transaction information along with details of previous gossip events. This process allows each node to rapidly learn the entire network state, enhancing communication efficiency and minimizing latency.
3. Virtual Voting:
   Hedera does not rely on traditional miners or stakers. Instead, it uses virtual voting, where nodes reach consensus by analyzing the gossip history and simulating votes based on the order and frequency of transactions received. Virtual voting eliminates the need for actual voting messages, reducing network congestion and speeding up consensus.
4. Deterministic Finality:
   Once consensus is reached, transactions achieve deterministic finality instantly, making them irreversible and confirmed within seconds. This attribute is ideal for applications needing quick and irreversible transaction confirmations.
5. Staking for Network Security:
   Hedera incorporates staking to bolster network security. HBAR holders can stake their tokens to support validator nodes, contributing to the network's resilience and encouraging long-term engagement in consensus operations.

Hyperliquid is a decentralized perpetual exchange (DEX) built on its proprietary Layer 1 blockchain, Hyperliquid L1. At the core of its architecture is the HyperBFT consensus mechanism, inspired by the Hotstuff protocol, designed to meet the demands of high-frequency trading while maintaining security and consistency across the ecosystem.

Injective operates on a Tendermint-based Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus model, ensuring high throughput and immediate transaction finality.

Core Components:

- Tendermint-based Proof of Stake (PoS):
  Ensures instant transaction finality and supports efficient block production for high-speed transactions.
- Validator Selection:
  Validators are chosen based on the amount of INJ tokens staked, considering both self-staked and delegated tokens, to maintain a decentralized network.
- Delegation:
  INJ holders can delegate their tokens to validators, earning a share of staking rewards while participating in network governance.
- Instant Finality:
  The Tendermint consensus mechanism provides immediate finality, ensuring transactions cannot be reversed once validated.

The Linea Network uses a Zero-Knowledge Rollup (ZK-Rollup) architecture with a zkEVM for Ethereum compatibility, and its consensus is derived from Ethereum's own proof-of-stake security. While the Network has components like a sequencer for ordering transactions and a coordinator for network management, its consensus mechanism is fundamentally linked to the proof and verification process of zero-knowledge proofs and the security of the Ethereum mainnet. Instead of a typical decentralized consensus on a separate blockchain, the Network inherits its security and state finality from Ethereum.

The NEAR Protocol uses a unique consensus mechanism combining Proof of Stake (PoS) and a novel approach called Doomslug, which enables high efficiency, fast transaction processing, and secure finality in its operations.

Core Concepts:

1. Doomslug and Proof of Stake:
   - NEAR's consensus mechanism primarily revolves around PoS, where validators stake NEAR tokens to participate in securing the network. However, NEAR's implementation is enhanced with the Doomslug protocol.
   - Doomslug allows the network to achieve fast block finality by requiring blocks to be confirmed in two stages. Validators propose blocks in the first step, and finalization occurs when two-thirds of validators approve the block, ensuring rapid transaction confirmation.
2. Sharding with Nightshade:
   - NEAR uses a dynamic sharding technique called Nightshade. This method splits the network into multiple shards, enabling parallel processing of transactions across the network, thus significantly increasing throughput. Each shard processes a portion of transactions, and the outcomes are merged into a single "snapshot" block.
   - This sharding approach ensures scalability, allowing the network to grow and handle increasing demand efficiently.

Consensus Process:

1. Validator Selection:
   - Validators are selected to propose and validate blocks based on the amount of NEAR tokens staked. This selection process is designed to ensure that only validators with significant stakes and community trust participate in securing the network.
2. Transaction Finality:
   - NEAR achieves transaction finality through its PoS-based system, where validators vote on blocks. Once two-thirds of validators approve a block, it reaches finality under Doomslug, meaning that no forks can alter the confirmed state.
3. Epochs and Rotation:
   - Validators are rotated in epochs to ensure fairness and decentralization. Epochs are intervals in which validators are reshuffled, and new block proposers are selected, ensuring a balance between performance and decentralization.

Since Optimism is based on Ethereum, it ultimately inherits its security via the Ethereum blockchain and the proof-of-stake consensus. Within the rollup system, Optimism relies on a “fault proof” procedure. By default, transactions are assumed to be correct (“optimistic”). Only in the event of suspected faults is a fault proof initiated, in which incorrect transactions can be challenged by challengers. This model allows for high efficiency while ensuring correctness.

Plume is described as relying on an architecture aligned with optimistic rollup technology within the Arbitrum Orbit framework. Under this design, transaction ordering is typically performed by a sequencer, while settlement and finality are achieved via anchoring to Ethereum. Economic

incentives and protocol rules are generally designed to encourage timely submission of fraud proofs (where applicable) within predefined challenge windows. The precise security guarantees and finality characteristics depend on the rollup configuration, the sequencer setup, and Ethereum's underlying security assumptions.

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production. The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator's effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

The Ripple blockchain, specifically the XRP Ledger (XRPL), uses a consensus mechanism known as the Ripple Protocol Consensus Algorithm (RPCA). It differs from Proof of Work (PoW) and Proof of Stake (PoS) as it doesn't rely on mining or staking but instead leverages trusted validators in a Federated Byzantine Agreement (FBA) model.

Core Concepts:

1. Validators and Unique Node Lists (UNL): Validators are trusted nodes in the network that validate transactions and propose new ledger updates. Each node maintains a list of trusted validators known as its Unique Node List (UNL). Consensus is achieved when 80% of the validators in a node's UNL agree on the validity of a transaction or block. This ensures high levels of security and decentralization.
2. Transaction Ordering and Validation: Transactions are broadcast to validators, and once 80% of the validators agree, the transaction is considered confirmed. Each ledger in the XRPL contains transaction data, and validators ensure the validity and proper ordering of these transactions.

Consensus Process:

1. Proposal Phase: Validators propose new transactions to be added to the ledger.
2. Validation Phase: Validators vote on proposed transactions by comparing them to their UNL. Consensus is achieved when 80% of validators agree.

3. Finalization: Once consensus is reached, the transactions are written into the new ledger, making them irreversible and final.

Sei leverages its Twin-Turbo consensus mechanism, integrating advanced transaction processing techniques with the reliability of Tendermint Core, to achieve high performance and security.

Core Components:

- Twin-Turbo Consensus:
  - Optimistic Block Processing: Validators process transactions optimistically, assuming their validity, reducing latency and increasing throughput.
  - Intelligent Block Propagation: Compressed block proposals containing transaction hashes enable validators to reconstruct blocks locally, expediting consensus.
  - Single Slot Finality: Ensures immediate block finality upon addition, eliminating the need for confirmations and minimizing the risk of chain reorganizations.
- Tendermint Core Integration:
  Incorporates Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT) to maintain security and resilience, safeguarding the network against malicious actors.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network’s criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.

4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

Sonic utilizes a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism integrated with a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) architecture to enhance scalability and efficiency. Validators are required to stake the network's native $S tokens, with a minimum of 500,000 $S tokens needed to operate a validator node. This substantial staking requirement ensures that validators have a significant investment in the network's integrity.

Starknet employs zero-knowledge rollups (ZK-Rollups) for transaction aggregation and scalability, ensuring efficiency and security through succinct proof submissions to Ethereum.

Core Components:

- Zero-Knowledge Rollups (ZK-Rollups):
  Aggregates multiple off-chain transactions into a single proof, which is submitted to Ethereum's mainnet, reducing computational load and gas costs.
- Sequencer and Prover Roles:
  - Sequencers: Order and batch transactions for efficient processing.
  - Provers: Generate validity proofs ensuring the correctness of processed batches.
- Instant Finality:
  Transactions are final once a proof is verified and accepted on Ethereum, minimizing the risk of chain reorganizations and enhancing reliability.

Statemint is a common-good parachain on the Polkadot and Kusama networks, designed to handle asset management and issuance efficiently while leveraging Polkadot's shared security model.

Core Components:

- Relay Chain Integration: Statemint inherits its consensus mechanism from the Polkadot Relay Chain, which operates on a Nominated Proof of Stake (NPoS) model. This model ensures robust security and decentralization by relying on validators and nominators.
- Shared Security: As a parachain, Statemint utilizes the Polkadot Relay Chain's validators for block validation, ensuring high security and interoperability without requiring independent validators.
- Collator Nodes: Statemint employs collator nodes to aggregate transactions into blocks and submit them to the Relay Chain validators for finalization. Collators do not participate in consensus directly but play a key role in transaction processing.
- Immediate Finality: The underlying Polkadot consensus mechanism ensures instant finality using the GRANDPA (GHOST-based Recursive Ancestor Deriving Prefix Agreement) protocol, which provides secure and efficient transaction confirmation.

Consensus is reached through the Stellar Consensus Protocol (SCP), which is based on federated Byzantine agreement. This mechanism allows fast finality without mining or staking, but it depends on validators choosing other validators which they trust to reach agreement, called a quorum set. As a result, validator diversity and governance choices play a critical role in security, and concentration of influence among large operators may increase systemic risks.

The Sui blockchain utilizes a Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus mechanism optimized for high throughput and low latency.

Core Components:

1. Mysten Consensus Protocol:
   - The Sui consensus is based on Mysten Labs' Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT) protocol, which builds on principles of Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (pBFT) but introduces key optimizations for performance.
   - Leaderless Design: Unlike traditional BFT models, Sui does not rely on a single leader to propose blocks. Validators can propose blocks simultaneously, increasing efficiency and reducing the risks associated with leader failure or attacks.
   - Parallel Processing: Transactions can be processed in parallel, maximizing network throughput by utilizing multiple cores and threads. This allows for faster confirmation of transactions and high scalability.
2. Transaction Validation:
   Validators are responsible for receiving transaction requests from clients and processing them. Each transaction includes digital signatures and must meet the network's rules to be considered valid. Validators can propose transactions simultaneously, unlike many other networks that require a sequential, leader-driven process.
3. Optimistic Execution:
   Optimistic Consensus: Sui allows validators to process certain non-contentious, independent transactions without waiting for full consensus. This is known as optimistic execution and helps reduce transaction latency for many use cases, allowing for fast finality in most cases.
4. Finality and Latency:
   The system only requires three rounds of communication between validators to finalize a transaction. This results in low-latency consensus and rapid transaction confirmation times, achieving scalability while maintaining security.
5. Fault Tolerance:
   The system can tolerate up to one-third of validators being faulty or malicious without compromising the integrity of the consensus process.

The Tron blockchain operates on a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism, designed to improve scalability, transaction speed, and energy efficiency.

Core Components:

1. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS): Tron uses DPoS, where token holders vote for a group of delegates known as Super Representatives (SRs)who are responsible for validating transactions and producing new blocks on the network. Token holders can vote for SRs based on their stake in the Tron network, and the top 27 SRs (or more, depending on the protocol version) are selected to participate in the block production process. SRs take turns producing blocks, which are added to the blockchain. This is done on a rotational basis to ensure decentralization and prevent control by a small group of validators.
2. Block Production: The Super Representatives generate new blocks and confirm transactions. The Tron blockchain achieves block finality quickly, with block production occurring every 3 seconds, making it highly efficient and capable of processing thousands of transactions per second.
3. Voting and Governance: Tron's DPoS system also allows token holders to vote on important network decisions, such as protocol upgrades and changes to the system's parameters. Voting power is proportional to the amount of TRX (Tron's native token) that a user holds and chooses to stake. This provides a governance system where the community can actively participate in decision-making.
4. Super Representatives: The Super Representatives play a crucial role in maintaining the security and stability of the Tron blockchain. They are responsible for validating transactions, proposing new blocks, and ensuring the overall functionality of the network. Super Representatives are incentivized with block rewards (newly minted TRX tokens) and transaction feesfor their work.

XinFin Network operates on a modified Delegated Proof of Stake (XDPoS) model, XDPoS 2.0, which ensures scalability, security, and efficiency suitable for enterprise applications.

Core Components:

1. XDPoS 2.0 (Delegated Proof of Stake):
   Masternode System: Validators, known as masternodes, are required to stake XDC tokens to participate in transaction validation and block production. Validators are selected based on both stake size and community votes, ensuring only reliable nodes secure the network.
2. Double Validation Feature:
   - Enhanced Security: Each transaction is validated by two independent validators before it's finalized, reducing the risk of double-spending or malicious behavior and increasing network reliability.
   - Randomized Validator Rotation: Validators are selected in a rotating and randomized manner, preventing any single validator from consistently producing blocks, which enhances decentralization and security.

zkSync operates as a Layer 2 scaling solution for Ethereum, leveraging zero-knowledge rollups (ZK-Rollups) to enable fast, cost-effective, and secure transactions. This consensus mechanism allows zkSync to offload transaction computation from Ethereum's Layer 1, ensuring scalability while maintaining Ethereum's base-layer security.

Core Components:

- Zero-Knowledge Rollups (ZK-Rollups):
  zkSync aggregates multiple transactions off-chain and processes them in batches. A cryptographic proof, called a validity proof, is generated for each batch and submitted to the Ethereum

mainnet. This ensures that all transactions are valid and compliant with Ethereum's rules without processing them individually on Layer 1.

- Validity Proofs:
  zkSync uses zk-SNARKs (Succinct Non-Interactive Arguments of Knowledge) for its validity proofs. These proofs provide mathematical guarantees that transactions within a batch are valid, eliminating the need for Ethereum nodes to re-execute off-chain transactions.
- Sequencers:
  Transactions on zkSync are ordered and processed by sequencers, which bundle transactions into batches. Sequencers maintain network efficiency and provide fast confirmations.
- Fraud Resistance:
  Unlike Optimistic Rollups, zkSync relies on validity proofs rather than fraud proofs, meaning that transactions are final and secure as soon as the validity proof is accepted by Ethereum.
- Data Availability:
  All transaction data is stored on-chain, ensuring that the network remains decentralized and users can reconstruct the state of zkSync at any time.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

USDC is present on the following networks: Algorand, Aptos Coin, Arbitrum, Avalanche, Base, Celo, Ethereum, Flow, Hedera Hbar, Hyperliquid, Injective, Linea, Near Protocol, Optimism, Plume, Polygon, Ripple, Sei, Solana, Sonic, Starknet, Statemint, Stellar, Sui, Tron, Xdc Network, Zksync.

Algorand's consensus mechanism, Pure Proof-of-Stake (PPoS), relies on the participation of token holders (stakers) to ensure the network's security and integrity:

1. Participation Rewards:
   - Staking Rewards: Users who participate in the consensus protocol by staking their ALGO tokens earn rewards. These rewards are distributed periodically and are proportional to the amount of ALGO staked. This incentivizes users to hold and stake their tokens, contributing to network security and stability.
   - Node Participation Rewards: Validators, also known as participation nodes, are responsible for proposing and voting on blocks. These nodes receive additional rewards for their active role in maintaining the network.
2. Transaction Fees:
   - Flat Fee Model: Algorand employs a flat fee model for transactions, which ensures predictability and simplicity. The standard transaction fee on Algorand is very low (around 0.001 ALGO per transaction). These fees are paid by users to have their transactions processed and included in a block.
   - Fee Redistribution: Collected transaction fees are redistributed to participants in the network. This includes stakers and validators, further incentivizing their participation and ensuring continuous network operation.
3. Economic Security:
   Token Locking: To participate in the consensus mechanism, users must lock up their ALGO tokens. This economic stake acts as a security deposit that can be slashed (forfeited) if the participant acts maliciously. The potential loss of staked tokens discourages dishonest behavior and helps maintain network integrity.

Fees on the Algorand Blockchain

1. Transaction Fees:
   Algorand uses a flat transaction fee model. The current standard fee is 0.001 ALGO per transaction. This fee is minimal compared to other blockchain networks, ensuring affordability and accessibility.
2. Smart Contract Execution Fees:
   Fees for executing smart contracts on Algorand are also designed to be low. These fees are based on the computational resources required to execute the contract, ensuring that users are only charged for the actual resources they consume.
3. Asset Creation Fees:
   Creating new assets (tokens) on the Algorand blockchain involves a small fee. This fee is necessary to prevent spam and ensure that only genuine assets are created and maintained on the network.

Incentive Mechanism:

- Validator Rewards: Validators earn rewards in APT tokens for validating transactions and producing blocks. Rewards are distributed proportionally based on the stake of validators and their delegators.
- Delegator Participation: APT token holders can delegate their tokens to validators, earning a share of the staking rewards without running their own nodes.
- Slashing Mechanism: Validators face penalties, such as losing staked tokens, for malicious actions or prolonged inactivity, ensuring accountability and network security.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees: Users pay transaction fees in APT tokens for sending transactions and interacting with smart contracts.
- Dynamic Fee Adjustment: Fees are dynamically adjusted based on network activity and resource usage, ensuring cost efficiency and preventing congestion.
- Fee Distribution: Transaction fees are distributed among validators and delegators, providing an additional incentive for network participation.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

The Avalanche C-Chain is secured economically through the native AVAX token. Validator incentives are based primarily on staking rewards, not on redistribution of C-Chain transaction fees. A fixed amount of 360 million AVAX was minted at genesis, while additional AVAX is minted over time as validator rewards, subject to Avalanche's capped token supply framework. Validator rewards are paid at the end of the staking period and are determined by factors such as the validator's stake and compliance with staking conditions. Unlike some proof-of-stake systems, the Avalanche Primary Network does not use slashing of bonded principal as an ordinary penalty mechanism. Instead, the main protocol-level economic consequence for underperformance is the loss of reward eligibility.

Where a validator fails to satisfy the applicable uptime requirement during its staking term, that validator does not receive the corresponding staking reward. Transaction fees apply on the C-Chain for transfers and smart-contract execution. The fee model follows EIP-1559 logic, meaning that transactions are priced through a dynamic base fee mechanism. In contrast to Ethereum's validator tip model, C-Chain transaction fees are burned rather than distributed to validators. This means that C-Chain fees function as a supply-reduction mechanism and are intended in part to offset inflation arising from the minting of validator rewards. In addition to ordinary transaction and smart-contract execution fees, Avalanche documentation also recognises protocol fees in connection with other network operations on other chains of the Primary Network, such as certain import or export operations and staking-related actions. However, for the C-Chain itself, the core applicable fee category is the gas fee for transaction inclusion and contract execution, and those fees are handled through the protocol burn mechanism rather than paid to validators or a treasury.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Celo's incentive model rewards validators and prioritizes accessibility with minimal transaction fees, especially for cross-border payments, supporting a flexible and user-friendly ecosystem.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validator Rewards:
   Transaction Fees and Newly Minted Tokens: Validators earn rewards from transaction fees as well as newly minted CELO tokens. This dual-source reward system provides a continuous financial incentive for validators to act honestly and secure the network.
2. Transaction Flexibility and Gas Price:
   - Gas Limit and Price Control: Each transaction specifies a maximum gas limit, ensuring that users are not excessively charged if a transaction fails. Users can also set a gas price to prioritize transactions, allowing faster processing for higher fees.
   - Payment Flexibility with Multiple Currencies: Unlike many blockchains, Celo allows transaction fees to be paid in various ERC-20 tokens, providing flexibility for users. This approach improves accessibility, especially for individuals with limited access to traditional banking.
3. Minimal Fee Structure for Accessibility:
   - Designed for Low-Cost Transactions: Celo's fee structure is intentionally minimal, particularly for cross-border payments, making it ideal for users who may not have traditional banking options. This focus on accessibility aligns with Celo's mission to bring blockchain technology to underserved communities.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Fees are calculated based on gas usage, with a maximum gas limit set per transaction. This limit protects users from excessive costs, while the option to pay in multiple currencies enhances flexibility.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Flow's incentive model rewards validator nodes, supports ecosystem growth, and maintains affordable fees for developers and users.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Specialized Nodes:
   Role-Based Rewards: Validators earn Flow tokens according to their specific roles and contributions within the multi-node architecture, aligning rewards with each node's responsibilities to encourage balanced and effective network participation.
2. Transaction Fees:
   Stable and Consumer-Friendly Fees: Flow's fee structure is designed for predictability, keeping transaction costs stable for both developers and users. Fees are based on transaction complexity and provide an ongoing income stream for validators.
3. Misbehavior Penalties:
   Penalties for Downtime or Malicious Behavior: To maintain network stability, Flow imposes penalties on validators for misbehavior or downtime. This incentivizes high-quality validator participation and ensures consistent performance.
4. Ecosystem and Developer Support:
   Dedicated Portion of Fees and Rewards: A portion of Flow's transaction fees and rewards is allocated to developer initiatives, ecosystem growth, and community engagement. This investment fosters innovation, supports long-term network health, and aligns incentives for ecosystem development.

Hedera Hashgraph incentivizes network participation through transaction fees and staking rewards, with a structured and predictable fee model designed for enterprise use.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Nodes:
   - HBAR Rewards for Node Operators: Node operators earn HBAR rewards for providing network security and processing transactions, incentivizing them to act honestly and support network stability.
   - User Staking: HBAR holders can stake their tokens to support nodes. Staking rewards offer an additional incentive for token holders to engage in network operations, although the structure may evolve with network growth.
2. Service-Based Node Rewards:
   Nodes receive rewards based on specific services they provide to the network, such as:
   - Consensus Services: Reaching consensus and maintaining transaction order.
   - File Storage: Storing data on the Hedera network.

- Smart Contract Processing: Supporting contract executions for decentralized applications.

Applicable Fees:

1. Predictable Transaction Fees: Hedera's fee structure is fixed and predictable, ensuring transparent costs for users and appealing to enterprise-grade applications. Transaction fees are paid in HBAR and are designed to be stable, making it easier for businesses to plan for usage costs.
2. Fee Allocation: All transaction fees collected in HBAR are distributed to network nodes as rewards, reinforcing their role in maintaining network integrity and processing transactions efficiently.

Hyperliquid incentivizes participants through its native token, HYPE. Validators and delegators earn rewards in HYPE for securing the network and participating in governance. Users can also earn HYPE by staking, providing liquidity, and engaging in other ecosystem activities. This dual-token system encourages active participation and supports the network's growth and stability.

Hyperliquid employs a dynamic fee model where transaction fees are based on network activity and the complexity of the transactions. These fees are paid by users conducting transactions on the network and are designed to cover the costs of processing transactions while incentivizing validators.

Injective incentivizes network participation through staking rewards and a unique transaction fee model that supports long-term value for INJ tokens.

Incentive Mechanisms:

Staking Rewards:
INJ holders earn rewards for staking their tokens, encouraging active participation in securing the network.
Validator Rewards:
Validators receive staking rewards and transaction fees for processing transactions and maintaining network security.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees:
Users pay fees in INJ tokens for network transactions, including smart contract execution and trading.
Fee Structure:
A portion of transaction fees is burned via a weekly on-chain auction, reducing the overall supply of INJ tokens and supporting a deflationary tokenomics model.

Like Ethereum, the Network uses a gas system, where gas is the unit of computational effort required to process a transaction. All gas fees on the Network are paid in Ether (ETH). The Network has a base fee that is designed to stabilize at 7 wei. The base fee still decreases or increases based on network traffic, similar to Ethereum, but it does not go below 7 wei. The Network does not require token staking for transaction validation purposes and thus provides no staking rewards. It does not offer incentives for running a full network node. It does charge fees collected by the sequencer for transaction processing. Those fees are paid in ETH, 20% of which are immediately burned while the remaining 80% are converted to Tokens and then burned.

NEAR Protocol employs several economic mechanisms to secure the network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanisms to Secure Transactions:

1. Staking Rewards:
   Validators and delegators secure the network by staking NEAR tokens. Validators earn around 5% annual inflation, with 90% of newly minted tokens distributed as staking rewards. Validators propose blocks, validate transactions, and receive a share of these rewards based on their staked tokens. Delegators earn rewards proportional to their delegation, encouraging broad participation.
2. Delegation:
   Token holders can delegate their NEAR tokens to validators to increase the validator's stake and improve the chances of being selected to validate transactions. Delegators share in the validator's rewards based on their delegated tokens, incentivizing users to support reliable validators.
3. Slashing and Economic Penalties:
   Validators face penalties for malicious behavior, such as failing to validate correctly or acting dishonestly. The slashing mechanism enforces security by deducting a portion of their staked tokens, ensuring validators follow the network's best interests.
4. Epoch Rotation and Validator Selection:
   Validators are rotated regularly during epochs to ensure fairness and prevent centralization. Each epoch reshuffles validators, allowing the protocol to balance decentralization with performance.

Fees on the NEAR Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Users pay fees in NEAR tokens for transaction processing, which are burned to reduce the total circulating supply, introducing a potential deflationary effect over time. Validators also receive a portion of transaction fees as additional rewards, providing an ongoing incentive for network maintenance.
2. Storage Fees:
   NEAR Protocol charges storage fees based on the amount of blockchain storage consumed by accounts, contracts, and data. This requires users to hold NEAR tokens as a deposit proportional to their storage usage, ensuring the efficient use of network resources.
3. Redistribution and Burning:
   A portion of the transaction fees (burned NEAR tokens) reduces the overall supply, while the rest is distributed to validators as compensation for their work. The burning mechanism helps maintain long-term economic sustainability and potential value appreciation for NEAR holders.
4. Reserve Requirement:
   Users must maintain a minimum account balance and reserves for data storage, encouraging efficient use of resources and preventing spam attacks.

Optimism charges lower transaction fees than Ethereum Layer 1, as transactions are bundled in the rollup and written to the Ethereum main chain in compressed form. Gas fees on Optimism continue to be paid in ETH. The incentive model is based on increased efficiency for users (lower fees, faster confirmation) and on the role of sequencers. Sequencers are central actors who collect, organize, and include transactions in the rollup. Their revenue comes from the gas fees they charge.

The network is described as applying transaction fees as a core economic mechanism to support network operation and security. Fee flows may be used to remunerate relevant network roles (for example, sequencer- or validator-related functions, if and to the extent such roles exist in the deployed architecture). In addition, PLUME is described as being used in connection with incentive and participation mechanisms, including potential staking-related arrangements and interaction with DeFi applications. Any yields, rewards, or other economic benefits are contingent on protocol

parameters, market conditions, and user behaviour, and may be changed by governance or technical updates, or may not materialise as expected.

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation, depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator's commission and applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator's effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

The Ripple XRP blockchain uses a unique incentive structure that differs from traditional Proof of Work (PoW) or Proof of Stake (PoS) systems, focusing on its Ripple Protocol Consensus Algorithm (RPCA).

Incentive Mechanisms to Secure Transactions:

1. Validators: Validators on the Ripple network are not directly compensated with rewards like in PoW/PoS models. Instead, they are incentivized by the utility and stability of the network, particularly financial institutions that benefit from Ripple's efficiency in cross-border payments.
2. No Mining: Since Ripple does not use mining, it eliminates the need for energy-intensive computations, contributing to fast transaction speeds and scalability.

Fees on the Ripple XRP Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees: Ripple charges minimal transaction fees (typically fractions of an XRP, known as \drops") for each transaction. The purpose of these fees is to prevent network spam and overload.
2. Burn Mechanism: A portion of each transaction fee is burned, meaning it's permanently removed from circulation. This reduces the overall supply of XRP over time, contributing to potential long-term value stability.

The Sei Network incentivizes participation through staking rewards and a transparent fee structure, supporting its decentralized ecosystem.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards: Validators and delegators earn SEI tokens as rewards for securing the network through staking, promoting active engagement and long-term commitment.

- Governance Participation: SEI token holders can participate in network governance decisions, influencing protocol upgrades and key changes.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Users pay fees in SEI tokens for network transactions. These fees are distributed to validators and delegators as rewards, supporting network operations and security.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

Sonic's economic model is designed to incentivize active participation from both validators and developers. Validators earn rewards through a combination of block rewards and transaction fees. The block reward system employs a dynamic Annual Percentage Rate (APR) mechanism.

Starknet's incentive model combines transaction fees and plans for future staking rewards to support network operations and security.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Transaction Fees: Users pay fees in Ether (ETH) to compensate sequencers and cover the cost of proof submission and storage on Ethereum.
- Dynamic Fee Model: Fees adjust based on transaction complexity and resource requirements, ensuring fair cost distribution and efficient network usage.
- Future Staking Rewards: Planned staking mechanisms will incentivize participants to lock their STARK tokens, enhancing network security and governance.

Statemint is a common-good parachain on the Polkadot and Kusama networks, designed to enable efficient asset management while benefiting from Polkadot’s shared security and governance model.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Relay Chain Validators: Validators securing the Polkadot Relay Chain are indirectly incentivized through block rewards and transaction fees collected across all parachains, including Statemint. This ensures the stability and security of the network without requiring Statemint-specific rewards.
- Collator Compensation: Collator nodes aggregate transactions and produce blocks for Statemint. They may be compensated through external arrangements, such as subsidies or user-driven incentives, depending on governance decisions and usage patterns.
- Governance Participation: Polkadot (DOT) and Kusama (KSM) token holders influence Statemint’s operations, such as fee adjustments and protocol upgrades, through on-chain governance mechanisms.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees: Users pay transaction fees in the native tokens of the Relay Chain, DOT for Polkadot or KSM for Kusama. These fees are distributed to Relay Chain validators to support the network's maintenance.
- Asset Creation and Transfer Fees: Fees apply for creating new assets and transferring them on the Statemint chain. These fees help prevent spam and ensure efficient use of network resources.
- Governance-Defined Fee Adjustments: The Statemint parachain's fees can be adjusted through governance proposals, enabling the community to adapt costs to network conditions.

Stellar does not use block rewards or mining. Instead, validators operate without direct protocol-level rewards. While this design keeps costs low, it may reduce incentives for validator participation compared to staking-based models. The sustainability of validator engagement depends largely on external factors such as institutional involvement and ecosystem adoption.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Validators:
   Validators stake SUI tokens to participate in the consensus process. They earn rewards for validating transactions and securing the network.

2. Slashing:
   Validators can be penalized (slashed) for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or failing to properly validate transactions. This helps maintain network security and incentivizes honest behavior.
3. Delegation:
   Token holders can delegate their SUI tokens to trusted validators. In return, they share in the rewards earned by validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network.

Fees on the SUI Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Users pay transaction fees to validators for processing and confirming transactions. These fees are calculated based on the computational resources required to process the transaction. Fees are paid in SUI tokens, which is the native cryptocurrency of the Sui blockchain.
2. Dynamic Fee Model:
   The transaction fees on Sui are dynamic, meaning they adjust based on network demand and the complexity of the transactions being processed.

The Tron blockchain uses a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism to secure its network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Super Representatives (SRs) Rewards:
   - Block Rewards: Super Representatives (SRs), who are elected by TRX holders, are rewarded for producing blocks. Each block they produce comes with a block reward in the form of TRX tokens.
   - Transaction Fees: In addition to block rewards, SRs receive transaction fees for validating transactions and including them in blocks. This ensures they are incentivized to process transactions efficiently.
2. Voting and Delegation:
   - TRX Staking: TRX holders can stake their tokens and vote for Super Representatives (SRs). When TRX holders vote, they delegate their voting power to SRs, which allows SRs to earn rewards in the form of newly minted TRX tokens.
   - Delegator Rewards: Token holders who delegate their votes to an SR can also receive a share of the rewards. This means delegators share in the block rewards and transaction fees that the SR earns.
   - Incentivizing Participation: The more tokens a user stakes, the more voting power they have, which encourages participation in governance and network security.
3. Incentive for SRs:
   SRs are also incentivized to maintain the health and performance of the network. Their reputation and continued election depend on their ability to produce blocks consistently and efficiently process transactions.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   - Fee Calculation: Users must pay transaction fees to have their transactions processed. The transaction fee varies based on the complexity of the transaction and the network's current demand. This is paid in TRX tokens. Transaction
   - Fee Distribution: Transaction fees are distributed to Super Representatives (SRs), giving them an ongoing income to maintain and support the network.

2. Storage Fees:
   Tron charges storage fees for data storage on the blockchain. This includes storing smart contracts, tokens, and other data on the network. Users are required to pay these fees in TRX tokens to store data.
3. Energy and Bandwidth:
   Energy: Tron uses a resource model that allows users to access network resources like bandwidth and energy through staking. Users who stake their TRX tokens receive \energy

XinFin incentivizes both validators and token holders to actively participate in network security and stability through staking and fee distribution mechanisms.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards:
   - Validator Rewards: Validators earn XDC token rewards for validating transactions and maintaining network security.
   - Delegator Rewards: XDC holders who delegate their tokens to validators receive a share of staking rewards, promoting community participation without requiring users to operate nodes.
2. Delegation Model:
   Passive Income: XDC holders can delegate tokens to validators, enabling them to earn rewards passively and boosting network security through broader staking participation.

Applicable Fees:

- Fee Distribution: All transactions incur XDC fees, which are distributed to validators as additional rewards for their role in securing the network.
- Predictable Fees for Enterprises: Transaction fees are kept low and predictable, supporting XinFin's focus on enterprise use cases in finance, trade, and cross-border payments.

zkSync incentivizes network participants through a streamlined fee structure and role-based rewards, designed to ensure security, scalability, and usability for both users and validators.

Incentive Mechanism:

- Validator Rewards: Validators, who generate validity proofs and secure the network, are compensated through transaction fees paid by users. Their role ensures that batches of transactions are processed efficiently and accurately.
- Sequencer Incentives: Sequencers are responsible for bundling and ordering transactions off-chain. They earn a share of the transaction fees for maintaining network performance and fast processing times.
- Ecosystem Growth Rewards: zkSync allocates resources to incentivize developers and projects building on its platform, fostering a robust ecosystem of dApps, DeFi protocols, and NFT marketplaces.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees: Users pay fees in Ether (ETH) for transactions on zkSync. These fees are significantly lower than Ethereum Layer 1 fees, as zkSync processes transactions off-chain and submits only aggregated proofs to the Ethereum mainnet.
- Fee Model: Fees are dynamically calculated based on the complexity of transactions (e.g., token transfers, smart contract interactions) and the cost of submitting validity proofs to Ethereum.
- Scalability Benefits: zkSync's efficient rollup architecture reduces gas fees for users while ensuring that validators and sequencers are appropriately compensated for their roles.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) algorand, aptos_coin, arbitrum, avalanche, base, celo, ethereum, flow, hedera_hbar, hyperliquid, injective, linea, near_protocol, optimism, plume, polygon, ripple, sei, solana, sonic, starknet, statemint, stellar, sui, tron, xdc_network, zksync is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Arweave

AR | CPX6BGPR0

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Arweave

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Arweave	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	437563.06228	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Arweave is present on the following networks: Arweave, Ethereum.

Arweave employs a unique Proof of Access (PoA) consensus mechanism, which integrates a requirement for miners to provide cryptographic proof of access to historical data, known as a "recall block." This ensures that miners contribute to both data storage and network security by storing and verifying historical data.

Core Components:

1. Proof of Access (PoA):
   - Recall Block Verification: During mining, miners must retrieve and validate a randomly selected "recall block" from Arweave's data history, proving they retain access to stored data. This process secures the network while emphasizing long-term data availability.
   - Enhanced Proof of Work (PoW): PoA builds upon traditional PoW by requiring miners to demonstrate access to previously stored data, adding a storage-focused layer to network security and incentivizing distributed data retention.
2. Data-Centric Mining Incentives:
   Distributed Storage: The PoA design encourages miners to store a broad history of blocks, as possessing more recall blocks enhances their probability of successfully mining new blocks and earning rewards.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice

rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Arweave is present on the following networks: Arweave, Ethereum.

Arweave's economic model incentivizes miners to contribute to data storage through upfront storage fees and ongoing block rewards, supporting the network's mission of providing permanent and accessible data storage.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. One-Time Storage Fees:
   Permanent Data Storage: Users pay a one-time, upfront fee in AR tokens, calculated based on data size and projected storage costs. This fee funds indefinite data storage on the network. Endowment Pool: A portion of each storage fee is allocated to an endowment pool, covering future storage costs as technology advances, ensuring sustainable, permanent data storage.
2. Mining Rewards:
   Block Rewards: Miners earn AR tokens for successfully mining blocks, incentivizing them to store historical data and maintain network integrity.

Applicable Fees: Storage fees in AR are set by data size and projected long-term costs, covering the initial and future costs of data permanence.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Algorand

ALGO | K8S6W74KS

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Algorand

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Algorand	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	420961.80000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Algorand blockchain utilizes a consensus mechanism termed Pure Proof-of-Stake (PPoS). Consensus, in this context, describes the method by which blocks are selected and appended to the blockchain. Algorand employs a verifiable random function (VRF) to select leaders who propose blocks for each round.

Upon block proposal, a pseudorandomly selected committee of voters is chosen to evaluate the proposal. If a supermajority of these votes are from honest participants, the block is certified. What makes this algorithm a Pure Proof of Stake is that users are chosen for committees based on the number of algos in their accounts. This system leverages random committee selection to maintain high performance and inclusivity within the network.

The consensus process involves three stages:

1. Propose: A leader proposes a new block.
2. Soft Vote: A committee of voters assesses the proposed block.
3. Certify Vote: Another committee certifies the block if it meets the required honesty threshold.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Algorand's consensus mechanism, Pure Proof-of-Stake (PPoS), relies on the participation of token holders (stakers) to ensure the network's security and integrity:

1. Participation Rewards:
   - Staking Rewards: Users who participate in the consensus protocol by staking their ALGO tokens earn rewards. These rewards are distributed periodically and are proportional to the amount of ALGO staked. This incentivizes users to hold and stake their tokens, contributing to network security and stability.
   - Node Participation Rewards: Validators, also known as participation nodes, are responsible for proposing and voting on blocks. These nodes receive additional rewards for their active role in maintaining the network.
2. Transaction Fees:
   - Flat Fee Model: Algorand employs a flat fee model for transactions, which ensures predictability and simplicity. The standard transaction fee on Algorand is very low (around 0.001 ALGO per transaction). These fees are paid by users to have their transactions processed and included in a block.
   - Fee Redistribution: Collected transaction fees are redistributed to participants in the network. This includes stakers and validators, further incentivizing their participation and ensuring continuous network operation.
3. Economic Security:
   Token Locking: To participate in the consensus mechanism, users must lock up their ALGO tokens. This economic stake acts as a security deposit that can be slashed (forfeited) if the participant acts maliciously. The potential loss of staked tokens discourages dishonest behavior and helps maintain network integrity.

Fees on the Algorand Blockchain

1. Transaction Fees:
   Algorand uses a flat transaction fee model. The current standard fee is 0.001 ALGO per transaction. This fee is minimal compared to other blockchain networks, ensuring affordability and accessibility.
2. Smart Contract Execution Fees:
   Fees for executing smart contracts on Algorand are also designed to be low. These fees are based on the computational resources required to execute the contract, ensuring that users are only charged for the actual resources they consume.
3. Asset Creation Fees:
   Creating new assets (tokens) on the Algorand blockchain involves a small fee. This fee is necessary to prevent spam and ensure that only genuine assets are created and maintained on the network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we

update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Sui

SUI | 64RFW3D8P

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Sui

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Sui	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	394725.60000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Sui blockchain utilizes a Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus mechanism optimized for high throughput and low latency.

Core Components:

1. Mysten Consensus Protocol:
   - The Sui consensus is based on Mysten Labs' Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT) protocol, which builds on principles of Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (pBFT) but introduces key optimizations for performance.
   - Leaderless Design: Unlike traditional BFT models, Sui does not rely on a single leader to propose blocks. Validators can propose blocks simultaneously, increasing efficiency and reducing the risks associated with leader failure or attacks.
   - Parallel Processing: Transactions can be processed in parallel, maximizing network throughput by utilizing multiple cores and threads. This allows for faster confirmation of transactions and high scalability.
2. Transaction Validation:
   Validators are responsible for receiving transaction requests from clients and processing them. Each transaction includes digital signatures and must meet the network's rules to be considered valid. Validators can propose transactions simultaneously, unlike many other networks that require a sequential, leader-driven process.

3. Optimistic Execution:
   Optimistic Consensus: Sui allows validators to process certain non-contentious, independent transactions without waiting for full consensus. This is known as optimistic execution and helps reduce transaction latency for many use cases, allowing for fast finality in most cases.
4. Finality and Latency:
   The system only requires three rounds of communication between validators to finalize a transaction. This results in low-latency consensus and rapid transaction confirmation times, achieving scalability while maintaining security.
5.Fault Tolerance:
   The system can tolerate up to one-third of validators being faulty or malicious without compromising the integrity of the consensus process.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Validators:
   Validators stake SUI tokens to participate in the consensus process. They earn rewards for validating transactions and securing the network.
2. Slashing:
   Validators can be penalized (slashed) for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or failing to properly validate transactions. This helps maintain network security and incentivizes honest behavior.
3. Delegation:
   Token holders can delegate their SUI tokens to trusted validators. In return, they share in the rewards earned by validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network.

Fees on the SUI Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Users pay transaction fees to validators for processing and confirming transactions. These fees are calculated based on the computational resources required to process the transaction. Fees are paid in SUI tokens, which is the native cryptocurrency of the Sui blockchain.
2. Dynamic Fee Model:
   The transaction fees on Sui are dynamic, meaning they adjust based on network demand and the complexity of the transactions being processed.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are

assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) sui is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Merlin

MERL | NW1M13H3L

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Merlin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Merlin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	349797.04963	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Merlin Chain employs a Zero-Knowledge Rollup (ZK-Rollup) architecture and additional mechanisms to ensure efficient, secure, and decentralized transaction processing; transactions are aggregated off-chain into a single cryptographic proof before submission to the Bitcoin mainnet, enhancing scalability and reducing costs while leveraging Bitcoin's security; a Data Availability Committee (DAC) manages off-chain data validity and accessibility, ensuring integrity and transparency in the ZK-Rollup process; a two-step Zero-Knowledge Proof submission mechanism allows miners to participate in proof generation and submission, decentralizing the security model and creating a stable computational environment.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Merlin Chain's incentive model ensures fair compensation for validators and a transparent fee structure for users; validators earn rewards from transaction fees for securing the network and processing transactions on both Layer 2 (L2) and Layer 1 (L1); L2 fees cover the cost of executing transactions on Merlin Chain's Layer 2 network, while L1 security fees cover the cost of transmitting aggregated transaction data and ZK proofs to the Bitcoin mainnet for finalization; the gas fee model, similar to EVM-compatible chains, determines fees based on network usage and computational requirements, ensuring predictable and efficient fee allocation.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. Due to the structure of this network, it is not only the mainnet that is responsible for energy consumption. In order to calculate the structure adequately, a proportion of the energy consumption of the connected network, bitcoin, must also be taken into account, because the connected network is also responsible for security. This proportion is determined on the basis of gas consumption. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Tezos

XTZ | FLJPFR9RS

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Tezos

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Tezos	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	282247.24857	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Tezos is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Tezos.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Tezos operates on a Liquid Proof of Stake (LPoS) consensus mechanism, which combines flexibility in staking participation with an on-chain governance model.

Core Components:

Liquid Proof of Stake (LPoS) Tezos allows token holders to participate in staking by either directly staking their tokens or delegating them to a validator (known as a baker) without transferring

ownership. Validators (bakers) are responsible for creating new blocks (baking) and endorsing other blocks for validation. Bakers and Endorsers Bakers are selected based on the amount of XTZ (Tezos tokens) staked or delegated to them. The more XTZ staked, the higher the probability of being chosen to bake or endorse blocks. Endorsers are randomly selected from a pool of bakers to validate and approve blocks baked by other bakers. This additional validation enhances network security. Self-Amendment and Governance Tezos's unique governance model allows token holders to propose, vote on, and implement network upgrades without requiring hard forks. This self-amendment protocol enables Tezos to evolve based on community and developer input, making it highly adaptable and flexible.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Tezos is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Tezos.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Tezos incentivizes network participation and security through baking rewards, transaction fees, and an inflationary reward model.

Incentive Mechanisms:

Rewards for Baking and Endorsing Bakers receive XTZ rewards for baking new blocks. Endorsers, who validate and approve blocks baked by others, are also rewarded in XTZ. These rewards encourage active participation and help secure the network. Delegation Incentives XTZ holders who do not wish to bake can delegate their tokens to a baker, earning a share of the baker's rewards without directly participating. This delegation option broadens participation, making it

accessible to more users, thereby enhancing overall network security. Security Deposit Requirement Bakers are required to post a bond (security deposit) in XTZ to bake blocks, which is held as collateral to prevent dishonest actions. If a baker acts maliciously, they risk forfeiting this bond, creating a disincentive for bad behavior and aligning bakers' interests with network integrity.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees Users pay transaction fees in XTZ for activities such as transferring funds and interacting with smart contracts. These fees are awarded to bakers and endorsers, providing them with an additional incentive to validate and secure the network. Inflationary Reward Model Tezos has an inflationary reward system, where new XTZ tokens are periodically created and distributed as rewards to bakers and endorsers. This model encourages continuous participation but gradually increases the XTZ supply, balancing network security and token availability over time.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

The following sources where used: tzStats

Wallet Connect

WTC | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Wallet Connect

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Wallet Connect	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	269238.60000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

WalletConnect Network does not utilize a traditional blockchain consensus mechanism such as Proof of Work (PoW) or Proof of Stake (PoS). Instead, it operates as a decentralized communication protocol that facilitates secure connections between decentralized applications (dApps) and cryptocurrency wallets across various blockchain ecosystems. The network employs end-to-end encryption to ensure the confidentiality and integrity of the data exchanged between parties. This design allows users to interact seamlessly with dApps using their preferred wallets without relying on a centralized intermediary.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The WalletConnect Network uses the WalletConnect Token (WCT) to support participation and decentralization. While initially fee-free, the network may introduce usage fees over time through governance decisions. Node operators and participants are rewarded in WCT based on performance, such as uptime and reliability. WCT can also be staked, allowing holders to earn rewards and take part in protocol governance. This model aims to ensure long-term sustainability and align the interests of infrastructure providers, wallets, and users.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites,

open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Aptos Coin

APT | C4CQCGLH2

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Aptos Coin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Aptos Coin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	262800.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Aptos utilizes a Proof-of-Stake approach combined with a BFT consensus protocol to ensure high throughput, low latency, and secure transaction processing.

Core Components:

- Parallel Execution: Transactions are processed concurrently using Block-STM, a parallel execution engine, enabling high performance and scalability.
- Leader-Based BFT: A leader is selected among validators to propose blocks, while others validate and finalize transactions.
- Dynamic Validator Rotation: Validators are rotated regularly, enhancing decentralization and preventing collusion.
- Instant Finality: Transactions achieve finality once validated, ensuring that they are irreversible.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Incentive Mechanism:

- Validator Rewards: Validators earn rewards in APT tokens for validating transactions and producing blocks. Rewards are distributed proportionally based on the stake of validators and their delegators.
- Delegator Participation: APT token holders can delegate their tokens to validators, earning a share of the staking rewards without running their own nodes.

- Slashing Mechanism: Validators face penalties, such as losing staked tokens, for malicious actions or prolonged inactivity, ensuring accountability and network security.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees: Users pay transaction fees in APT tokens for sending transactions and interacting with smart contracts.
- Dynamic Fee Adjustment: Fees are dynamically adjusted based on network activity and resource usage, ensuring cost efficiency and preventing congestion.
- Fee Distribution: Transaction fees are distributed among validators and delegators, providing an additional incentive for network participation.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) aptos_coin is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Berachain BERA

BERA | L7XQXLN44

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Berachain BERA

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Berachain BERA	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	244404.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Berachain utilizes a unique consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Liquidity (PoL). In this system, validators secure the network by staking the native gas token, $BERA. The likelihood of a validator being selected to propose a block is proportional to the amount of $BERA they have staked. Upon successfully proposing a block, validators receive rewards in the form of $BGT (Bera Governance Token). The amount of $BGT awarded is influenced by the level of $BGT delegation they have received from other participants. This mechanism aligns the incentives of validators, protocols, and users, contributing to the overall long-term health of the chain.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

In Berachain's economic model, validators and delegators are incentivized through a combination of staking rewards and protocol-provided incentives. Validators earn $BGT rewards for block production, with the reward size determined by their boost, which is a percentage calculated from the validator's $BGT boost out of the total $BGT boosted to all validators. Validators can direct their $BGT emissions to whitelisted Reward Vaults of their choosing, and in exchange, they receive protocol-provided incentives from these Reward Vaults. Delegators, by staking their $BGT with validators, can influence the validator's boost and share in the rewards. Transaction fees on the network are paid in $BERA and are burned, removing them from the circulating supply. This structure ensures that all participants are motivated to contribute to the network's security and efficiency.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Injective Token

INJ | 92M9B0DZ7

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Injective Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Injective Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	242215.99713	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Injective Token is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Cosmos, Ethereum, Injective, Osmosis.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

The Cosmos network uses the Cosmos SDK, a modular framework that enables developers to build custom, application-specific blockchains. Cosmos SDK chains rely on Tendermint Core, a Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus engine that supports interoperability and fast transaction finality.

Core Components:

1. Tendermint BFT Consensus with Proof of Stake:
   - Validator Selection: Cosmos validators are selected based on the amount of ATOM they stake or receive from delegators. These validators participate in block proposal and validation through a two-thirds majority voting system.
   - Security Threshold: Tendermint BFT ensures network security as long as fewer than one-third of validators act maliciously.
2. Modular Cosmos SDK Framework:
   - Inter-Blockchain Communication (IBC): The Cosmos SDK supports IBC, allowing seamless interoperability between Cosmos-based blockchains.
   - Application Blockchain Interface (ABCI): This interface separates the consensus layer from the application layer, enabling developers to implement custom logic without modifying the consensus engine.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Injective operates on a Tendermint-based Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus model, ensuring high throughput and immediate transaction finality.

Core Components:

- Tendermint-based Proof of Stake (PoS):
  Ensures instant transaction finality and supports efficient block production for high-speed transactions.
- Validator Selection:
  Validators are chosen based on the amount of INJ tokens staked, considering both self-staked and delegated tokens, to maintain a decentralized network.
- Delegation:
  INJ holders can delegate their tokens to validators, earning a share of staking rewards while participating in network governance.
- Instant Finality:
  The Tendermint consensus mechanism provides immediate finality, ensuring transactions cannot be reversed once validated.

Osmosis operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism, leveraging the Cosmos SDK and Tendermint Core to provide secure, decentralized, and scalable transaction processing.

Core Components:

- Proof of Stake (PoS): Validators are chosen based on the amount of OSMO tokens they stake or are delegated by other token holders. Validators are responsible for validating transactions, producing blocks, and maintaining network security.
- Cosmos SDK and Tendermint Core: Osmosis uses Tendermint Core for Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus, ensuring fast finality and resistance to attacks as long as less than one-third of validators are malicious.
- Decentralized Governance: OSMO token holders can participate in governance by voting on protocol upgrades and network parameters, fostering a community-driven approach to network development.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Injective Token is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Cosmos, Ethereum, Injective, Osmosis.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

The Cosmos network incentivizes both validators and delegators to secure the network through staking rewards, funded by transaction fees and newly minted ATOM.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators and Delegators:
   ATOM Rewards: Validators earn staking rewards in ATOM tokens for participating in consensus, with rewards shared with delegators who stake ATOM through delegation.
2. Slashing for Accountability:
   Penalties for Misconduct: Validators who act maliciously, such as double-signing or staying offline, face slashing penalties, which remove a portion of their staked ATOM. Delegators may also experience slashing if their chosen validator is penalized, encouraging careful selection of trustworthy validators.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   User-Paid Fees in ATOM: All transactions on the Cosmos Hub incur fees paid in ATOM, compensating validators for transaction processing and helping to prevent network spam.
2. Customizable Fee Model:
   Custom Token Fees: Cosmos SDK allows individual chains to define their own transaction fees in tokens other than ATOM, supporting varied application requirements within the ecosystem.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Injective incentivizes network participation through staking rewards and a unique transaction fee model that supports long-term value for INJ tokens.

Incentive Mechanisms:

Staking Rewards:
   INJ holders earn rewards for staking their tokens, encouraging active participation in securing the network.
Validator Rewards:
   Validators receive staking rewards and transaction fees for processing transactions and maintaining network security.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees:
   Users pay fees in INJ tokens for network transactions, including smart contract execution and trading.

Fee Structure:

A portion of transaction fees is burned via a weekly on-chain auction, reducing the overall supply of INJ tokens and supporting a deflationary tokenomics model.

Osmosis incentivizes validators, delegators, and liquidity providers through a combination of staking rewards, transaction fees, and liquidity incentives.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Validator Rewards: Validators earn rewards from transaction fees and block rewards, distributed in OSMO tokens, for their role in securing the network and processing transactions. Delegators who stake their OSMO tokens with validators receive a share of these rewards.
- Liquidity Provider Rewards: Users providing liquidity to Osmosis pools earn swap fees and may receive additional incentives in the form of OSMO tokens to encourage liquidity provision.
- Superfluid Staking: Liquidity providers can participate in superfluid staking, staking a portion of their OSMO tokens within liquidity pools. This mechanism allows users to earn staking rewards while maintaining liquidity in the pools

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Users pay transaction fees in OSMO tokens for network activities, including swaps, staking, and governance participation. These fees are distributed to validators and delegators, incentivizing their continued participation and support for network security.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. Due to the structure of this network, it is not only the mainnet that is responsible for energy consumption. In order to calculate the structure adequately, a proportion of the energy consumption of the connected network, cosmos, must also be taken into account, because the connected network is also responsible for security. This proportion is determined on the basis of gas consumption. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, cosmos, ethereum, osmosis is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and

the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

SEI

SEI | XCDVP53WR

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for SEI

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	SEI	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	210305.17440	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

SEI is present on the following networks: Osmosis, Sei.

Osmosis operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism, leveraging the Cosmos SDK and Tendermint Core to provide secure, decentralized, and scalable transaction processing.

Core Components:

- Proof of Stake (PoS): Validators are chosen based on the amount of OSMO tokens they stake or are delegated by other token holders. Validators are responsible for validating transactions, producing blocks, and maintaining network security.
- Cosmos SDK and Tendermint Core: Osmosis uses Tendermint Core for Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus, ensuring fast finality and resistance to attacks as long as less than one-third of validators are malicious.
- Decentralized Governance: OSMO token holders can participate in governance by voting on protocol upgrades and network parameters, fostering a community-driven approach to network development.

Sei leverages its Twin-Turbo consensus mechanism, integrating advanced transaction processing techniques with the reliability of Tendermint Core, to achieve high performance and security.

Core Components:

- Twin-Turbo Consensus:
  - Optimistic Block Processing: Validators process transactions optimistically, assuming their validity, reducing latency and increasing throughput.
  - Intelligent Block Propagation: Compressed block proposals containing transaction hashes enable validators to reconstruct blocks locally, expediting consensus.
  - Single Slot Finality: Ensures immediate block finality upon addition, eliminating the need for confirmations and minimizing the risk of chain reorganizations.
- Tendermint Core Integration:
  Incorporates Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT) to maintain security and resilience, safeguarding the network against malicious actors.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

SEI is present on the following networks: Osmosis, Sei.

Osmosis incentivizes validators, delegators, and liquidity providers through a combination of staking rewards, transaction fees, and liquidity incentives.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Validator Rewards: Validators earn rewards from transaction fees and block rewards, distributed in OSMO tokens, for their role in securing the network and processing transactions. Delegators who stake their OSMO tokens with validators receive a share of these rewards.
- Liquidity Provider Rewards: Users providing liquidity to Osmosis pools earn swap fees and may receive additional incentives in the form of OSMO tokens to encourage liquidity provision.
- Superfluid Staking: Liquidity providers can participate in superfluid staking, staking a portion of their OSMO tokens within liquidity pools. This mechanism allows users to earn staking rewards while maintaining liquidity in the pools

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Users pay transaction fees in OSMO tokens for network activities, including swaps, staking, and governance participation. These fees are distributed to validators and delegators, incentivizing their continued participation and support for network security.

The Sei Network incentivizes participation through staking rewards and a transparent fee structure, supporting its decentralized ecosystem.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards: Validators and delegators earn SEI tokens as rewards for securing the network through staking, promoting active engagement and long-term commitment.
- Governance Participation: SEI token holders can participate in network governance decisions, influencing protocol upgrades and key changes.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Users pay fees in SEI tokens for network transactions. These fees are distributed to validators and delegators as rewards, supporting network operations and security.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) osmosis is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Cosmos ATOM

ATOM | 6C7F2WVZH

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Cosmos ATOM

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Cosmos ATOM	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	186476.73167	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Cosmos ATOM is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Bitsong, Cosmos, Cronos, Ethereum, Injective, Osmosis.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

BitSong operates on a Delegated Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism. In this model, BTSG token holders delegate their tokens to validators, who are responsible for producing and validating new blocks. The selection of validators is based on the amount of BTSG tokens staked and the duration of staking, which determines their voting power in the network's governance processes.

The Cosmos network uses the Cosmos SDK, a modular framework that enables developers to build custom, application-specific blockchains. Cosmos SDK chains rely on Tendermint Core, a Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus engine that supports interoperability and fast transaction finality.

Core Components:

1. Tendermint BFT Consensus with Proof of Stake:
   - Validator Selection: Cosmos validators are selected based on the amount of ATOM they stake or receive from delegators. These validators participate in block proposal and validation through a two-thirds majority voting system.
   - Security Threshold: Tendermint BFT ensures network security as long as fewer than one-third of validators act maliciously.
2. Modular Cosmos SDK Framework:
   - Inter-Blockchain Communication (IBC): The Cosmos SDK supports IBC, allowing seamless interoperability between Cosmos-based blockchains.
   - Application Blockchain Interface (ABCI): This interface separates the consensus layer from the application layer, enabling developers to implement custom logic without modifying the consensus engine.

Cronos operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) model integrated with Tendermint's Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus, designed for decentralization, security, and interoperability. This model enables validators to be selected based on staking power, rewarding them for securing and validating the network.

Core Components:

- Proof of Stake (PoS) with Tendermint BFT Validator Selection: Validators are chosen based on the amount of CRO tokens staked, securing the network and producing blocks.
- Delegation Model: Token holders can delegate their CRO to validators, enabling participation in network security without needing to run a validator node.
- Cosmos SDK and Inter-Blockchain Communication (IBC) Cross-Chain Connectivity: Built on the Cosmos SDK, Cronos enables cross-chain communication, connecting to other Cosmos blockchains and ecosystems such as Ethereum and Binance Smart Chain.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Injective operates on a Tendermint-based Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus model, ensuring high throughput and immediate transaction finality.

Core Components:

- Tendermint-based Proof of Stake (PoS):
  Ensures instant transaction finality and supports efficient block production for high-speed transactions.
- Validator Selection:
  Validators are chosen based on the amount of INJ tokens staked, considering both self-staked and delegated tokens, to maintain a decentralized network.
- Delegation:
  INJ holders can delegate their tokens to validators, earning a share of staking rewards while participating in network governance.
- Instant Finality:
  The Tendermint consensus mechanism provides immediate finality, ensuring transactions cannot be reversed once validated.

Osmosis operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism, leveraging the Cosmos SDK and Tendermint Core to provide secure, decentralized, and scalable transaction processing.

Core Components:

- Proof of Stake (PoS): Validators are chosen based on the amount of OSMO tokens they stake or are delegated by other token holders. Validators are responsible for validating transactions, producing blocks, and maintaining network security.
- Cosmos SDK and Tendermint Core: Osmosis uses Tendermint Core for Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus, ensuring fast finality and resistance to attacks as long as less than one-third of validators are malicious.
- Decentralized Governance: OSMO token holders can participate in governance by voting on protocol upgrades and network parameters, fostering a community-driven approach to network development.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Cosmos ATOM is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Bitsong, Cosmos, Cronos, Ethereum, Injective, Osmosis.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support

reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

The native token, BTSG, serves multiple roles within the BitSong ecosystem, including transaction fee payments, staking, and governance participation. Validators earn rewards from transaction fees and block rewards, with a portion of these rewards distributed to delegators after deducting the validator's commission.

The Cosmos network incentivizes both validators and delegators to secure the network through staking rewards, funded by transaction fees and newly minted ATOM.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators and Delegators:
   ATOM Rewards: Validators earn staking rewards in ATOM tokens for participating in consensus, with rewards shared with delegators who stake ATOM through delegation.
2. Slashing for Accountability:
   Penalties for Misconduct: Validators who act maliciously, such as double-signing or staying offline, face slashing penalties, which remove a portion of their staked ATOM. Delegators may also experience slashing if their chosen validator is penalized, encouraging careful selection of trustworthy validators.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   User-Paid Fees in ATOM: All transactions on the Cosmos Hub incur fees paid in ATOM, compensating validators for transaction processing and helping to prevent network spam.
2. Customizable Fee Model:
   Custom Token Fees: Cosmos SDK allows individual chains to define their own transaction fees in tokens other than ATOM, supporting varied application requirements within the ecosystem.

Cronos incentivizes validators and delegators with staking rewards and transaction fees, aligning economic incentives with network security and growth.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards Validators and Delegators: Both groups earn CRO rewards for supporting network security. Delegators earn a portion of the validator rewards, promoting broader network participation.
- Deflationary Mechanism Token Burning: A portion of transaction fees and staking rewards may be periodically burned, reducing CRO supply over time and potentially increasing token value.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction and Smart Contract Fees Standard Transactions: Users pay CRO for network transactions and dApp interactions, providing a steady income for validators.

- Ethereum-Compatible Gas Fees: Executing Ethereum-compatible smart contracts incurs gas fees, similar to Ethereum, payable in CRO.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Injective incentivizes network participation through staking rewards and a unique transaction fee model that supports long-term value for INJ tokens.

Incentive Mechanisms:

Staking Rewards:
INJ holders earn rewards for staking their tokens, encouraging active participation in securing the network.
Validator Rewards:
Validators receive staking rewards and transaction fees for processing transactions and maintaining network security.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees:
Users pay fees in INJ tokens for network transactions, including smart contract execution and trading.
Fee Structure:
A portion of transaction fees is burned via a weekly on-chain auction, reducing the overall supply of INJ tokens and supporting a deflationary tokenomics model.

Osmosis incentivizes validators, delegators, and liquidity providers through a combination of staking rewards, transaction fees, and liquidity incentives.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Validator Rewards: Validators earn rewards from transaction fees and block rewards, distributed in OSMO tokens, for their role in securing the network and processing transactions. Delegators who stake their OSMO tokens with validators receive a share of these rewards.
- Liquidity Provider Rewards: Users providing liquidity to Osmosis pools earn swap fees and may receive additional incentives in the form of OSMO tokens to encourage liquidity provision.
- Superfluid Staking: Liquidity providers can participate in superfluid staking, staking a portion of their OSMO tokens within liquidity pools. This mechanism allows users to earn staking rewards while maintaining liquidity in the pools

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Users pay transaction fees in OSMO tokens for network activities, including swaps, staking, and governance participation. These fees are distributed to validators and delegators, incentivizing their continued participation and support for network security.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, bitsong, cosmos, cronos, ethereum, injective, osmosis is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Canton Coin

CC | VQ9SP7NFH

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Canton Coin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Canton Coin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	184222.80000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Canton Network uses a “proof-of-stakeholder” consensus model. Participants who are stakeholders in a given transaction validate that transaction, while a separate synchronization domain (sync domain) sequences transactions and ensures ordering through a Byzantine Fault Tolerant protocol in its decentralized mode.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Fees paid in the network’s native token are burned, while new tokens are minted as rewards based on participants’ contribution to network utility. Rewards are allocated across infrastructure providers, application builders, and users in line with their roles and the value they bring to the network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The

information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Terra

LUNA2 | 8SDRWQDG7

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Terra

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Terra	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	183960.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Terra 2.0 is a Layer 1 blockchain developed with the Cosmos SDK and utilizes the Tendermint BFT (Byzantine Fault Tolerance) consensus protocol. This architecture allows Terra 2.0 to act as a standalone blockchain within the Cosmos ecosystem and benefit from Inter-Blockchain Communication (IBC) to enable seamless interactions with other blockchains.

The Tendermint BFT consensus protocol implemented in Terra 2.0 combines a classic Byzantine fault tolerance approach with a Delegated Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) system. Validators are selected by staking LUNA tokens and are responsible for validating transactions and creating new blocks.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Terra 2.0, validators are incentivized through staking rewards, which are distributed to maintain the network's security and functionality. These rewards are derived from a combination of transaction fees (referred to as gas fees), seigniorage (if applicable), and other network-specific revenue sources.

Validators share these rewards with delegators, who stake their LUNA tokens by delegating them to validators.

Transaction fees in Terra 2.0 are paid in LUNA, the network's native token. These fees are calculated based on the computational resources required to process transactions, such as smart contract execution or token transfers.

The fee structure follows a 'base fee plus priority fee' model, where users can pay an additional fee to prioritize their transactions during periods of high network congestion. Fees serve two purposes: they prevent spam attacks by adding a cost to every transaction and provide financial rewards to validators for processing transactions.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. Due to the structure of this network, it is not only the mainnet that is responsible for energy consumption. In order to calculate the structure adequately, a proportion of the energy consumption of the connected network, cosmos, must also be taken into account, because the connected network is also responsible for security. This proportion is determined on the basis of gas consumption. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Flare

FLR | DVRV6HSK5

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Flare

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Flare	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	170820.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Flare Blockchain uses a unique consensus mechanism known as Avalanche Consensus combined with a Federated Byzantine Agreement (FBA) model to provide scalability, security, and decentralization. Flare aims to enable interoperability between blockchains by connecting smart contract platforms to non-Turing complete networks like Bitcoin, and this consensus mechanism plays a crucial role in the network's overall operation.

Key Features of Flare's Consensus Mechanism:

1. Avalanche Consensus:
   - Scalable and Fast: The Avalanche consensus is designed for high throughput and low latency. It uses a gossip protocol for communication between nodes, which helps achieve consensus faster than traditional consensus mechanisms like Proof-of-Work (PoW) or Proof-of-Stake (PoS). Nodes quickly confirm transaction validity by repeatedly querying other nodes, increasing network speed.
   - Decentralized and Secure: This consensus mechanism doesn't require heavy computational power like PoW, making it more energy-efficient while maintaining robust security.
2. Federated Byzantine Agreement (FBA):
   - Federated Nodes: FBA ensures that nodes within the Flare network reach a consensus without needing to rely on a central authority. The network uses a set of trusted "federated" nodes that help maintain consensus, allowing nodes outside the federated set to participate without requiring full trust.
   - Leaderless Consensus: FBA allows for a leaderless and decentralized structure, where each node can validate transactions independently, enhancing both security and decentralization.

3. Interoperability: Flare's consensus mechanism is designed to allow cross-chain communication, particularly bridging the gap between Turing-complete smart contract platforms like Ethereum and non-Turing-complete chains like Bitcoin. This makes it possible to bring data and value from non-smart contract chains into the smart contract ecosystem.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Flare Network employs a multifaceted incentive mechanism to promote active participation and ensure the network's security and efficiency.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. FTSO Delegation Rewards: Flare Time Series Oracle (FTSO) data providers offer price feeds to the network. Users can delegate their FLR tokens to these providers, earning a share of the rewards based on the accuracy of the data provided. 2. FlareDrops: A portion of FLR tokens is distributed monthly to WFLR holders through FlareDrops. This incentivizes users to hold and utilize WFLR tokens within the ecosystem.
3. rFLR Rewards: Reward FLR (rFLR) tokens are distributed monthly to enhance liquidity in Flare's DeFi ecosystem. Users can earn rFLR by participating in various DeFi activities, such as lending or borrowing assets.
4. FLR Protocol Emissions: Selected decentralized applications (dApps) launching on Flare receive FLR emissions to incentivize user participation and liquidity provision.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees: Users pay FLR tokens to execute transactions on the network, compensating validators for processing and confirming transactions.
2. Staking Fees: When delegating FLR tokens to FTSO data providers or participating in staking activities, users may incur fees associated with these services.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Zilliqa

ZIL | ZJ9HGBW9R

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Zilliqa

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Zilliqa	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	157680.68652	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Zilliqa is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Zilliqa.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

The Zilliqa blockchain uses a hybrid consensus mechanism that combines Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (pBFT) and Proof of Work (PoW) to ensure high throughput, scalability, and security.

The main components of Zilliqa's consensus mechanism include the following:

1. Sharding:
   Zilliqa achieves scalability through sharding, where the network is divided into smaller units called shards. Each shard processes its own set of transactions and smart contracts in parallel, allowing the network to handle a higher volume of transactions.
2. Proof of Work (PoW):
   The PoW mechanism is used for consensus initiation. It is primarily used to secure the network and assign nodes to shards. PoW miners solve computational puzzles to participate in block generation. However, PoW is only used for a short time at the beginning of the consensus process, and the workload is much lower than in traditional PoW systems like Bitcoin. The PoW mechanism ensures that only nodes with sufficient computational power are selected to participate in the network, reducing the likelihood of Sybil attacks.
3. Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (pBFT):
   Once the PoW phase is complete and nodes are assigned to shards, pBFT is used to reach consensus within each shard. This is a more energy-efficient consensus algorithm than traditional PoW because it doesn't require miners to perform extensive computations after the initial selection. pBFT ensures finality of blocks and ensures that even if some nodes behave maliciously or fail, the network can still reach an agreement and process transactions correctly. pBFT works by having validators from the shard participate in a consensus process to agree on the state of the blockchain. Validators propose blocks, vote on block validity, and ensure that a consensus is reached before blocks are finalized.
4. High Throughput and Low Latency:
   Combining sharding with the use of PoW and pBFT allows Zilliqa to achieve high throughput and low latency. By processing transactions in parallel across multiple shards, the network can handle thousands of transactions per second, significantly improving scalability compared to traditional blockchain systems.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Zilliqa is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Zilliqa.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator’s total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator’s commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator’s self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely

on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

The Zilliqa blockchain incentivizes network participants, including miners, validators, and developers, through block rewards, transaction fees, and staking rewards, while its fee model ensures the smooth operation of the network and the maintenance of its scalability and security.

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Mining Rewards (PoW Phase):
   Block Rewards:
      Miners who perform the Proof of Work (PoW) for the initial consensus phase are rewarded with ZIL tokens for successfully mining a block. This PoW phase is used to assign nodes to different shards.
   Transaction Fees:
      In addition to block rewards, miners also receive transaction fees for including transactions in the blocks they mine. These fees incentivize miners to prioritize transactions during high demand.
2. Staking Rewards:
   Validators in Shards:
      After the PoW phase, validators in each shard participate in the consensus process using Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (pBFT). Validators who propose or confirm blocks in the pBFT phase earn ZIL tokens as rewards for their participation in securing the network and validating transactions.
   Sharding Rewards:
      Zilliqa rewards validators in each shard based on their contributions to block finalization and their participation in the consensus mechanism.
3. Transaction Fees (Validators and Miners):
   Transaction Fee Distribution:
      Transaction fees are paid by users to have their transactions processed on the Zilliqa network. These fees are collected by the validators who propose the blocks or the miners who participate in the PoW phase.
   Prioritization of Fees:
      During periods of high network activity, users may need to increase their transaction fees to ensure quicker transaction inclusion. Validators prioritize higher fees to ensure transaction processing.
4. Incentives for Developers:
   Smart Contract Deployment: Developers who deploy and maintain smart contracts on the Zilliqa network can also be incentivized through transaction fees generated by the usage of their smart contracts. The more transactions a smart contract processes, the more rewards the contract owner can earn.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Fee Calculation:
      Transaction fees on Zilliqa are determined based on the size and complexity of the transaction. The fee is typically paid in ZIL tokens, which can fluctuate depending on network demand and transaction complexity.
   Fee Rate:
      The fee rate adjusts based on network congestion, meaning that higher transaction fees are necessary for quicker processing during periods of high demand. Zilliqa offers tools for users to estimate the proper transaction fee based on current network conditions.
2. Smart Contract Execution Fees:
   Execution Costs: For transactions involving smart contracts, fees are calculated based on the computational resources required to execute the contract. These fees are also paid in ZIL tokens and vary depending on the complexity of the contract's execution.
3. Sharding Fees:
   Fee to Participate in Shards: Since Zilliqa uses sharding, users may incur additional fees for interacting with specific shards. These fees help maintain the infrastructure for the parallel processing of transactions across the shards.
4. Storage Fees:
   Storage of Data: Developers and users who store data on the blockchain, such as smart contracts or tokens, are required to pay storage fees. This helps prevent spam attacks and ensures efficient use of network resources. These fees are typically paid in ZIL tokens.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, zilliqa is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary

principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

IOST

IOST | N156HCF29

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for IOST

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	IOST	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	137970.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The IOST blockchain uses a consensus mechanism called Proof of Believability (PoB), which is designed to combine the advantages of both Proof of Stake (PoS) and Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS). The PoB mechanism ensures high scalability, decentralization, and fairness while providing security and efficiency for the network.

Key Features of IOST's Consensus Mechanism:

1. Proof of Believability (PoB):
   Validators in the IOST network are chosen based on their "believability," which is determined by the amount of IOST tokens staked, their performance, and their reputation within the network. The more tokens a validator stakes and the better their performance, the higher their believability score, making them more likely to be selected as a block producer.
2. Scalability and Efficiency:
   PoB ensures that IOST can process high transaction throughput and scale effectively. The system uses a process of "sharding" where the network is divided into multiple smaller units, allowing for parallel processing and high scalability.
3. Fairness:
   The selection process for block producers is designed to be fair and decentralized. By using PoB, the IOST blockchain reduces the potential for centralization that can occur in traditional PoS systems, ensuring that more participants can take part in the validation process.

4. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) Elements:
   Validators are elected by token holders who vote with their IOST tokens. The elected validators produce and validate blocks, and the rewards are shared among them based on their believability and the number of tokens staked.
5. Finality and Security:
   The PoB mechanism allows for quick finality of transactions, with each block being confirmed as soon as it's produced by a validator, enhancing the overall security and efficiency of the IOST blockchain

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The IOST blockchain incentivizes network participants, ensuring active participation and security through a combination of rewards for validators and delegators, along with transaction fees.

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Validator Rewards:
   - Block Rewards: Validators are rewarded with IOST tokens for producing and validating blocks. The rewards are based on their believability score, determined by their stake in the network and their performance.
   - Transaction Fees: In addition to block rewards, validators earn transaction fees from the transactions they validate. These fees incentivize them to prioritize transaction inclusion and maintain network integrity.
2. Delegator Rewards:
   Delegators can stake their IOST tokens to vote for validators. When delegating, token holders earn a share of the rewards generated by the validator, proportional to the amount of tokens delegated. This encourages users to participate in securing the network and support trusted validators.
3. Staking and Reputation:
   Validators must stake a certain amount of IOST tokens to be eligible to validate transactions. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected as a block producer. Performance is also a key factor in improving a validator's believability score, which directly impacts their chances of producing more blocks and earning rewards.
4. Penalties:
   Validators who behave maliciously or fail to meet performance standards are penalized, including the potential loss of part of their staked tokens. This ensures the security and reliability of the network.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Transaction fees are paid by users to have their transactions processed and included in blocks. These fees vary depending on the complexity of the transaction and network demand. The fees are distributed to the validators who process the transactions, providing them with a continuous incentive to maintain the network.
2. Network Resource Fees:
   IOST operates on a resource-based model where users must pay for energy and bandwidth to interact with the network, particularly for smart contract executions and dApp usage. Users who stake more IOST tokens are allocated more resources.

3. Smart Contract Execution Fees:
   Interacting with decentralized applications (dApps) and executing smart contracts on the IOST network requires paying fees based on the computational resources consumed. These fees are paid in IOST tokens and support the operational costs of running dApps.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

ICON

ICX | P3JS307D2

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for ICON

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	ICON	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	115106.40000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The ICON blockchain operates on a Delegated Proof of Contribution (DPoC) consensus mechanism, an innovative approach designed to reward network participants based on their contributions while ensuring robust decentralization and scalability.

Core Components:

- Delegated Proof of Contribution (DPoC):
  DPoC extends beyond traditional Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) by factoring in each participant's contributions to the ecosystem, such as governance participation, network development, or community engagement. Validators, called Public Representatives (P-Reps), are elected by token holders based on their stake and perceived contributions to the network.
- P-Rep Election and Roles:
  P-Reps validate transactions, produce blocks, and maintain the network's integrity. The top-ranked P-Reps participate actively in governance decisions, while sub-P-Reps serve as backup nodes, ensuring redundancy and decentralization.
- Scalability via Loop Fault Tolerance (LFT):
  ICON employs LFT, an advanced Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT) algorithm, to enhance consensus speed and reduce latency while maintaining high fault tolerance.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The ICON blockchain incentivizes network participants through a well-structured reward system and applies a straightforward fee model to support network operations and encourage ecosystem growth.

Incentive Mechanism:

- P-Rep Rewards: Public Representatives (P-Reps) earn rewards in ICX tokens for validating transactions, producing blocks, and maintaining the network. Rewards are distributed based on the rank and contribution of each P-Rep, incentivizing high performance and active participation.
- Delegator Rewards: ICON token holders who delegate their ICX tokens to P-Reps earn staking rewards proportional to their stake. This delegation model encourages broader participation and enhances network security by increasing staked ICX.
- Contribution Proposal System (CPS): CPS rewards community developers and projects that contribute to ICON's ecosystem. Approved proposals receive funding in ICX, promoting continuous network development.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees: Users pay fees in ICX tokens for transactions, such as transfers, smart contract executions, and interactions with decentralized applications (dApps). Fees are dynamically adjusted based on network activity to balance affordability and resource usage.
- Governance-Driven Allocation: A portion of transaction fees is redistributed to P-Reps and delegators, aligning incentives with network activity and maintaining security.
- Fee Sharing: ICON's fee-sharing model supports dApp developers by redistributing part of the fees generated by their applications, fostering innovation and adoption within the ecosystem.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

PlatON

LAT | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for PlatON

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	PlatON	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	110770.20000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

PlatON utilizes a Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism enhanced by a Concurrent Byzantine Fault Tolerance (CBFT) protocol. This approach is designed to improve consensus efficiency within partially synchronous networks. CBFT incorporates features such as pipeline validation, batch production, and low-complexity view changes, drawing from best practices in existing protocols like PBFT, Tendermint, and Hotstuff. By implementing these techniques, PlatON achieves a more efficient and robust consensus process.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

In PlatON's economic model, validators are incentivized to maintain the distributed ledger through a combination of transaction fees and block rewards. These rewards are designed to compensate for the costs and risks associated with network maintenance. Additionally, PlatON's staking mechanism allows LAT holders to delegate their tokens to validators, indirectly participating in network security and earning rewards. Transaction fees are paid in LAT token.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The

energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Cronos

CRO | GWM30MLW3

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Cronos

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Cronos	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	109293.19323	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Cronos is present on the following networks: Cosmos, Cronos, Cronos Pos, Ethereum, Osmosis, Solana.

The Cosmos network uses the Cosmos SDK, a modular framework that enables developers to build custom, application-specific blockchains. Cosmos SDK chains rely on Tendermint Core, a Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus engine that supports interoperability and fast transaction finality.

Core Components:

1. Tendermint BFT Consensus with Proof of Stake:
   - Validator Selection: Cosmos validators are selected based on the amount of ATOM they stake or receive from delegators. These validators participate in block proposal and validation through a two-thirds majority voting system.
   - Security Threshold: Tendermint BFT ensures network security as long as fewer than one-third of validators act maliciously.
2. Modular Cosmos SDK Framework:
   - Inter-Blockchain Communication (IBC): The Cosmos SDK supports IBC, allowing seamless interoperability between Cosmos-based blockchains.
   - Application Blockchain Interface (ABCI): This interface separates the consensus layer from the application layer, enabling developers to implement custom logic without modifying the consensus engine.

Cronos operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) model integrated with Tendermint's Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus, designed for decentralization, security, and interoperability. This model enables validators to be selected based on staking power, rewarding them for securing and validating the network.

Core Components:

- Proof of Stake (PoS) with Tendermint BFT Validator Selection: Validators are chosen based on the amount of CRO tokens staked, securing the network and producing blocks.
- Delegation Model: Token holders can delegate their CRO to validators, enabling participation in network security without needing to run a validator node.
- Cosmos SDK and Inter-Blockchain Communication (IBC) Cross-Chain Connectivity: Built on the Cosmos SDK, Cronos enables cross-chain communication, connecting to other Cosmos blockchains and ecosystems such as Ethereum and Binance Smart Chain.

The Cronos POS Chain operates as a Layer-0 blockchain within the Cosmos ecosystem, utilizing the Tendermint Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus engine. It employs a Delegated Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) model, where the top 100 validators by total staked CRO tokens form the active set responsible for block production and network security.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Osmosis operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism, leveraging the Cosmos SDK and Tendermint Core to provide secure, decentralized, and scalable transaction processing.

Core Components:

- Proof of Stake (PoS): Validators are chosen based on the amount of OSMO tokens they stake or are delegated by other token holders. Validators are responsible for validating transactions, producing blocks, and maintaining network security.
- Cosmos SDK and Tendermint Core: Osmosis uses Tendermint Core for Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus, ensuring fast finality and resistance to attacks as long as less than one-third of validators are malicious.
- Decentralized Governance: OSMO token holders can participate in governance by voting on protocol upgrades and network parameters, fostering a community-driven approach to network development.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.

3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Cronos is present on the following networks: Cosmos, Cronos, Cronos Pos, Ethereum, Osmosis, Solana.

The Cosmos network incentivizes both validators and delegators to secure the network through staking rewards, funded by transaction fees and newly minted ATOM.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators and Delegators:
   ATOM Rewards: Validators earn staking rewards in ATOM tokens for participating in consensus, with rewards shared with delegators who stake ATOM through delegation.
2. Slashing for Accountability:
   Penalties for Misconduct: Validators who act maliciously, such as double-signing or staying offline, face slashing penalties, which remove a portion of their staked ATOM. Delegators may also experience slashing if their chosen validator is penalized, encouraging careful selection of trustworthy validators.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   User-Paid Fees in ATOM: All transactions on the Cosmos Hub incur fees paid in ATOM, compensating validators for transaction processing and helping to prevent network spam.
2. Customizable Fee Model:
   Custom Token Fees: Cosmos SDK allows individual chains to define their own transaction fees in tokens other than ATOM, supporting varied application requirements within the ecosystem.

Cronos incentivizes validators and delegators with staking rewards and transaction fees, aligning economic incentives with network security and growth.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards Validators and Delegators: Both groups earn CRO rewards for supporting network security. Delegators earn a portion of the validator rewards, promoting broader network participation.
- Deflationary Mechanism Token Burning: A portion of transaction fees and staking rewards may be periodically burned, reducing CRO supply over time and potentially increasing token value.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction and Smart Contract Fees Standard Transactions: Users pay CRO for network transactions and dApp interactions, providing a steady income for validators.
- Ethereum-Compatible Gas Fees: Executing Ethereum-compatible smart contracts incurs gas fees, similar to Ethereum, payable in CRO.

The Cronos POS Chain uses its native CRO token to coordinate economic incentives and governance. Validators are rewarded for producing and validating blocks through a combination of inflationary

block rewards and transaction fees. Transaction fees, also paid in CRO, are distributed between validators and a community pool.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Osmosis incentivizes validators, delegators, and liquidity providers through a combination of staking rewards, transaction fees, and liquidity incentives.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Validator Rewards: Validators earn rewards from transaction fees and block rewards, distributed in OSMO tokens, for their role in securing the network and processing transactions. Delegators who stake their OSMO tokens with validators receive a share of these rewards.
- Liquidity Provider Rewards: Users providing liquidity to Osmosis pools earn swap fees and may receive additional incentives in the form of OSMO tokens to encourage liquidity provision.
- Superfluid Staking: Liquidity providers can participate in superfluid staking, staking a portion of their OSMO tokens within liquidity pools. This mechanism allows users to earn staking rewards while maintaining liquidity in the pools

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Users pay transaction fees in OSMO tokens for network activities, including swaps, staking, and governance participation. These fees are distributed to validators and delegators, incentivizing their continued participation and support for network security.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. Due to the structure of this network, it is not only the mainnet that is responsible for energy consumption. In order to calculate the structure adequately, a proportion of the energy consumption of the connected network, cosmos, must also be taken into account, because the connected network is also responsible for security. This proportion is determined on the basis of gas consumption. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) cosmos, cronos_pos, ethereum, osmosis, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Polygon POL

MATIC | GB8DQ8DWN

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Polygon POL

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Polygon POL	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	96634.86045	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Polygon POL is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Polygon.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production.

The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator's effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Polygon POL is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Polygon.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation, depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator's commission and applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator's effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for

validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. Due to the structure of this network, it is not only the mainnet that is responsible for energy consumption. In order to calculate the structure adequately, a proportion of the energy consumption of the connected network, ethereum, must also be taken into account, because the connected network is also responsible for security. This proportion is determined on the basis of gas consumption. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Qtum

QTUM | SH1MLXP1W

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Qtum

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Qtum	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	91191.60000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Qtum combines the security of Bitcoin's Unspent Transaction Output (UTXO) model with Ethereum-style smart contracts, using a Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism. This innovative hybrid approach supports decentralized applications (dApps) while ensuring secure and efficient transaction validation.

Core Components:

- Proof of Stake (PoS): Qtum operates on a PoS consensus, enabling QTUM token holders to participate in block validation based on the number of tokens they stake. There is no minimum staking requirement, so all QTUM holders are eligible to participate in staking and earn rewards, promoting accessibility and decentralization.
- Hybrid UTXO and Smart Contract Structure UTXO Model: Qtum retains Bitcoin’s UTXO model, which enhances transaction security by providing discrete transaction outputs.
- Ethereum-Compatible Smart Contracts: Qtum integrates Ethereum’s smart contract capabilities, allowing for the creation and execution of decentralized applications.
- Account Abstraction Layer (AAL): The AAL serves as a bridge between the UTXO model and Ethereum-style smart contracts, enabling smart contracts to operate on top of the UTXO structure. This layer makes Qtum compatible with existing Ethereum smart contracts, allowing developers to deploy Ethereum dApps on Qtum’s blockchain while benefiting from UTXO’s security. Qtum’s consensus mechanism supports a hybrid blockchain architecture that provides enhanced security, flexibility for dApps, and accessibility for a wide range of users.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Qtum incentivizes stakers to secure the network by rewarding them with both block rewards and transaction fees. This structure supports decentralized participation and promotes consistent network performance.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards Block Rewards: Validators (stakers) are rewarded with QTUM tokens for producing new blocks, incentivizing them to maintain the security and stability of the network.
- Transaction Fees: Stakers also earn transaction fees from transactions within the blocks they validate. This dual-reward model aligns validator incentives with overall network activity and performance.
- Accessibility of Staking No Minimum Staking Requirement: Unlike many PoS blockchains, Qtum allows staking with any amount of QTUM, making staking accessible to a wide range of users, from small holders to larger stakeholders. This open approach promotes decentralization and broader community participation.
- Smart Contract Fees Gas-Like Fee Structure: Qtum charges fees for executing smart contracts, similar to Ethereum's gas model. These fees are paid in QTUM and contribute to staking rewards, incentivizing validators to support dApps and smart contract functionality on the network.

Applicable Fees: Transaction Fees Users pay transaction fees in QTUM for transferring funds and interacting with smart contracts. These fees are essential for network operations and are distributed to stakers, enhancing their reward structure. Smart Contract Execution Fees The gas-like fees applied to smart contract execution incentivize support for dApps on the network and provide an additional revenue stream for stakers, further aligning their participation with network growth.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Binance Coin

BNB | 8N2VXJKB1

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Binance Coin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Binance Coin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	90228.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Binance Coin is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Opbnb.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Binance Coin is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Opbnb.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block

production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we

update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Casper

CSPR | K508S2JCD

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Casper

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Casper	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	85935.60000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Casper employs a unique Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus protocol known as the Highway Protocol, which enhances security, flexibility, and finality.

Core Components:

1. Highway Protocol (PoS):
   The Highway protocol allows validators to reach consensus on blocks at varying levels of confidence, providing adaptable finality options based on security needs. This flexibility enables the network to accommodate different transaction requirements and ensure robust security.
2. Validator Selection and Continuous Validation:
   - Selection Based on Staked CSPR: Validators are chosen based on the amount of CSPR tokens they stake. The higher the stake, the greater the chance of being selected to validate blocks.
   - Continuous Block Finalization: Unlike traditional PoS networks with fixed epochs, Casper's Highway protocol allows for continuous block finalization, increasing network efficiency and reducing wait times for transactions.
3. Fork Choice Rule:
   Casper uses the Greedy Heaviest Observed Subtree (GHOST) rule to select the main chain. This rule prioritizes the chain with the most cumulative stake, minimizing forks and improving chain stability.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Casper's incentive model supports validator and delegator participation through staking rewards, transaction fees, and a controlled inflation model to maintain network security and economic sustainability.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators:
   CSPR Rewards for Validation: Validators earn CSPR token rewards for staking and actively participating in block validation. This financial incentive encourages validators to contribute to network security and reliability.
2. Transaction Fees:
   Fee Compensation for Validators: Users pay transaction fees in CSPR, which are distributed to validators. These fees provide additional compensation to validators, incentivizing efficient transaction processing.
3. Delegation Rewards for CSPR Holders:
   Broadening Participation through Delegation: CSPR holders who prefer not to run validator nodes can delegate their tokens to validators, earning a share of staking rewards. This broadens network participation and supports decentralized security.
4. Adaptive Inflation Model:
   Dynamic Adjustment of Token Supply: Casper's inflation model adjusts staking rewards based on the total network stake. This adaptive approach helps balance token rewards with the network's security needs, ensuring long-term economic sustainability.
5. Slashing Mechanism for Misbehavior:
   Penalties for Dishonesty: Validators who act dishonestly or fail to meet performance standards risk losing a portion of their staked CSPR. This slashing mechanism discourages misbehavior and ensures network reliability.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees in CSPR: Fees are paid in CSPR and distributed to validators, supporting their role in network maintenance and stability.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Hedera HBAR

HBAR | 2WWB8QS47

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Hedera HBAR

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Hedera HBAR	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	82125.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Hedera Hashgraph operates on a unique Hashgraph consensus algorithm, a directed acyclic graph (DAG) system that diverges from traditional blockchain technology. It uses Asynchronous Byzantine Fault Tolerance (aBFT) to secure the network.

Core Components:

1. Hashgraph Consensus and aBFT:
   Hedera Hashgraph's consensus mechanism achieves aBFT, which allows the network to tolerate malicious nodes without compromising security, ensuring high levels of fault tolerance and stability.
2. Gossip about Gossip Protocol:
   The network employs a "Gossip about Gossip" protocol, where nodes share transaction information along with details of previous gossip events. This process allows each node to rapidly learn the entire network state, enhancing communication efficiency and minimizing latency.
3. Virtual Voting:
   Hedera does not rely on traditional miners or stakers. Instead, it uses virtual voting, where nodes reach consensus by analyzing the gossip history and simulating votes based on the order and frequency of transactions received. Virtual voting eliminates the need for actual voting messages, reducing network congestion and speeding up consensus.

4. Deterministic Finality:
   Once consensus is reached, transactions achieve deterministic finality instantly, making them irreversible and confirmed within seconds. This attribute is ideal for applications needing quick and irreversible transaction confirmations.
5. Staking for Network Security:
   Hedera incorporates staking to bolster network security. HBAR holders can stake their tokens to support validator nodes, contributing to the network's resilience and encouraging long-term engagement in consensus operations.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Hedera Hashgraph incentivizes network participation through transaction fees and staking rewards, with a structured and predictable fee model designed for enterprise use.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Nodes:
   - HBAR Rewards for Node Operators: Node operators earn HBAR rewards for providing network security and processing transactions, incentivizing them to act honestly and support network stability.
   - User Staking: HBAR holders can stake their tokens to support nodes. Staking rewards offer an additional incentive for token holders to engage in network operations, although the structure may evolve with network growth.
2. Service-Based Node Rewards:
   Nodes receive rewards based on specific services they provide to the network, such as:
   - Consensus Services: Reaching consensus and maintaining transaction order.
   - File Storage: Storing data on the Hedera network.
   - Smart Contract Processing: Supporting contract executions for decentralized applications.

Applicable Fees:

1. Predictable Transaction Fees: Hedera's fee structure is fixed and predictable, ensuring transparent costs for users and appealing to enterprise-grade applications. Transaction fees are paid in HBAR and are designed to be stable, making it easier for businesses to plan for usage costs.
2. Fee Allocation: All transaction fees collected in HBAR are distributed to network nodes as rewards, reinforcing their role in maintaining network integrity and processing transactions efficiently.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Mina

MINA | 133H6VJ48

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Mina

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Mina	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	81205.20000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Mina is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Mina.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Mina operates on a unique Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus protocol called Ouroboros Samasika, which is adapted to work with Mina's succinct blockchain structure. This innovative approach enables Mina to maintain a lightweight and efficient blockchain while ensuring security and decentralization.

Core Components of Mina's Consensus:

1. Ouroboros Samasika PoS Protocol:
   Adaptation of Cardano's Ouroboros: Mina's PoS mechanism, Ouroboros Samasika, is a modified version of Cardano's Ouroboros PoS. It has been specifically optimized for Mina's succinct blockchain model, which requires minimal data storage for validating the entire chain.
2. Succinct Blockchain (Constant Size):
   - 22 KB Fixed Size: Unlike traditional blockchains, Mina maintains a minimal, fixed-size blockchain of around 22 KB. It achieves this through the use of recursive zero-knowledge proofs (zk-SNARKs), which compress the entire blockchain into a single, verifiable proof that any node can validate.
   - Efficient Verification: This succinct structure allows Mina to operate efficiently without requiring nodes to store vast amounts of historical data. Instead, each node validates the chain by verifying a concise zk-SNARK proof, maintaining security and scalability.
3. Leader Election with Verifiable Random Function (VRF):
   Randomized Validator Selection: Mina's leader election process is conducted through a Verifiable Random Function (VRF), which randomly selects validators to produce blocks based on their stake. This randomization enhances security, prevents manipulation, and ensures a decentralized network.
4. Fork Resolution:
   Longest-Chain Rule: Mina employs a longest-chain rule with Ouroboros Samasika. The chain with the most accumulated proof-of-stake work is considered the valid chain. However, due to zk-SNARKs, Mina reduces the chain data required to verify the blockchain, making fork resolution more efficient.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Mina is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Mina.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Mina incentivizes participants through block rewards, transaction fees, and a unique role called Snarkers to support network security, stability, and the succinct blockchain model.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Block Rewards for Validators (Block Producers):
   - Incentivizing Security and Block Production: Validators, known as block producers, earn block rewards for successfully producing blocks. These rewards provide an incentive for users to stake their tokens and contribute to network security and block production.

- Inflationary Model: Mina has an inflationary token supply, where new tokens are minted as block rewards. This inflation rate is designed to decrease over time to reach a stable token supply, balancing incentives with long-term sustainability.

2. Transaction Fees:
   - Ongoing Rewards: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in each block, providing a continuous reward mechanism that grows as network usage increases.
   - Dynamic Fees During Congestion: Although Mina's transaction fees are generally flat, they can increase during times of high network demand. Validators can set higher fees to prioritize transactions, ensuring efficient block production during peak periods.
3. Incentives for Snarkers (Proof Generators):
   - Role of Snarkers: Mina introduces Snarkers (or Snark Workers), a unique role in the network responsible for generating zk-SNARKs to verify the blockchain's state. These zk-SNARK proofs are essential for maintaining Mina's succinct structure.
   - Compensation by Block Producers: Block producers pay Snarkers for their zk-SNARK proofs, creating a decentralized market for proof generation. This setup incentivizes individuals to produce these essential proofs, decentralizing the proof-generation process and supporting network functionality.

Applicable Fees:

Flat Transaction Fees with Dynamic Adjustments: Mina's transaction fees are typically flat, making the network accessible and predictable for users. However, during periods of network congestion, validators may set higher fees to prioritize transactions with higher fees, ensuring that critical transactions can be processed quickly.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary

principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Celestia

TIA | M7NN4STH9

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Celestia

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Celestia	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	80791.97748	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Celestia is present on the following networks: Celestia, Injective, Osmosis.

Celestia employs a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism, wherein validators are selected based on the amount of TIA tokens they stake. These validators are responsible for ordering transactions and ensuring data availability within the network.

Injective operates on a Tendermint-based Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus model, ensuring high throughput and immediate transaction finality.

Core Components:

- Tendermint-based Proof of Stake (PoS):
  Ensures instant transaction finality and supports efficient block production for high-speed transactions.
- Validator Selection:
  Validators are chosen based on the amount of INJ tokens staked, considering both self-staked and delegated tokens, to maintain a decentralized network.
- Delegation:
  INJ holders can delegate their tokens to validators, earning a share of staking rewards while participating in network governance.
- Instant Finality:
  The Tendermint consensus mechanism provides immediate finality, ensuring transactions cannot be reversed once validated.

Osmosis operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism, leveraging the Cosmos SDK and Tendermint Core to provide secure, decentralized, and scalable transaction processing.

Core Components:

- Proof of Stake (PoS): Validators are chosen based on the amount of OSMO tokens they stake or are delegated by other token holders. Validators are responsible for validating transactions, producing blocks, and maintaining network security.
- Cosmos SDK and Tendermint Core: Osmosis uses Tendermint Core for Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus, ensuring fast finality and resistance to attacks as long as less than one-third of validators are malicious.
- Decentralized Governance: OSMO token holders can participate in governance by voting on protocol upgrades and network parameters, fostering a community-driven approach to network development.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Celestia is present on the following networks: Celestia, Injective, Osmosis.

The native token, TIA, serves multiple roles within the Celestia ecosystem. Validators earn rewards in TIA for participating in the consensus process and maintaining data availability. Users pay transaction fees in TIA when submitting data to the network.

Injective incentivizes network participation through staking rewards and a unique transaction fee model that supports long-term value for INJ tokens.

Incentive Mechanisms:

Staking Rewards:
INJ holders earn rewards for staking their tokens, encouraging active participation in securing the network.
Validator Rewards:
Validators receive staking rewards and transaction fees for processing transactions and maintaining network security.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees:
Users pay fees in INJ tokens for network transactions, including smart contract execution and trading.
Fee Structure:
A portion of transaction fees is burned via a weekly on-chain auction, reducing the overall supply of INJ tokens and supporting a deflationary tokenomics model.

Osmosis incentivizes validators, delegators, and liquidity providers through a combination of staking rewards, transaction fees, and liquidity incentives.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Validator Rewards: Validators earn rewards from transaction fees and block rewards, distributed in OSMO tokens, for their role in securing the network and processing transactions. Delegators who stake their OSMO tokens with validators receive a share of these rewards.
- Liquidity Provider Rewards: Users providing liquidity to Osmosis pools earn swap fees and may receive additional incentives in the form of OSMO tokens to encourage liquidity provision.

- Superfluid Staking: Liquidity providers can participate in superfluid staking, staking a portion of their OSMO tokens within liquidity pools. This mechanism allows users to earn staking rewards while maintaining liquidity in the pools

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Users pay transaction fees in OSMO tokens for network activities, including swaps, staking, and governance participation. These fees are distributed to validators and delegators, incentivizing their continued participation and support for network security.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) injective, osmosis is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Ontology

ONT | M2W3DQB67

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Ontology

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Ontology	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	71192.52000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ontology operates on a Verifiable Byzantine Fault Tolerance (VBFT) consensus mechanism, which combines Proof of Stake (PoS), Verifiable Random Function (VRF), and Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT) to deliver a secure and efficient consensus process.

Core Components:

- VBFT Hybrid Consensus: Combines PoS for staking, VRF for randomness in node selection, and BFT for transaction finality, ensuring both security and efficiency in the network.
- Node Selection via VRF: A Verifiable Random Function ensures randomness in selecting consensus nodes, making it difficult for adversaries to predict or manipulate the selection process.
- Stake-Based Voting: Nodes with higher stakes have greater voting power in the consensus process, aligning their incentives with the network's security and stability.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ontology employs a dual-token system and a comprehensive fee-sharing model to incentivize participation and ensure the network’s sustainability.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards: ONT holders can stake their tokens to earn ONG rewards. This incentivizes long-term commitment and active participation in governance and network stability.

- Governance Participation: ONT holders have voting rights in network governance decisions, enabling them to influence protocol upgrades and other critical parameters.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees: Users pay transaction fees in ONG for transactions and smart contract executions. Fees are relatively low, making Ontology suitable for microtransactions and complex applications.
- Fee-Sharing Model: A portion of transaction fees is distributed to stakers and node operators, aligning the interests of all network participants and supporting the ecosystem's sustainability.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ontology is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Hyperliquid

HYPE | HLTPNVXN0

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Hyperliquid

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Hyperliquid	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	67014.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Hyperliquid is a decentralized perpetual exchange (DEX) built on its proprietary Layer 1 blockchain, Hyperliquid L1. At the core of its architecture is the HyperBFT consensus mechanism, inspired by the Hotstuff protocol, designed to meet the demands of high-frequency trading while maintaining security and consistency across the ecosystem.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Hyperliquid incentivizes participants through its native token, HYPE. Validators and delegators earn rewards in HYPE for securing the network and participating in governance. Users can also earn HYPE by staking, providing liquidity, and engaging in other ecosystem activities. This dual-token system encourages active participation and supports the network's growth and stability.

Hyperliquid employs a dynamic fee model where transaction fees are based on network activity and the complexity of the transactions. These fees are paid by users conducting transactions on the network and are designed to cover the costs of processing transactions while incentivizing validators.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The

energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Gas

GAS | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Gas

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Gas	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	65700.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The NEO blockchain uses a Delegated Byzantine Fault Tolerance (dBFT) consensus mechanism to validate transactions, relying on an elected committee and consensus nodes to maintain security and efficiency.

Core Components of dBFT:

1. Candidates:
   a. Individuals or organizations can register as candidates for committee membership, a process that requires on-chain registration.
   b. NEO holders vote for candidates based on their NEO holdings, with votes calculated every 21 blocks.
   c. Elections are valid if more than 20% of all NEO tokens participate in voting. The candidates with the most votes are selected as committee members and consensus nodes.
2. Committee:
   a. The top 21 candidates with the most votes are elected as committee members. This committee is responsible for governing the network, including electing node roles like Oracle nodes, StateRoot consensus nodes, NeoFS Inner Ring nodes, and consensus nodes.
   b. The seven members with the highest votes also serve as consensus nodes, responsible for proposing and generating new blocks. Consensus nodes are rotated every 21 blocks to maintain security and decentralization.
   c. Committee proposals require more than 50% agreement for approval, allowing the committee to update blockchain parameters as needed.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The NEO network uses a dual-token model featuring NEO and GAS tokens. NEO serves governance and voting functions, while GAS is used for network operations.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Supply and Governance: NEO has a maximum supply of 100 million tokens, is indivisible, and is used for governance activities, including electing consensus nodes and adjusting consensus parameters.
- Claiming GAS: NEO holders earn GAS based on their holdings, which allows them to participate in the ecosystem without directly purchasing GAS.
- GAS Token:
  - Utility and Fees: GAS is the network's utility token, with a minimum unit of 0.00000001 (1 Datoshi). GAS is required for network fees, including transfers, asset registration, and smart contract execution. GAS transactions are precise, supporting high granularity for fees.
  - Supply: Unlike NEO, GAS has no maximum supply, and transaction fees paid in GAS are burned, which contributes to supply control.
- GAS Distribution:
  - Block Rewards: Each block generates 5 GAS, distributed to NEO holders, voters, and the Neo Committee.
  - Committee: NEO Holders receive 10%, distributed based on the holding period. Committee & Consensus Nodes receive 10%, with rewards recalculated every 21 blocks based on updated voting. Voters receive 80%, incentivizing voting participation. Rewards are calculated and distributed every epoch (21 blocks), with voters for consensus nodes receiving a higher reward ratio than those voting for non-consensus committee members.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) neo is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

IOTA

IOTA | HNJD61GD1

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for IOTA

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	IOTA	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	65700.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

IOTA employs a unique consensus model based on a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) known as the Tangle, which removes the need for traditional blockchain mining and centralized validators. In this system, every transaction contributes directly to network consensus.

Core Components:

1. Tangle (DAG Structure):
   - Transaction Validation: Each transaction must approve two previous transactions, creating a network of interconnected transactions that validate each other without a linear block structure.
   - Decentralized Consensus: By having every participant validate two transactions, IOTA's Tangle enables a fully decentralized consensus mechanism without the need for dedicated miners or validators.
2. Coordinator Node:
   IOTA currently employs a special node, the Coordinator, to protect the network from certain attacks, like double-spending, and maintain stability as the Tangle continues to grow.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

IOTA operates without traditional transaction fees or miner rewards, relying instead on its unique Tangle structure, where each transaction confirms others, providing inherent incentives for network participation.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Feeless Transactions:
   User-Driven Validation: In IOTA, each transaction validates two others, eliminating transaction fees and encouraging participation through mutual validation.
2. Smart Contract Gas Fees:
   Smart Contract Execution Costs: While standard transactions are feeless, IOTA smart contracts use a gas fee model similar to Ethereum's. Gas fees are required for executing computational steps, calculated based on resource consumption like storage and processing complexity.

Applicable Fees:

1. Smart Contract Fees:
   Gas Model for Contracts: Smart contracts on IOTA incur gas fees, aligning with the resource requirements of each operation to ensure efficient network utilization.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

NEO

NEO | N2FWML4CL

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for NEO

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	NEO	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	65700.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

NEO is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Neo.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

The NEO blockchain uses a Delegated Byzantine Fault Tolerance (dBFT) consensus mechanism to validate transactions, relying on an elected committee and consensus nodes to maintain security and efficiency.

Core Components of dBFT:

1. Candidates:
   a. Individuals or organizations can register as candidates for committee membership, a process that requires on-chain registration.
   b. NEO holders vote for candidates based on their NEO holdings, with votes calculated every 21 blocks.
   c. Elections are valid if more than 20% of all NEO tokens participate in voting. The candidates with the most votes are selected as committee members and consensus nodes.
2. Committee:
   a. The top 21 candidates with the most votes are elected as committee members. This committee is responsible for governing the network, including electing node roles like Oracle nodes, StateRoot consensus nodes, NeoFS Inner Ring nodes, and consensus nodes.
   b. The seven members with the highest votes also serve as consensus nodes, responsible for proposing and generating new blocks. Consensus nodes are rotated every 21 blocks to maintain security and decentralization.
   c. Committee proposals require more than 50% agreement for approval, allowing the committee to update blockchain parameters as needed.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

NEO is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Neo.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

The NEO network uses a dual-token model featuring NEO and GAS tokens. NEO serves governance and voting functions, while GAS is used for network operations.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Supply and Governance: NEO has a maximum supply of 100 million tokens, is indivisible, and is used for governance activities, including electing consensus nodes and adjusting consensus parameters.
- Claiming GAS: NEO holders earn GAS based on their holdings, which allows them to participate in the ecosystem without directly purchasing GAS.
- GAS Token:
  - Utility and Fees: GAS is the network's utility token, with a minimum unit of 0.00000001 (1 Datoshi). GAS is required for network fees, including transfers, asset registration, and smart contract execution. GAS transactions are precise, supporting high granularity for fees.

  - Supply: Unlike NEO, GAS has no maximum supply, and transaction fees paid in GAS are burned, which contributes to supply control.
- GAS Distribution:
  - Block Rewards: Each block generates 5 GAS, distributed to NEO holders, voters, and the Neo Committee.
  - Committee: NEO Holders receive 10%, distributed based on the holding period. Committee & Consensus Nodes receive 10%, with rewards recalculated every 21 blocks based on updated voting. Voters receive 80%, incentivizing voting participation. Rewards are calculated and distributed every epoch (21 blocks), with voters for consensus nodes receiving a higher reward ratio than those voting for non-consensus committee members.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, neo is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Story

IP | BVZJV41L8

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Story

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Story	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	59130.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Story Blockchain network uses the CometBFT consensus mechanism, which is known for its high performance, scalability, and security and has been proven in the Cosmos ecosystem. CometBFT enables fast block times and instant finality, which is crucial for intellectual property applications.

The network architecture combines the Cosmos SDK for the consensus layer with a modified version of the Geth client as the execution layer to ensure full EVM compatibility. This modular structure allows for seamless integration of new modules and features while optimizing network performance.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

In the Story network, validators and delegators are incentivized through a structured staking model. Validators, responsible for block production and transaction validation, receive block rewards consisting of newly issued tokens and transaction fees. Delegators can delegate their tokens to validators and in return receive a share of the rewards proportional to their stake. The network supports both flexible and fixed staking periods, with longer lock-in periods offering higher reward multipliers.

Transaction fees follow the EIP-1559 model, in which a base amount is burned, resulting in a deflationary effect. This economic design aims to encourage active participation while ensuring the long-term sustainability of the network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

WAX

WAXP | H76QDN5MF

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for WAX

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	WAX	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	54750.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The WAXP blockchain utilizes a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism designed to provide scalability, low latency, and decentralization, while ensuring efficient transaction processing and network security.

Key Features of WAXP's Consensus Mechanism:

1. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS):
   Token holders vote for block producers (BPs), who are responsible for validating transactions and producing new blocks. The voting power is directly tied to the amount of WAXP tokens held, with token holders able to vote for up to 30 block producers at a time. This system ensures decentralization while maintaining high network throughput.
2. Block Production:
   Block producers take turns producing blocks in rounds, with each block producer having an equal chance to validate and produce blocks in their turn. The process ensures that all transactions are processed efficiently, and the network remains fast and scalable.
3. Finality and Security:
   Once a block is produced and validated by a sufficient number of block producers, it is finalized and added to the blockchain. This prevents forking and ensures high security and immutability for the transactions.
4. Incentives for Participation:
   Block producers receive WAXP tokens and transaction fees as rewards for their participation in block creation and validation. These incentives encourage active participation in maintaining the network's performance, security, and decentralization.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

WAXP uses a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism to incentivize network participants.

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Block Producer Rewards:
   Block Producers (BPs) earn WAXP tokens and transaction fees for validating and producing blocks. These rewards encourage active participation.
2. Voting and Delegation:
   Token Holders vote for block producers and can delegate their votes to trusted producers, earning rewards based on their delegation.
3. Active Participation:
   Block producers and voters are rewarded with WAXP tokens and transaction fees, promoting a secure and decentralized network.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Transaction fees in WAXP tokens are paid for every transaction, helping incentivize block producers and maintain the network.
2. Network Resources:
   Users stake WAXP tokens to access resources like RAM, CPU, and bandwidth for transactions and smart contracts.
3. Fee Redistribution:
   Transaction and resource fees are distributed to block producers, rewarding their participation in securing the network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Pi Network

PI | 2Z2WZP5KM

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Pi Network

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Pi Network	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	54399.60000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Pi Network uses a consensus mechanism derived from the Stellar Consensus Protocol (SCP), which is based on the Federated Byzantine Agreement (FBA). The network relies on a trust graph built from “Security Circles” created by individual users. Each participant adds trusted members to their Security Circle, and these interconnected trust relationships form the basis for validating transactions and securing the network.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The Pi Network incentivizes user participation through a mobile-first mining system that distributes Pi tokens based on user engagement and contributions to network growth and security. Users, called Pioneers, earn tokens by logging into the app daily and actively participating in the creation of Security Circles, which help build the trust graph required for consensus. Transaction fees on the network are expected to remain low and accessible, and the governance of these fees will evolve as the network transitions fully to its open mainnet phase.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The

energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Monad

MON | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Monad

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Monad	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	53348.40000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Monad uses a custom Byzantine Fault Tolerant protocol called MonadBFT to achieve network-wide agreement on transaction ordering and block proposals. Consensus proceeds with asynchronous coordination across validators, decoupling transaction execution from block ordering to increase throughput.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The native token MON is used to pay network transaction (gas) fees, which compensate validators for including and processing transactions.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on

assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Kaia

KAIA | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Kaia

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Kaia	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	52560.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Kaia employs an optimized version of the Istanbul Byzantine Fault Tolerance (IBFT) consensus mechanism, which is a variant of Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (PBFT). The process involves the election of a proposer and a committee using a Verifiable Random Function (VRF), followed by block generation by the proposer and subsequent verification and signing by the committee members.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Kaia's token economy is designed to create sustainable funding structures for empowering its ecosystem, growth initiatives, and strategic investments. With every new block, newly issued KAIA tokens and the sum of transaction fees used in the block. Transaction fees on the Kaia network are determined by a dynamic gas fee model, which adjusts fees based on network congestion to maintain low costs and protect against spam attacks. A portion of these fees is automatically burned to control token supply and support the token's value.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When

calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Stellar Lumen

XLM | ZCN8SR2H7

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Stellar Lumen

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Stellar Lumen	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	52560.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Consensus is reached through the Stellar Consensus Protocol (SCP), which is based on federated Byzantine agreement. This mechanism allows fast finality without mining or staking, but it depends on validators choosing other validators which they trust to reach agreement, called a quorum set. As a result, validator diversity and governance choices play a critical role in security, and concentration of influence among large operators may increase systemic risks.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Stellar does not use block rewards or mining. Instead, validators operate without direct protocol-level rewards. While this design keeps costs low, it may reduce incentives for validator participation compared to staking-based models. The sustainability of validator engagement depends largely on external factors such as institutional involvement and ecosystem adoption.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital

Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Nesa AI

NES | W7HTSC136

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Nesa AI

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Nesa AI	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	42048.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Consensus within the Nesa blockchain is achieved through validator-based agreement on the canonical state of the ledger and inclusion of transactions into blocks. Validators are responsible for block validation, state transition verification, and finalization of ledger updates. Participation in validation is designed to be linked to staking of the native token once live, thereby economically aligning validator incentives with network security. Miners, by contrast, are responsible for executing AI inference tasks and submitting computation results to the network. Miners do not participate in block production, transaction validation, or ledger finalization and therefore are not part of the consensus mechanism. Their role is operational (computational service provision) rather than consensus-forming. Consensus-related selection mechanisms include the use of verifiable random functions (VRFs) and cryptographic commit-reveal procedures to support integrity and coordination of inference-related submissions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The incentive structure is designed to allocate native token rewards to different categories of network participants once the token is operational. Validators are expected to receive staking-related rewards for participating in consensus and securing the ledger. Miners are intended to receive compensation for executing AI inference tasks. Model contributors and other infrastructure participants may also receive protocol-level rewards where applicable. Network users are expected to pay fees for AI inference and related transactions. Such fees are intended to be denominated and settled in the native token following its activation. At the time of this white paper, these mechanisms are not yet operational.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Babylon

BABY | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Babylon

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Babylon	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	40208.40000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Babylon is a Cosmos SDK-based blockchain that utilizes a Tendermint-style Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism. Validators are selected based on the amount of BABY tokens staked, and are responsible for proposing and validating new blocks in a round-robin process. Finality is achieved after a fixed number of confirmations, and slashing conditions are in place to penalize faulty or malicious validator behavior.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Babylon uses the native BABY token to incentivize network participation and governance. Validators earn rewards for securing the network by validating transactions and producing blocks, with rewards consisting of transaction fees and newly minted tokens.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we

update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Harmony ONE

ONE | D6KMQGX4Z

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Harmony ONE

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Harmony ONE	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	39460.09975	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Harmony ONE is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Harmony One.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Harmony operates on a consensus mechanism called Effective Proof of Stake (EPoS), designed to balance validator influence and enhance network security while improving transaction scalability.

Core Components:

1. Effective Proof of Stake (EPoS):
   - Validator Diversity: EPoS allows a large number of validators to participate and limits the influence of high-stake validators, promoting decentralization and preventing stake centralization.
   - Staking Across Shards: Multiple validators compete within each shard, distributing staking power more broadly and enhancing network security.
2. Sharding with PBFT Finality:
   - Parallel Transaction Processing: Harmony's four shards enable independent processing of transactions and smart contracts, enhancing scalability and throughput.
   - Fast Finality with PBFT: Each shard uses a modified Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (PBFT) model, ensuring immediate finality once blocks are validated and achieving high transaction speeds.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Harmony ONE is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Harmony One.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected

through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Harmony incentivizes validators and delegators to participate in network security and performance through staking rewards, transaction fees, and a unique reward structure promoting decentralization.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators and Delegators:
   ONE Token Rewards: Validators earn ONE tokens for validating transactions and securing the network, with a share of these rewards distributed to delegators based on the amount staked.
2. Decentralization Penalty for High Stake:
   Reward Adjustment for Large Stakeholders: Validators with an excessive delegated stake experience reduced rewards, preventing centralization and encouraging a fair distribution of staking power.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Harmony charges minimal transaction fees in ONE tokens, benefiting high-frequency applications and providing validators with additional rewards.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on

assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum, harmony_one is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Celo

CELO | VWWMVDM0J

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Celo

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Celo	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	39420.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Celo is present on the following networks: Celo, Near Protocol.

Celo uses a Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus model, which supports a decentralized, community-driven approach to governance and network security.

Core Components of Celo's Consensus:

1. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   Validator Role: Validators are responsible for creating new blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining the security and integrity of the network. Validators are selected based on the amount of CELO tokens they hold and stake, incentivizing honest participation and network reliability.
2. Decentralized Governance:
   Community Voting: Governance on Celo is decentralized, allowing CELO token holders to vote on proposals and changes to the network. This community-driven approach ensures that token holders have a say in the network's development and strategic direction.

The NEAR Protocol uses a unique consensus mechanism combining Proof of Stake (PoS) and a novel approach called Doomslug, which enables high efficiency, fast transaction processing, and secure finality in its operations.

Core Concepts:

1. Doomslug and Proof of Stake:
   - NEAR's consensus mechanism primarily revolves around PoS, where validators stake NEAR tokens to participate in securing the network. However, NEAR's implementation is enhanced with the Doomslug protocol.
   - Doomslug allows the network to achieve fast block finality by requiring blocks to be confirmed in two stages. Validators propose blocks in the first step, and finalization occurs when two-thirds of validators approve the block, ensuring rapid transaction confirmation.
2. Sharding with Nightshade:
   - NEAR uses a dynamic sharding technique called Nightshade. This method splits the network into multiple shards, enabling parallel processing of transactions across the network, thus significantly increasing throughput. Each shard processes a portion of transactions, and the outcomes are merged into a single "snapshot" block.
   - This sharding approach ensures scalability, allowing the network to grow and handle increasing demand efficiently.

Consensus Process:

1. Validator Selection:
   - Validators are selected to propose and validate blocks based on the amount of NEAR tokens staked. This selection process is designed to ensure that only validators with significant stakes and community trust participate in securing the network.
2. Transaction Finality:
   - NEAR achieves transaction finality through its PoS-based system, where validators vote on blocks. Once two-thirds of validators approve a block, it reaches finality under Doomslug, meaning that no forks can alter the confirmed state.
3. Epochs and Rotation:
   - Validators are rotated in epochs to ensure fairness and decentralization. Epochs are intervals in which validators are reshuffled, and new block proposers are selected, ensuring a balance between performance and decentralization.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Celo is present on the following networks: Celo, Near Protocol.

Celo’s incentive model rewards validators and prioritizes accessibility with minimal transaction fees, especially for cross-border payments, supporting a flexible and user-friendly ecosystem.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validator Rewards:
   Transaction Fees and Newly Minted Tokens: Validators earn rewards from transaction fees as well as newly minted CELO tokens. This dual-source reward system provides a continuous financial incentive for validators to act honestly and secure the network.
2. Transaction Flexibility and Gas Price:
   - Gas Limit and Price Control: Each transaction specifies a maximum gas limit, ensuring that users are not excessively charged if a transaction fails. Users can also set a gas price to prioritize transactions, allowing faster processing for higher fees.
   - Payment Flexibility with Multiple Currencies: Unlike many blockchains, Celo allows transaction fees to be paid in various ERC-20 tokens, providing flexibility for users. This approach improves accessibility, especially for individuals with limited access to traditional banking.

3. Minimal Fee Structure for Accessibility:
   - Designed for Low-Cost Transactions: Celo's fee structure is intentionally minimal, particularly for cross-border payments, making it ideal for users who may not have traditional banking options. This focus on accessibility aligns with Celo's mission to bring blockchain technology to underserved communities.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Fees are calculated based on gas usage, with a maximum gas limit set per transaction. This limit protects users from excessive costs, while the option to pay in multiple currencies enhances flexibility.

NEAR Protocol employs several economic mechanisms to secure the network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanisms to Secure Transactions:

1. Staking Rewards:
   Validators and delegators secure the network by staking NEAR tokens. Validators earn around 5% annual inflation, with 90% of newly minted tokens distributed as staking rewards. Validators propose blocks, validate transactions, and receive a share of these rewards based on their staked tokens. Delegators earn rewards proportional to their delegation, encouraging broad participation.
2. Delegation:
   Token holders can delegate their NEAR tokens to validators to increase the validator's stake and improve the chances of being selected to validate transactions. Delegators share in the validator's rewards based on their delegated tokens, incentivizing users to support reliable validators.
3. Slashing and Economic Penalties:
   Validators face penalties for malicious behavior, such as failing to validate correctly or acting dishonestly. The slashing mechanism enforces security by deducting a portion of their staked tokens, ensuring validators follow the network's best interests.
4. Epoch Rotation and Validator Selection:
   Validators are rotated regularly during epochs to ensure fairness and prevent centralization. Each epoch reshuffles validators, allowing the protocol to balance decentralization with performance.

Fees on the NEAR Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Users pay fees in NEAR tokens for transaction processing, which are burned to reduce the total circulating supply, introducing a potential deflationary effect over time. Validators also receive a portion of transaction fees as additional rewards, providing an ongoing incentive for network maintenance.
2. Storage Fees:
   NEAR Protocol charges storage fees based on the amount of blockchain storage consumed by accounts, contracts, and data. This requires users to hold NEAR tokens as a deposit proportional to their storage usage, ensuring the efficient use of network resources.
3. Redistribution and Burning:
   A portion of the transaction fees (burned NEAR tokens) reduces the overall supply, while the rest is distributed to validators as compensation for their work. The burning mechanism helps maintain long-term economic sustainability and potential value appreciation for NEAR holders.

4. Reserve Requirement:
   Users must maintain a minimum account balance and reserves for data storage, encouraging efficient use of resources and preventing spam attacks.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) celo, near_protocol is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Ronin

RON | TVJX4PPWF

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Ronin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Ronin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	39420.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ronin utilizes a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism, where community-elected validators are responsible for securing the network and validating transactions.

Core Components of Ronin's Consensus:

1. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS):
   - Community Voting for Validator Selection: RON token holders delegate their tokens to vote for validators, who are then selected to produce blocks, validate transactions, and maintain network security. Validators with the most votes are chosen to participate in consensus.
   - Periodic Validator Rotation: Validators are regularly rotated based on community votes, enhancing decentralization and preventing long-term control by any single validator group. This rotation supports both security and fairness.
2. Incentive-Driven Voting System:
   Alignment with Community Interests: The voting system ensures that validators remain aligned with community goals. Validators that fail to perform adequately or act against network interests may lose votes and be replaced by more trusted participants.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ronin's incentive model combines rewards, slashing mechanisms, and governance features to support network security and encourage active community participation.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Rewards for Validators and Delegators:
   - Staking Rewards for Validators: Validators earn RON tokens as rewards for successfully producing blocks and validating transactions. These rewards incentivize validators to fulfill their duties diligently, maintaining network stability.
   - Delegator Rewards: Delegators who stake their tokens with selected validators also earn a portion of the staking rewards. This sharing of rewards promotes broad participation from token holders in network security and governance.
2. Slashing Mechanism for Accountability:
   - Penalty for Malicious Behavior: A slashing mechanism penalizes validators who act dishonestly or fail to meet performance standards by cutting a portion of their staked RON tokens. This deters misbehavior and encourages responsible participation.
   - Delegator Risk: Delegators who stake with misbehaving validators are also subject to slashing, which encourages them to choose trustworthy validators and monitor performance carefully.
3. Governance Participation:
   RON Token for Governance: Beyond staking and transaction fees, the RON token enables token holders to participate in governance. This includes voting on network upgrades, validator selection, and other protocol decisions, giving token holders a voice in network direction and policy.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Fees are paid in RON tokens, contributing to validator rewards and helping to maintain network operations. These fees are designed to be affordable, ensuring accessibility for users while supporting validators' roles.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Lisk

LSK | 7J6VRX7JZ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Lisk

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Lisk	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	32850.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Lisk employs a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism to maintain a balance between decentralization, security, and efficiency in block production and transaction validation.

Core Components of Lisk's Consensus:

1. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS):
   Community-Elected Delegates: Lisk operates with a fixed set of 101 active delegates, chosen by token holders through a voting process. Lisk holders vote for delegates by staking their LSK tokens, and the top 101 delegates with the highest votes are selected for block production and validation.
2. Block Production:
   Fair Rotational System: Active delegates take turns producing blocks at fixed intervals, ensuring fair participation and equal opportunity for all elected delegates. This rotation system promotes decentralization and prevents single entities from dominating block production.
3. Finality and Security:
   Reduced Fork Risk: The fixed number of reputable delegates provides a fast confirmation time for blocks and minimizes the likelihood of forks or attacks, enhancing overall network security and stability.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Lisk's incentive model rewards both active delegates and token holders, ensuring secure and consistent network performance while managing inflation.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Rewards for Delegates:
   - Block Rewards: Delegates receive rewards in LSK tokens for producing blocks, incentivizing them to actively participate in securing and maintaining the network. This reward structure encourages reliable block production from the community-elected delegates.
   - Transaction Fees: Delegates also earn transaction fees paid in LSK tokens for each transaction they validate within blocks. This provides an additional source of income and incentivizes efficient transaction processing.
2. Voting Incentives for Token Holders:
   Supporting Reliable Delegates: Lisk token holders benefit indirectly by voting for trustworthy and stable delegates, as these delegates help secure the network. Token holders play an active role in network governance by supporting reliable participants.
3. Reward Reduction Over Time:
   Sustainable Token Economy: Lisk's block rewards decrease over time to control inflation and ensure the long-term sustainability of the token economy. This gradual reduction in rewards aligns with Lisk's goal of managing token supply and maintaining a healthy economic structure.

Applicable Fees:

1. Fixed Fee Structure:
   Predictable Transaction Costs: Lisk employs a fixed fee structure for standard transactions, providing users with predictability in transaction costs and making the network accessible and user-friendly.
2. Two-Cost Structure for Mainnet Transactions:
   Layer 2 Execution Fee and Layer 1 Data Fee: Every transaction on Lisk's Mainnet has two associated costs: an L2 execution fee and an L1 data fee. This dual-fee model aligns with Lisk's Layer 2 architecture and its reliance on Ethereum for security and data storage.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. Due to the structure of this network, it is not only the mainnet that is responsible for energy consumption. In order to calculate the structure adequately, a proportion of the energy consumption of the connected network, ethereum, must also be taken into account, because the connected network is also responsible for security. This proportion is determined on the basis of gas consumption. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Allora

ALLO | 6V2VLBJV9

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Allora

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Allora	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	32643.53264	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Allora is present on the following networks: Allora, Base, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

The Allora Network uses CometBFT Proof-of-Stake as its consensus mechanism. Under this model, network validators maintain the blockchain by validating transactions and producing blocks according to the stake delegated to them. The mechanism operates in line with the standard characteristics of Proof-of-Stake within the Cosmos environment, with validator participation determining the security and liveness of the chain. Stake delegation influences validator selection, and consensus finality is achieved through the CometBFT engine that the network incorporates as part of its core infrastructure.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA).

This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum’s consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Allora is present on the following networks: Allora, Base, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Incentive mechanisms within the Allora Network apply to different participant groups and operate through reward structures tied to their respective roles. Workers receive rewards based on the quality of the inferences they provide within specific topics, while reputers receive rewards that reflect the accuracy of their evaluation activities and their stake within the network. Validators receive rewards determined by the amount of stake associated with their validator position. Consumers of inference outputs pay fees in the native crypto-asset, and these fees are distributed across supply-side participants in connection with their contributions. The incentive mechanisms therefore link inference production, evaluation accuracy and validator staking to the distribution of rewards and fees within the network.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When

calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base, binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Band

BAND | 1QM2QZQ5N

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Band

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Band	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	28875.92457	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Band is present on the following networks: Band, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Fantom, Osmosis.

BandChain operates a Delegated Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism based on Tendermint Byzantine Fault Tolerance, designed to provide deterministic finality and secure state replication. Consensus participants are validators who bond the native crypto-asset BAND and obtain voting power proportional to their bonded stake, including stake delegated by token holders. Only a limited set of validators, typically the top-ranked participants by stake, are permitted to participate in block production and consensus. Consensus proceeds in rounds consisting of block proposal and voting phases. A block is considered final and irreversibly committed once more than two-thirds of total validator voting power signs the corresponding pre-commit vote. This mechanism provides immediate finality and prevents probabilistic forks. The protocol remains secure provided that less than one-third of validator voting power behaves maliciously or fails. In addition to standard block validation, BandChain employs a validator sampling mechanism for oracle operations, whereby subsets of validators are randomly selected to fulfil data requests, reducing bottlenecks and enhancing decentralisation. Delegators may assign their BAND tokens to validators without transferring custody, thereby participating indirectly in consensus and sharing in associated rewards and risks.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators,

including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum’s consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Fantom operates on the Lachesis Protocol, an Asynchronous Byzantine Fault Tolerant (aBFT) consensus mechanism designed for fast, secure, and scalable transactions.

Core Components of Fantom’s Consensus:

1. Lachesis Protocol (aBFT):
   - Asynchronous and Leaderless: Lachesis allows nodes to reach consensus independently without relying on a central leader, enhancing decentralization and speed.
   - DAG Structure: Instead of a linear blockchain, Lachesis uses a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) structure, allowing multiple transactions to be processed in parallel across nodes. This structure supports high throughput, making the network suitable for applications requiring rapid transaction processing.
2. Event Blocks and Instant Finality:
   - Event Blocks: Transactions are grouped into event blocks, which are validated asynchronously by multiple validators. When enough validators confirm an event block, it becomes part of the Fantom network’s history.
   - Instant Finality: Transactions on Fantom achieve immediate finality, meaning they are confirmed and cannot be reversed. This property is ideal for applications requiring fast and irreversible transactions.

Osmosis operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism, leveraging the Cosmos SDK and Tendermint Core to provide secure, decentralized, and scalable transaction processing.

Core Components:

- Proof of Stake (PoS): Validators are chosen based on the amount of OSMO tokens they stake or are delegated by other token holders. Validators are responsible for validating transactions, producing blocks, and maintaining network security.

- Cosmos SDK and Tendermint Core: Osmosis uses Tendermint Core for Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus, ensuring fast finality and resistance to attacks as long as less than one-third of validators are malicious.
- Decentralized Governance: OSMO token holders can participate in governance by voting on protocol upgrades and network parameters, fostering a community-driven approach to network development.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Band is present on the following networks: Band, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Fantom, Osmosis.

The BandChain network employs an integrated system of economic incentives and penalties to secure its Delegated Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and to ensure reliable oracle data provision. 1. Incentive Mechanisms (Rewards) Validators and delegators are rewarded for participating in block production and oracle operations through a combination of inflationary issuance and transaction-related fees. New BAND tokens are issued as block rewards under a dynamic inflation model. The inflation rate adjusts within a predefined range to incentivise staking participation and is designed to target a specific proportion of total supply being bonded. Rewards are distributed to validators and their delegators in proportion to their bonded stake, subject to validator-defined commission rates. Additional rewards may be allocated to participants involved in specialised roles, such as Threshold Signature Scheme operations. 2. Transaction Fees The protocol applies transaction fees to all on-chain operations to prevent network abuse and compensate validators. Fees are determined based on transaction complexity and are paid by the initiating party. In the context of oracle operations, additional fees may be required for accessing premium data sources. For cross-chain requests, fees may be paid by relayer accounts acting on behalf of external users. 3. Fee Distribution and Community Pool Collected transaction fees and newly issued tokens are distributed to validators and delegators. A predefined portion of block rewards is allocated to a community fund pool, which is intended to support long-term ecosystem development and is governed through on-chain governance decisions. In addition, certain fees related to oracle data requests may be allocated directly to data providers or associated service accounts. 4. Penalties and Slashing Bonded BAND tokens serve as economic collateral and are subject to slashing in the event of protocol violations. Validators that engage in safety violations, such as double-signing conflicting blocks, are subject to significant slashing and removal from the validator set. Validators may also be penalised for excessive downtime or failure to fulfil assigned oracle data requests. Jailing mechanisms may temporarily exclude validators from participation until operational requirements are restored. Delegators are exposed to slashing risks through the validators to whom they delegate.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the

validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Fantom's incentive model promotes network security through staking rewards, transaction fees, and delegation options, encouraging broad participation.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators:
   - Earning Rewards in FTM: Validators who participate in the consensus process earn rewards in FTM tokens, proportional to the amount they have staked. This incentivizes validators to actively secure the network.
   - Dynamic Staking Rate: Fantom's staking reward rate is dynamic, adjusting based on total FTM staked across the network. As more FTM is staked, individual rewards may decrease, maintaining a balanced reward structure that supports long-term network security.
2. Delegation for Token Holders:
   Delegated Staking: Users who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their FTM tokens to validators. In return, they share in the staking rewards, encouraging wider participation in securing the network.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees in FTM: Users pay transaction fees in FTM tokens. The network's high throughput and DAG structure keep fees low, making Fantom ideal for decentralized applications (dApps) requiring frequent transactions.
- Efficient Fee Model: The low fees and scalability of the network make it cost-effective for users, fostering a favorable environment for high-volume applications.

Osmosis incentivizes validators, delegators, and liquidity providers through a combination of staking rewards, transaction fees, and liquidity incentives.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Validator Rewards: Validators earn rewards from transaction fees and block rewards, distributed in OSMO tokens, for their role in securing the network and processing transactions. Delegators who stake their OSMO tokens with validators receive a share of these rewards.
- Liquidity Provider Rewards: Users providing liquidity to Osmosis pools earn swap fees and may receive additional incentives in the form of OSMO tokens to encourage liquidity provision.
- Superfluid Staking: Liquidity providers can participate in superfluid staking, staking a portion of their OSMO tokens within liquidity pools. This mechanism allows users to earn staking rewards while maintaining liquidity in the pools

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Users pay transaction fees in OSMO tokens for network activities, including swaps, staking, and governance participation. These fees are distributed to validators and delegators, incentivizing their continued participation and support for network security.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum, fantom, osmosis is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Aelf

ELF | PKCG83B6G

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Aelf

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Aelf	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	26284.96004	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Aelf is present on the following networks: Aelf, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Aelf employs a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism combined with a multi-layer sidechain architecture to enhance scalability, security, and performance for complex applications.

Core Components of Aelf's Consensus:

1. DPoS with Multi-Layer Sidechain Architecture:
   Aelf's network consists of a main chain that serves as the backbone and dedicated sidechains for specific applications or functions. This structure allows for isolated workloads on sidechains, improving scalability and reducing congestion on the main chain. In the DPoS model, token holders vote to elect top delegates who validate transactions and produce blocks. This community-driven approach supports network security and performance by empowering trusted participants.
2. Cross-Chain Interoperability:
   Aelf supports cross-chain communication, enabling efficient data and asset transfers between the main chain and sidechains. This interoperability feature is essential for enterprise applications that require multiple blockchains to interact seamlessly.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators,

including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum’s consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Aelf is present on the following networks: Aelf, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Aelf’s incentive system includes rewards for validators, transaction fees, voting incentives for token holders, and a customizable fee model for sidechains to encourage active network participation and governance.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Block Rewards for Validators: ELF Token Rewards: Elected delegates, who validate transactions and produce blocks, earn ELF tokens as block rewards. These rewards incentivize validators to actively participate and secure the network.
2. Transaction Fees: Steady Income for Delegates: Transaction fees, paid in ELF tokens, are distributed among delegates, providing an ongoing income source. This fee distribution helps maintain decentralization and supports fair compensation for validators.
3. Voting Rewards for Token Holders: Incentives for Governance Participation: Token holders who vote for delegates receive a portion of the block rewards as voting rewards. This encourages community participation in governance and strengthens the DPoS model by aligning incentives with network security.
4. Sidechain Fee Structure: Customizable Fees for dApps and Enterprises: Each sidechain within the Aelf network can set its own fee structure, allowing customization based on specific applications or usage. This flexibility attracts diverse dApps and enterprise solutions by meeting specific operational needs.
5. Controlled Inflation Model: Sustainable Reward Distribution: Aelf operates under a controlled inflation rate, balancing ecosystem growth with token issuance. The inflation rate and issuance of new ELF tokens are periodically reviewed to support long-term network health and economic stability.

Applicable Fees: Transaction Fees in ELF: Transaction fees are paid in ELF tokens and distributed among elected delegates, creating an incentive for active participation in transaction validation and governance.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These

assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Zeta

ZETA | 9BJJBHB21

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Zeta

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Zeta	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	25536.85333	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Zeta is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Zeta.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

ZetaChain is a Layer 1 blockchain built using the Cosmos SDK and employs the CometBFT consensus engine, a variant of Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus. It operates under a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) model, where validators are selected based on the amount of ZETA tokens they stake.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Zeta is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Zeta.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

The native token, ZETA, serves multiple functions within the ZetaChain ecosystem, including transaction fee payments, staking, and governance participation. Validators and delegators earn rewards in ZETA tokens for securing the network through staking.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Bittensor

TAO | LBQFC0FVK

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Bittensor

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Bittensor	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	25228.80000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Bittensor employs a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism tailored for integrating blockchain technology with decentralized AI, ensuring secure, efficient, and reliable contributions from its participants.

Proof of Stake (PoS) with Neural Consensus:

- Bittensor operates on a PoS consensus model, where validators are selected based on the amount of TAO tokens staked. Validators secure the network by producing and validating blocks, ensuring transaction integrity.
- Neural Consensus Integration: A unique feature of Bittensor is its neural consensus, which evaluates the quality of work performed by AI models on the network. Nodes are incentivized to contribute meaningful computations for tasks like AI training, which are validated through peer review and network-wide voting.
- Dynamic Validator Selection: The network dynamically adjusts validator participation, prioritizing nodes that contribute both computational and staking resources effectively.
- Scalability and Security: The combined PoS and neural consensus model ensures scalability for AI-centric workloads while maintaining blockchain-level security.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Bittensor incentivizes network participants through token rewards for securing the network and contributing to its AI capabilities, with a fee structure designed to sustain network operations and encourage participation.

Incentive Mechanism:

- TAO Rewards for Validators: Validators earn TAO tokens as rewards for securing the network, validating transactions, and maintaining blockchain integrity. Rewards are distributed based on the validator's staked TAO tokens and performance in the consensus process.
- AI Contribution Rewards: Nodes contributing to the network's AI computations (e.g., training models) are rewarded in TAO tokens. Rewards are determined by the quality and relevance of contributions, as evaluated through the neural consensus mechanism.
- Delegation Rewards: TAO holders who delegate their tokens to validators earn a share of staking rewards, encouraging broader participation in network security and governance.
- Dynamic Incentive Structure: Rewards are dynamically allocated based on network activity and AI workloads, promoting sustained contribution and high-quality participation.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees: Users pay transaction fees in TAO tokens for processing transactions on the network. Fees are distributed to validators as additional compensation.
- AI Service Fees: Applications utilizing Bittensor's AI services pay fees in TAO tokens, incentivizing nodes to perform computations and contribute resources.
- Low-Cost Fee Model: The network employs a cost-efficient fee structure to attract developers and users while ensuring sustainability for validators and contributors.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Linea

LINEA | DW1NCGR64

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Linea

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Linea	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	24390.41984	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Linea is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Linea.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

The Linea Network uses a Zero-Knowledge Rollup (ZK-Rollup) architecture with a zkEVM for Ethereum compatibility, and its consensus is derived from Ethereum's own proof-of-stake security. While the Network has components like a sequencer for ordering transactions and a coordinator for network management, its consensus mechanism is fundamentally linked to the proof and verification process of zero-knowledge proofs and the security of the Ethereum mainnet. Instead of a typical decentralized consensus on a separate blockchain, the Network inherits its security and state finality from Ethereum.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Linea is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Linea.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Like Ethereum, the Network uses a gas system, where gas is the unit of computational effort required to process a transaction. All gas fees on the Network are paid in Ether (ETH). The Network has a base fee that is designed to stabilize at 7 wei. The base fee still decreases or increases based on network traffic, similar to Ethereum, but it does not go below 7 wei. The Network does not require token staking for transaction validation purposes and thus provides no staking rewards. It does not offer incentives for running a full network node. It does charge fees collected by the sequencer for transaction processing. Those fees are paid in ETH, 20% of which are immediately burned while the remaining 80% are converted to Tokens and then burned.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, linea is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Chiliz

CHZ | KNS06KRXQ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Chiliz

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Chiliz	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	23164.32821	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Chiliz is present on the following networks: Chiliz, Ethereum.

The Chiliz Chain operates on a Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) consensus model, a hybrid that combines Proof of Stake (PoS) and Proof of Authority (PoA) to secure the network through both economic and reputational incentives.

Core Components:

- Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) Validator Selection: Validators are selected based on their stake of CHZ tokens and their reputation within the network, enhancing security and trustworthiness.
- Collateral Requirement: Validators must lock a portion of CHZ as collateral, which can be slashed if they act maliciously or fail to meet network standards, ensuring alignment with network security.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network

upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Chiliz is present on the following networks: Chiliz, Ethereum.

Chiliz incentivizes validators and delegators to contribute to network security through rewards and transaction fees in CHZ.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards Validator Rewards: Validators earn CHZ tokens for validating transactions and maintaining network integrity.
- Delegator Rewards: CHZ holders who delegate their tokens to validators share in staking rewards, allowing passive participation in network security.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees CHZ-Based Fees: Transaction fees are paid in CHZ and are distributed to validators as additional compensation, supporting validator incentives and covering network operational costs.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are

assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Metis Token

METIS | RCGXTQ3G0

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Metis Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Metis Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	21108.34542	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Metis Token is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Metis.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Metis is an Ethereum Layer-2 scaling solution that utilizes Optimistic Rollup technology to enhance transaction throughput and reduce costs. In this architecture, a sequencer is responsible for aggregating and submitting batches of transactions to the Ethereum mainnet. Metis is transitioning towards a decentralized sequencer model, incorporating a Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus layer based on Tendermint.

This model involves multiple sequencers participating in transaction processing, with roles assigned through a staking mechanism. The decentralized sequencer system is designed to prevent single points of failure and ensure continuous network uptime.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Metis Token is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Metis.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Within the Metis ecosystem, users pay transaction fees in the form of METIS tokens for operations conducted on the Layer-2 network. These fees are distributed among sequencers and validators as rewards for their participation in processing transactions and maintaining network security.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. Due to the structure of this network, it is not only the mainnet that is responsible for energy consumption. In order to calculate the structure adequately, a proportion of the energy consumption of the connected network, ethereum, must also be taken into account, because the connected network is also responsible for security. This proportion is determined on the basis of gas consumption. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, metis is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally

Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Espresso

ESP | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Espresso

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Espresso	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	20995.89118	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Espresso is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Espresso, Ethereum.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Espresso operates as a shared sequencing and data availability layer designed for rollups. It uses a Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) Proof-of-Stake consensus protocol in which validators stake the native token to participate in block ordering and finality. The network provides decentralized transaction sequencing and confirmation services that can be used by multiple rollups, separating execution from ordering while maintaining cryptographic verifiability.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest

to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Espresso is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Espresso, Ethereum.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

Transaction and sequencing fees are paid in the native token and distributed to validators according to their stake and participation. Validators are required to stake tokens to secure the network and may be subject to penalties for misbehavior. Token holders can delegate stake to validators and share in rewards. The fee structure supports network operations and validator compensation without embedding application-specific incentives at the base layer.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the

hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Scroll

SCR | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Scroll

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Scroll	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	20235.60000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Scroll utilizes a Zero-Knowledge Rollup (ZK-Rollup) consensus mechanism built on top of Ethereum's Layer 1 blockchain. ZK-Rollups bundle multiple transactions into a single batch, generating a cryptographic proof (known as a validity proof) to verify the correctness of all transactions in the batch. This proof is then submitted to the Ethereum mainnet, ensuring the integrity and security of the processed transactions. The mechanism offloads computation and storage from Layer 1 while relying on Ethereum's security guarantees for finality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The Scroll network's incentive structures revolve around transaction processing and the maintenance of zk-provers, which are responsible for generating validity proofs. Users pay transaction fees to have their operations included in the network, and these fees cover the computational and storage costs associated with batching and proving transactions. Fees may fluctuate based on the complexity of transactions and network usage. Validators and operators of zk-provers receive compensation to ensure the continued functionality and security of the rollup mechanism.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites,

open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. Due to the structure of this network, it is not only the mainnet that is responsible for energy consumption. In order to calculate the structure adequately, a proportion of the energy consumption of the connected network, ethereum, must also be taken into account, because the connected network is also responsible for security. This proportion is determined on the basis of gas consumption. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Pharos

PROS | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Pharos

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Pharos	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	19769.83326	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Pharos is present on the following networks: Base, Ethereum, Pharos.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to

slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Pharos is an EVM-compatible Layer 1 blockchain that uses an asynchronous BFT-style consensus mechanism. Its architecture combines adaptive consensus with parallel execution to support fast block production and finality. Validators participate in securing the network and processing transactions, while specialized processing networks can operate with their own validator sets for application-specific execution.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Pharos is present on the following networks: Base, Ethereum, Pharos.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

The native PROS token is used for transaction fees, staking, governance, and ecosystem incentives. Validators and delegators are expected to receive rewards for securing the network, while users pay fees for on-chain execution.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The

energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Fusion

FSN | XJG917XPQ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Fusion

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Fusion	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	19710.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Fusion is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Fusion.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

The Fusion network utilizes a unique consensus mechanism called Time-Lock Proof of Stake (TPoS). In this system, token holders can temporarily lock their assets to earn the right to validate transactions and create new blocks. This approach encourages active participation in the network while ensuring the security and integrity of the blockchain. By locking tokens temporarily, the risk of double spending is minimized and the trustworthiness of the network is strengthened.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Fusion is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Fusion.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

In the Fusion network, validators are rewarded by locking their tokens as part of the TPoS mechanism. By temporarily locking their assets, they earn the right to validate transactions and create blocks, for which they receive corresponding rewards. This structure promotes long-term participant retention and strengthens network security. With regard to transaction fees, Fusion aims to keep them at a competitive level to encourage participation and make the network attractive for various financial transactions. The exact fee structure may vary depending on network activity and implementation.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical

data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Sophon

SOPH | BSVMDF86Q

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Sophon

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Sophon	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	18658.80000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Sophon is a Layer 2 Validium built using the ZK Stack and integrated into the ZKsync ecosystem. Transactions are executed on Sophon and validity proofs are verified on Ethereum, while Avail is used as the network's data availability layer.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

SOPH is the native gas token of the Sophon Network and is used for transaction fees and staking. Gas fees are used to fund staking rewards, including rewards for Full Node operators and participants who delegate SOPH to them.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on

assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Gensyn

AI | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Gensyn

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Gensyn	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	17878.30095	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Gensyn is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Gensyn.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Gensyn operates on a custom EVM Layer-2 rollup built with the OP Stack (Bedrock). As a Layer 2 network, it relies on the underlying base layer for settlement and security, while providing its own coordination and execution environment for market activity, identity, and on-chain interactions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Gensyn is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Gensyn.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Participants in the Gensyn network are expected to receive rewards for contributing compute resources, validating workloads, and supporting network operations. Incentives are structured around successful task execution and verification, while fees are associated with submitting and processing machine learning jobs on the network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Movement

MOVE | 11KGBJ2W4

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Movement

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Movement	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	15861.69352	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Movement is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Movement.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Movement L1 uses a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism based on a Jolteon-style Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) protocol. Validators participate in block production and finalization according to their stake, with deterministic leader selection and a dynamic validator set.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Movement is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Movement.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

The MOVE token is used for transaction fees, staking, and network incentives. Validators and delegators can receive staking rewards for participating in network security, with rewards determined by stake and validator performance.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, movement is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Uniswap

UNI | XMB84LZBZ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Uniswap

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Uniswap	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	13756.91728	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Uniswap is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Polygon.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower

probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production. The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator's effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Uniswap is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Polygon.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational

complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in

the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation, depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator's commission and applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator's effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, binance_smart_chain, ethereum, polygon is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Plasma

XPL | ZC0VHB8ZB

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Plasma

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Plasma	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	13402.80000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Plasma Chain uses PlasmaBFT, a consensus protocol based on Fast HotStuff with pipelined execution. Validators are selected through a simplified Proof-of-Stake mechanism and participate in block production and finalization. Misbehavior does not lead to full slashing of stake but results in reduced rewards.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Validators receive a share of transaction fees and protocol rewards for signing blocks. If validators fail to participate correctly, their reward share is reduced. The protocol is designed to balance participation incentives while limiting financial penalties.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The

information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Astar

ASTR | 87L5SX99N

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Astar

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Astar	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	13140.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Astar uses a hybrid consensus mechanism that combines Proof of Stake (PoS) and Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS), with the added feature of Sharded Multichain capabilities. The primary goal is to provide a scalable, interoperable, and decentralized platform for building decentralized applications (dApps), which can run on multiple blockchains in parallel.

Key Features of Astar's Consensus Mechanism:

1. Proof of Stake (PoS): In Astar, validators participate by staking ASTR tokens, the native currency of the network. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected as a validator. Validators are responsible for validating transactions and securing the network. Validators receive block rewards for their efforts, which are paid in ASTR tokens.
2. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS): Astar incorporates DPoS to allow ASTR token holders to vote for validators. Token holders delegate their voting power to trusted validators, who then produce blocks and validate transactions. This ensures greater decentralization by allowing the community to have a direct say in who validates the network. Delegators receive a share of the block rewards earned by their selected validators.
3. Sharded Multichain: Astar's consensus mechanism allows for multichain execution via Parachains in the Polkadot ecosystem, enabling Astar to process multiple parallel chains and increase scalability. This sharding mechanism ensures that Astar can scale effectively, maintaining high throughput while decentralizing the network.
4. Finality: Astar leverages Polkadot's GRANDPA (GHOST-based Recursive Ancestor Deriving Prefix Agreement) finality gadget for fast and deterministic finality. Once a block is finalized, it is irreversible, ensuring the integrity and security of transactions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Astar incentivizes network participation through block rewards, transaction fees, and staking rewards while encouraging governance via delegated voting.

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Staking Rewards: Validators earn ASTR tokens for validating transactions and securing the network. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of validating blocks.
2. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS): ASTR token holders can delegate their tokens to validators, sharing in the rewards based on the performance of their chosen validators.
3. Cross-Chain dApp Rewards: Developers deploying dApps on Astar earn rewards for using the network's multichain capabilities.
4. Governance Participation: ASTR token holders participate in on-chain governance to vote on proposals and protocol changes.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees: Users pay fees in ASTR tokens for transactions. These are collected by validators who process the transactions.
2. dApp Execution Fees: Developers pay for smart contract execution based on resource demands.
3. Cross-Chain Fees: Additional fees apply for asset transfers and interactions between different blockchain networks.
4. Parachain Slot Fees: Astar incurs fees for its parachain slot on the Polkadot network to ensure interoperability.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. Due to the structure of this network, it is not only the mainnet that is responsible for energy consumption. In order to calculate the structure adequately, a proportion of the energy consumption of the connected network, polkadot, must also be taken into account, because the connected network is also responsible for security. This proportion is determined on the basis of gas consumption. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Katana

KAT | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Katana

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Katana	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	10643.61635	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Katana is present on the following networks: Base, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Katana.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 "Cabinet" validators and 24 "Candidate" validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be

selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Katana operates as a Layer-2 network that does not implement its own standalone consensus mechanism. Instead, it relies on a rollup-based architecture where transaction ordering and execution occur off-chain, while final settlement and security are inherited from the underlying Layer-1 blockchain. This design separates execution from consensus, leveraging the base layer for finality and data integrity.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Katana is present on the following networks: Base, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Katana.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are

updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Transaction fees on Katana are paid in the native token and are used to cover execution and network operations. A portion of these fees is allocated to infrastructure participants responsible for sequencing and maintaining the network. The token is also expected to support governance and ecosystem participation, aligning incentives across users, developers, and network operators.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base, binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Fogo

FOGO | MPR97NPM6

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Fogo

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Fogo	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	10643.40000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Fogo is a Layer 1 blockchain built on the Solana Virtual Machine (SVM) that uses an optimized Proof-of-Stake consensus with a multi-local execution model to minimize latency. Validator nodes run a Firedancer-based client and operate in performance-oriented zones, enabling very short block times and rapid finality for transactions and smart contract execution.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The native token FOGO is used to pay for transaction (gas) fees, to stake for participation in network consensus, and to support on-chain governance. Validators and delegators receive rewards related to staking and activity on the network, while transaction fees contribute to network operations and resource allocation.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we

update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

ChainLink Token

LINK | 3R3J70FDR

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for ChainLink Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	ChainLink Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	7537.38630	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

ChainLink Token is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Fantom, Gnosis Chain, Optimism, Polygon, Solana.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

The Avalanche C-Chain uses the Snowman++ consensus mechanism, which is Avalanche's consensus model for linear blockchains and is implemented through the ProposerVM wrapper. Under this model, validators repeatedly query a small random subset of other validators and converge on a preferred block once the required confidence thresholds are met. Only Primary Network validators are entitled to validate the C-Chain. To participate as a validator on Avalanche mainnet, a node must stake a minimum number of token. Token holders may also participate indirectly by delegating an existing validator. Validator identity and admission to staking require the relevant staking credentials, including BLS proofs of possession under the current staking framework. For block production, Snowman++ uses stake-weighted proposer windows. Through the ProposerVM, block-building opportunities are assigned to proposers in 5-second windows, after which block production may fall

back more broadly to validators if necessary. This mechanism is intended to regulate block production while preserving network liveness. Consensus voting itself remains based on repeated sub-sampled polling rather than fixed validator committees. Avalanche documentation describes the finality model as sub-second and treated by the protocol as final and irreversible once accepted, while noting that safety is probabilistic in the formal sense because the probability of conflicting acceptance can be reduced to an arbitrarily low level through the protocol parameters. The protocol does not rely on slashing of staked principal. Instead, validator reward eligibility depends on compliance with protocol conditions, including uptime requirements.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum’s consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Fantom operates on the Lachesis Protocol, an Asynchronous Byzantine Fault Tolerant (aBFT) consensus mechanism designed for fast, secure, and scalable transactions.

Core Components of Fantom’s Consensus:

1. Lachesis Protocol (aBFT):
   - Asynchronous and Leaderless: Lachesis allows nodes to reach consensus independently without relying on a central leader, enhancing decentralization and speed.
   - DAG Structure: Instead of a linear blockchain, Lachesis uses a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) structure, allowing multiple transactions to be processed in parallel across nodes. This structure supports high throughput, making the network suitable for applications requiring rapid transaction processing.

2. Event Blocks and Instant Finality:
   - Event Blocks: Transactions are grouped into event blocks, which are validated asynchronously by multiple validators. When enough validators confirm an event block, it becomes part of the Fantom network's history.
   - Instant Finality: Transactions on Fantom achieve immediate finality, meaning they are confirmed and cannot be reversed. This property is ideal for applications requiring fast and irreversible transactions.

Gnosis Chain – Consensus Mechanism Gnosis Chain employs a dual-layer structure to balance scalability and security, using Proof of Stake (PoS) for its core consensus and transaction finality.

Core Components:

- Two-Layer Structure Layer 1: Gnosis Beacon Chain The Gnosis Beacon Chain operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) mechanism, acting as the security and consensus backbone. Validators stake GNO tokens on the Beacon Chain and validate transactions, ensuring network security and finality.
- Layer 2: Gnosis xDai Chain processes transactions and dApp interactions, providing high-speed, low-cost transactions. Layer 2 transaction data is finalized on the Gnosis Beacon Chain, creating an integrated framework where Layer 1 ensures security and finality, and Layer 2 enhances scalability. Validator Role and Staking Validators on the Gnosis Beacon Chain stake GNO tokens and participate in consensus by validating blocks. This setup ensures that validators have an economic interest in maintaining the security and integrity of both the Beacon Chain (Layer 1) and the xDai Chain (Layer 2). Cross-Layer Security Transactions on Layer 2 are ultimately finalized on Layer 1, providing security and finality to all activities on the Gnosis Chain. This architecture allows Gnosis Chain to combine the speed and cost efficiency of Layer 2 with the security guarantees of a PoS-secured Layer 1, making it suitable for both high-frequency applications and secure asset management.

Since Optimism is based on Ethereum, it ultimately inherits its security via the Ethereum blockchain and the proof-of-stake consensus. Within the rollup system, Optimism relies on a "fault proof" procedure. By default, transactions are assumed to be correct ("optimistic"). Only in the event of suspected faults is a fault proof initiated, in which incorrect transactions can be challenged by challengers. This model allows for high efficiency while ensuring correctness.

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production. The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator's effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint

to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network’s criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator’s stake and performance.

- Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.

2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

ChainLink Token is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Fantom, Gnosis Chain, Optimism, Polygon, Solana.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

The Avalanche C-Chain is secured economically through the native AVAX token. Validator incentives are based primarily on staking rewards, not on redistribution of C-Chain transaction fees. A fixed amount of 360 million AVAX was minted at genesis, while additional AVAX is minted over time as validator rewards, subject to Avalanche's capped token supply framework. Validator rewards are paid at the end of the staking period and are determined by factors such as the validator's stake and compliance with staking conditions. Unlike some proof-of-stake systems, the Avalanche Primary Network does not use slashing of bonded principal as an ordinary penalty mechanism. Instead, the main protocol-level economic consequence for underperformance is the loss of reward eligibility. Where a validator fails to satisfy the applicable uptime requirement during its staking term, that validator does not receive the corresponding staking reward. Transaction fees apply on the C-Chain for transfers and smart-contract execution. The fee model follows EIP-1559 logic, meaning that transactions are priced through a dynamic base fee mechanism. In contrast to Ethereum's validator tip model, C-Chain transaction fees are burned rather than distributed to validators. This means that C-Chain fees function as a supply-reduction mechanism and are intended in part to offset inflation arising from the minting of validator rewards. In addition to ordinary transaction and smart-contract execution fees, Avalanche documentation also recognises protocol fees in connection with other network operations on other chains of the Primary Network, such as certain import or export operations and staking-related actions. However, for the C-Chain itself, the core applicable fee category is the gas fee for transaction inclusion and contract execution, and those fees are handled through the protocol burn mechanism rather than paid to validators or a treasury.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Fantom's incentive model promotes network security through staking rewards, transaction fees, and delegation options, encouraging broad participation.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators:
   - Earning Rewards in FTM: Validators who participate in the consensus process earn rewards in FTM tokens, proportional to the amount they have staked. This incentivizes validators to actively secure the network.

- Dynamic Staking Rate: Fantom's staking reward rate is dynamic, adjusting based on total FTM staked across the network. As more FTM is staked, individual rewards may decrease, maintaining a balanced reward structure that supports long-term network security.

2. Delegation for Token Holders:
   Delegated Staking: Users who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their FTM tokens to validators. In return, they share in the staking rewards, encouraging wider participation in securing the network.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees in FTM: Users pay transaction fees in FTM tokens. The network's high throughput and DAG structure keep fees low, making Fantom ideal for decentralized applications (dApps) requiring frequent transactions.
- Efficient Fee Model: The low fees and scalability of the network make it cost-effective for users, fostering a favorable environment for high-volume applications.

The Gnosis Chain's incentive and fee models encourage both validator participation and network accessibility, using a dual-token system to maintain low transaction costs and effective staking rewards.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards for Validators GNO Rewards: Validators earn staking rewards in GNO tokens for their participation in consensus and securing the network.
- Delegation Model: GNO holders who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their GNO tokens to validators, allowing them to share in staking rewards and encouraging broader participation in network security.
- Dual-Token Model GNO: Used for staking, governance, and validator rewards, GNO aligns long-term network security incentives with token holders' economic interests.
- xDai: Serves as the primary transaction currency, providing stable and low-cost transactions. The use of a stable token (xDai) for fees minimizes volatility and offers predictable costs for users and developers.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees in xDai Users pay transaction fees in xDai, the stable fee token, making costs affordable and predictable. This model is especially suited for high-frequency applications and dApps where low transaction fees are essential. xDai transaction fees are redistributed to validators as part of their compensation, aligning their rewards with network activity. Delegated Staking Rewards Through delegated staking, GNO holders can earn a share of staking rewards by delegating their tokens to active validators, promoting user participation in network security without requiring direct involvement in consensus operations.

Optimism charges lower transaction fees than Ethereum Layer 1, as transactions are bundled in the rollup and written to the Ethereum main chain in compressed form. Gas fees on Optimism continue to be paid in ETH. The incentive model is based on increased efficiency for users (lower fees, faster confirmation) and on the role of sequencers. Sequencers are central actors who collect, organize, and include transactions in the rollup. Their revenue comes from the gas fees they charge.

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation,

depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator's commission and applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator's effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.

2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, avalanche, binance_smart_chain, ethereum, fantom, gnosis_chain, optimism, polygon, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

ZKsync

ZK | BCBLPFRBX

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for ZKsync

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	ZKsync	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	6571.16943	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

zkSync operates as a Layer 2 scaling solution for Ethereum, leveraging zero-knowledge rollups (ZK-Rollups) to enable fast, cost-effective, and secure transactions. This consensus mechanism allows zkSync to offload transaction computation from Ethereum's Layer 1, ensuring scalability while maintaining Ethereum's base-layer security.

Core Components:

- Zero-Knowledge Rollups (ZK-Rollups):
  zkSync aggregates multiple transactions off-chain and processes them in batches. A cryptographic proof, called a validity proof, is generated for each batch and submitted to the Ethereum mainnet. This ensures that all transactions are valid and compliant with Ethereum's rules without processing them individually on Layer 1.
- Validity Proofs:
  zkSync uses zk-SNARKs (Succinct Non-Interactive Arguments of Knowledge) for its validity proofs. These proofs provide mathematical guarantees that transactions within a batch are valid, eliminating the need for Ethereum nodes to re-execute off-chain transactions.
- Sequencers:
  Transactions on zkSync are ordered and processed by sequencers, which bundle transactions into batches. Sequencers maintain network efficiency and provide fast confirmations.
- Fraud Resistance:
  Unlike Optimistic Rollups, zkSync relies on validity proofs rather than fraud proofs, meaning that transactions are final and secure as soon as the validity proof is accepted by Ethereum.

- Data Availability:
  All transaction data is stored on-chain, ensuring that the network remains decentralized and users can reconstruct the state of zkSync at any time.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

zkSync incentivizes network participants through a streamlined fee structure and role-based rewards, designed to ensure security, scalability, and usability for both users and validators.

Incentive Mechanism:

- Validator Rewards: Validators, who generate validity proofs and secure the network, are compensated through transaction fees paid by users. Their role ensures that batches of transactions are processed efficiently and accurately.
- Sequencer Incentives: Sequencers are responsible for bundling and ordering transactions off-chain. They earn a share of the transaction fees for maintaining network performance and fast processing times.
- Ecosystem Growth Rewards: zkSync allocates resources to incentivize developers and projects building on its platform, fostering a robust ecosystem of dApps, DeFi protocols, and NFT marketplaces.


Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees: Users pay fees in Ether (ETH) for transactions on zkSync. These fees are significantly lower than Ethereum Layer 1 fees, as zkSync processes transactions off-chain and submits only aggregated proofs to the Ethereum mainnet.
- Fee Model: Fees are dynamically calculated based on the complexity of transactions (e.g., token transfers, smart contract interactions) and the cost of submitting validity proofs to Ethereum.
- Scalability Benefits: zkSync's efficient rollup architecture reduces gas fees for users while ensuring that validators and sequencers are appropriately compensated for their roles.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) zksync is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Curve DAO Token

CRV | P8DXFQ5LD

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Curve DAO Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Curve DAO Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	6367.45340	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Curve DAO Token is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum, Fantom, Gnosis Chain, Solana.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to

slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Fantom operates on the Lachesis Protocol, an Asynchronous Byzantine Fault Tolerant (aBFT) consensus mechanism designed for fast, secure, and scalable transactions.

Core Components of Fantom's Consensus:

1. Lachesis Protocol (aBFT):
   - Asynchronous and Leaderless: Lachesis allows nodes to reach consensus independently without relying on a central leader, enhancing decentralization and speed.
   - DAG Structure: Instead of a linear blockchain, Lachesis uses a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) structure, allowing multiple transactions to be processed in parallel across nodes. This structure supports high throughput, making the network suitable for applications requiring rapid transaction processing.
2. Event Blocks and Instant Finality:
   - Event Blocks: Transactions are grouped into event blocks, which are validated asynchronously by multiple validators. When enough validators confirm an event block, it becomes part of the Fantom network's history.
   - Instant Finality: Transactions on Fantom achieve immediate finality, meaning they are confirmed and cannot be reversed. This property is ideal for applications requiring fast and irreversible transactions.

Gnosis Chain – Consensus Mechanism Gnosis Chain employs a dual-layer structure to balance scalability and security, using Proof of Stake (PoS) for its core consensus and transaction finality.

Core Components:

- Two-Layer Structure Layer 1: Gnosis Beacon Chain The Gnosis Beacon Chain operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) mechanism, acting as the security and consensus backbone. Validators stake GNO tokens on the Beacon Chain and validate transactions, ensuring network security and finality.
- Layer 2: Gnosis xDai Chain processes transactions and dApp interactions, providing high-speed, low-cost transactions. Layer 2 transaction data is finalized on the Gnosis Beacon Chain, creating an integrated framework where Layer 1 ensures security and finality, and Layer 2 enhances scalability. Validator Role and Staking Validators on the Gnosis Beacon Chain stake GNO tokens and participate in consensus by validating blocks. This setup ensures that validators have an economic interest in maintaining the security and integrity of both the Beacon Chain (Layer 1) and the xDai Chain (Layer 2). Cross-Layer Security Transactions on Layer 2 are ultimately finalized on Layer 1, providing security and finality to all activities on the Gnosis Chain. This architecture allows Gnosis Chain to combine the speed and cost efficiency of Layer 2 with the security guarantees of a PoS-secured Layer 1, making it suitable for both high-frequency applications and secure asset management.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.

- Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.

2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Curve DAO Token is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum, Fantom, Gnosis Chain, Solana.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Fantom's incentive model promotes network security through staking rewards, transaction fees, and delegation options, encouraging broad participation.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators:
   - Earning Rewards in FTM: Validators who participate in the consensus process earn rewards in FTM tokens, proportional to the amount they have staked. This incentivizes validators to actively secure the network.
   - Dynamic Staking Rate: Fantom's staking reward rate is dynamic, adjusting based on total FTM staked across the network. As more FTM is staked, individual rewards may decrease, maintaining a balanced reward structure that supports long-term network security.
2. Delegation for Token Holders:
   Delegated Staking: Users who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their FTM tokens to validators. In return, they share in the staking rewards, encouraging wider participation in securing the network.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees in FTM: Users pay transaction fees in FTM tokens. The network's high throughput and DAG structure keep fees low, making Fantom ideal for decentralized applications (dApps) requiring frequent transactions.

- Efficient Fee Model: The low fees and scalability of the network make it cost-effective for users, fostering a favorable environment for high-volume applications.

The Gnosis Chain's incentive and fee models encourage both validator participation and network accessibility, using a dual-token system to maintain low transaction costs and effective staking rewards.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards for Validators GNO Rewards: Validators earn staking rewards in GNO tokens for their participation in consensus and securing the network.
- Delegation Model: GNO holders who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their GNO tokens to validators, allowing them to share in staking rewards and encouraging broader participation in network security.
- Dual-Token Model GNO: Used for staking, governance, and validator rewards, GNO aligns long-term network security incentives with token holders' economic interests.
- xDai: Serves as the primary transaction currency, providing stable and low-cost transactions. The use of a stable token (xDai) for fees minimizes volatility and offers predictable costs for users and developers.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees in xDai Users pay transaction fees in xDai, the stable fee token, making costs affordable and predictable. This model is especially suited for high-frequency applications and dApps where low transaction fees are essential. xDai transaction fees are redistributed to validators as part of their compensation, aligning their rewards with network activity. Delegated Staking Rewards Through delegated staking, GNO holders can earn a share of staking rewards by delegating their tokens to active validators, promoting user participation in network security without requiring direct involvement in consensus operations.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.

- Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, ethereum, fantom, gnosis_chain, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



1INCH Token

1INCH | SVRFHQRZN

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for 1INCH Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	1INCH Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	6217.44240	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

1INCH Token is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Gnosis Chain, Near Protocol, Solana.

The Avalanche C-Chain uses the Snowman++ consensus mechanism, which is Avalanche's consensus model for linear blockchains and is implemented through the ProposerVM wrapper. Under this model, validators repeatedly query a small random subset of other validators and converge on a preferred block once the required confidence thresholds are met. Only Primary Network validators are entitled to validate the C-Chain. To participate as a validator on Avalanche mainnet, a node must stake a minimum number of token. Token holders may also participate indirectly by delegating an existing validator. Validator identity and admission to staking require the relevant staking credentials, including BLS proofs of possession under the current staking framework. For block production, Snowman++ uses stake-weighted proposer windows. Through the ProposerVM, block-building opportunities are assigned to proposers in 5-second windows, after which block production may fall back more broadly to validators if necessary. This mechanism is intended to regulate block production while preserving network liveness. Consensus voting itself remains based on repeated sub-sampled polling rather than fixed validator committees. Avalanche documentation describes the finality model as sub-second and treated by the protocol as final and irreversible once accepted, while noting that safety is probabilistic in the formal sense because the probability of conflicting acceptance can be reduced to an arbitrarily low level through the protocol parameters. The protocol does not rely on slashing of staked principal. Instead, validator reward eligibility depends on compliance with protocol conditions, including uptime requirements.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum’s consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Gnosis Chain – Consensus Mechanism Gnosis Chain employs a dual-layer structure to balance scalability and security, using Proof of Stake (PoS) for its core consensus and transaction finality.

Core Components:

- Two-Layer Structure Layer 1: Gnosis Beacon Chain The Gnosis Beacon Chain operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) mechanism, acting as the security and consensus backbone. Validators stake GNO tokens on the Beacon Chain and validate transactions, ensuring network security and finality.
- Layer 2: Gnosis xDai Chain processes transactions and dApp interactions, providing high-speed, low-cost transactions. Layer 2 transaction data is finalized on the Gnosis Beacon Chain, creating an integrated framework where Layer 1 ensures security and finality, and Layer 2 enhances scalability. Validator Role and Staking Validators on the Gnosis Beacon Chain stake GNO tokens and participate in consensus by validating blocks. This setup ensures that validators have an economic interest in maintaining the security and integrity of both the Beacon Chain (Layer 1) and the xDai Chain (Layer 2). Cross-Layer Security Transactions on Layer 2 are ultimately finalized on Layer 1, providing security and finality to all activities on the Gnosis Chain. This architecture allows Gnosis Chain to combine the speed and cost efficiency of Layer 2 with the security guarantees of a PoS-secured Layer 1, making it suitable for both high-frequency applications and secure asset management.

The NEAR Protocol uses a unique consensus mechanism combining Proof of Stake (PoS) and a novel approach called Doomslug, which enables high efficiency, fast transaction processing, and secure finality in its operations.

Core Concepts:

1. Doomslug and Proof of Stake:
   - NEAR's consensus mechanism primarily revolves around PoS, where validators stake NEAR tokens to participate in securing the network. However, NEAR's implementation is enhanced with the Doomslug protocol.
   - Doomslug allows the network to achieve fast block finality by requiring blocks to be confirmed in two stages. Validators propose blocks in the first step, and finalization occurs when two-thirds of validators approve the block, ensuring rapid transaction confirmation.
2. Sharding with Nightshade:
   - NEAR uses a dynamic sharding technique called Nightshade. This method splits the network into multiple shards, enabling parallel processing of transactions across the network, thus significantly increasing throughput. Each shard processes a portion of transactions, and the outcomes are merged into a single "snapshot" block.
   - This sharding approach ensures scalability, allowing the network to grow and handle increasing demand efficiently.

Consensus Process:

1. Validator Selection:
   - Validators are selected to propose and validate blocks based on the amount of NEAR tokens staked. This selection process is designed to ensure that only validators with significant stakes and community trust participate in securing the network.
2. Transaction Finality:
   - NEAR achieves transaction finality through its PoS-based system, where validators vote on blocks. Once two-thirds of validators approve a block, it reaches finality under Doomslug, meaning that no forks can alter the confirmed state.
3. Epochs and Rotation:
   - Validators are rotated in epochs to ensure fairness and decentralization. Epochs are intervals in which validators are reshuffled, and new block proposers are selected, ensuring a balance between performance and decentralization.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

1INCH Token is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Gnosis Chain, Near Protocol, Solana.

The Avalanche C-Chain is secured economically through the native AVAX token. Validator incentives are based primarily on staking rewards, not on redistribution of C-Chain transaction fees. A fixed amount of 360 million AVAX was minted at genesis, while additional AVAX is minted over time as validator rewards, subject to Avalanche's capped token supply framework. Validator rewards are paid at the end of the staking period and are determined by factors such as the validator's stake and compliance with staking conditions. Unlike some proof-of-stake systems, the Avalanche Primary Network does not use slashing of bonded principal as an ordinary penalty mechanism. Instead, the

main protocol-level economic consequence for underperformance is the loss of reward eligibility. Where a validator fails to satisfy the applicable uptime requirement during its staking term, that validator does not receive the corresponding staking reward. Transaction fees apply on the C-Chain for transfers and smart-contract execution. The fee model follows EIP-1559 logic, meaning that transactions are priced through a dynamic base fee mechanism. In contrast to Ethereum's validator tip model, C-Chain transaction fees are burned rather than distributed to validators. This means that C-Chain fees function as a supply-reduction mechanism and are intended in part to offset inflation arising from the minting of validator rewards. In addition to ordinary transaction and smart-contract execution fees, Avalanche documentation also recognises protocol fees in connection with other network operations on other chains of the Primary Network, such as certain import or export operations and staking-related actions. However, for the C-Chain itself, the core applicable fee category is the gas fee for transaction inclusion and contract execution, and those fees are handled through the protocol burn mechanism rather than paid to validators or a treasury.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to

slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

The Gnosis Chain's incentive and fee models encourage both validator participation and network accessibility, using a dual-token system to maintain low transaction costs and effective staking rewards.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards for Validators GNO Rewards: Validators earn staking rewards in GNO tokens for their participation in consensus and securing the network.
- Delegation Model: GNO holders who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their GNO tokens to validators, allowing them to share in staking rewards and encouraging broader participation in network security.
- Dual-Token Model GNO: Used for staking, governance, and validator rewards, GNO aligns long-term network security incentives with token holders' economic interests.
- xDai: Serves as the primary transaction currency, providing stable and low-cost transactions. The use of a stable token (xDai) for fees minimizes volatility and offers predictable costs for users and developers.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees in xDai Users pay transaction fees in xDai, the stable fee token, making costs affordable and predictable. This model is especially suited for high-frequency applications and dApps where low transaction fees are essential. xDai transaction fees are redistributed to validators as part of their compensation, aligning their rewards with network activity. Delegated Staking Rewards Through delegated staking, GNO holders can earn a share of staking rewards by delegating their tokens to active validators, promoting user participation in network security without requiring direct involvement in consensus operations.

NEAR Protocol employs several economic mechanisms to secure the network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanisms to Secure Transactions:

1. Staking Rewards:
   Validators and delegators secure the network by staking NEAR tokens. Validators earn around 5% annual inflation, with 90% of newly minted tokens distributed as staking rewards. Validators propose blocks, validate transactions, and receive a share of these rewards based on their staked tokens. Delegators earn rewards proportional to their delegation, encouraging broad participation.
2. Delegation:
   Token holders can delegate their NEAR tokens to validators to increase the validator's stake and improve the chances of being selected to validate transactions. Delegators share in the validator's rewards based on their delegated tokens, incentivizing users to support reliable validators.
3. Slashing and Economic Penalties:
   Validators face penalties for malicious behavior, such as failing to validate correctly or acting dishonestly. The slashing mechanism enforces security by deducting a portion of their staked tokens, ensuring validators follow the network's best interests.

4. Epoch Rotation and Validator Selection:
   Validators are rotated regularly during epochs to ensure fairness and prevent centralization. Each epoch reshuffles validators, allowing the protocol to balance decentralization with performance.

Fees on the NEAR Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Users pay fees in NEAR tokens for transaction processing, which are burned to reduce the total circulating supply, introducing a potential deflationary effect over time. Validators also receive a portion of transaction fees as additional rewards, providing an ongoing incentive for network maintenance.
2. Storage Fees:
   NEAR Protocol charges storage fees based on the amount of blockchain storage consumed by accounts, contracts, and data. This requires users to hold NEAR tokens as a deposit proportional to their storage usage, ensuring the efficient use of network resources.
3. Redistribution and Burning:
   A portion of the transaction fees (burned NEAR tokens) reduces the overall supply, while the rest is distributed to validators as compensation for their work. The burning mechanism helps maintain long-term economic sustainability and potential value appreciation for NEAR holders.
4. Reserve Requirement:
   Users must maintain a minimum account balance and reserves for data storage, encouraging efficient use of resources and preventing spam attacks.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) avalanche, binance_smart_chain, ethereum, gnosis_chain, near_protocol, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

OKB

OKB | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for OKB

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	OKB	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	5573.54308	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

OKB is present on the following networks: Ethereum, X Layer.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

X Layer operates as an Ethereum Layer-2 network built using Polygon's Chain Development Kit (CDK) and follows a zkEVM validium architecture. Transactions are executed and batched off-chain by sequencers, while aggregators generate zero-knowledge validity proofs attesting to the correctness of state transitions. These validity proofs are submitted to Ethereum for verification and final settlement. Consensus on the validity of the Layer-2 state is therefore achieved through cryptographic proof verification on Ethereum rather than on-chain data availability.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

OKB is present on the following networks: Ethereum, X Layer.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Users of X Layer pay transaction fees at the Layer-2 level to cover transaction execution, batching, and proof generation. Fees are collected by the protocol operators responsible for sequencing and aggregation and are used to fund network operation and settlement on Ethereum. Due to the validium design, transaction data is stored off-chain, which reduces data availability costs compared to on-chain rollups. Fee parameters and payment mechanisms are defined at the protocol level and reflect execution and settlement resource usage

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

For the calculation of energy consumptions, the so called 'bottom-up' approach is being used. The nodes are considered to be the central factor for the energy consumption of the network. These assumptions are made on the basis of empirical findings through the use of public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. The main determinants for estimating the hardware used within the network are the requirements for operating the client software. The energy consumption of the hardware devices was measured in certified test laboratories. When calculating the energy consumption, we used - if available - the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) to determine all implementations of the asset of question in scope and we update the mappings regulary, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary

principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

SHIBA INU

SHIB | M4HFTFNPC

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for SHIBA INU

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	SHIBA INU	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	4734.10784	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Aave Token

AAVE | H618RN577

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Aave Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Aave Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	4582.43077	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Aave Token is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Gnosis Chain, Huobi, Near Protocol, Polygon, Solana.

The Avalanche C-Chain uses the Snowman++ consensus mechanism, which is Avalanche's consensus model for linear blockchains and is implemented through the ProposerVM wrapper. Under this model, validators repeatedly query a small random subset of other validators and converge on a preferred block once the required confidence thresholds are met. Only Primary Network validators are entitled to validate the C-Chain. To participate as a validator on Avalanche mainnet, a node must stake a minimum number of token. Token holders may also participate indirectly by delegating an existing validator. Validator identity and admission to staking require the relevant staking credentials, including BLS proofs of possession under the current staking framework. For block production, Snowman++ uses stake-weighted proposer windows. Through the ProposerVM, block-building opportunities are assigned to proposers in 5-second windows, after which block production may fall back more broadly to validators if necessary. This mechanism is intended to regulate block production while preserving network liveness. Consensus voting itself remains based on repeated sub-sampled polling rather than fixed validator committees. Avalanche documentation describes the finality model as sub-second and treated by the protocol as final and irreversible once accepted, while noting that safety is probabilistic in the formal sense because the probability of conflicting acceptance can be reduced to an arbitrarily low level through the protocol parameters. The protocol does not rely on slashing of staked principal. Instead, validator reward eligibility depends on compliance with protocol conditions, including uptime requirements.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 "Cabinet" validators and 24 "Candidate" validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Gnosis Chain – Consensus Mechanism Gnosis Chain employs a dual-layer structure to balance scalability and security, using Proof of Stake (PoS) for its core consensus and transaction finality.

Core Components:

- Two-Layer Structure Layer 1: Gnosis Beacon Chain The Gnosis Beacon Chain operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) mechanism, acting as the security and consensus backbone. Validators stake GNO tokens on the Beacon Chain and validate transactions, ensuring network security and finality.
- Layer 2: Gnosis xDai Chain processes transactions and dApp interactions, providing high-speed, low-cost transactions. Layer 2 transaction data is finalized on the Gnosis Beacon Chain, creating an integrated framework where Layer 1 ensures security and finality, and Layer 2 enhances scalability. Validator Role and Staking Validators on the Gnosis Beacon Chain stake GNO tokens and participate in consensus by validating blocks. This setup ensures that validators have an economic interest in maintaining the security and integrity of both the Beacon Chain (Layer 1) and the xDai Chain (Layer 2). Cross-Layer Security Transactions on Layer 2 are ultimately finalized on Layer 1, providing security and finality to all activities on the Gnosis Chain. This architecture allows Gnosis Chain to combine the speed and cost efficiency of Layer 2 with the security guarantees of a PoS-secured Layer 1, making it suitable for both high-frequency applications and secure asset management.

The Huobi Eco Chain (HECO) blockchain employs a Hybrid-Proof-of-Stake (HPoS) consensus mechanism, combining elements of Proof-of-Stake (PoS) to enhance transaction efficiency and scalability.

Key Features of HECO's Consensus Mechanism:

1. Validator Selection: HECO supports up to 21 validators, selected based on their stake in the network.
2. Transaction Processing: Validators are responsible for processing transactions and adding blocks to the blockchain.
3. Transaction Finality: The consensus mechanism ensures quick finality, allowing for rapid confirmation of transactions.
4. Energy Efficiency: By utilizing PoS elements, HECO reduces energy consumption compared to traditional Proof-of-Work systems.

The NEAR Protocol uses a unique consensus mechanism combining Proof of Stake (PoS) and a novel approach called Doomslug, which enables high efficiency, fast transaction processing, and secure finality in its operations.

Core Concepts:

1. Doomslug and Proof of Stake:
   - NEAR's consensus mechanism primarily revolves around PoS, where validators stake NEAR tokens to participate in securing the network. However, NEAR's implementation is enhanced with the Doomslug protocol.
   - Doomslug allows the network to achieve fast block finality by requiring blocks to be confirmed in two stages. Validators propose blocks in the first step, and finalization occurs when two-thirds of validators approve the block, ensuring rapid transaction confirmation.
2. Sharding with Nightshade:
   - NEAR uses a dynamic sharding technique called Nightshade. This method splits the network into multiple shards, enabling parallel processing of transactions across the network, thus significantly increasing throughput. Each shard processes a portion of transactions, and the outcomes are merged into a single "snapshot" block.
   - This sharding approach ensures scalability, allowing the network to grow and handle increasing demand efficiently.

Consensus Process:

1. Validator Selection:
   - Validators are selected to propose and validate blocks based on the amount of NEAR tokens staked. This selection process is designed to ensure that only validators with significant stakes and community trust participate in securing the network.
2. Transaction Finality:
   - NEAR achieves transaction finality through its PoS-based system, where validators vote on blocks. Once two-thirds of validators approve a block, it reaches finality under Doomslug, meaning that no forks can alter the confirmed state.
3. Epochs and Rotation:
   - Validators are rotated in epochs to ensure fairness and decentralization. Epochs are intervals in which validators are reshuffled, and new block proposers are selected, ensuring a balance between performance and decentralization.

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two

primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production. The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator's effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence

to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.

4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Aave Token is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Gnosis Chain, Huobi, Near Protocol, Polygon, Solana.

The Avalanche C-Chain is secured economically through the native AVAX token. Validator incentives are based primarily on staking rewards, not on redistribution of C-Chain transaction fees. A fixed amount of 360 million AVAX was minted at genesis, while additional AVAX is minted over time as validator rewards, subject to Avalanche's capped token supply framework. Validator rewards are paid at the end of the staking period and are determined by factors such as the validator's stake and compliance with staking conditions. Unlike some proof-of-stake systems, the Avalanche Primary Network does not use slashing of bonded principal as an ordinary penalty mechanism. Instead, the main protocol-level economic consequence for underperformance is the loss of reward eligibility. Where a validator fails to satisfy the applicable uptime requirement during its staking term, that validator does not receive the corresponding staking reward. Transaction fees apply on the C-Chain for transfers and smart-contract execution. The fee model follows EIP-1559 logic, meaning that transactions are priced through a dynamic base fee mechanism. In contrast to Ethereum's validator tip model, C-Chain transaction fees are burned rather than distributed to validators. This means that C-Chain fees function as a supply-reduction mechanism and are intended in part to offset inflation arising from the minting of validator rewards. In addition to ordinary transaction and smart-contract execution fees, Avalanche documentation also recognises protocol fees in connection with other network operations on other chains of the Primary Network, such as certain import or export operations and staking-related actions. However, for the C-Chain itself, the core applicable fee category is the gas fee for transaction inclusion and contract execution, and those fees are handled through the protocol burn mechanism rather than paid to validators or a treasury.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

The Gnosis Chain's incentive and fee models encourage both validator participation and network accessibility, using a dual-token system to maintain low transaction costs and effective staking rewards.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards for Validators GNO Rewards: Validators earn staking rewards in GNO tokens for their participation in consensus and securing the network.

- Delegation Model: GNO holders who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their GNO tokens to validators, allowing them to share in staking rewards and encouraging broader participation in network security.
- Dual-Token Model GNO: Used for staking, governance, and validator rewards, GNO aligns long-term network security incentives with token holders' economic interests.
- xDai: Serves as the primary transaction currency, providing stable and low-cost transactions. The use of a stable token (xDai) for fees minimizes volatility and offers predictable costs for users and developers.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees in xDai Users pay transaction fees in xDai, the stable fee token, making costs affordable and predictable. This model is especially suited for high-frequency applications and dApps where low transaction fees are essential. xDai transaction fees are redistributed to validators as part of their compensation, aligning their rewards with network activity. Delegated Staking Rewards Through delegated staking, GNO holders can earn a share of staking rewards by delegating their tokens to active validators, promoting user participation in network security without requiring direct involvement in consensus operations.

The Huobi Eco Chain (HECO) blockchain employs a Hybrid-Proof-of-Stake (HPoS) consensus mechanism, combining elements of Proof-of-Stake (PoS) to enhance transaction efficiency and scalability.

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Validator Rewards:
   Validators are selected based on their stake in the network. They process transactions and add blocks to the blockchain. Validators receive rewards in the form of transaction fees for their role in maintaining the blockchain's integrity.
2. Staking Participation:
   Users can stake Huobi Token (HT) to become validators or delegate their tokens to existing validators. Staking helps secure the network and, in return, participants receive a portion of the transaction fees as rewards.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees (Gas Fees):
   Users pay gas fees in HT tokens to execute transactions and interact with smart contracts on the HECO network. These fees compensate validators for processing and validating transactions.
2. Smart Contract Execution Fees:
   Deploying and interacting with smart contracts incur additional fees, which are also paid in HT tokens. These fees cover the computational resources required to execute contract code.

NEAR Protocol employs several economic mechanisms to secure the network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanisms to Secure Transactions:

1. Staking Rewards:
   Validators and delegators secure the network by staking NEAR tokens. Validators earn around 5% annual inflation, with 90% of newly minted tokens distributed as staking rewards. Validators propose blocks, validate transactions, and receive a share of these rewards based on their staked tokens. Delegators earn rewards proportional to their delegation, encouraging broad participation.

2. Delegation:
   Token holders can delegate their NEAR tokens to validators to increase the validator's stake and improve the chances of being selected to validate transactions. Delegators share in the validator's rewards based on their delegated tokens, incentivizing users to support reliable validators.
3. Slashing and Economic Penalties:
   Validators face penalties for malicious behavior, such as failing to validate correctly or acting dishonestly. The slashing mechanism enforces security by deducting a portion of their staked tokens, ensuring validators follow the network's best interests.
4. Epoch Rotation and Validator Selection:
   Validators are rotated regularly during epochs to ensure fairness and prevent centralization. Each epoch reshuffles validators, allowing the protocol to balance decentralization with performance.

Fees on the NEAR Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Users pay fees in NEAR tokens for transaction processing, which are burned to reduce the total circulating supply, introducing a potential deflationary effect over time. Validators also receive a portion of transaction fees as additional rewards, providing an ongoing incentive for network maintenance.
2. Storage Fees:
   NEAR Protocol charges storage fees based on the amount of blockchain storage consumed by accounts, contracts, and data. This requires users to hold NEAR tokens as a deposit proportional to their storage usage, ensuring the efficient use of network resources.
3. Redistribution and Burning:
   A portion of the transaction fees (burned NEAR tokens) reduces the overall supply, while the rest is distributed to validators as compensation for their work. The burning mechanism helps maintain long-term economic sustainability and potential value appreciation for NEAR holders.
4. Reserve Requirement:
   Users must maintain a minimum account balance and reserves for data storage, encouraging efficient use of resources and preventing spam attacks.

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation, depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator’s commission and applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator’s effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than

equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) avalanche, binance_smart_chain, ethereum, gnosis_chain, huobi, near_protocol, polygon, solana is

calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Global Dollar

USDG | R9MZCNWS4

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Global Dollar

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Global Dollar	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	4347.15288	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

NAVX

NAVX | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for NAVX

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	NAVX	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	3552.53040	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Sui blockchain utilizes a Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus mechanism optimized for high throughput and low latency.

Core Components:

1. Mysten Consensus Protocol:
   - The Sui consensus is based on Mysten Labs' Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT) protocol, which builds on principles of Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (pBFT) but introduces key optimizations for performance.
   - Leaderless Design: Unlike traditional BFT models, Sui does not rely on a single leader to propose blocks. Validators can propose blocks simultaneously, increasing efficiency and reducing the risks associated with leader failure or attacks.
   - Parallel Processing: Transactions can be processed in parallel, maximizing network throughput by utilizing multiple cores and threads. This allows for faster confirmation of transactions and high scalability.
2. Transaction Validation:
   Validators are responsible for receiving transaction requests from clients and processing them. Each transaction includes digital signatures and must meet the network's rules to be considered valid. Validators can propose transactions simultaneously, unlike many other networks that require a sequential, leader-driven process.

3. Optimistic Execution:
   Optimistic Consensus: Sui allows validators to process certain non-contentious, independent transactions without waiting for full consensus. This is known as optimistic execution and helps reduce transaction latency for many use cases, allowing for fast finality in most cases.
4. Finality and Latency:
   The system only requires three rounds of communication between validators to finalize a transaction. This results in low-latency consensus and rapid transaction confirmation times, achieving scalability while maintaining security.
5.Fault Tolerance:
   The system can tolerate up to one-third of validators being faulty or malicious without compromising the integrity of the consensus process.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Validators:
   Validators stake SUI tokens to participate in the consensus process. They earn rewards for validating transactions and securing the network.
2. Slashing:
   Validators can be penalized (slashed) for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or failing to properly validate transactions. This helps maintain network security and incentivizes honest behavior.
3. Delegation:
   Token holders can delegate their SUI tokens to trusted validators. In return, they share in the rewards earned by validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network.

Fees on the SUI Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Users pay transaction fees to validators for processing and confirming transactions. These fees are calculated based on the computational resources required to process the transaction. Fees are paid in SUI tokens, which is the native cryptocurrency of the Sui blockchain.
2. Dynamic Fee Model:
   The transaction fees on Sui are dynamic, meaning they adjust based on network demand and the complexity of the transactions being processed.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) sui is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Numeraire

NMR | TCRZ9GZRF

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Numeraire

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Numeraire	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	3283.23919	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Threshold Network Token

T | LTSB7W5CZ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Threshold Network Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Threshold Network Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	2851.38499	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Pepe

PEPE | J41R6PF81

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Pepe

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Pepe	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	2706.63098	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

ENA

ENA | SPRSCSVSW

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for ENA

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	ENA	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	2636.09526	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Pump.fun

PUMP | C2F189JNL

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Pump.fun

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Pump.fun	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	2630.84187	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Cetus Protocol

CETUS | WMW834RP9

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Cetus Protocol

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Cetus Protocol	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	2379.55157	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Sui blockchain utilizes a Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus mechanism optimized for high throughput and low latency.

Core Components:

1. Mysten Consensus Protocol:
   - The Sui consensus is based on Mysten Labs' Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT) protocol, which builds on principles of Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (pBFT) but introduces key optimizations for performance.
   - Leaderless Design: Unlike traditional BFT models, Sui does not rely on a single leader to propose blocks. Validators can propose blocks simultaneously, increasing efficiency and reducing the risks associated with leader failure or attacks.
   - Parallel Processing: Transactions can be processed in parallel, maximizing network throughput by utilizing multiple cores and threads. This allows for faster confirmation of transactions and high scalability.
2. Transaction Validation:
   Validators are responsible for receiving transaction requests from clients and processing them. Each transaction includes digital signatures and must meet the network's rules to be considered valid. Validators can propose transactions simultaneously, unlike many other networks that require a sequential, leader-driven process.

3. Optimistic Execution:
   Optimistic Consensus: Sui allows validators to process certain non-contentious, independent transactions without waiting for full consensus. This is known as optimistic execution and helps reduce transaction latency for many use cases, allowing for fast finality in most cases.
4. Finality and Latency:
   The system only requires three rounds of communication between validators to finalize a transaction. This results in low-latency consensus and rapid transaction confirmation times, achieving scalability while maintaining security.
5.Fault Tolerance:
   The system can tolerate up to one-third of validators being faulty or malicious without compromising the integrity of the consensus process.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Validators:
   Validators stake SUI tokens to participate in the consensus process. They earn rewards for validating transactions and securing the network.
2. Slashing:
   Validators can be penalized (slashed) for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or failing to properly validate transactions. This helps maintain network security and incentivizes honest behavior.
3. Delegation:
   Token holders can delegate their SUI tokens to trusted validators. In return, they share in the rewards earned by validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network.

Fees on the SUI Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Users pay transaction fees to validators for processing and confirming transactions. These fees are calculated based on the computational resources required to process the transaction. Fees are paid in SUI tokens, which is the native cryptocurrency of the Sui blockchain.
2. Dynamic Fee Model:
   The transaction fees on Sui are dynamic, meaning they adjust based on network demand and the complexity of the transactions being processed.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) sui is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Arbitrum

ARB | 44TP35HF9

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Arbitrum

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Arbitrum	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	2209.94272	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Arbitrum is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network

upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Arbitrum is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

MegaETH

MEGA | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for MegaETH

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	MegaETH	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	2049.84000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

MegaETH is designed as a high-performance Ethereum Layer 2 and does not implement its own independent consensus mechanism. Instead, it relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for security and final settlement. Transaction execution and ordering are handled off-chain by a centralized or semi-centralized sequencer, while the resulting state is ultimately verified and finalized on Ethereum.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Transaction fees on MegaETH are paid for executing operations on the network and are expected to be significantly lower than on Ethereum mainnet due to its high-throughput design. Fees are collected by the sequencer and used to support network operations. The economic model may include additional incentives for infrastructure providers and ecosystem participants, while relying on Ethereum's base layer for long-term security guarantees.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) megaeth is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all

implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

EURC

EURC | 13XTMPZT3

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for EURC

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	EURC	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1976.09562	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

EURC is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Base, Ethereum, Solana, Stellar.

The Avalanche C-Chain uses the Snowman++ consensus mechanism, which is Avalanche's consensus model for linear blockchains and is implemented through the ProposerVM wrapper. Under this model, validators repeatedly query a small random subset of other validators and converge on a preferred block once the required confidence thresholds are met. Only Primary Network validators are entitled to validate the C-Chain. To participate as a validator on Avalanche mainnet, a node must stake a minimum number of token. Token holders may also participate indirectly by delegating an existing validator. Validator identity and admission to staking require the relevant staking credentials, including BLS proofs of possession under the current staking framework. For block production, Snowman++ uses stake-weighted proposer windows. Through the ProposerVM, block-building opportunities are assigned to proposers in 5-second windows, after which block production may fall back more broadly to validators if necessary. This mechanism is intended to regulate block production while preserving network liveness. Consensus voting itself remains based on repeated sub-sampled polling rather than fixed validator committees. Avalanche documentation describes the finality model as sub-second and treated by the protocol as final and irreversible once accepted, while noting that safety is probabilistic in the formal sense because the probability of conflicting acceptance can be reduced to an arbitrarily low level through the protocol parameters. The protocol does not rely on slashing of staked principal. Instead, validator reward eligibility depends on compliance with protocol conditions, including uptime requirements.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake

consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.

3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

Consensus is reached through the Stellar Consensus Protocol (SCP), which is based on federated Byzantine agreement. This mechanism allows fast finality without mining or staking, but it depends on validators choosing other validators which they trust to reach agreement, called a quorum set. As a result, validator diversity and governance choices play a critical role in security, and concentration of influence among large operators may increase systemic risks.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

EURC is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Base, Ethereum, Solana, Stellar.

The Avalanche C-Chain is secured economically through the native AVAX token. Validator incentives are based primarily on staking rewards, not on redistribution of C-Chain transaction fees. A fixed amount of 360 million AVAX was minted at genesis, while additional AVAX is minted over time as validator rewards, subject to Avalanche's capped token supply framework. Validator rewards are paid at the end of the staking period and are determined by factors such as the validator's stake and compliance with staking conditions. Unlike some proof-of-stake systems, the Avalanche Primary Network does not use slashing of bonded principal as an ordinary penalty mechanism. Instead, the main protocol-level economic consequence for underperformance is the loss of reward eligibility. Where a validator fails to satisfy the applicable uptime requirement during its staking term, that validator does not receive the corresponding staking reward. Transaction fees apply on the C-Chain for transfers and smart-contract execution. The fee model follows EIP-1559 logic, meaning that transactions are priced through a dynamic base fee mechanism. In contrast to Ethereum's validator

tip model, C-Chain transaction fees are burned rather than distributed to validators. This means that C-Chain fees function as a supply-reduction mechanism and are intended in part to offset inflation arising from the minting of validator rewards. In addition to ordinary transaction and smart-contract execution fees, Avalanche documentation also recognises protocol fees in connection with other network operations on other chains of the Primary Network, such as certain import or export operations and staking-related actions. However, for the C-Chain itself, the core applicable fee category is the gas fee for transaction inclusion and contract execution, and those fees are handled through the protocol burn mechanism rather than paid to validators or a treasury.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.

3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

Stellar does not use block rewards or mining. Instead, validators operate without direct protocol-level rewards. While this design keeps costs low, it may reduce incentives for validator participation compared to staking-based models. The sustainability of validator engagement depends largely on external factors such as institutional involvement and ecosystem adoption.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) avalanche, base, ethereum, solana, stellar is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Lighter

LIT | W0746TPZW

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Lighter

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Lighter	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1805.17605	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Ondo

ONDO | WKH09L3DV

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Ondo

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Ondo	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1728.69155	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

SKY Governance Token

SKY | G4GDNF84C

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for SKY Governance Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	SKY Governance Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1665.45019	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Convex Token

CVX | MDLR8VGRS

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Convex Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Convex Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1621.25914	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Pendle

PENDLE | 5RJT6339X

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Pendle

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Pendle	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1608.23892	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Pendle is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower

probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Pendle is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee

network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

yearn.finance

YFI | LS56Z3QRX

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for yearn.finance

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	yearn.finance	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1512.52131	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

yearn.finance is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Fantom, Gnosis Chain, Huobi, Near Protocol, Solana.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

The Avalanche C-Chain uses the Snowman++ consensus mechanism, which is Avalanche's consensus model for linear blockchains and is implemented through the ProposerVM wrapper. Under this model, validators repeatedly query a small random subset of other validators and converge on a preferred block once the required confidence thresholds are met. Only Primary Network validators are entitled to validate the C-Chain. To participate as a validator on Avalanche mainnet, a node must stake a minimum number of token. Token holders may also participate indirectly by delegating an existing validator. Validator identity and admission to staking require the relevant staking credentials, including BLS proofs of possession under the current staking framework. For block production, Snowman++ uses stake-weighted proposer windows. Through the ProposerVM, block-building opportunities are assigned to proposers in 5-second windows, after which block production may fall

back more broadly to validators if necessary. This mechanism is intended to regulate block production while preserving network liveness. Consensus voting itself remains based on repeated sub-sampled polling rather than fixed validator committees. Avalanche documentation describes the finality model as sub-second and treated by the protocol as final and irreversible once accepted, while noting that safety is probabilistic in the formal sense because the probability of conflicting acceptance can be reduced to an arbitrarily low level through the protocol parameters. The protocol does not rely on slashing of staked principal. Instead, validator reward eligibility depends on compliance with protocol conditions, including uptime requirements.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum’s consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Fantom operates on the Lachesis Protocol, an Asynchronous Byzantine Fault Tolerant (aBFT) consensus mechanism designed for fast, secure, and scalable transactions.

Core Components of Fantom’s Consensus:

1. Lachesis Protocol (aBFT):
   - Asynchronous and Leaderless: Lachesis allows nodes to reach consensus independently without relying on a central leader, enhancing decentralization and speed.
   - DAG Structure: Instead of a linear blockchain, Lachesis uses a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) structure, allowing multiple transactions to be processed in parallel across nodes. This structure supports high throughput, making the network suitable for applications requiring rapid transaction processing.

2. Event Blocks and Instant Finality:
   - Event Blocks: Transactions are grouped into event blocks, which are validated asynchronously by multiple validators. When enough validators confirm an event block, it becomes part of the Fantom network's history.
   - Instant Finality: Transactions on Fantom achieve immediate finality, meaning they are confirmed and cannot be reversed. This property is ideal for applications requiring fast and irreversible transactions.

Gnosis Chain – Consensus Mechanism Gnosis Chain employs a dual-layer structure to balance scalability and security, using Proof of Stake (PoS) for its core consensus and transaction finality.

Core Components:

- Two-Layer Structure Layer 1: Gnosis Beacon Chain The Gnosis Beacon Chain operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) mechanism, acting as the security and consensus backbone. Validators stake GNO tokens on the Beacon Chain and validate transactions, ensuring network security and finality.
- Layer 2: Gnosis xDai Chain processes transactions and dApp interactions, providing high-speed, low-cost transactions. Layer 2 transaction data is finalized on the Gnosis Beacon Chain, creating an integrated framework where Layer 1 ensures security and finality, and Layer 2 enhances scalability. Validator Role and Staking Validators on the Gnosis Beacon Chain stake GNO tokens and participate in consensus by validating blocks. This setup ensures that validators have an economic interest in maintaining the security and integrity of both the Beacon Chain (Layer 1) and the xDai Chain (Layer 2). Cross-Layer Security Transactions on Layer 2 are ultimately finalized on Layer 1, providing security and finality to all activities on the Gnosis Chain. This architecture allows Gnosis Chain to combine the speed and cost efficiency of Layer 2 with the security guarantees of a PoS-secured Layer 1, making it suitable for both high-frequency applications and secure asset management.

The Huobi Eco Chain (HECO) blockchain employs a Hybrid-Proof-of-Stake (HPoS) consensus mechanism, combining elements of Proof-of-Stake (PoS) to enhance transaction efficiency and scalability.

Key Features of HECO's Consensus Mechanism:

1. Validator Selection: HECO supports up to 21 validators, selected based on their stake in the network.
2. Transaction Processing: Validators are responsible for processing transactions and adding blocks to the blockchain.
3. Transaction Finality: The consensus mechanism ensures quick finality, allowing for rapid confirmation of transactions.
4. Energy Efficiency: By utilizing PoS elements, HECO reduces energy consumption compared to traditional Proof-of-Work systems.

The NEAR Protocol uses a unique consensus mechanism combining Proof of Stake (PoS) and a novel approach called Doomslug, which enables high efficiency, fast transaction processing, and secure finality in its operations.

Core Concepts:

1. Doomslug and Proof of Stake:
   - NEAR's consensus mechanism primarily revolves around PoS, where validators stake NEAR tokens to participate in securing the network. However, NEAR's implementation is enhanced with the Doomslug protocol.

- Doomslug allows the network to achieve fast block finality by requiring blocks to be confirmed in two stages. Validators propose blocks in the first step, and finalization occurs when two-thirds of validators approve the block, ensuring rapid transaction confirmation.

2. Sharding with Nightshade:
   - NEAR uses a dynamic sharding technique called Nightshade. This method splits the network into multiple shards, enabling parallel processing of transactions across the network, thus significantly increasing throughput. Each shard processes a portion of transactions, and the outcomes are merged into a single "snapshot" block.
   - This sharding approach ensures scalability, allowing the network to grow and handle increasing demand efficiently.

Consensus Process:

1. Validator Selection:
   - Validators are selected to propose and validate blocks based on the amount of NEAR tokens staked. This selection process is designed to ensure that only validators with significant stakes and community trust participate in securing the network.
2. Transaction Finality:
   - NEAR achieves transaction finality through its PoS-based system, where validators vote on blocks. Once two-thirds of validators approve a block, it reaches finality under Doomslug, meaning that no forks can alter the confirmed state.
3. Epochs and Rotation:
   - Validators are rotated in epochs to ensure fairness and decentralization. Epochs are intervals in which validators are reshuffled, and new block proposers are selected, ensuring a balance between performance and decentralization.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network’s criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.

2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

yearn.finance is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Fantom, Gnosis Chain, Huobi, Near Protocol, Solana.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

The Avalanche C-Chain is secured economically through the native AVAX token. Validator incentives are based primarily on staking rewards, not on redistribution of C-Chain transaction fees. A fixed

amount of 360 million AVAX was minted at genesis, while additional AVAX is minted over time as validator rewards, subject to Avalanche's capped token supply framework. Validator rewards are paid at the end of the staking period and are determined by factors such as the validator's stake and compliance with staking conditions. Unlike some proof-of-stake systems, the Avalanche Primary Network does not use slashing of bonded principal as an ordinary penalty mechanism. Instead, the main protocol-level economic consequence for underperformance is the loss of reward eligibility. Where a validator fails to satisfy the applicable uptime requirement during its staking term, that validator does not receive the corresponding staking reward. Transaction fees apply on the C-Chain for transfers and smart-contract execution. The fee model follows EIP-1559 logic, meaning that transactions are priced through a dynamic base fee mechanism. In contrast to Ethereum's validator tip model, C-Chain transaction fees are burned rather than distributed to validators. This means that C-Chain fees function as a supply-reduction mechanism and are intended in part to offset inflation arising from the minting of validator rewards. In addition to ordinary transaction and smart-contract execution fees, Avalanche documentation also recognises protocol fees in connection with other network operations on other chains of the Primary Network, such as certain import or export operations and staking-related actions. However, for the C-Chain itself, the core applicable fee category is the gas fee for transaction inclusion and contract execution, and those fees are handled through the protocol burn mechanism rather than paid to validators or a treasury.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks,

attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Fantom's incentive model promotes network security through staking rewards, transaction fees, and delegation options, encouraging broad participation.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators:
   - Earning Rewards in FTM: Validators who participate in the consensus process earn rewards in FTM tokens, proportional to the amount they have staked. This incentivizes validators to actively secure the network.
   - Dynamic Staking Rate: Fantom's staking reward rate is dynamic, adjusting based on total FTM staked across the network. As more FTM is staked, individual rewards may decrease, maintaining a balanced reward structure that supports long-term network security.
2. Delegation for Token Holders:
   Delegated Staking: Users who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their FTM tokens to validators. In return, they share in the staking rewards, encouraging wider participation in securing the network.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees in FTM: Users pay transaction fees in FTM tokens. The network's high throughput and DAG structure keep fees low, making Fantom ideal for decentralized applications (dApps) requiring frequent transactions.
- Efficient Fee Model: The low fees and scalability of the network make it cost-effective for users, fostering a favorable environment for high-volume applications.

The Gnosis Chain's incentive and fee models encourage both validator participation and network accessibility, using a dual-token system to maintain low transaction costs and effective staking rewards.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards for Validators GNO Rewards: Validators earn staking rewards in GNO tokens for their participation in consensus and securing the network.
- Delegation Model: GNO holders who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their GNO tokens to validators, allowing them to share in staking rewards and encouraging broader participation in network security.
- Dual-Token Model GNO: Used for staking, governance, and validator rewards, GNO aligns long-term network security incentives with token holders' economic interests.
- xDai: Serves as the primary transaction currency, providing stable and low-cost transactions. The use of a stable token (xDai) for fees minimizes volatility and offers predictable costs for users and developers.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees in xDai Users pay transaction fees in xDai, the stable fee token, making costs affordable and predictable. This model is especially suited for high-frequency applications and dApps where low transaction fees are essential. xDai transaction fees are redistributed to validators as part of their compensation, aligning their rewards with network activity. Delegated Staking Rewards Through delegated staking, GNO holders can earn a share of staking rewards by delegating their tokens to active validators, promoting user participation in network security without requiring direct involvement in consensus operations.

The Huobi Eco Chain (HECO) blockchain employs a Hybrid-Proof-of-Stake (HPoS) consensus mechanism, combining elements of Proof-of-Stake (PoS) to enhance transaction efficiency and scalability.

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Validator Rewards:
   Validators are selected based on their stake in the network. They process transactions and add blocks to the blockchain. Validators receive rewards in the form of transaction fees for their role in maintaining the blockchain's integrity.
2. Staking Participation:
   Users can stake Huobi Token (HT) to become validators or delegate their tokens to existing validators. Staking helps secure the network and, in return, participants receive a portion of the transaction fees as rewards.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees (Gas Fees):
   Users pay gas fees in HT tokens to execute transactions and interact with smart contracts on the HECO network. These fees compensate validators for processing and validating transactions.
2. Smart Contract Execution Fees:
   Deploying and interacting with smart contracts incur additional fees, which are also paid in HT tokens. These fees cover the computational resources required to execute contract code.

NEAR Protocol employs several economic mechanisms to secure the network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanisms to Secure Transactions:

1. Staking Rewards:
   Validators and delegators secure the network by staking NEAR tokens. Validators earn around 5% annual inflation, with 90% of newly minted tokens distributed as staking rewards. Validators propose blocks, validate transactions, and receive a share of these rewards based on their staked tokens. Delegators earn rewards proportional to their delegation, encouraging broad participation.
2. Delegation:
   Token holders can delegate their NEAR tokens to validators to increase the validator's stake and improve the chances of being selected to validate transactions. Delegators share in the validator's rewards based on their delegated tokens, incentivizing users to support reliable validators.

3. Slashing and Economic Penalties:
   Validators face penalties for malicious behavior, such as failing to validate correctly or acting dishonestly. The slashing mechanism enforces security by deducting a portion of their staked tokens, ensuring validators follow the network's best interests.
4. Epoch Rotation and Validator Selection:
   Validators are rotated regularly during epochs to ensure fairness and prevent centralization. Each epoch reshuffles validators, allowing the protocol to balance decentralization with performance.

Fees on the NEAR Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Users pay fees in NEAR tokens for transaction processing, which are burned to reduce the total circulating supply, introducing a potential deflationary effect over time. Validators also receive a portion of transaction fees as additional rewards, providing an ongoing incentive for network maintenance.
2. Storage Fees:
   NEAR Protocol charges storage fees based on the amount of blockchain storage consumed by accounts, contracts, and data. This requires users to hold NEAR tokens as a deposit proportional to their storage usage, ensuring the efficient use of network resources.
3. Redistribution and Burning:
   A portion of the transaction fees (burned NEAR tokens) reduces the overall supply, while the rest is distributed to validators as compensation for their work. The burning mechanism helps maintain long-term economic sustainability and potential value appreciation for NEAR holders.
4. Reserve Requirement:
   Users must maintain a minimum account balance and reserves for data storage, encouraging efficient use of resources and preventing spam attacks.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, avalanche, binance_smart_chain, ethereum, fantom, gnosis_chain, huobi, near_protocol, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

DuckChain Token

DUCK | 91C5G6GWN

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for DuckChain Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	DuckChain Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1419.12000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Open Network uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism based on the Catchain protocol. Validators stake GRAM to participate in block production, transaction validation, and network security. Catchain provides Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus across the network's sharded architecture, enabling multiple shardchains to process transactions in parallel while maintaining a consistent global state. Validators are selected and rotated periodically, and once consensus is reached, blocks achieve deterministic finality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The Open Network incentivizes network participation through staking rewards and transaction fees. Validators receive rewards for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security, with rewards distributed according to their participation and stake. Transaction fees are paid in GRAM and vary depending on the computational resources required by a transaction. The protocol also applies penalties to validators that fail to meet their obligations or act maliciously, encouraging reliable network operation and honest participation.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) toncoin is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset

within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



ApeCoin

APE | 7WKVRWPNR

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for ApeCoin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	ApeCoin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1342.26705	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

ApeCoin is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Polygon.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production. The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator's effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

ApeCoin is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Polygon.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality

voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation, depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator's commission and applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator's effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum, polygon is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

ether.fi governance token

ETHFI | RJTJR45MC

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for ether.fi governance token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	ether.fi governance token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1316.38339	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Lido DAO Token

LDO | 8W8GLGL65

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Lido DAO Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Lido DAO Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1162.83495	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Lido DAO Token is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Solana, Terra Classic.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be

selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.

4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

Terra blockchain operates on a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism, which ensures fast, scalable, and secure transaction processing.

Core Components:

- Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS):
  - Validators: A limited set of validators are responsible for validating transactions, proposing blocks, and securing the network. Validators are selected based on the amount of LUNA tokens staked, either directly or delegated by token holders.
  - Delegation: LUNA holders can delegate their tokens to validators, allowing them to participate in staking rewards without running their own validator nodes.
  - Rotational Leadership: Validators are selected in a round-robin manner to propose new blocks, ensuring fairness and efficiency in block production.
- Tendermint BFT (Byzantine Fault Tolerance):
  - Terra integrates the Tendermint Core consensus engine, providing fast block finality and resilience against up to one-third of malicious or faulty validators.
  - Finality: Transactions are confirmed once a block is added, reducing the risk of chain reorganizations and ensuring immediate finality.
  - Governance Integration: LUNA token holders participate in governance by voting on proposals related to protocol upgrades, parameter changes, and community decisions, aligning stakeholder incentives with network health.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Lido DAO Token is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Solana, Terra Classic.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

The Terra blockchain's incentive structure is designed to reward network participants, ensure security, and sustain ecosystem growth, while its fee model aligns with its focus on scalability and cost-efficiency.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Validators: Validators earn staking rewards for their role in securing the network and validating transactions. Rewards are distributed in LUNA tokens, derived from transaction fees and seigniorage revenue.

- Delegators: LUNA holders who delegate their tokens to validators receive a share of staking rewards, proportional to the amount delegated, incentivizing broad participation.
- Seigniorage Rewards: Validators and delegators benefit from seigniorage revenue, generated when new stablecoins (e.g., TerraUSD) are minted. A portion of this revenue is allocated to reward LUNA stakers.
- Stability Incentives: LUNA token holders are incentivized to stake and participate in governance to maintain the stability of Terra's ecosystem and its algorithmic stablecoins.
- Governance Participation Rewards: Validators and delegators have governance voting rights, enabling them to shape the network's future. Participation in governance aligns incentives with long-term ecosystem health.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees: Users pay fees in LUNA or stablecoins for transactions such as fund transfers, smart contract execution, and staking. These fees are distributed among validators and delegators, providing additional incentives for network security and functionality.
- Dynamic Fee Model: Transaction fees are dynamically adjusted based on network congestion and transaction size. This ensures efficient resource allocation while keeping fees affordable for users.
- Seigniorage Fee: A portion of revenue from stablecoin minting is directed to the treasury and distributed to stakers, reinforcing network participation and development.
- Burning Mechanism: A portion of fees and seigniorage revenue may be burned, reducing LUNA supply over time and contributing to its deflationary tokenomics.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, binance_smart_chain, ethereum, solana, terra_classic is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Liquid staked Ether 2.0

STETH | FS3VQH874

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Liquid staked Ether 2.0

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Liquid staked Ether 2.0	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1132.11237	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Velodrome

VELO | 3QN6WNR4L

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Velodrome

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Velodrome	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1127.44270	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Since Optimism is based on Ethereum, it ultimately inherits its security via the Ethereum blockchain and the proof-of-stake consensus. Within the rollup system, Optimism relies on a “fault proof” procedure. By default, transactions are assumed to be correct (“optimistic”). Only in the event of suspected faults is a fault proof initiated, in which incorrect transactions can be challenged by challengers. This model allows for high efficiency while ensuring correctness.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Optimism charges lower transaction fees than Ethereum Layer 1, as transactions are bundled in the rollup and written to the Ethereum main chain in compressed form. Gas fees on Optimism continue to be paid in ETH. The incentive model is based on increased efficiency for users (lower fees, faster confirmation) and on the role of sequencers. Sequencers are central actors who collect, organize, and include transactions in the rollup. Their revenue comes from the gas fees they charge.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) optimism is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all

implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Axie Infinity Shard

AXS | RTTDS5MHT

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Axie Infinity Shard

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Axie Infinity Shard	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1031.89339	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Axie Infinity Shard is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Harmony One, Ronin, Solana.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest

to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Harmony operates on a consensus mechanism called Effective Proof of Stake (EPoS), designed to balance validator influence and enhance network security while improving transaction scalability.

Core Components:

1. Effective Proof of Stake (EPoS):
   - Validator Diversity: EPoS allows a large number of validators to participate and limits the influence of high-stake validators, promoting decentralization and preventing stake centralization.
   - Staking Across Shards: Multiple validators compete within each shard, distributing staking power more broadly and enhancing network security.
2. Sharding with PBFT Finality:
   - Parallel Transaction Processing: Harmony's four shards enable independent processing of transactions and smart contracts, enhancing scalability and throughput.
   - Fast Finality with PBFT: Each shard uses a modified Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (PBFT) model, ensuring immediate finality once blocks are validated and achieving high transaction speeds.

Ronin utilizes a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism, where community-elected validators are responsible for securing the network and validating transactions.

Core Components of Ronin's Consensus:

1. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS):
   - Community Voting for Validator Selection: RON token holders delegate their tokens to vote for validators, who are then selected to produce blocks, validate transactions, and maintain network security. Validators with the most votes are chosen to participate in consensus.
   - Periodic Validator Rotation: Validators are regularly rotated based on community votes, enhancing decentralization and preventing long-term control by any single validator group. This rotation supports both security and fairness.
2. Incentive-Driven Voting System:
   Alignment with Community Interests: The voting system ensures that validators remain aligned with community goals. Validators that fail to perform adequately or act against network interests may lose votes and be replaced by more trusted participants.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a

verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.

2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Axie Infinity Shard is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Harmony One, Ronin, Solana.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Harmony incentivizes validators and delegators to participate in network security and performance through staking rewards, transaction fees, and a unique reward structure promoting decentralization.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators and Delegators:
   ONE Token Rewards: Validators earn ONE tokens for validating transactions and securing the network, with a share of these rewards distributed to delegators based on the amount staked.
2. Decentralization Penalty for High Stake:
   Reward Adjustment for Large Stakeholders: Validators with an excessive delegated stake experience reduced rewards, preventing centralization and encouraging a fair distribution of staking power.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Harmony charges minimal transaction fees in ONE tokens, benefiting high-frequency applications and providing validators with additional rewards.

Ronin's incentive model combines rewards, slashing mechanisms, and governance features to support network security and encourage active community participation.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Rewards for Validators and Delegators:
   - Staking Rewards for Validators: Validators earn RON tokens as rewards for successfully producing blocks and validating transactions. These rewards incentivize validators to fulfill their duties diligently, maintaining network stability.
   - Delegator Rewards: Delegators who stake their tokens with selected validators also earn a portion of the staking rewards. This sharing of rewards promotes broad participation from token holders in network security and governance.
2. Slashing Mechanism for Accountability:
   - Penalty for Malicious Behavior: A slashing mechanism penalizes validators who act dishonestly or fail to meet performance standards by cutting a portion of their staked RON tokens. This deters misbehavior and encourages responsible participation.
   - Delegator Risk: Delegators who stake with misbehaving validators are also subject to slashing, which encourages them to choose trustworthy validators and monitor performance carefully.
3. Governance Participation:
   RON Token for Governance: Beyond staking and transaction fees, the RON token enables token holders to participate in governance. This includes voting on network upgrades, validator selection, and other protocol decisions, giving token holders a voice in network direction and policy.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Fees are paid in RON tokens, contributing to validator rewards and helping to maintain network operations. These fees are designed to be affordable, ensuring accessibility for users while supporting validators' roles.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more

tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
- Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.

3. Economic Security:
- Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
- Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.

2. Fee Structure:
Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.

3. Rent Fees:
State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.

4. Smart Contract Fees:
Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum, harmony_one, ronin, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

SushiSwap

SUSHI | C1Z2W2TT1

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for SushiSwap

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	SushiSwap	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	1020.90073	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

SushiSwap is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum, Fantom, Gnosis Chain, Harmony One, Polygon.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to

slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Fantom operates on the Lachesis Protocol, an Asynchronous Byzantine Fault Tolerant (aBFT) consensus mechanism designed for fast, secure, and scalable transactions.

Core Components of Fantom's Consensus:

1. Lachesis Protocol (aBFT):
   - Asynchronous and Leaderless: Lachesis allows nodes to reach consensus independently without relying on a central leader, enhancing decentralization and speed.
   - DAG Structure: Instead of a linear blockchain, Lachesis uses a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) structure, allowing multiple transactions to be processed in parallel across nodes. This structure supports high throughput, making the network suitable for applications requiring rapid transaction processing.
2. Event Blocks and Instant Finality:
   - Event Blocks: Transactions are grouped into event blocks, which are validated asynchronously by multiple validators. When enough validators confirm an event block, it becomes part of the Fantom network's history.
   - Instant Finality: Transactions on Fantom achieve immediate finality, meaning they are confirmed and cannot be reversed. This property is ideal for applications requiring fast and irreversible transactions.

Gnosis Chain – Consensus Mechanism Gnosis Chain employs a dual-layer structure to balance scalability and security, using Proof of Stake (PoS) for its core consensus and transaction finality.

Core Components:

- Two-Layer Structure Layer 1: Gnosis Beacon Chain The Gnosis Beacon Chain operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) mechanism, acting as the security and consensus backbone. Validators stake GNO tokens on the Beacon Chain and validate transactions, ensuring network security and finality.
- Layer 2: Gnosis xDai Chain processes transactions and dApp interactions, providing high-speed, low-cost transactions. Layer 2 transaction data is finalized on the Gnosis Beacon Chain, creating an integrated framework where Layer 1 ensures security and finality, and Layer 2 enhances scalability. Validator Role and Staking Validators on the Gnosis Beacon Chain stake GNO tokens and participate in consensus by validating blocks. This setup ensures that validators have an economic interest in maintaining the security and integrity of both the Beacon Chain (Layer 1) and the xDai Chain (Layer 2). Cross-Layer Security Transactions on Layer 2 are ultimately finalized on Layer 1, providing security and finality to all activities on the Gnosis Chain. This architecture allows Gnosis Chain to combine the speed and cost efficiency of Layer 2 with the security guarantees of a PoS-secured Layer 1, making it suitable for both high-frequency applications and secure asset management.

Harmony operates on a consensus mechanism called Effective Proof of Stake (EPoS), designed to balance validator influence and enhance network security while improving transaction scalability.

Core Components:

1. Effective Proof of Stake (EPoS):
   - Validator Diversity: EPoS allows a large number of validators to participate and limits the influence of high-stake validators, promoting decentralization and preventing stake centralization.

- Staking Across Shards: Multiple validators compete within each shard, distributing staking power more broadly and enhancing network security.

2. Sharding with PBFT Finality:
   - Parallel Transaction Processing: Harmony's four shards enable independent processing of transactions and smart contracts, enhancing scalability and throughput.
   - Fast Finality with PBFT: Each shard uses a modified Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (PBFT) model, ensuring immediate finality once blocks are validated and achieving high transaction speeds.

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production. The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator's effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

SushiSwap is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum, Fantom, Gnosis Chain, Harmony One, Polygon.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Fantom's incentive model promotes network security through staking rewards, transaction fees, and delegation options, encouraging broad participation.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators:
   - Earning Rewards in FTM: Validators who participate in the consensus process earn rewards in FTM tokens, proportional to the amount they have staked. This incentivizes validators to actively secure the network.
   - Dynamic Staking Rate: Fantom's staking reward rate is dynamic, adjusting based on total FTM staked across the network. As more FTM is staked, individual rewards may decrease, maintaining a balanced reward structure that supports long-term network security.
2. Delegation for Token Holders:
   Delegated Staking: Users who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their FTM tokens to validators. In return, they share in the staking rewards, encouraging wider participation in securing the network.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees in FTM: Users pay transaction fees in FTM tokens. The network's high throughput and DAG structure keep fees low, making Fantom ideal for decentralized applications (dApps) requiring frequent transactions.
- Efficient Fee Model: The low fees and scalability of the network make it cost-effective for users, fostering a favorable environment for high-volume applications.

The Gnosis Chain's incentive and fee models encourage both validator participation and network accessibility, using a dual-token system to maintain low transaction costs and effective staking rewards.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards for Validators GNO Rewards: Validators earn staking rewards in GNO tokens for their participation in consensus and securing the network.
- Delegation Model: GNO holders who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their GNO tokens to validators, allowing them to share in staking rewards and encouraging broader participation in network security.
- Dual-Token Model GNO: Used for staking, governance, and validator rewards, GNO aligns long-term network security incentives with token holders' economic interests.

- xDai: Serves as the primary transaction currency, providing stable and low-cost transactions. The use of a stable token (xDai) for fees minimizes volatility and offers predictable costs for users and developers.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees in xDai Users pay transaction fees in xDai, the stable fee token, making costs affordable and predictable. This model is especially suited for high-frequency applications and dApps where low transaction fees are essential. xDai transaction fees are redistributed to validators as part of their compensation, aligning their rewards with network activity. Delegated Staking Rewards Through delegated staking, GNO holders can earn a share of staking rewards by delegating their tokens to active validators, promoting user participation in network security without requiring direct involvement in consensus operations.

Harmony incentivizes validators and delegators to participate in network security and performance through staking rewards, transaction fees, and a unique reward structure promoting decentralization.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators and Delegators:
   ONE Token Rewards: Validators earn ONE tokens for validating transactions and securing the network, with a share of these rewards distributed to delegators based on the amount staked.
2. Decentralization Penalty for High Stake:
   Reward Adjustment for Large Stakeholders: Validators with an excessive delegated stake experience reduced rewards, preventing centralization and encouraging a fair distribution of staking power.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Harmony charges minimal transaction fees in ONE tokens, benefiting high-frequency applications and providing validators with additional rewards.

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation, depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator's commission and applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator's effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes

transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, ethereum, fantom, gnosis_chain, harmony_one, polygon is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Livepeer

LPT | J80JRP8VM

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Livepeer

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Livepeer	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	968.23723	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Livepeer is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Harmony One.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Harmony operates on a consensus mechanism called Effective Proof of Stake (EPoS), designed to balance validator influence and enhance network security while improving transaction scalability.

Core Components:

1. Effective Proof of Stake (EPoS):
   - Validator Diversity: EPoS allows a large number of validators to participate and limits the influence of high-stake validators, promoting decentralization and preventing stake centralization.

- Staking Across Shards: Multiple validators compete within each shard, distributing staking power more broadly and enhancing network security.

2. Sharding with PBFT Finality:
   - Parallel Transaction Processing: Harmony's four shards enable independent processing of transactions and smart contracts, enhancing scalability and throughput.
   - Fast Finality with PBFT: Each shard uses a modified Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (PBFT) model, ensuring immediate finality once blocks are validated and achieving high transaction speeds.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Livepeer is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Harmony One.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Harmony incentivizes validators and delegators to participate in network security and performance through staking rewards, transaction fees, and a unique reward structure promoting decentralization.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators and Delegators:
   ONE Token Rewards: Validators earn ONE tokens for validating transactions and securing the network, with a share of these rewards distributed to delegators based on the amount staked.
2. Decentralization Penalty for High Stake:
   Reward Adjustment for Large Stakeholders: Validators with an excessive delegated stake experience reduced rewards, preventing centralization and encouraging a fair distribution of staking power.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Harmony charges minimal transaction fees in ONE tokens, benefiting high-frequency applications and providing validators with additional rewards.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, harmony_one is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the

energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Morpho Token

MORPHO | CH7NXRXR1

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Morpho Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Morpho Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	907.31979	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Morpho Token is present on the following networks: Base, Ethereum.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to

slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Morpho Token is present on the following networks: Base, Ethereum.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Zama

ZAMA | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Zama

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Zama	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	870.69508	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Zama is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Solana.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.

- Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.

2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Zama is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Solana.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks,

attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Momentum

MMT | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Momentum

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Momentum	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	789.45120	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Sui blockchain utilizes a Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus mechanism optimized for high throughput and low latency.

Core Components:

1. Mysten Consensus Protocol:
   - The Sui consensus is based on Mysten Labs' Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT) protocol, which builds on principles of Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (pBFT) but introduces key optimizations for performance.
   - Leaderless Design: Unlike traditional BFT models, Sui does not rely on a single leader to propose blocks. Validators can propose blocks simultaneously, increasing efficiency and reducing the risks associated with leader failure or attacks.
   - Parallel Processing: Transactions can be processed in parallel, maximizing network throughput by utilizing multiple cores and threads. This allows for faster confirmation of transactions and high scalability.
2. Transaction Validation:
   Validators are responsible for receiving transaction requests from clients and processing them. Each transaction includes digital signatures and must meet the network's rules to be considered valid. Validators can propose transactions simultaneously, unlike many other networks that require a sequential, leader-driven process.

3. Optimistic Execution:
   Optimistic Consensus: Sui allows validators to process certain non-contentious, independent transactions without waiting for full consensus. This is known as optimistic execution and helps reduce transaction latency for many use cases, allowing for fast finality in most cases.
4. Finality and Latency:
   The system only requires three rounds of communication between validators to finalize a transaction. This results in low-latency consensus and rapid transaction confirmation times, achieving scalability while maintaining security.
5.Fault Tolerance:
   The system can tolerate up to one-third of validators being faulty or malicious without compromising the integrity of the consensus process.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Validators:
   Validators stake SUI tokens to participate in the consensus process. They earn rewards for validating transactions and securing the network.
2. Slashing:
   Validators can be penalized (slashed) for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or failing to properly validate transactions. This helps maintain network security and incentivizes honest behavior.
3. Delegation:
   Token holders can delegate their SUI tokens to trusted validators. In return, they share in the rewards earned by validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network.

Fees on the SUI Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Users pay transaction fees to validators for processing and confirming transactions. These fees are calculated based on the computational resources required to process the transaction. Fees are paid in SUI tokens, which is the native cryptocurrency of the Sui blockchain.
2. Dynamic Fee Model:
   The transaction fees on Sui are dynamic, meaning they adjust based on network demand and the complexity of the transactions being processed.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) sui is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Compound

COMP | KCHF60NW7

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Compound

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Compound	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	678.37000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Compound is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Gnosis Chain, Near Protocol, Solana.

The Avalanche C-Chain uses the Snowman++ consensus mechanism, which is Avalanche's consensus model for linear blockchains and is implemented through the ProposerVM wrapper. Under this model, validators repeatedly query a small random subset of other validators and converge on a preferred block once the required confidence thresholds are met. Only Primary Network validators are entitled to validate the C-Chain. To participate as a validator on Avalanche mainnet, a node must stake a minimum number of token. Token holders may also participate indirectly by delegating an existing validator. Validator identity and admission to staking require the relevant staking credentials, including BLS proofs of possession under the current staking framework. For block production, Snowman++ uses stake-weighted proposer windows. Through the ProposerVM, block-building opportunities are assigned to proposers in 5-second windows, after which block production may fall back more broadly to validators if necessary. This mechanism is intended to regulate block production while preserving network liveness. Consensus voting itself remains based on repeated sub-sampled polling rather than fixed validator committees. Avalanche documentation describes the finality model as sub-second and treated by the protocol as final and irreversible once accepted, while noting that safety is probabilistic in the formal sense because the probability of conflicting acceptance can be reduced to an arbitrarily low level through the protocol parameters. The protocol does not rely on slashing of staked principal. Instead, validator reward eligibility depends on compliance with protocol conditions, including uptime requirements.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum’s consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Gnosis Chain – Consensus Mechanism Gnosis Chain employs a dual-layer structure to balance scalability and security, using Proof of Stake (PoS) for its core consensus and transaction finality.

Core Components:

- Two-Layer Structure Layer 1: Gnosis Beacon Chain The Gnosis Beacon Chain operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) mechanism, acting as the security and consensus backbone. Validators stake GNO tokens on the Beacon Chain and validate transactions, ensuring network security and finality.
- Layer 2: Gnosis xDai Chain processes transactions and dApp interactions, providing high-speed, low-cost transactions. Layer 2 transaction data is finalized on the Gnosis Beacon Chain, creating an integrated framework where Layer 1 ensures security and finality, and Layer 2 enhances scalability. Validator Role and Staking Validators on the Gnosis Beacon Chain stake GNO tokens and participate in consensus by validating blocks. This setup ensures that validators have an economic interest in maintaining the security and integrity of both the Beacon Chain (Layer 1) and the xDai Chain (Layer 2). Cross-Layer Security Transactions on Layer 2 are ultimately finalized on Layer 1, providing security and finality to all activities on the Gnosis Chain. This architecture allows Gnosis Chain to combine the speed and cost efficiency of Layer 2 with the security guarantees of a PoS-secured Layer 1, making it suitable for both high-frequency applications and secure asset management.

The NEAR Protocol uses a unique consensus mechanism combining Proof of Stake (PoS) and a novel approach called Doomslug, which enables high efficiency, fast transaction processing, and secure finality in its operations.

Core Concepts:

1. Doomslug and Proof of Stake:
   - NEAR's consensus mechanism primarily revolves around PoS, where validators stake NEAR tokens to participate in securing the network. However, NEAR's implementation is enhanced with the Doomslug protocol.
   - Doomslug allows the network to achieve fast block finality by requiring blocks to be confirmed in two stages. Validators propose blocks in the first step, and finalization occurs when two-thirds of validators approve the block, ensuring rapid transaction confirmation.
2. Sharding with Nightshade:
   - NEAR uses a dynamic sharding technique called Nightshade. This method splits the network into multiple shards, enabling parallel processing of transactions across the network, thus significantly increasing throughput. Each shard processes a portion of transactions, and the outcomes are merged into a single "snapshot" block.
   - This sharding approach ensures scalability, allowing the network to grow and handle increasing demand efficiently.

Consensus Process:

1. Validator Selection:
   - Validators are selected to propose and validate blocks based on the amount of NEAR tokens staked. This selection process is designed to ensure that only validators with significant stakes and community trust participate in securing the network.
2. Transaction Finality:
   - NEAR achieves transaction finality through its PoS-based system, where validators vote on blocks. Once two-thirds of validators approve a block, it reaches finality under Doomslug, meaning that no forks can alter the confirmed state.
3. Epochs and Rotation:
   - Validators are rotated in epochs to ensure fairness and decentralization. Epochs are intervals in which validators are reshuffled, and new block proposers are selected, ensuring a balance between performance and decentralization.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Compound is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Gnosis Chain, Near Protocol, Solana.

The Avalanche C-Chain is secured economically through the native AVAX token. Validator incentives are based primarily on staking rewards, not on redistribution of C-Chain transaction fees. A fixed amount of 360 million AVAX was minted at genesis, while additional AVAX is minted over time as validator rewards, subject to Avalanche's capped token supply framework. Validator rewards are paid at the end of the staking period and are determined by factors such as the validator's stake and compliance with staking conditions. Unlike some proof-of-stake systems, the Avalanche Primary Network does not use slashing of bonded principal as an ordinary penalty mechanism. Instead, the

main protocol-level economic consequence for underperformance is the loss of reward eligibility. Where a validator fails to satisfy the applicable uptime requirement during its staking term, that validator does not receive the corresponding staking reward. Transaction fees apply on the C-Chain for transfers and smart-contract execution. The fee model follows EIP-1559 logic, meaning that transactions are priced through a dynamic base fee mechanism. In contrast to Ethereum's validator tip model, C-Chain transaction fees are burned rather than distributed to validators. This means that C-Chain fees function as a supply-reduction mechanism and are intended in part to offset inflation arising from the minting of validator rewards. In addition to ordinary transaction and smart-contract execution fees, Avalanche documentation also recognises protocol fees in connection with other network operations on other chains of the Primary Network, such as certain import or export operations and staking-related actions. However, for the C-Chain itself, the core applicable fee category is the gas fee for transaction inclusion and contract execution, and those fees are handled through the protocol burn mechanism rather than paid to validators or a treasury.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to

slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

The Gnosis Chain's incentive and fee models encourage both validator participation and network accessibility, using a dual-token system to maintain low transaction costs and effective staking rewards.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards for Validators GNO Rewards: Validators earn staking rewards in GNO tokens for their participation in consensus and securing the network.
- Delegation Model: GNO holders who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their GNO tokens to validators, allowing them to share in staking rewards and encouraging broader participation in network security.
- Dual-Token Model GNO: Used for staking, governance, and validator rewards, GNO aligns long-term network security incentives with token holders' economic interests.
- xDai: Serves as the primary transaction currency, providing stable and low-cost transactions. The use of a stable token (xDai) for fees minimizes volatility and offers predictable costs for users and developers.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees in xDai Users pay transaction fees in xDai, the stable fee token, making costs affordable and predictable. This model is especially suited for high-frequency applications and dApps where low transaction fees are essential. xDai transaction fees are redistributed to validators as part of their compensation, aligning their rewards with network activity. Delegated Staking Rewards Through delegated staking, GNO holders can earn a share of staking rewards by delegating their tokens to active validators, promoting user participation in network security without requiring direct involvement in consensus operations.

NEAR Protocol employs several economic mechanisms to secure the network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanisms to Secure Transactions:

1. Staking Rewards:
   Validators and delegators secure the network by staking NEAR tokens. Validators earn around 5% annual inflation, with 90% of newly minted tokens distributed as staking rewards. Validators propose blocks, validate transactions, and receive a share of these rewards based on their staked tokens. Delegators earn rewards proportional to their delegation, encouraging broad participation.
2. Delegation:
   Token holders can delegate their NEAR tokens to validators to increase the validator's stake and improve the chances of being selected to validate transactions. Delegators share in the validator's rewards based on their delegated tokens, incentivizing users to support reliable validators.
3. Slashing and Economic Penalties:
   Validators face penalties for malicious behavior, such as failing to validate correctly or acting dishonestly. The slashing mechanism enforces security by deducting a portion of their staked tokens, ensuring validators follow the network's best interests.

4. Epoch Rotation and Validator Selection:
   Validators are rotated regularly during epochs to ensure fairness and prevent centralization. Each epoch reshuffles validators, allowing the protocol to balance decentralization with performance.

Fees on the NEAR Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Users pay fees in NEAR tokens for transaction processing, which are burned to reduce the total circulating supply, introducing a potential deflationary effect over time. Validators also receive a portion of transaction fees as additional rewards, providing an ongoing incentive for network maintenance.
2. Storage Fees:
   NEAR Protocol charges storage fees based on the amount of blockchain storage consumed by accounts, contracts, and data. This requires users to hold NEAR tokens as a deposit proportional to their storage usage, ensuring the efficient use of network resources.
3. Redistribution and Burning:
   A portion of the transaction fees (burned NEAR tokens) reduces the overall supply, while the rest is distributed to validators as compensation for their work. The burning mechanism helps maintain long-term economic sustainability and potential value appreciation for NEAR holders.
4. Reserve Requirement:
   Users must maintain a minimum account balance and reserves for data storage, encouraging efficient use of resources and preventing spam attacks.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) avalanche, binance_smart_chain, ethereum, gnosis_chain, near_protocol, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Basic Attention Token

BAT | 51F8M277P

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Basic Attention Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Basic Attention Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	642.00646	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Basic Attention Token is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Gnosis Chain, Near Protocol, Solana.

The Avalanche C-Chain uses the Snowman++ consensus mechanism, which is Avalanche's consensus model for linear blockchains and is implemented through the ProposerVM wrapper. Under this model, validators repeatedly query a small random subset of other validators and converge on a preferred block once the required confidence thresholds are met. Only Primary Network validators are entitled to validate the C-Chain. To participate as a validator on Avalanche mainnet, a node must stake a minimum number of token. Token holders may also participate indirectly by delegating an existing validator. Validator identity and admission to staking require the relevant staking credentials, including BLS proofs of possession under the current staking framework. For block production, Snowman++ uses stake-weighted proposer windows. Through the ProposerVM, block-building opportunities are assigned to proposers in 5-second windows, after which block production may fall back more broadly to validators if necessary. This mechanism is intended to regulate block production while preserving network liveness. Consensus voting itself remains based on repeated sub-sampled polling rather than fixed validator committees. Avalanche documentation describes the finality model as sub-second and treated by the protocol as final and irreversible once accepted, while noting that safety is probabilistic in the formal sense because the probability of conflicting acceptance can be reduced to an arbitrarily low level through the protocol parameters. The protocol does not rely on slashing of staked principal. Instead, validator reward eligibility depends on compliance with protocol conditions, including uptime requirements.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum’s consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Gnosis Chain – Consensus Mechanism Gnosis Chain employs a dual-layer structure to balance scalability and security, using Proof of Stake (PoS) for its core consensus and transaction finality.

Core Components:

- Two-Layer Structure Layer 1: Gnosis Beacon Chain The Gnosis Beacon Chain operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) mechanism, acting as the security and consensus backbone. Validators stake GNO tokens on the Beacon Chain and validate transactions, ensuring network security and finality.
- Layer 2: Gnosis xDai Chain processes transactions and dApp interactions, providing high-speed, low-cost transactions. Layer 2 transaction data is finalized on the Gnosis Beacon Chain, creating an integrated framework where Layer 1 ensures security and finality, and Layer 2 enhances scalability. Validator Role and Staking Validators on the Gnosis Beacon Chain stake GNO tokens and participate in consensus by validating blocks. This setup ensures that validators have an economic interest in maintaining the security and integrity of both the Beacon Chain (Layer 1) and the xDai Chain (Layer 2). Cross-Layer Security Transactions on Layer 2 are ultimately finalized on Layer 1, providing security and finality to all activities on the Gnosis Chain. This architecture allows Gnosis Chain to combine the speed and cost efficiency of Layer 2 with the security guarantees of a PoS-secured Layer 1, making it suitable for both high-frequency applications and secure asset management.

The NEAR Protocol uses a unique consensus mechanism combining Proof of Stake (PoS) and a novel approach called Doomslug, which enables high efficiency, fast transaction processing, and secure finality in its operations.

Core Concepts:

1. Doomslug and Proof of Stake:
   - NEAR's consensus mechanism primarily revolves around PoS, where validators stake NEAR tokens to participate in securing the network. However, NEAR's implementation is enhanced with the Doomslug protocol.
   - Doomslug allows the network to achieve fast block finality by requiring blocks to be confirmed in two stages. Validators propose blocks in the first step, and finalization occurs when two-thirds of validators approve the block, ensuring rapid transaction confirmation.
2. Sharding with Nightshade:
   - NEAR uses a dynamic sharding technique called Nightshade. This method splits the network into multiple shards, enabling parallel processing of transactions across the network, thus significantly increasing throughput. Each shard processes a portion of transactions, and the outcomes are merged into a single "snapshot" block.
   - This sharding approach ensures scalability, allowing the network to grow and handle increasing demand efficiently.

Consensus Process:

1. Validator Selection:
   - Validators are selected to propose and validate blocks based on the amount of NEAR tokens staked. This selection process is designed to ensure that only validators with significant stakes and community trust participate in securing the network.
2. Transaction Finality:
   - NEAR achieves transaction finality through its PoS-based system, where validators vote on blocks. Once two-thirds of validators approve a block, it reaches finality under Doomslug, meaning that no forks can alter the confirmed state.
3. Epochs and Rotation:
   - Validators are rotated in epochs to ensure fairness and decentralization. Epochs are intervals in which validators are reshuffled, and new block proposers are selected, ensuring a balance between performance and decentralization.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Basic Attention Token is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Gnosis Chain, Near Protocol, Solana.

The Avalanche C-Chain is secured economically through the native AVAX token. Validator incentives are based primarily on staking rewards, not on redistribution of C-Chain transaction fees. A fixed amount of 360 million AVAX was minted at genesis, while additional AVAX is minted over time as validator rewards, subject to Avalanche's capped token supply framework. Validator rewards are paid at the end of the staking period and are determined by factors such as the validator's stake and compliance with staking conditions. Unlike some proof-of-stake systems, the Avalanche Primary Network does not use slashing of bonded principal as an ordinary penalty mechanism. Instead, the

main protocol-level economic consequence for underperformance is the loss of reward eligibility. Where a validator fails to satisfy the applicable uptime requirement during its staking term, that validator does not receive the corresponding staking reward. Transaction fees apply on the C-Chain for transfers and smart-contract execution. The fee model follows EIP-1559 logic, meaning that transactions are priced through a dynamic base fee mechanism. In contrast to Ethereum's validator tip model, C-Chain transaction fees are burned rather than distributed to validators. This means that C-Chain fees function as a supply-reduction mechanism and are intended in part to offset inflation arising from the minting of validator rewards. In addition to ordinary transaction and smart-contract execution fees, Avalanche documentation also recognises protocol fees in connection with other network operations on other chains of the Primary Network, such as certain import or export operations and staking-related actions. However, for the C-Chain itself, the core applicable fee category is the gas fee for transaction inclusion and contract execution, and those fees are handled through the protocol burn mechanism rather than paid to validators or a treasury.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to

slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

The Gnosis Chain's incentive and fee models encourage both validator participation and network accessibility, using a dual-token system to maintain low transaction costs and effective staking rewards.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards for Validators GNO Rewards: Validators earn staking rewards in GNO tokens for their participation in consensus and securing the network.
- Delegation Model: GNO holders who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their GNO tokens to validators, allowing them to share in staking rewards and encouraging broader participation in network security.
- Dual-Token Model GNO: Used for staking, governance, and validator rewards, GNO aligns long-term network security incentives with token holders' economic interests.
- xDai: Serves as the primary transaction currency, providing stable and low-cost transactions. The use of a stable token (xDai) for fees minimizes volatility and offers predictable costs for users and developers.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees in xDai Users pay transaction fees in xDai, the stable fee token, making costs affordable and predictable. This model is especially suited for high-frequency applications and dApps where low transaction fees are essential. xDai transaction fees are redistributed to validators as part of their compensation, aligning their rewards with network activity. Delegated Staking Rewards Through delegated staking, GNO holders can earn a share of staking rewards by delegating their tokens to active validators, promoting user participation in network security without requiring direct involvement in consensus operations.

NEAR Protocol employs several economic mechanisms to secure the network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanisms to Secure Transactions:

1. Staking Rewards:
   Validators and delegators secure the network by staking NEAR tokens. Validators earn around 5% annual inflation, with 90% of newly minted tokens distributed as staking rewards. Validators propose blocks, validate transactions, and receive a share of these rewards based on their staked tokens. Delegators earn rewards proportional to their delegation, encouraging broad participation.
2. Delegation:
   Token holders can delegate their NEAR tokens to validators to increase the validator's stake and improve the chances of being selected to validate transactions. Delegators share in the validator's rewards based on their delegated tokens, incentivizing users to support reliable validators.
3. Slashing and Economic Penalties:
   Validators face penalties for malicious behavior, such as failing to validate correctly or acting dishonestly. The slashing mechanism enforces security by deducting a portion of their staked tokens, ensuring validators follow the network's best interests.

4. Epoch Rotation and Validator Selection:
   Validators are rotated regularly during epochs to ensure fairness and prevent centralization. Each epoch reshuffles validators, allowing the protocol to balance decentralization with performance.

Fees on the NEAR Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Users pay fees in NEAR tokens for transaction processing, which are burned to reduce the total circulating supply, introducing a potential deflationary effect over time. Validators also receive a portion of transaction fees as additional rewards, providing an ongoing incentive for network maintenance.
2. Storage Fees:
   NEAR Protocol charges storage fees based on the amount of blockchain storage consumed by accounts, contracts, and data. This requires users to hold NEAR tokens as a deposit proportional to their storage usage, ensuring the efficient use of network resources.
3. Redistribution and Burning:
   A portion of the transaction fees (burned NEAR tokens) reduces the overall supply, while the rest is distributed to validators as compensation for their work. The burning mechanism helps maintain long-term economic sustainability and potential value appreciation for NEAR holders.
4. Reserve Requirement:
   Users must maintain a minimum account balance and reserves for data storage, encouraging efficient use of resources and preventing spam attacks.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) avalanche, binance_smart_chain, ethereum, gnosis_chain, near_protocol, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Orbs

ORBS | XW3GWJ75G

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Orbs

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Orbs	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	632.87993	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Orbs is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Polygon.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production. The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator's effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Orbs is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Polygon.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality

voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation, depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator's commission and applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator's effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum, polygon is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Bancor

BNT | HXXRZB3CB

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Bancor

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Bancor	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	615.48784	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Bancor is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Gnosis Chain, Solana.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Gnosis Chain – Consensus Mechanism Gnosis Chain employs a dual-layer structure to balance scalability and security, using Proof of Stake (PoS) for its core consensus and transaction finality.

Core Components:

- Two-Layer Structure Layer 1: Gnosis Beacon Chain The Gnosis Beacon Chain operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) mechanism, acting as the security and consensus backbone. Validators stake GNO tokens on the Beacon Chain and validate transactions, ensuring network security and finality.

- Layer 2: Gnosis xDai Chain processes transactions and dApp interactions, providing high-speed, low-cost transactions. Layer 2 transaction data is finalized on the Gnosis Beacon Chain, creating an integrated framework where Layer 1 ensures security and finality, and Layer 2 enhances scalability. Validator Role and Staking Validators on the Gnosis Beacon Chain stake GNO tokens and participate in consensus by validating blocks. This setup ensures that validators have an economic interest in maintaining the security and integrity of both the Beacon Chain (Layer 1) and the xDai Chain (Layer 2). Cross-Layer Security Transactions on Layer 2 are ultimately finalized on Layer 1, providing security and finality to all activities on the Gnosis Chain. This architecture allows Gnosis Chain to combine the speed and cost efficiency of Layer 2 with the security guarantees of a PoS-secured Layer 1, making it suitable for both high-frequency applications and secure asset management.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Bancor is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Gnosis Chain, Solana.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

The Gnosis Chain's incentive and fee models encourage both validator participation and network accessibility, using a dual-token system to maintain low transaction costs and effective staking rewards.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards for Validators GNO Rewards: Validators earn staking rewards in GNO tokens for their participation in consensus and securing the network.
- Delegation Model: GNO holders who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their GNO tokens to validators, allowing them to share in staking rewards and encouraging broader participation in network security.
- Dual-Token Model GNO: Used for staking, governance, and validator rewards, GNO aligns long-term network security incentives with token holders' economic interests.

- xDai: Serves as the primary transaction currency, providing stable and low-cost transactions. The use of a stable token (xDai) for fees minimizes volatility and offers predictable costs for users and developers.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees in xDai Users pay transaction fees in xDai, the stable fee token, making costs affordable and predictable. This model is especially suited for high-frequency applications and dApps where low transaction fees are essential. xDai transaction fees are redistributed to validators as part of their compensation, aligning their rewards with network activity. Delegated Staking Rewards Through delegated staking, GNO holders can earn a share of staking rewards by delegating their tokens to active validators, promoting user participation in network security without requiring direct involvement in consensus operations.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.

4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, gnosis_chain, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Worldcoin

WLD | BJD0TZ8V1

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Worldcoin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Worldcoin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	608.35009	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Worldcoin is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Optimism.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Since Optimism is based on Ethereum, it ultimately inherits its security via the Ethereum blockchain and the proof-of-stake consensus. Within the rollup system, Optimism relies on a "fault proof" procedure. By default, transactions are assumed to be correct ("optimistic"). Only in the event of suspected faults is a fault proof initiated, in which incorrect transactions can be challenged by challengers. This model allows for high efficiency while ensuring correctness.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Worldcoin is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Optimism.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Optimism charges lower transaction fees than Ethereum Layer 1, as transactions are bundled in the rollup and written to the Ethereum main chain in compressed form. Gas fees on Optimism continue to be paid in ETH. The incentive model is based on increased efficiency for users (lower fees, faster confirmation) and on the role of sequencers. Sequencers are central actors who collect, organize, and include transactions in the rollup. Their revenue comes from the gas fees they charge.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, optimism is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Centrifuge

CFG | J8HB3QFM5

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Centrifuge

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Centrifuge	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	584.11503	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

API3

API3 | 9PJW29SF7

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for API3

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	API3	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	579.86589	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

StorjToken

STORJ | 3W1DV4L6C

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for StorjToken

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	StorjToken	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	566.41028	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Turbo

TURBO | 3GCHWJSWC

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Turbo

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Turbo	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	552.45894	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Decentraland

MANA | 21C6LF4SP

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Decentraland

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Decentraland	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	543.76040	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Decentraland is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Gnosis Chain, Solana.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Gnosis Chain – Consensus Mechanism Gnosis Chain employs a dual-layer structure to balance scalability and security, using Proof of Stake (PoS) for its core consensus and transaction finality.

Core Components:

- Two-Layer Structure Layer 1: Gnosis Beacon Chain The Gnosis Beacon Chain operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) mechanism, acting as the security and consensus backbone. Validators stake GNO tokens on the Beacon Chain and validate transactions, ensuring network security and finality.

- Layer 2: Gnosis xDai Chain processes transactions and dApp interactions, providing high-speed, low-cost transactions. Layer 2 transaction data is finalized on the Gnosis Beacon Chain, creating an integrated framework where Layer 1 ensures security and finality, and Layer 2 enhances scalability. Validator Role and Staking Validators on the Gnosis Beacon Chain stake GNO tokens and participate in consensus by validating blocks. This setup ensures that validators have an economic interest in maintaining the security and integrity of both the Beacon Chain (Layer 1) and the xDai Chain (Layer 2). Cross-Layer Security Transactions on Layer 2 are ultimately finalized on Layer 1, providing security and finality to all activities on the Gnosis Chain. This architecture allows Gnosis Chain to combine the speed and cost efficiency of Layer 2 with the security guarantees of a PoS-secured Layer 1, making it suitable for both high-frequency applications and secure asset management.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Decentraland is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Gnosis Chain, Solana.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

The Gnosis Chain's incentive and fee models encourage both validator participation and network accessibility, using a dual-token system to maintain low transaction costs and effective staking rewards.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards for Validators GNO Rewards: Validators earn staking rewards in GNO tokens for their participation in consensus and securing the network.
- Delegation Model: GNO holders who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their GNO tokens to validators, allowing them to share in staking rewards and encouraging broader participation in network security.
- Dual-Token Model GNO: Used for staking, governance, and validator rewards, GNO aligns long-term network security incentives with token holders' economic interests.

- xDai: Serves as the primary transaction currency, providing stable and low-cost transactions. The use of a stable token (xDai) for fees minimizes volatility and offers predictable costs for users and developers.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees in xDai Users pay transaction fees in xDai, the stable fee token, making costs affordable and predictable. This model is especially suited for high-frequency applications and dApps where low transaction fees are essential. xDai transaction fees are redistributed to validators as part of their compensation, aligning their rewards with network activity. Delegated Staking Rewards Through delegated staking, GNO holders can earn a share of staking rewards by delegating their tokens to active validators, promoting user participation in network security without requiring direct involvement in consensus operations.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.

4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, gnosis_chain, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Ethereum Name Service

ENS | B70SMJ5DQ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Ethereum Name Service

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Ethereum Name Service	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	538.43678	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum Name Service is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Solana.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.

- Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.

2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum Name Service is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Solana.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.

3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Safe Token

SAFE | GLNXBZWH5

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Safe Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Safe Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	524.98117	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

SAND

SAND | BVGX9WS2C

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for SAND

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	SAND	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	523.93365	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

SAND is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Polygon, Solana.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production.

The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator's effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence

to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.

4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

SAND is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Polygon, Solana.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation, depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator's commission and

applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator's effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.

3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, polygon, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Synthetix Network

SNX | RSN26S0SB

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Synthetix Network

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Synthetix Network	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	522.80102	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Synthetix Network is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Fantom, Near Protocol, Optimism, Polygon, Solana.

The Avalanche C-Chain uses the Snowman++ consensus mechanism, which is Avalanche's consensus model for linear blockchains and is implemented through the ProposerVM wrapper. Under this model, validators repeatedly query a small random subset of other validators and converge on a preferred block once the required confidence thresholds are met. Only Primary Network validators are entitled to validate the C-Chain. To participate as a validator on Avalanche mainnet, a node must stake a minimum number of token. Token holders may also participate indirectly by delegating an existing validator. Validator identity and admission to staking require the relevant staking credentials, including BLS proofs of possession under the current staking framework. For block production, Snowman++ uses stake-weighted proposer windows. Through the ProposerVM, block-building opportunities are assigned to proposers in 5-second windows, after which block production may fall back more broadly to validators if necessary. This mechanism is intended to regulate block production while preserving network liveness. Consensus voting itself remains based on repeated sub-sampled polling rather than fixed validator committees. Avalanche documentation describes the finality model as sub-second and treated by the protocol as final and irreversible once accepted, while noting that safety is probabilistic in the formal sense because the probability of conflicting acceptance can be reduced to an arbitrarily low level through the protocol parameters. The protocol does not rely on slashing of staked principal. Instead, validator reward eligibility depends on compliance with protocol conditions, including uptime requirements.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 "Cabinet" validators and 24 "Candidate" validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Fantom operates on the Lachesis Protocol, an Asynchronous Byzantine Fault Tolerant (aBFT) consensus mechanism designed for fast, secure, and scalable transactions.

Core Components of Fantom's Consensus:

1. Lachesis Protocol (aBFT):
   - Asynchronous and Leaderless: Lachesis allows nodes to reach consensus independently without relying on a central leader, enhancing decentralization and speed.
   - DAG Structure: Instead of a linear blockchain, Lachesis uses a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) structure, allowing multiple transactions to be processed in parallel across nodes. This structure supports high throughput, making the network suitable for applications requiring rapid transaction processing.
2. Event Blocks and Instant Finality:
   - Event Blocks: Transactions are grouped into event blocks, which are validated asynchronously by multiple validators. When enough validators confirm an event block, it becomes part of the Fantom network's history.
   - Instant Finality: Transactions on Fantom achieve immediate finality, meaning they are confirmed and cannot be reversed. This property is ideal for applications requiring fast and irreversible transactions.

The NEAR Protocol uses a unique consensus mechanism combining Proof of Stake (PoS) and a novel approach called Doomslug, which enables high efficiency, fast transaction processing, and secure finality in its operations.

Core Concepts:

1. Doomslug and Proof of Stake:
   - NEAR's consensus mechanism primarily revolves around PoS, where validators stake NEAR tokens to participate in securing the network. However, NEAR's implementation is enhanced with the Doomslug protocol.
   - Doomslug allows the network to achieve fast block finality by requiring blocks to be confirmed in two stages. Validators propose blocks in the first step, and finalization occurs when two-thirds of validators approve the block, ensuring rapid transaction confirmation.
2. Sharding with Nightshade:
   - NEAR uses a dynamic sharding technique called Nightshade. This method splits the network into multiple shards, enabling parallel processing of transactions across the network, thus significantly increasing throughput. Each shard processes a portion of transactions, and the outcomes are merged into a single "snapshot" block.
   - This sharding approach ensures scalability, allowing the network to grow and handle increasing demand efficiently.

Consensus Process:

1. Validator Selection:
   - Validators are selected to propose and validate blocks based on the amount of NEAR tokens staked. This selection process is designed to ensure that only validators with significant stakes and community trust participate in securing the network.
2. Transaction Finality:
   - NEAR achieves transaction finality through its PoS-based system, where validators vote on blocks. Once two-thirds of validators approve a block, it reaches finality under Doomslug, meaning that no forks can alter the confirmed state.
3. Epochs and Rotation:
   - Validators are rotated in epochs to ensure fairness and decentralization. Epochs are intervals in which validators are reshuffled, and new block proposers are selected, ensuring a balance between performance and decentralization.

Since Optimism is based on Ethereum, it ultimately inherits its security via the Ethereum blockchain and the proof-of-stake consensus. Within the rollup system, Optimism relies on a “fault proof” procedure. By default, transactions are assumed to be correct (“optimistic”). Only in the event of suspected faults is a fault proof initiated, in which incorrect transactions can be challenged by challengers. This model allows for high efficiency while ensuring correctness.

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production. The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator’s effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for

a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network’s criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Synthetix Network is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Fantom, Near Protocol, Optimism, Polygon, Solana.

The Avalanche C-Chain is secured economically through the native AVAX token. Validator incentives are based primarily on staking rewards, not on redistribution of C-Chain transaction fees. A fixed amount of 360 million AVAX was minted at genesis, while additional AVAX is minted over time as validator rewards, subject to Avalanche's capped token supply framework. Validator rewards are paid at the end of the staking period and are determined by factors such as the validator's stake and compliance with staking conditions. Unlike some proof-of-stake systems, the Avalanche Primary Network does not use slashing of bonded principal as an ordinary penalty mechanism. Instead, the main protocol-level economic consequence for underperformance is the loss of reward eligibility. Where a validator fails to satisfy the applicable uptime requirement during its staking term, that validator does not receive the corresponding staking reward. Transaction fees apply on the C-Chain for transfers and smart-contract execution. The fee model follows EIP-1559 logic, meaning that transactions are priced through a dynamic base fee mechanism. In contrast to Ethereum's validator tip model, C-Chain transaction fees are burned rather than distributed to validators. This means that C-Chain fees function as a supply-reduction mechanism and are intended in part to offset inflation arising from the minting of validator rewards. In addition to ordinary transaction and smart-contract execution fees, Avalanche documentation also recognises protocol fees in connection with other network operations on other chains of the Primary Network, such as certain import or export operations and staking-related actions. However, for the C-Chain itself, the core applicable fee category is the gas fee for transaction inclusion and contract execution, and those fees are handled through the protocol burn mechanism rather than paid to validators or a treasury.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators.

This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Fantom's incentive model promotes network security through staking rewards, transaction fees, and delegation options, encouraging broad participation.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators:
   - Earning Rewards in FTM: Validators who participate in the consensus process earn rewards in FTM tokens, proportional to the amount they have staked. This incentivizes validators to actively secure the network.
   - Dynamic Staking Rate: Fantom's staking reward rate is dynamic, adjusting based on total FTM staked across the network. As more FTM is staked, individual rewards may decrease, maintaining a balanced reward structure that supports long-term network security.
2. Delegation for Token Holders:
   Delegated Staking: Users who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their FTM tokens to validators. In return, they share in the staking rewards, encouraging wider participation in securing the network.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees in FTM: Users pay transaction fees in FTM tokens. The network's high throughput and DAG structure keep fees low, making Fantom ideal for decentralized applications (dApps) requiring frequent transactions.
- Efficient Fee Model: The low fees and scalability of the network make it cost-effective for users, fostering a favorable environment for high-volume applications.

NEAR Protocol employs several economic mechanisms to secure the network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanisms to Secure Transactions:

1. Staking Rewards:
   Validators and delegators secure the network by staking NEAR tokens. Validators earn around 5% annual inflation, with 90% of newly minted tokens distributed as staking rewards. Validators propose blocks, validate transactions, and receive a share of these rewards based on their staked tokens. Delegators earn rewards proportional to their delegation, encouraging broad participation.
2. Delegation:
   Token holders can delegate their NEAR tokens to validators to increase the validator's stake and improve the chances of being selected to validate transactions. Delegators share in the validator's rewards based on their delegated tokens, incentivizing users to support reliable validators.
3. Slashing and Economic Penalties:
   Validators face penalties for malicious behavior, such as failing to validate correctly or acting dishonestly. The slashing mechanism enforces security by deducting a portion of their staked tokens, ensuring validators follow the network's best interests.
4. Epoch Rotation and Validator Selection:
   Validators are rotated regularly during epochs to ensure fairness and prevent centralization. Each epoch reshuffles validators, allowing the protocol to balance decentralization with performance.

Fees on the NEAR Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Users pay fees in NEAR tokens for transaction processing, which are burned to reduce the total circulating supply, introducing a potential deflationary effect over time. Validators also receive a portion of transaction fees as additional rewards, providing an ongoing incentive for network maintenance.
2. Storage Fees:
   NEAR Protocol charges storage fees based on the amount of blockchain storage consumed by accounts, contracts, and data. This requires users to hold NEAR tokens as a deposit proportional to their storage usage, ensuring the efficient use of network resources.
3. Redistribution and Burning:
   A portion of the transaction fees (burned NEAR tokens) reduces the overall supply, while the rest is distributed to validators as compensation for their work. The burning mechanism helps maintain long-term economic sustainability and potential value appreciation for NEAR holders.
4. Reserve Requirement:
   Users must maintain a minimum account balance and reserves for data storage, encouraging efficient use of resources and preventing spam attacks.

Optimism charges lower transaction fees than Ethereum Layer 1, as transactions are bundled in the rollup and written to the Ethereum main chain in compressed form. Gas fees on Optimism continue

to be paid in ETH. The incentive model is based on increased efficiency for users (lower fees, faster confirmation) and on the role of sequencers. Sequencers are central actors who collect, organize, and include transactions in the rollup. Their revenue comes from the gas fees they charge.

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation, depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator's commission and applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator's effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) avalanche, binance_smart_chain, ethereum, fantom, near_protocol, optimism, polygon, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Eigen

EIGEN | QRLFSB800

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Eigen

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Eigen	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	518.46583	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Yield Basis

YB | PVRBPLN36

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Yield Basis

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Yield Basis	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	498.56569	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

World Liberty Financial

WLFI | 3XVWCVFSX

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for World Liberty Financial

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	World Liberty Financial	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	471.48204	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

World Liberty Financial is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Solana.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.

- Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.

2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

World Liberty Financial is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Solana.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks,

attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Fabric Protocol

ROBO | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Fabric Protocol

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Fabric Protocol	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	431.71256	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Spark

SPK | GS4H3VSB1

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Spark

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Spark	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	402.15415	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Spark is present on the following networks: Base, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 "Cabinet" validators and 24 "Candidate" validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be

selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Spark is present on the following networks: Base, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to

compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base, binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Biconomy Token

BICO | Z2JL201QX

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Biconomy Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Biconomy Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	398.64009	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Reserve Rights

RSR | DQT9BWGCF

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Reserve Rights

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Reserve Rights	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	397.75515	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Reserve Rights is present on the following networks: Base, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 "Cabinet" validators and 24 "Candidate" validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be

selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Reserve Rights is present on the following networks: Base, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to

compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base, binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

LayerZero

ZRO | C0HH6QTBN

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for LayerZero

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	LayerZero	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	396.92381	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

LayerZero is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network

upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

LayerZero is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Gala

GALA | XS363HTZB

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Gala

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Gala	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	381.14780	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

DAPPOS

DOS | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for DAPPOS

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	DAPPOS	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	369.09659	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

DAPPOS is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

DAPPOS is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur

inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Optimism

OP | 9NRMM2RC4

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Optimism

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Optimism	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	366.77553	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Since Optimism is based on Ethereum, it ultimately inherits its security via the Ethereum blockchain and the proof-of-stake consensus. Within the rollup system, Optimism relies on a "fault proof" procedure. By default, transactions are assumed to be correct ("optimistic"). Only in the event of suspected faults is a fault proof initiated, in which incorrect transactions can be challenged by challengers. This model allows for high efficiency while ensuring correctness.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Optimism charges lower transaction fees than Ethereum Layer 1, as transactions are bundled in the rollup and written to the Ethereum main chain in compressed form. Gas fees on Optimism continue to be paid in ETH. The incentive model is based on increased efficiency for users (lower fees, faster confirmation) and on the role of sequencers. Sequencers are central actors who collect, organize, and include transactions in the rollup. Their revenue comes from the gas fees they charge.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) optimism is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all

implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



OFFICIAL TRUMP

TRUMP | LJDPGNXXK

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for OFFICIAL TRUMP

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	OFFICIAL TRUMP	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	346.30839	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

OFFICIAL TRUMP is present on the following networks: Solana, Tron.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

The Tron blockchain operates on a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism, designed to improve scalability, transaction speed, and energy efficiency.

Core Components:

1. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS): Tron uses DPoS, where token holders vote for a group of delegates known as Super Representatives (SRs)who are responsible for validating transactions and producing new blocks on the network. Token holders can vote for SRs based on their stake in the Tron network, and the top 27 SRs (or more, depending on the protocol version) are selected to participate in the block production process. SRs take turns producing blocks, which are added to the blockchain. This is done on a rotational basis to ensure decentralization and prevent control by a small group of validators.

2. Block Production: The Super Representatives generate new blocks and confirm transactions. The Tron blockchain achieves block finality quickly, with block production occurring every 3 seconds, making it highly efficient and capable of processing thousands of transactions per second.
3. Voting and Governance: Tron's DPoS system also allows token holders to vote on important network decisions, such as protocol upgrades and changes to the system's parameters. Voting power is proportional to the amount of TRX (Tron's native token) that a user holds and chooses to stake. This provides a governance system where the community can actively participate in decision-making.
4. Super Representatives: The Super Representatives play a crucial role in maintaining the security and stability of the Tron blockchain. They are responsible for validating transactions, proposing new blocks, and ensuring the overall functionality of the network. Super Representatives are incentivized with block rewards (newly minted TRX tokens) and transaction feesfor their work.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

OFFICIAL TRUMP is present on the following networks: Solana, Tron.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.

3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

The Tron blockchain uses a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism to secure its network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Super Representatives (SRs) Rewards:
   - Block Rewards: Super Representatives (SRs), who are elected by TRX holders, are rewarded for producing blocks. Each block they produce comes with a block reward in the form of TRX tokens.
   - Transaction Fees: In addition to block rewards, SRs receive transaction fees for validating transactions and including them in blocks. This ensures they are incentivized to process transactions efficiently.
2. Voting and Delegation:
   - TRX Staking: TRX holders can stake their tokens and vote for Super Representatives (SRs). When TRX holders vote, they delegate their voting power to SRs, which allows SRs to earn rewards in the form of newly minted TRX tokens.
   - Delegator Rewards: Token holders who delegate their votes to an SR can also receive a share of the rewards. This means delegators share in the block rewards and transaction fees that the SR earns.
   - Incentivizing Participation: The more tokens a user stakes, the more voting power they have, which encourages participation in governance and network security.
3. Incentive for SRs:
   SRs are also incentivized to maintain the health and performance of the network. Their reputation and continued election depend on their ability to produce blocks consistently and efficiently process transactions.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   - Fee Calculation: Users must pay transaction fees to have their transactions processed. The transaction fee varies based on the complexity of the transaction and the network's current demand. This is paid in TRX tokens. Transaction
   - Fee Distribution: Transaction fees are distributed to Super Representatives (SRs), giving them an ongoing income to maintain and support the network.
2. Storage Fees:
   Tron charges storage fees for data storage on the blockchain. This includes storing smart contracts, tokens, and other data on the network. Users are required to pay these fees in TRX tokens to store data.
3. Energy and Bandwidth:
   Energy: Tron uses a resource model that allows users to access network resources like bandwidth and energy through staking. Users who stake their TRX tokens receive \energy

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana, tron is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Bonk

BONK | H6KJTT0CP

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Bonk

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Bonk	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	328.31368	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Pyth Network

PYTH | 3980Q2CPS

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Pyth Network

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Pyth Network	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	302.35938	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Aethir Token

ATH | 1SL20Z9P1

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Aethir Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Aethir Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	297.36894	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Graph Token

GRT | VMQPVH41W

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Graph Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Graph Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	297.30893	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Graph Token is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network

upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Graph Token is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Fetch

FET | HWBLGXNBX

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Fetch

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Fetch	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	294.33600	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Cronos operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) model integrated with Tendermint's Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus, designed for decentralization, security, and interoperability. This model enables validators to be selected based on staking power, rewarding them for securing and validating the network.

Core Components:

- Proof of Stake (PoS) with Tendermint BFT Validator Selection: Validators are chosen based on the amount of CRO tokens staked, securing the network and producing blocks.
- Delegation Model: Token holders can delegate their CRO to validators, enabling participation in network security without needing to run a validator node.
- Cosmos SDK and Inter-Blockchain Communication (IBC) Cross-Chain Connectivity: Built on the Cosmos SDK, Cronos enables cross-chain communication, connecting to other Cosmos blockchains and ecosystems such as Ethereum and Binance Smart Chain.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Cronos incentivizes validators and delegators with staking rewards and transaction fees, aligning economic incentives with network security and growth.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards Validators and Delegators: Both groups earn CRO rewards for supporting network security. Delegators earn a portion of the validator rewards, promoting broader network participation.
- Deflationary Mechanism Token Burning: A portion of transaction fees and staking rewards may be periodically burned, reducing CRO supply over time and potentially increasing token value.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction and Smart Contract Fees Standard Transactions: Users pay CRO for network transactions and dApp interactions, providing a steady income for validators.
- Ethereum-Compatible Gas Fees: Executing Ethereum-compatible smart contracts incurs gas fees, similar to Ethereum, payable in CRO.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) cronos is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Raydium

RAY | 7HFXRDFHH

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Raydium

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Raydium	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	286.21435	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Fluid

FLUID | RKQWM0VRQ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Fluid

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Fluid	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	272.42075	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Fluid is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Base, Ethereum, Plasma.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Plasma Chain uses PlasmaBFT, a consensus protocol based on Fast HotStuff with pipelined execution. Validators are selected through a simplified Proof-of-Stake mechanism and participate in block production and finalization. Misbehavior does not lead to full slashing of stake but results in reduced rewards.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Fluid is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Base, Ethereum, Plasma.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Validators receive a share of transaction fees and protocol rewards for signing blocks. If validators fail to participate correctly, their reward share is reduced. The protocol is designed to balance participation incentives while limiting financial penalties.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, base, ethereum, plasma is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Render Token

RNDR | XR0JSKLNZ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Render Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Render Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	270.00450	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Render Token is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Solana.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.

- Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.

2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Render Token is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Solana.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.

3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Virtual Protocol

VIRTUAL | 7WMBV0R29

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Virtual Protocol

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Virtual Protocol	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	267.53332	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Virtual Protocol is present on the following networks: Base, Ethereum, Solana.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to

slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.

2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Virtual Protocol is present on the following networks: Base, Ethereum, Solana.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base, ethereum, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

StarkNet Token

STRK | NLWPNNWQZ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for StarkNet Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	StarkNet Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	265.21712	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

StarkNet Token is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Starknet.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Starknet employs zero-knowledge rollups (ZK-Rollups) for transaction aggregation and scalability, ensuring efficiency and security through succinct proof submissions to Ethereum.

Core Components:

- Zero-Knowledge Rollups (ZK-Rollups):
  Aggregates multiple off-chain transactions into a single proof, which is submitted to Ethereum's mainnet, reducing computational load and gas costs.

- Sequencer and Prover Roles:
  - Sequencers: Order and batch transactions for efficient processing.
  - Provers: Generate validity proofs ensuring the correctness of processed batches.
- Instant Finality:
  Transactions are final once a proof is verified and accepted on Ethereum, minimizing the risk of chain reorganizations and enhancing reliability.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

StarkNet Token is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Starknet.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Starknet's incentive model combines transaction fees and plans for future staking rewards to support network operations and security.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Transaction Fees: Users pay fees in Ether (ETH) to compensate sequencers and cover the cost of proof submission and storage on Ethereum.
- Dynamic Fee Model: Fees adjust based on transaction complexity and resource requirements, ensuring fair cost distribution and efficient network usage.
- Future Staking Rewards: Planned staking mechanisms will incentivize participants to lock their STARK tokens, enhancing network security and governance.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, starknet is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

FLOKI

FLOKI | R1XC4HQT5

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for FLOKI

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	FLOKI	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	260.73523	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

FLOKI is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

FLOKI is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur

inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

LoopringCoin V2

LRC | NZCPF6J82

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for LoopringCoin V2

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	LoopringCoin V2	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	259.62242	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Vaulta

A | D9D9M48K2

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Vaulta

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Vaulta	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	246.37500	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The EOS blockchain operates on a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism, designed to provide high transaction throughput and low latency.

Core Components of EOS Consensus:

- Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) with Block Producers (BPs) Voting for Block Producers: EOS token holders vote to select 21 block producers (BPs) who validate transactions and produce blocks. This voting process is continuous, with token holders able to reallocate their votes at any time, ensuring the active block producers are consistently those with the most community support.
- Active Rotation: The top 21 BPs are rotated regularly to maintain a decentralized and representative set of validators, helping secure the network while giving all selected BPs equal opportunities for block production. Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT) in DPoS EOS incorporates BFT principles within its DPoS consensus to finalize blocks with a high degree of security. Transactions gain irreversibility once approved by a majority of block producers, providing faster finality and reducing the risk of forks or double-spending attacks.
- High Throughput and Block Production Block Time: EOS block producers create blocks in 0.5-second intervals, facilitating a rapid transaction processing rate. If a block producer misses their turn, the system immediately switches to the next producer, keeping network latency minimal.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

EOS incentivizes block producers to maintain the network and operates with unique staking and resource models to control transaction costs.

Incentive Mechanisms:

Block Producer Rewards Earning EOS Tokens: Block producers are rewarded in EOS tokens for validating transactions and producing blocks, providing the primary economic incentive for maintaining network operations and security. Voting Rewards for BPs Although not part of the core protocol, block producers often offer incentives to encourage token holders to vote for them. This encourages accountability, transparency, and performance, as EOS holders tend to favor reliable and engaged BPs.

Applicable Fees and Resource Model:

- Fee-less Transactions for Users Resource Staking (CPU, NET): Rather than charging direct transaction fees, EOS allows users to perform fee-less transactions by staking EOS tokens for network resources like CPU and NET bandwidth, which are required for transaction processing.
- RAM for Storage: dApp developers purchase RAM for data storage on the EOS network. RAM prices are determined through a market-based system, where supply and demand influence cost.
- EOS EVM Gas Fees Dynamic Gas Model: For transactions on the EOS EVM, gas fees are dynamically calculated, based on transaction demand, similar to Ethereum's gas model. These fees, paid in EOS tokens, enable Ethereum-compatible smart contracts to run on EOS, offering a familiar environment for EVM developers and users. EOS EVM Integration With EOS EVM, users and developers benefit from a familiar gas fee structure, allowing Ethereum-based applications to operate seamlessly on the EOS network while maintaining competitive costs.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) eos is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Zentry

ZENT | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Zentry

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Zentry	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	243.20979	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Zentry is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Solana.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.

- Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.

2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Zentry is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Solana.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.

3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

VELO

VELO | Q52FMJ684

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for VELO

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	VELO	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	236.59789	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

VELO is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Stellar.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Consensus is reached through the Stellar Consensus Protocol (SCP), which is based on federated Byzantine agreement. This mechanism allows fast finality without mining or staking, but it depends on validators choosing other validators which they trust to reach agreement, called a quorum set. As a result, validator diversity and governance choices play a critical role in security, and concentration of influence among large operators may increase systemic risks.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

VELO is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Stellar.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Stellar does not use block rewards or mining. Instead, validators operate without direct protocol-level rewards. While this design keeps costs low, it may reduce incentives for validator participation compared to staking-based models. The sustainability of validator engagement depends largely on external factors such as institutional involvement and ecosystem adoption.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, stellar is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Immutable X

IMX | 9LJ0XLJBT

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Immutable X

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Immutable X	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	229.24107	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Immutable X is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Immutable X is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur

inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

BIO

BIO | TBR0XDRN8

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for BIO

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	BIO	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	221.74642	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

BIO is present on the following networks: Base, Ethereum, Solana.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to

slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.

2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

BIO is present on the following networks: Base, Ethereum, Solana.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base, ethereum, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Aevo

AEVO | GXXVVRJW1

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Aevo

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Aevo	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	212.17369	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Smooth Love Potion

SLP | 1JMZBTS1G

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Smooth Love Potion

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Smooth Love Potion	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	204.58714	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Smooth Love Potion is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Ronin.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Ronin utilizes a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism, where community-elected validators are responsible for securing the network and validating transactions.

Core Components of Ronin's Consensus:

1. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS):
   - Community Voting for Validator Selection: RON token holders delegate their tokens to vote for validators, who are then selected to produce blocks, validate transactions, and maintain network security. Validators with the most votes are chosen to participate in consensus.
   - Periodic Validator Rotation: Validators are regularly rotated based on community votes, enhancing decentralization and preventing long-term control by any single validator group. This rotation supports both security and fairness.
2. Incentive-Driven Voting System:
   Alignment with Community Interests: The voting system ensures that validators remain aligned with community goals. Validators that fail to perform adequately or act against network interests may lose votes and be replaced by more trusted participants.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Smooth Love Potion is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Ronin.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Ronin's incentive model combines rewards, slashing mechanisms, and governance features to support network security and encourage active community participation.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Rewards for Validators and Delegators:
   - Staking Rewards for Validators: Validators earn RON tokens as rewards for successfully producing blocks and validating transactions. These rewards incentivize validators to fulfill their duties diligently, maintaining network stability.
   - Delegator Rewards: Delegators who stake their tokens with selected validators also earn a portion of the staking rewards. This sharing of rewards promotes broad participation from token holders in network security and governance.
2. Slashing Mechanism for Accountability:
   - Penalty for Malicious Behavior: A slashing mechanism penalizes validators who act dishonestly or fail to meet performance standards by cutting a portion of their staked RON tokens. This deters misbehavior and encourages responsible participation.
   - Delegator Risk: Delegators who stake with misbehaving validators are also subject to slashing, which encourages them to choose trustworthy validators and monitor performance carefully.

3. Governance Participation:
   RON Token for Governance: Beyond staking and transaction fees, the RON token enables token holders to participate in governance. This includes voting on network upgrades, validator selection, and other protocol decisions, giving token holders a voice in network direction and policy.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Fees are paid in RON tokens, contributing to validator rewards and helping to maintain network operations. These fees are designed to be affordable, ensuring accessibility for users while supporting validators' roles.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, ronin is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Animecoin

ANIME | 7WD8SJ6W6

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Animecoin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Animecoin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	201.97091	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Animecoin is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network

upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Animecoin is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

zkPass

ZKP | GCSVX89G8

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for zkPass

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	zkPass	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	195.31877	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Rocket Pool

RPL | 69Q6NLJ3M

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Rocket Pool

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Rocket Pool	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	194.89386	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Rocket Pool is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Polygon.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production.

The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator's effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Rocket Pool is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Polygon.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation, depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator's commission and applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator's effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for

validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, polygon is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Synapse

SYN | GS8ZHZ81L

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Synapse

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Synapse	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	192.56407	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Synapse is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Aurora, Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Boba Network, Ethereum, Fantom, Harmony One, Moonriver, Optimism, Polygon.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Aurora functions as an Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM) compatible environment operating atop the NEAR Protocol. It does not possess an independent consensus mechanism but relies on NEAR's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus for transaction validation and network security.

The Avalanche C-Chain uses the Snowman++ consensus mechanism, which is Avalanche's consensus model for linear blockchains and is implemented through the ProposerVM wrapper. Under this model, validators repeatedly query a small random subset of other validators and converge on a preferred block once the required confidence thresholds are met. Only Primary Network validators are entitled to validate the C-Chain. To participate as a validator on Avalanche mainnet, a node must stake a minimum number of token. Token holders may also participate indirectly by delegating an

existing validator. Validator identity and admission to staking require the relevant staking credentials, including BLS proofs of possession under the current staking framework. For block production, Snowman++ uses stake-weighted proposer windows. Through the ProposerVM, block-building opportunities are assigned to proposers in 5-second windows, after which block production may fall back more broadly to validators if necessary. This mechanism is intended to regulate block production while preserving network liveness. Consensus voting itself remains based on repeated sub-sampled polling rather than fixed validator committees. Avalanche documentation describes the finality model as sub-second and treated by the protocol as final and irreversible once accepted, while noting that safety is probabilistic in the formal sense because the probability of conflicting acceptance can be reduced to an arbitrarily low level through the protocol parameters. The protocol does not rely on slashing of staked principal. Instead, validator reward eligibility depends on compliance with protocol conditions, including uptime requirements.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Boba Network operates as an Ethereum Layer 2 solution using an Optimistic Rollup architecture to improve scalability and reduce transaction costs.

Core Components:

- Optimistic Rollup: Processes transactions off-chain, aggregates them, and submits condensed proofs to the Ethereum mainnet for finalization.
- Sequencer Role: A sequencer organizes and bundles transactions off-chain before submitting the batched data to Ethereum. This enhances transaction throughput and reduces latency.
- Fraud Proofs: Maintains security by allowing users to challenge suspicious transactions. If a transaction is deemed fraudulent, it is corrected on the mainnet, ensuring integrity.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network

upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Fantom operates on the Lachesis Protocol, an Asynchronous Byzantine Fault Tolerant (aBFT) consensus mechanism designed for fast, secure, and scalable transactions.

Core Components of Fantom's Consensus:

1. Lachesis Protocol (aBFT):
   - Asynchronous and Leaderless: Lachesis allows nodes to reach consensus independently without relying on a central leader, enhancing decentralization and speed.
   - DAG Structure: Instead of a linear blockchain, Lachesis uses a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) structure, allowing multiple transactions to be processed in parallel across nodes. This structure supports high throughput, making the network suitable for applications requiring rapid transaction processing.
2. Event Blocks and Instant Finality:
   - Event Blocks: Transactions are grouped into event blocks, which are validated asynchronously by multiple validators. When enough validators confirm an event block, it becomes part of the Fantom network's history.
   - Instant Finality: Transactions on Fantom achieve immediate finality, meaning they are confirmed and cannot be reversed. This property is ideal for applications requiring fast and irreversible transactions.

Harmony operates on a consensus mechanism called Effective Proof of Stake (EPoS), designed to balance validator influence and enhance network security while improving transaction scalability.

Core Components:

1. Effective Proof of Stake (EPoS):
   - Validator Diversity: EPoS allows a large number of validators to participate and limits the influence of high-stake validators, promoting decentralization and preventing stake centralization.
   - Staking Across Shards: Multiple validators compete within each shard, distributing staking power more broadly and enhancing network security.
2. Sharding with PBFT Finality:
   - Parallel Transaction Processing: Harmony's four shards enable independent processing of transactions and smart contracts, enhancing scalability and throughput.
   - Fast Finality with PBFT: Each shard uses a modified Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (PBFT) model, ensuring immediate finality once blocks are validated and achieving high transaction speeds.

Moonriver operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism, enhanced by Polkadot's Nominated Proof of Stake (NPoS) model. This structure ensures secure, scalable, and decentralized transaction processing, leveraging the robust Substrate framework.

Core Components:

- Nominated Proof of Stake (NPoS):
  Validators are selected through staking by token holders, known as nominators, who delegate their MOVR tokens to trusted validator nodes. Validators with higher stakes and nominations are chosen to validate blocks and secure the network.

- Collator Nodes:
  Moonriver employs collator nodes, responsible for aggregating transactions into blocks and submitting them to the Polkadot Relay Chain for final validation. Collators ensure fast block production while relying on Polkadot's shared security.
- Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT):
  Moonriver integrates BFT properties to handle network disruptions and maintain consensus even if some validators act maliciously or become unavailable.
- Seamless Interoperability:
  As a parachain within the Polkadot ecosystem, Moonriver benefits from Polkadot's cross-chain messaging protocols, enabling secure and efficient interoperability with other parachains and external blockchains.

Since Optimism is based on Ethereum, it ultimately inherits its security via the Ethereum blockchain and the proof-of-stake consensus. Within the rollup system, Optimism relies on a "fault proof" procedure. By default, transactions are assumed to be correct ("optimistic"). Only in the event of suspected faults is a fault proof initiated, in which incorrect transactions can be challenged by challengers. This model allows for high efficiency while ensuring correctness.

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production. The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator's effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Synapse is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Aurora, Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Boba Network, Ethereum, Fantom, Harmony One, Moonriver, Optimism, Polygon.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed

transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

The Aurora network utilizes the AURORA token for governance and staking purposes. Token holders can participate in network governance through the AuroraDAO, influencing protocol decisions and developments.

The Avalanche C-Chain is secured economically through the native AVAX token. Validator incentives are based primarily on staking rewards, not on redistribution of C-Chain transaction fees. A fixed amount of 360 million AVAX was minted at genesis, while additional AVAX is minted over time as validator rewards, subject to Avalanche's capped token supply framework. Validator rewards are paid at the end of the staking period and are determined by factors such as the validator's stake and compliance with staking conditions. Unlike some proof-of-stake systems, the Avalanche Primary Network does not use slashing of bonded principal as an ordinary penalty mechanism. Instead, the main protocol-level economic consequence for underperformance is the loss of reward eligibility. Where a validator fails to satisfy the applicable uptime requirement during its staking term, that validator does not receive the corresponding staking reward. Transaction fees apply on the C-Chain for transfers and smart-contract execution. The fee model follows EIP-1559 logic, meaning that transactions are priced through a dynamic base fee mechanism. In contrast to Ethereum's validator tip model, C-Chain transaction fees are burned rather than distributed to validators. This means that C-Chain fees function as a supply-reduction mechanism and are intended in part to offset inflation arising from the minting of validator rewards. In addition to ordinary transaction and smart-contract execution fees, Avalanche documentation also recognises protocol fees in connection with other network operations on other chains of the Primary Network, such as certain import or export operations and staking-related actions. However, for the C-Chain itself, the core applicable fee category is the gas fee for transaction inclusion and contract execution, and those fees are handled through the protocol burn mechanism rather than paid to validators or a treasury.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee

network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

The Boba Network incentivizes participation and ensures affordability with flexible fee payment options and staking rewards.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards: BOBA token holders can stake their tokens to participate in governance and earn staking rewards, encouraging long-term engagement and network growth.
- Sequencer Revenue: The sequencer earns fees for bundling and submitting transactions, incentivizing efficient network operation.

Applicable Fees:

- Dual-Fee Token System: Users can pay transaction fees in BOBA tokens or the base blockchain token (e.g., ETH), offering flexibility.
- Low Transaction Costs: By batching transactions off-chain, Boba significantly reduces the gas fees users pay compared to directly using the Ethereum mainnet.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Fantom's incentive model promotes network security through staking rewards, transaction fees, and delegation options, encouraging broad participation.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators:
   - Earning Rewards in FTM: Validators who participate in the consensus process earn rewards in FTM tokens, proportional to the amount they have staked. This incentivizes validators to actively secure the network.
   - Dynamic Staking Rate: Fantom's staking reward rate is dynamic, adjusting based on total FTM staked across the network. As more FTM is staked, individual rewards may decrease, maintaining a balanced reward structure that supports long-term network security.

2. Delegation for Token Holders:
   Delegated Staking: Users who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their FTM tokens to validators. In return, they share in the staking rewards, encouraging wider participation in securing the network.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees in FTM: Users pay transaction fees in FTM tokens. The network's high throughput and DAG structure keep fees low, making Fantom ideal for decentralized applications (dApps) requiring frequent transactions.
- Efficient Fee Model: The low fees and scalability of the network make it cost-effective for users, fostering a favorable environment for high-volume applications.

Harmony incentivizes validators and delegators to participate in network security and performance through staking rewards, transaction fees, and a unique reward structure promoting decentralization.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators and Delegators:
   ONE Token Rewards: Validators earn ONE tokens for validating transactions and securing the network, with a share of these rewards distributed to delegators based on the amount staked.
2. Decentralization Penalty for High Stake:
   Reward Adjustment for Large Stakeholders: Validators with an excessive delegated stake experience reduced rewards, preventing centralization and encouraging a fair distribution of staking power.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Harmony charges minimal transaction fees in ONE tokens, benefiting high-frequency applications and providing validators with additional rewards.

Moonriver's incentive model ensures active participation from validators, nominators, and developers while maintaining network security and usability.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards: Validators and nominators earn MOVR tokens for securing the network and validating transactions.
- Collator Rewards: Collators, who propose blocks, are rewarded with MOVR tokens.
- Inflationary Rewards: New MOVR tokens are minted to fund staking and ecosystem development.
- Developer Incentives: A portion of rewards supports dApp development and ecosystem growth.

Applicable Fees:

- Transaction Fees: Paid in MOVR for transfers and smart contract interactions, distributed to validators and collators.
- Gas Fees: Calculated based on transaction complexity, ensuring predictable costs.
- Fee Burning: A portion of fees is burned, reducing token supply over time to support value retention.

Optimism charges lower transaction fees than Ethereum Layer 1, as transactions are bundled in the rollup and written to the Ethereum main chain in compressed form. Gas fees on Optimism continue

to be paid in ETH. The incentive model is based on increased efficiency for users (lower fees, faster confirmation) and on the role of sequencers. Sequencers are central actors who collect, organize, and include transactions in the rollup. Their revenue comes from the gas fees they charge.

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation, depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator's commission and applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator's effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, aurora, avalanche, binance_smart_chain, boba_network, ethereum, fantom, harmony_one, moonriver, optimism, polygon is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Pudgy Penguins

PENGU | 13JBPT88T

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Pudgy Penguins

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Pudgy Penguins	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	192.29457	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Kamino Finance

KMNO | LLRPNCLLK

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Kamino Finance

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Kamino Finance	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	175.78514	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Wormhole Token

W | 25J14XV4F

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Wormhole Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Wormhole Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	174.95468	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Wormhole Token is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum, Optimism, Solana.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network

upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Since Optimism is based on Ethereum, it ultimately inherits its security via the Ethereum blockchain and the proof-of-stake consensus. Within the rollup system, Optimism relies on a "fault proof" procedure. By default, transactions are assumed to be correct ("optimistic"). Only in the event of suspected faults is a fault proof initiated, in which incorrect transactions can be challenged by challengers. This model allows for high efficiency while ensuring correctness.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.

- Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.

2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Wormhole Token is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum, Optimism, Solana.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Optimism charges lower transaction fees than Ethereum Layer 1, as transactions are bundled in the rollup and written to the Ethereum main chain in compressed form. Gas fees on Optimism continue to be paid in ETH. The incentive model is based on increased efficiency for users (lower fees, faster confirmation) and on the role of sequencers. Sequencers are central actors who collect, organize, and include transactions in the rollup. Their revenue comes from the gas fees they charge.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, ethereum, optimism, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and

the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

0x Protocol Token

ZRX | HX56HH1ZX

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for 0x Protocol Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	0x Protocol Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	168.81569	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

0x Protocol Token is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Ethereum, Gnosis Chain, Solana.

The Avalanche C-Chain uses the Snowman++ consensus mechanism, which is Avalanche's consensus model for linear blockchains and is implemented through the ProposerVM wrapper. Under this model, validators repeatedly query a small random subset of other validators and converge on a preferred block once the required confidence thresholds are met. Only Primary Network validators are entitled to validate the C-Chain. To participate as a validator on Avalanche mainnet, a node must stake a minimum number of token. Token holders may also participate indirectly by delegating an existing validator. Validator identity and admission to staking require the relevant staking credentials, including BLS proofs of possession under the current staking framework. For block production, Snowman++ uses stake-weighted proposer windows. Through the ProposerVM, block-building opportunities are assigned to proposers in 5-second windows, after which block production may fall back more broadly to validators if necessary. This mechanism is intended to regulate block production while preserving network liveness. Consensus voting itself remains based on repeated sub-sampled polling rather than fixed validator committees. Avalanche documentation describes the finality model as sub-second and treated by the protocol as final and irreversible once accepted, while noting that safety is probabilistic in the formal sense because the probability of conflicting acceptance can be reduced to an arbitrarily low level through the protocol parameters. The protocol does not rely on slashing of staked principal. Instead, validator reward eligibility depends on compliance with protocol conditions, including uptime requirements.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is

implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Gnosis Chain – Consensus Mechanism Gnosis Chain employs a dual-layer structure to balance scalability and security, using Proof of Stake (PoS) for its core consensus and transaction finality.

Core Components:

- Two-Layer Structure Layer 1: Gnosis Beacon Chain The Gnosis Beacon Chain operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) mechanism, acting as the security and consensus backbone. Validators stake GNO tokens on the Beacon Chain and validate transactions, ensuring network security and finality.
- Layer 2: Gnosis xDai Chain processes transactions and dApp interactions, providing high-speed, low-cost transactions. Layer 2 transaction data is finalized on the Gnosis Beacon Chain, creating an integrated framework where Layer 1 ensures security and finality, and Layer 2 enhances scalability. Validator Role and Staking Validators on the Gnosis Beacon Chain stake GNO tokens and participate in consensus by validating blocks. This setup ensures that validators have an economic interest in maintaining the security and integrity of both the Beacon Chain (Layer 1) and the xDai Chain (Layer 2). Cross-Layer Security Transactions on Layer 2 are ultimately finalized on Layer 1, providing security and finality to all activities on the Gnosis Chain. This architecture allows Gnosis Chain to combine the speed and cost efficiency of Layer 2 with the security guarantees of a PoS-secured Layer 1, making it suitable for both high-frequency applications and secure asset management.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

0x Protocol Token is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Ethereum, Gnosis Chain, Solana.

The Avalanche C-Chain is secured economically through the native AVAX token. Validator incentives are based primarily on staking rewards, not on redistribution of C-Chain transaction fees. A fixed amount of 360 million AVAX was minted at genesis, while additional AVAX is minted over time as validator rewards, subject to Avalanche's capped token supply framework. Validator rewards are paid at the end of the staking period and are determined by factors such as the validator's stake and compliance with staking conditions. Unlike some proof-of-stake systems, the Avalanche Primary Network does not use slashing of bonded principal as an ordinary penalty mechanism. Instead, the main protocol-level economic consequence for underperformance is the loss of reward eligibility.

Where a validator fails to satisfy the applicable uptime requirement during its staking term, that validator does not receive the corresponding staking reward. Transaction fees apply on the C-Chain for transfers and smart-contract execution. The fee model follows EIP-1559 logic, meaning that transactions are priced through a dynamic base fee mechanism. In contrast to Ethereum's validator tip model, C-Chain transaction fees are burned rather than distributed to validators. This means that C-Chain fees function as a supply-reduction mechanism and are intended in part to offset inflation arising from the minting of validator rewards. In addition to ordinary transaction and smart-contract execution fees, Avalanche documentation also recognises protocol fees in connection with other network operations on other chains of the Primary Network, such as certain import or export operations and staking-related actions. However, for the C-Chain itself, the core applicable fee category is the gas fee for transaction inclusion and contract execution, and those fees are handled through the protocol burn mechanism rather than paid to validators or a treasury.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

The Gnosis Chain's incentive and fee models encourage both validator participation and network accessibility, using a dual-token system to maintain low transaction costs and effective staking rewards.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards for Validators GNO Rewards: Validators earn staking rewards in GNO tokens for their participation in consensus and securing the network.
- Delegation Model: GNO holders who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their GNO tokens to validators, allowing them to share in staking rewards and encouraging broader participation in network security.
- Dual-Token Model GNO: Used for staking, governance, and validator rewards, GNO aligns long-term network security incentives with token holders' economic interests.
- xDai: Serves as the primary transaction currency, providing stable and low-cost transactions. The use of a stable token (xDai) for fees minimizes volatility and offers predictable costs for users and developers.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees in xDai Users pay transaction fees in xDai, the stable fee token, making costs affordable and predictable. This model is especially suited for high-frequency applications and dApps where low transaction fees are essential. xDai transaction fees are redistributed to validators as part of their compensation, aligning their rewards with network activity. Delegated Staking Rewards Through delegated staking, GNO holders can earn a share of staking rewards by delegating their tokens to active validators, promoting user participation in network security without requiring direct involvement in consensus operations.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) avalanche, ethereum, gnosis_chain, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy

consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

SSV

SSV | 920QFJ930

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for SSV

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	SSV	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	162.95450	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Gravity

G | JQGMWFSXV

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Gravity

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Gravity	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	157.07652	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

WINkLink

WIN | DMFRX829S

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for WINkLink

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	WINkLink	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	156.90336	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Tron blockchain operates on a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism, designed to improve scalability, transaction speed, and energy efficiency.

Core Components:

1. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS): Tron uses DPoS, where token holders vote for a group of delegates known as Super Representatives (SRs)who are responsible for validating transactions and producing new blocks on the network. Token holders can vote for SRs based on their stake in the Tron network, and the top 27 SRs (or more, depending on the protocol version) are selected to participate in the block production process. SRs take turns producing blocks, which are added to the blockchain. This is done on a rotational basis to ensure decentralization and prevent control by a small group of validators.
2. Block Production: The Super Representatives generate new blocks and confirm transactions. The Tron blockchain achieves block finality quickly, with block production occurring every 3 seconds, making it highly efficient and capable of processing thousands of transactions per second.
3. Voting and Governance: Tron's DPoS system also allows token holders to vote on important network decisions, such as protocol upgrades and changes to the system's parameters. Voting power is proportional to the amount of TRX (Tron's native token) that a user holds and chooses to stake. This provides a governance system where the community can actively participate in decision-making.
4. Super Representatives: The Super Representatives play a crucial role in maintaining the security and stability of the Tron blockchain. They are responsible for validating transactions, proposing

new blocks, and ensuring the overall functionality of the network. Super Representatives are incentivized with block rewards (newly minted TRX tokens) and transaction feesfor their work.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The Tron blockchain uses a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism to secure its network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Super Representatives (SRs) Rewards:
   - Block Rewards: Super Representatives (SRs), who are elected by TRX holders, are rewarded for producing blocks. Each block they produce comes with a block reward in the form of TRX tokens.
   - Transaction Fees: In addition to block rewards, SRs receive transaction fees for validating transactions and including them in blocks. This ensures they are incentivized to process transactions efficiently.
2. Voting and Delegation:
   - TRX Staking: TRX holders can stake their tokens and vote for Super Representatives (SRs). When TRX holders vote, they delegate their voting power to SRs, which allows SRs to earn rewards in the form of newly minted TRX tokens.
   - Delegator Rewards: Token holders who delegate their votes to an SR can also receive a share of the rewards. This means delegators share in the block rewards and transaction fees that the SR earns.
   - Incentivizing Participation: The more tokens a user stakes, the more voting power they have, which encourages participation in governance and network security.
3. Incentive for SRs:
   SRs are also incentivized to maintain the health and performance of the network. Their reputation and continued election depend on their ability to produce blocks consistently and efficiently process transactions.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   - Fee Calculation: Users must pay transaction fees to have their transactions processed. The transaction fee varies based on the complexity of the transaction and the network's current demand. This is paid in TRX tokens. Transaction
   - Fee Distribution: Transaction fees are distributed to Super Representatives (SRs), giving them an ongoing income to maintain and support the network.
2. Storage Fees:
   Tron charges storage fees for data storage on the blockchain. This includes storing smart contracts, tokens, and other data on the network. Users are required to pay these fees in TRX tokens to store data.
3. Energy and Bandwidth:
   Energy: Tron uses a resource model that allows users to access network resources like bandwidth and energy through staking. Users who stake their TRX tokens receive \energy

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) tron is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of

the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Grvt

GRVT | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Grvt

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Grvt	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	156.31168	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Grvt is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Grvt is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur

inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Mask Network

MASK | VTV046TSP

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Mask Network

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Mask Network	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	150.49791	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Mask Network is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Polygon.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production. The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator's effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Mask Network is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Polygon.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality

voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation, depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator's commission and applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator's effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum, polygon is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

SPACE ID

ID | C1D0QW564

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for SPACE ID

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	SPACE ID	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	148.77928	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

SPACE ID is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

SPACE ID is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur

inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Re

RE | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Re

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Re	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	148.36578	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Midnight

NIGHT | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Midnight

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Midnight	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	147.77361	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Midnight is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Cardano.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Core Components: Cardano uses the Ouroboros consensus mechanism, a Proof of Stake (PoS) protocol designed for scalability, security, and energy efficiency.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of Stake (PoS): Validators (called slot leaders) are selected based on the amount of ADA they have staked, rather than solving complex computational puzzles. Validators propose and validate blocks, which are added to the blockchain.
2. Epochs and Slot Leaders: Cardano divides time into epochs (fixed time periods), each of which is subdivided into slots. Slot leaders are selected for each slot to validate and propose blocks. Slot leaders are chosen randomly based on the amount of ADA staked. More stake increases the probability of being selected. Validators are responsible for confirming transactions during their slot and passing the block to the next slot leader.
3. Delegation and Staking Pools: ADA holders can delegate their tokens to staking pools, which increases the pool's chances of being selected to validate a block. The pool operator and delegators share the rewards based on their stakes. This system ensures that participants who do not want to operate a full validator node can still earn rewards and contribute to network security by supporting trusted staking pools.
4. Security and Adversary Resistance: Ouroboros ensures security even in the presence of potential attacks. It assumes that adversaries may attempt to propagate alternative chains or send arbitrary messages. The protocol is secure as long as more than 51% of the staked ADA is controlled by honest participants. Settlement Delay: To protect against adversarial attacks, the new slot leader must consider the last few blocks as transient. Only the blocks preceding these are treated as finalized, ensuring that chain finality is secure against manipulation attempts. This mechanism also allows participants to temporarily go offline and resynchronize as long as they are not disconnected for more than the settlement delay period.
5. Chain Selection: Cardano's nodes adopt the longest valid chain rule: each node stores a local copy of the blockchain and replaces it with any discovered valid, longer chain. This ensures that all nodes eventually converge on a single version of the blockchain, maintaining network consistency.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Midnight is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Cardano.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction

fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Cardano uses incentive mechanisms to ensure network security and decentralization through staking rewards, slashing mechanisms, and transaction fees.

Incentive Mechanisms to Secure Transactions:

1. Staking Rewards:
   - Validators, known as slot leaders, secure the network by validating transactions and creating new blocks. To participate, validators must stake ADA, and those with larger stakes are more likely to be selected as slot leaders.
   - Validators are rewarded with newly minted ADA and transaction fees for successfully producing blocks and validating transactions.
   - Delegators, who may not wish to run a validator node, can delegate their ADA to staking pools. By doing so, they contribute to the network's security and earn a share of the rewards earned by the pool. The rewards are distributed proportionally based on the amount of ADA delegated.
2. Slashing Mechanism:
   - To prevent malicious behavior, Cardano employs a slashing mechanism. Validators who act dishonestly, fail to validate transactions properly, or produce incorrect blocks face penalties that involve the slashing of a portion of their staked ADA.
   - This provides strong economic incentives for validators to act honestly and ensures the network's integrity and security.
3. Delegation and Pool Operation:
   - Staking pools can charge operation fees (a margin on rewards) to maintain their infrastructure. This includes fixed costs set by pool operators. Delegators earn rewards after pool fees are deducted, providing a balanced incentive for both operators and delegators to participate actively.
   - Rewards are distributed at the end of each epoch, where staking pool performance and participation determine the distribution of ADA rewards to all stakeholders.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   - Transaction fees on Cardano are paid in ADA and are generally low. They are calculated based on the size of the transaction and the network's current demand. These fees are paid to validators for including transactions in new blocks.
   - The fee formula is: a + b × size, where a is a constant (typically 0.155381 ADA), b is a coefficient related to the transaction size (0.000043946 ADA/byte), and size refers to the transaction size in bytes. This ensures that the fee adapts based on network load and the size of each transaction.
2. Staking Pool Fees:
   - Staking pool operators charge operational costs and a margin fee, which covers the cost of running and maintaining the staking pool. These fees vary between pools but ensure that operators can continue to provide their services while offering rewards to delegators.
   - After the operator's fee, the remaining rewards are distributed among the delegators based on the size of their stake.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, cardano is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Lombard

BARD | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Lombard

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Lombard	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	147.16979	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Lombard is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Lombard is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur

inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Bounce Token

AUCTION | CX8MCQ9R0

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Bounce Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Bounce Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	146.60924	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Bounce Token is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Bounce Token is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur

inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Big Time

TIME | DM8NPMHHW

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Big Time

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Big Time	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	146.52449	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Alchemy

ACH | MBKSZCVQM

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Alchemy

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Alchemy	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	141.91197	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Alchemy is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Alchemy is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur

inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

edgeX_edge

EDGE | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for edgeX_edge

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	edgeX_edge	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	141.76673	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

edgeX_edge is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

edgeX_edge is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur

inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

DoubleZero

2Z | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for DoubleZero

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	DoubleZero	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	137.53546	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Memecoin

MEME | THW1BFN8J

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Memecoin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Memecoin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	132.64397	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Succinct

PROVE | 1ZZ7H452N

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Succinct

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Succinct	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	125.84535	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Blur

BLUR | R91SVKW99

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Blur

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Blur	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	120.67556	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Illuvium

ILV | 1J74CCB0S

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Illuvium

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Illuvium	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	119.68409	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Illuvium is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Solana.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.

- Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.

2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Illuvium is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Solana.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.

3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Yield Guild Games Token

YGG | WV93P8B45

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Yield Guild Games Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Yield Guild Games Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	118.86214	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Yield Guild Games Token is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Harmony One, Ronin, Solana.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Harmony operates on a consensus mechanism called Effective Proof of Stake (EPoS), designed to balance validator influence and enhance network security while improving transaction scalability.

Core Components:

1. Effective Proof of Stake (EPoS):
   - Validator Diversity: EPoS allows a large number of validators to participate and limits the influence of high-stake validators, promoting decentralization and preventing stake centralization.
   - Staking Across Shards: Multiple validators compete within each shard, distributing staking power more broadly and enhancing network security.
2. Sharding with PBFT Finality:
   - Parallel Transaction Processing: Harmony's four shards enable independent processing of transactions and smart contracts, enhancing scalability and throughput.
   - Fast Finality with PBFT: Each shard uses a modified Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (PBFT) model, ensuring immediate finality once blocks are validated and achieving high transaction speeds.

Ronin utilizes a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism, where community-elected validators are responsible for securing the network and validating transactions.

Core Components of Ronin's Consensus:

1. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS):
   - Community Voting for Validator Selection: RON token holders delegate their tokens to vote for validators, who are then selected to produce blocks, validate transactions, and maintain network security. Validators with the most votes are chosen to participate in consensus.
   - Periodic Validator Rotation: Validators are regularly rotated based on community votes, enhancing decentralization and preventing long-term control by any single validator group. This rotation supports both security and fairness.
2. Incentive-Driven Voting System:
   Alignment with Community Interests: The voting system ensures that validators remain aligned with community goals. Validators that fail to perform adequately or act against network interests may lose votes and be replaced by more trusted participants.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Yield Guild Games Token is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Harmony One, Ronin, Solana.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Harmony incentivizes validators and delegators to participate in network security and performance through staking rewards, transaction fees, and a unique reward structure promoting decentralization.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Staking Rewards for Validators and Delegators:
   ONE Token Rewards: Validators earn ONE tokens for validating transactions and securing the network, with a share of these rewards distributed to delegators based on the amount staked.
2. Decentralization Penalty for High Stake:
   Reward Adjustment for Large Stakeholders: Validators with an excessive delegated stake experience reduced rewards, preventing centralization and encouraging a fair distribution of staking power.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Harmony charges minimal transaction fees in ONE tokens, benefiting high-frequency applications and providing validators with additional rewards.

Ronin's incentive model combines rewards, slashing mechanisms, and governance features to support network security and encourage active community participation.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Rewards for Validators and Delegators:
   - Staking Rewards for Validators: Validators earn RON tokens as rewards for successfully producing blocks and validating transactions. These rewards incentivize validators to fulfill their duties diligently, maintaining network stability.
   - Delegator Rewards: Delegators who stake their tokens with selected validators also earn a portion of the staking rewards. This sharing of rewards promotes broad participation from token holders in network security and governance.
2. Slashing Mechanism for Accountability:
   - Penalty for Malicious Behavior: A slashing mechanism penalizes validators who act dishonestly or fail to meet performance standards by cutting a portion of their staked RON tokens. This deters misbehavior and encourages responsible participation.
   - Delegator Risk: Delegators who stake with misbehaving validators are also subject to slashing, which encourages them to choose trustworthy validators and monitor performance carefully.
3. Governance Participation:
   RON Token for Governance: Beyond staking and transaction fees, the RON token enables token holders to participate in governance. This includes voting on network upgrades, validator selection, and other protocol decisions, giving token holders a voice in network direction and policy.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Fees are paid in RON tokens, contributing to validator rewards and helping to maintain network operations. These fees are designed to be affordable, ensuring accessibility for users while supporting validators' roles.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, harmony_one, ronin, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Liquity

LQTY | C5D1WG11D

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Liquity

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Liquity	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	117.13461	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Pixels

PIXEL | 5HPLWBHDP

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Pixels

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Pixels	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	116.04945	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Pixels is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Ronin.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Ronin utilizes a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism, where community-elected validators are responsible for securing the network and validating transactions.

Core Components of Ronin's Consensus:

1. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS):
   - Community Voting for Validator Selection: RON token holders delegate their tokens to vote for validators, who are then selected to produce blocks, validate transactions, and maintain network security. Validators with the most votes are chosen to participate in consensus.
   - Periodic Validator Rotation: Validators are regularly rotated based on community votes, enhancing decentralization and preventing long-term control by any single validator group. This rotation supports both security and fairness.
2. Incentive-Driven Voting System:
   Alignment with Community Interests: The voting system ensures that validators remain aligned with community goals. Validators that fail to perform adequately or act against network interests may lose votes and be replaced by more trusted participants.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Pixels is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Ronin.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Ronin's incentive model combines rewards, slashing mechanisms, and governance features to support network security and encourage active community participation.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Rewards for Validators and Delegators:
   - Staking Rewards for Validators: Validators earn RON tokens as rewards for successfully producing blocks and validating transactions. These rewards incentivize validators to fulfill their duties diligently, maintaining network stability.
   - Delegator Rewards: Delegators who stake their tokens with selected validators also earn a portion of the staking rewards. This sharing of rewards promotes broad participation from token holders in network security and governance.
2. Slashing Mechanism for Accountability:
   - Penalty for Malicious Behavior: A slashing mechanism penalizes validators who act dishonestly or fail to meet performance standards by cutting a portion of their staked RON tokens. This deters misbehavior and encourages responsible participation.
   - Delegator Risk: Delegators who stake with misbehaving validators are also subject to slashing, which encourages them to choose trustworthy validators and monitor performance carefully.

3. Governance Participation:
   RON Token for Governance: Beyond staking and transaction fees, the RON token enables token holders to participate in governance. This includes voting on network upgrades, validator selection, and other protocol decisions, giving token holders a voice in network direction and policy.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees: Fees are paid in RON tokens, contributing to validator rewards and helping to maintain network operations. These fees are designed to be affordable, ensuring accessibility for users while supporting validators' roles.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, ronin is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

USD.AI

CHIP | 6FNTLPHG8

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for USD.AI

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	USD.AI	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	112.85343	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Resolv

RESOLV | 50HC509TX

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Resolv

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Resolv	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	112.60219	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

OMI Token

OMI | 3JJ63T2TQ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for OMI Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	OMI Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	112.10646	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

SKALE

SKL | 9KVZ861DR

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for SKALE

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	SKALE	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	109.91107	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Polyhedra Network Token

ZKJ | WBRGJ0BFP

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Polyhedra Network Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Polyhedra Network Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	109.41534	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Sahara AI

SAHARA | 4PS1S77QG

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Sahara AI

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Sahara AI	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	106.96280	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Sahara AI is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Sahara AI is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur

inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Arkham

ARKM | 327V1DQ8H

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Arkham

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Arkham	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	104.31637	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Civic

CVC | 95LV3DCWZ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Civic

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Civic	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	102.64230	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Civic is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Tron.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

The Tron blockchain operates on a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism, designed to improve scalability, transaction speed, and energy efficiency.

Core Components:

1. Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS): Tron uses DPoS, where token holders vote for a group of delegates known as Super Representatives (SRs)who are responsible for validating transactions and producing new blocks on the network. Token holders can vote for SRs based on their stake in

the Tron network, and the top 27 SRs (or more, depending on the protocol version) are selected to participate in the block production process. SRs take turns producing blocks, which are added to the blockchain. This is done on a rotational basis to ensure decentralization and prevent control by a small group of validators.
2. Block Production: The Super Representatives generate new blocks and confirm transactions. The Tron blockchain achieves block finality quickly, with block production occurring every 3 seconds, making it highly efficient and capable of processing thousands of transactions per second.
3. Voting and Governance: Tron's DPoS system also allows token holders to vote on important network decisions, such as protocol upgrades and changes to the system's parameters. Voting power is proportional to the amount of TRX (Tron's native token) that a user holds and chooses to stake. This provides a governance system where the community can actively participate in decision-making.
4. Super Representatives: The Super Representatives play a crucial role in maintaining the security and stability of the Tron blockchain. They are responsible for validating transactions, proposing new blocks, and ensuring the overall functionality of the network. Super Representatives are incentivized with block rewards (newly minted TRX tokens) and transaction feesfor their work.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Civic is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Tron.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

The Tron blockchain uses a Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) consensus mechanism to secure its network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanism:

1. Super Representatives (SRs) Rewards:
   - Block Rewards: Super Representatives (SRs), who are elected by TRX holders, are rewarded for producing blocks. Each block they produce comes with a block reward in the form of TRX tokens.
   - Transaction Fees: In addition to block rewards, SRs receive transaction fees for validating transactions and including them in blocks. This ensures they are incentivized to process transactions efficiently.
2. Voting and Delegation:
   - TRX Staking: TRX holders can stake their tokens and vote for Super Representatives (SRs). When TRX holders vote, they delegate their voting power to SRs, which allows SRs to earn rewards in the form of newly minted TRX tokens.

- Delegator Rewards: Token holders who delegate their votes to an SR can also receive a share of the rewards. This means delegators share in the block rewards and transaction fees that the SR earns.
- Incentivizing Participation: The more tokens a user stakes, the more voting power they have, which encourages participation in governance and network security.

3. Incentive for SRs:
   SRs are also incentivized to maintain the health and performance of the network. Their reputation and continued election depend on their ability to produce blocks consistently and efficiently process transactions.

Applicable Fees:

1. Transaction Fees:
   - Fee Calculation: Users must pay transaction fees to have their transactions processed. The transaction fee varies based on the complexity of the transaction and the network's current demand. This is paid in TRX tokens. Transaction
   - Fee Distribution: Transaction fees are distributed to Super Representatives (SRs), giving them an ongoing income to maintain and support the network.
2. Storage Fees:
   Tron charges storage fees for data storage on the blockchain. This includes storing smart contracts, tokens, and other data on the network. Users are required to pay these fees in TRX tokens to store data.
3. Energy and Bandwidth:
   Energy: Tron uses a resource model that allows users to access network resources like bandwidth and energy through staking. Users who stake their TRX tokens receive \energy

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, tron is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



JoeToken

JOE | VV204Q4J4

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for JoeToken

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	JoeToken	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	100.31553	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

JoeToken is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

The Avalanche C-Chain uses the Snowman++ consensus mechanism, which is Avalanche's consensus model for linear blockchains and is implemented through the ProposerVM wrapper. Under this model, validators repeatedly query a small random subset of other validators and converge on a preferred block once the required confidence thresholds are met. Only Primary Network validators are entitled to validate the C-Chain. To participate as a validator on Avalanche mainnet, a node must stake a minimum number of token. Token holders may also participate indirectly by delegating an existing validator. Validator identity and admission to staking require the relevant staking credentials, including BLS proofs of possession under the current staking framework. For block production, Snowman++ uses stake-weighted proposer windows. Through the ProposerVM, block-building opportunities are assigned to proposers in 5-second windows, after which block production may fall back more broadly to validators if necessary. This mechanism is intended to regulate block

production while preserving network liveness. Consensus voting itself remains based on repeated sub-sampled polling rather than fixed validator committees. Avalanche documentation describes the finality model as sub-second and treated by the protocol as final and irreversible once accepted, while noting that safety is probabilistic in the formal sense because the probability of conflicting acceptance can be reduced to an arbitrarily low level through the protocol parameters. The protocol does not rely on slashing of staked principal. Instead, validator reward eligibility depends on compliance with protocol conditions, including uptime requirements.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

JoeToken is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

The Avalanche C-Chain is secured economically through the native AVAX token. Validator incentives are based primarily on staking rewards, not on redistribution of C-Chain transaction fees. A fixed amount of 360 million AVAX was minted at genesis, while additional AVAX is minted over time as validator rewards, subject to Avalanche’s capped token supply framework. Validator rewards are paid at the end of the staking period and are determined by factors such as the validator’s stake and compliance with staking conditions. Unlike some proof-of-stake systems, the Avalanche Primary Network does not use slashing of bonded principal as an ordinary penalty mechanism. Instead, the main protocol-level economic consequence for underperformance is the loss of reward eligibility. Where a validator fails to satisfy the applicable uptime requirement during its staking term, that validator does not receive the corresponding staking reward. Transaction fees apply on the C-Chain for transfers and smart-contract execution. The fee model follows EIP-1559 logic, meaning that transactions are priced through a dynamic base fee mechanism. In contrast to Ethereum’s validator tip model, C-Chain transaction fees are burned rather than distributed to validators. This means that C-Chain fees function as a supply-reduction mechanism and are intended in part to offset inflation

arising from the minting of validator rewards. In addition to ordinary transaction and smart-contract execution fees, Avalanche documentation also recognises protocol fees in connection with other network operations on other chains of the Primary Network, such as certain import or export operations and staking-related actions. However, for the C-Chain itself, the core applicable fee category is the gas fee for transaction inclusion and contract execution, and those fees are handled through the protocol burn mechanism rather than paid to validators or a treasury.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, avalanche, binance_smart_chain is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Wootrade Network

WOO | G4515JG80

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Wootrade Network

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Wootrade Network	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	99.62126	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Wootrade Network is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Near Protocol, Polygon.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

The Avalanche C-Chain uses the Snowman++ consensus mechanism, which is Avalanche's consensus model for linear blockchains and is implemented through the ProposerVM wrapper. Under this model, validators repeatedly query a small random subset of other validators and converge on a preferred block once the required confidence thresholds are met. Only Primary Network validators are entitled to validate the C-Chain. To participate as a validator on Avalanche mainnet, a node must stake a minimum number of token. Token holders may also participate indirectly by delegating an existing validator. Validator identity and admission to staking require the relevant staking credentials, including BLS proofs of possession under the current staking framework. For block production, Snowman++ uses stake-weighted proposer windows. Through the ProposerVM, block-building opportunities are assigned to proposers in 5-second windows, after which block production may fall

back more broadly to validators if necessary. This mechanism is intended to regulate block production while preserving network liveness. Consensus voting itself remains based on repeated sub-sampled polling rather than fixed validator committees. Avalanche documentation describes the finality model as sub-second and treated by the protocol as final and irreversible once accepted, while noting that safety is probabilistic in the formal sense because the probability of conflicting acceptance can be reduced to an arbitrarily low level through the protocol parameters. The protocol does not rely on slashing of staked principal. Instead, validator reward eligibility depends on compliance with protocol conditions, including uptime requirements.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum’s consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

The NEAR Protocol uses a unique consensus mechanism combining Proof of Stake (PoS) and a novel approach called Doomslug, which enables high efficiency, fast transaction processing, and secure finality in its operations.

Core Concepts:

1. Doomslug and Proof of Stake:
   - NEAR's consensus mechanism primarily revolves around PoS, where validators stake NEAR tokens to participate in securing the network. However, NEAR's implementation is enhanced with the Doomslug protocol.
   - Doomslug allows the network to achieve fast block finality by requiring blocks to be confirmed in two stages. Validators propose blocks in the first step, and finalization occurs when two-thirds of validators approve the block, ensuring rapid transaction confirmation.

2. Sharding with Nightshade:
   - NEAR uses a dynamic sharding technique called Nightshade. This method splits the network into multiple shards, enabling parallel processing of transactions across the network, thus significantly increasing throughput. Each shard processes a portion of transactions, and the outcomes are merged into a single "snapshot" block.
   - This sharding approach ensures scalability, allowing the network to grow and handle increasing demand efficiently.

Consensus Process:

1. Validator Selection:
   - Validators are selected to propose and validate blocks based on the amount of NEAR tokens staked. This selection process is designed to ensure that only validators with significant stakes and community trust participate in securing the network.
2. Transaction Finality:
   - NEAR achieves transaction finality through its PoS-based system, where validators vote on blocks. Once two-thirds of validators approve a block, it reaches finality under Doomslug, meaning that no forks can alter the confirmed state.
3. Epochs and Rotation:
   - Validators are rotated in epochs to ensure fairness and decentralization. Epochs are intervals in which validators are reshuffled, and new block proposers are selected, ensuring a balance between performance and decentralization.

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production. The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator's effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Wootrade Network is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Near Protocol, Polygon.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

The Avalanche C-Chain is secured economically through the native AVAX token. Validator incentives are based primarily on staking rewards, not on redistribution of C-Chain transaction fees. A fixed amount of 360 million AVAX was minted at genesis, while additional AVAX is minted over time as validator rewards, subject to Avalanche's capped token supply framework. Validator rewards are paid at the end of the staking period and are determined by factors such as the validator's stake and compliance with staking conditions. Unlike some proof-of-stake systems, the Avalanche Primary Network does not use slashing of bonded principal as an ordinary penalty mechanism. Instead, the main protocol-level economic consequence for underperformance is the loss of reward eligibility. Where a validator fails to satisfy the applicable uptime requirement during its staking term, that validator does not receive the corresponding staking reward. Transaction fees apply on the C-Chain for transfers and smart-contract execution. The fee model follows EIP-1559 logic, meaning that transactions are priced through a dynamic base fee mechanism. In contrast to Ethereum's validator tip model, C-Chain transaction fees are burned rather than distributed to validators. This means that C-Chain fees function as a supply-reduction mechanism and are intended in part to offset inflation arising from the minting of validator rewards. In addition to ordinary transaction and smart-contract execution fees, Avalanche documentation also recognises protocol fees in connection with other network operations on other chains of the Primary Network, such as certain import or export operations and staking-related actions. However, for the C-Chain itself, the core applicable fee category is the gas fee for transaction inclusion and contract execution, and those fees are handled through the protocol burn mechanism rather than paid to validators or a treasury.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the

validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

NEAR Protocol employs several economic mechanisms to secure the network and incentivize participation.

Incentive Mechanisms to Secure Transactions:

1. Staking Rewards:
   Validators and delegators secure the network by staking NEAR tokens. Validators earn around 5% annual inflation, with 90% of newly minted tokens distributed as staking rewards. Validators propose blocks, validate transactions, and receive a share of these rewards based on their staked tokens. Delegators earn rewards proportional to their delegation, encouraging broad participation.
2. Delegation:
   Token holders can delegate their NEAR tokens to validators to increase the validator's stake and improve the chances of being selected to validate transactions. Delegators share in the validator's rewards based on their delegated tokens, incentivizing users to support reliable validators.
3. Slashing and Economic Penalties:
   Validators face penalties for malicious behavior, such as failing to validate correctly or acting dishonestly. The slashing mechanism enforces security by deducting a portion of their staked tokens, ensuring validators follow the network's best interests.
4. Epoch Rotation and Validator Selection:
   Validators are rotated regularly during epochs to ensure fairness and prevent centralization. Each epoch reshuffles validators, allowing the protocol to balance decentralization with performance.

Fees on the NEAR Blockchain:

1. Transaction Fees:
   Users pay fees in NEAR tokens for transaction processing, which are burned to reduce the total circulating supply, introducing a potential deflationary effect over time. Validators also receive a portion of transaction fees as additional rewards, providing an ongoing incentive for network maintenance.
2. Storage Fees:
   NEAR Protocol charges storage fees based on the amount of blockchain storage consumed by accounts, contracts, and data. This requires users to hold NEAR tokens as a deposit proportional to their storage usage, ensuring the efficient use of network resources.
3. Redistribution and Burning:
   A portion of the transaction fees (burned NEAR tokens) reduces the overall supply, while the rest is distributed to validators as compensation for their work. The burning mechanism helps maintain long-term economic sustainability and potential value appreciation for NEAR holders.
4. Reserve Requirement:
   Users must maintain a minimum account balance and reserves for data storage, encouraging efficient use of resources and preventing spam attacks.

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation, depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator's commission and applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator's effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, avalanche, binance_smart_chain, ethereum, near_protocol, polygon is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The

mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



dogwifhat

WIF | 10G31SK86

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for dogwifhat

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	dogwifhat	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	92.82659	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Enjin

ENJ | M9LNQXMNV

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Enjin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Enjin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	91.78141	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Enjin is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Solana.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.

- Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.

2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Enjin is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Solana.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.

3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Kyber Network Crystal v2

KNC | L0DZSBLVZ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Kyber Network Crystal v2

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Kyber Network Crystal v2	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	91.16331	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Kyber Network Crystal v2 is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Optimism, Polygon.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be

selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Since Optimism is based on Ethereum, it ultimately inherits its security via the Ethereum blockchain and the proof-of-stake consensus. Within the rollup system, Optimism relies on a "fault proof" procedure. By default, transactions are assumed to be correct ("optimistic"). Only in the event of suspected faults is a fault proof initiated, in which incorrect transactions can be challenged by challengers. This model allows for high efficiency while ensuring correctness.

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production. The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator's effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Kyber Network Crystal v2 is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Optimism, Polygon.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and

an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Optimism charges lower transaction fees than Ethereum Layer 1, as transactions are bundled in the rollup and written to the Ethereum main chain in compressed form. Gas fees on Optimism continue to be paid in ETH. The incentive model is based on increased efficiency for users (lower fees, faster confirmation) and on the role of sequencers. Sequencers are central actors who collect, organize, and include transactions in the rollup. Their revenue comes from the gas fees they charge.

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation, depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator's commission and applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator's effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, binance_smart_chain, ethereum, optimism, polygon is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Wayfinder Prompt

PROMPT | 3DFF12GSV

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Wayfinder Prompt

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Wayfinder Prompt	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	84.55316	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Wayfinder Prompt is present on the following networks: Base, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 "Cabinet" validators and 24 "Candidate" validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be

selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Wayfinder Prompt is present on the following networks: Base, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to

compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base, binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Brevis

BREV | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Brevis

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Brevis	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	84.43162	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Brevis is present on the following networks: Base, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 "Cabinet" validators and 24 "Candidate" validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be

selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Brevis is present on the following networks: Base, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to

compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base, binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Jito

JTO | D3XB6KZ00

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Jito

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Jito	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	83.19364	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

UMA Voting Token v1

UMA | JM4LKD71T

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for UMA Voting Token v1

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	UMA Voting Token v1	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	80.66283	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Banana

BANANA | 5WZXL33SN

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Banana

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Banana	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	79.95464	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Gluwa Creditcoin Vesting Token

GCRE | CJSC8G9C3

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Gluwa Creditcoin Vesting Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Gluwa Creditcoin Vesting Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	77.97171	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH

and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Billions Network

BILL | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Billions Network

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Billions Network	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	76.20123	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



ConstitutionDAO

PEOPLE | M69M29HTV

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for ConstitutionDAO

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	ConstitutionDAO	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	73.43929	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Sentient

SENT | CL5MH7KPZ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Sentient

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Sentient	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	70.60653	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Golem Network Token

GLM | XRWVBJL68

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Golem Network Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Golem Network Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	69.54425	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Status Network Token

SNT | X41MS94D1

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Status Network Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Status Network Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	59.84205	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Stader

SD | T1CP5RKGN

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Stader

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Stader	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	57.64666	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

DODO bird

DODO | SPRN0WLRS

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for DODO bird

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	DODO bird	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	56.48760	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

DODO bird is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower

probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

DODO bird is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee

network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Zora

ZORA | M4DCVM90D

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Zora

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Zora	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	56.21995	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Solstice

SLX | S6PKQMKSC

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Solstice

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Solstice	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	52.99003	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



BOOK OF MEME

BOME | JQCH4ZRFB

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for BOOK OF MEME

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	BOOK OF MEME	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	52.06477	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Baby Doge Coin

BABYDOGE | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Baby Doge Coin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Baby Doge Coin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	47.64050	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Baby Doge Coin is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Solana.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.

- Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.

2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Baby Doge Coin is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum, Solana.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks,

attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Tellor Tributes

TRB | B1P335VP1

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Tellor Tributes

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Tellor Tributes	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	46.58987	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Tellor Tributes is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum, Gnosis Chain, Optimism, Polygon.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to

slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Gnosis Chain – Consensus Mechanism Gnosis Chain employs a dual-layer structure to balance scalability and security, using Proof of Stake (PoS) for its core consensus and transaction finality.

Core Components:

- Two-Layer Structure Layer 1: Gnosis Beacon Chain The Gnosis Beacon Chain operates on a Proof of Stake (PoS) mechanism, acting as the security and consensus backbone. Validators stake GNO tokens on the Beacon Chain and validate transactions, ensuring network security and finality.
- Layer 2: Gnosis xDai Chain processes transactions and dApp interactions, providing high-speed, low-cost transactions. Layer 2 transaction data is finalized on the Gnosis Beacon Chain, creating an integrated framework where Layer 1 ensures security and finality, and Layer 2 enhances scalability. Validator Role and Staking Validators on the Gnosis Beacon Chain stake GNO tokens and participate in consensus by validating blocks. This setup ensures that validators have an economic interest in maintaining the security and integrity of both the Beacon Chain (Layer 1) and the xDai Chain (Layer 2). Cross-Layer Security Transactions on Layer 2 are ultimately finalized on Layer 1, providing security and finality to all activities on the Gnosis Chain. This architecture allows Gnosis Chain to combine the speed and cost efficiency of Layer 2 with the security guarantees of a PoS-secured Layer 1, making it suitable for both high-frequency applications and secure asset management.

Since Optimism is based on Ethereum, it ultimately inherits its security via the Ethereum blockchain and the proof-of-stake consensus. Within the rollup system, Optimism relies on a "fault proof" procedure. By default, transactions are assumed to be correct ("optimistic"). Only in the event of suspected faults is a fault proof initiated, in which incorrect transactions can be challenged by challengers. This model allows for high efficiency while ensuring correctness.

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production. The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator's effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts

and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Tellor Tributes is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum, Gnosis Chain, Optimism, Polygon.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

The Gnosis Chain's incentive and fee models encourage both validator participation and network accessibility, using a dual-token system to maintain low transaction costs and effective staking rewards.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards for Validators GNO Rewards: Validators earn staking rewards in GNO tokens for their participation in consensus and securing the network.
- Delegation Model: GNO holders who do not operate validator nodes can delegate their GNO tokens to validators, allowing them to share in staking rewards and encouraging broader participation in network security.
- Dual-Token Model GNO: Used for staking, governance, and validator rewards, GNO aligns long-term network security incentives with token holders' economic interests.
- xDai: Serves as the primary transaction currency, providing stable and low-cost transactions. The use of a stable token (xDai) for fees minimizes volatility and offers predictable costs for users and developers.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees in xDai Users pay transaction fees in xDai, the stable fee token, making costs affordable and predictable. This model is especially suited for high-frequency applications and dApps where low transaction fees are essential. xDai transaction fees are redistributed to validators as part of their compensation, aligning their rewards with network activity. Delegated Staking Rewards Through delegated staking, GNO holders can earn a share of staking rewards by delegating their tokens to active validators, promoting user participation in network security without requiring direct involvement in consensus operations.

Optimism charges lower transaction fees than Ethereum Layer 1, as transactions are bundled in the rollup and written to the Ethereum main chain in compressed form. Gas fees on Optimism continue to be paid in ETH. The incentive model is based on increased efficiency for users (lower fees, faster confirmation) and on the role of sequencers. Sequencers are central actors who collect, organize, and include transactions in the rollup. Their revenue comes from the gas fees they charge.

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation, depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator's commission and applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator's effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, ethereum, gnosis_chain, optimism, polygon is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a

precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



SwftCoin

SWFTC | 3N9BLCRG2

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for SwftCoin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	SwftCoin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	40.73853	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

SwftCoin is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

SwftCoin is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur

inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Spacecoin

SPACE | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Spacecoin

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Spacecoin	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	40.43764	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Tottenham Hotspur FC Fan Token

SPURS | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Tottenham Hotspur FC Fan Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Tottenham Hotspur FC Fan Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	38.03346	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Tottenham Hotspur FC Fan Token is present on the following networks: Base, Chiliz, Chiliz Legacy, Solana.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

The Chiliz Chain operates on a Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) consensus model, a hybrid that combines Proof of Stake (PoS) and Proof of Authority (PoA) to secure the network through both economic and reputational incentives.

Core Components:

- Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) Validator Selection: Validators are selected based on their stake of CHZ tokens and their reputation within the network, enhancing security and trustworthiness.

- Collateral Requirement: Validators must lock a portion of CHZ as collateral, which can be slashed if they act maliciously or fail to meet network standards, ensuring alignment with network security.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.

2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Tottenham Hotspur FC Fan Token is present on the following networks: Base, Chiliz, Chiliz Legacy, Solana.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Chiliz incentivizes validators and delegators to contribute to network security through rewards and transaction fees in CHZ.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards Validator Rewards: Validators earn CHZ tokens for validating transactions and maintaining network integrity.
- Delegator Rewards: CHZ holders who delegate their tokens to validators share in staking rewards, allowing passive participation in network security.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees CHZ-Based Fees: Transaction fees are paid in CHZ and are distributed to validators as additional compensation, supporting validator incentives and covering network operational costs.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more

tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
- Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.

3. Economic Security:
- Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
- Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base, chiliz, chiliz_legacy, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Zebec Network

ZBCN | DHV5NRJCF

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Zebec Network

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Zebec Network	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	37.26817	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

CelerToken

CELR | 3W8JZTFR6

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for CelerToken

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	CelerToken	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	36.99770	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

CelerToken is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

CelerToken is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur

inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



aixbt by Virtuals

AIXBT | 8762TQWQ6

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for aixbt by Virtuals

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	aixbt by Virtuals	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	36.41072	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

aixbt by Virtuals is present on the following networks: Base, Ethereum, Solana.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to

slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.

2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

aixbt by Virtuals is present on the following networks: Base, Ethereum, Solana.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base, ethereum, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Kite

KITE | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Kite

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Kite	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	36.26359	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Kite is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

The Avalanche C-Chain uses the Snowman++ consensus mechanism, which is Avalanche's consensus model for linear blockchains and is implemented through the ProposerVM wrapper. Under this model, validators repeatedly query a small random subset of other validators and converge on a preferred block once the required confidence thresholds are met. Only Primary Network validators are entitled to validate the C-Chain. To participate as a validator on Avalanche mainnet, a node must stake a minimum number of token. Token holders may also participate indirectly by delegating an existing validator. Validator identity and admission to staking require the relevant staking credentials, including BLS proofs of possession under the current staking framework. For block production, Snowman++ uses stake-weighted proposer windows. Through the ProposerVM, block-building opportunities are assigned to proposers in 5-second windows, after which block production may fall back more broadly to validators if necessary. This mechanism is intended to regulate block production while preserving network liveness. Consensus voting itself remains based on repeated sub-sampled polling rather than fixed validator committees. Avalanche documentation describes the finality model as sub-second and treated by the protocol as final and irreversible once accepted, while noting that safety is probabilistic in the formal sense because the probability of conflicting acceptance can be reduced to an arbitrarily low level through the protocol parameters. The protocol does not rely on slashing of staked principal. Instead, validator reward eligibility depends on compliance with protocol conditions, including uptime requirements.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA).

This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 "Cabinet" validators and 24 "Candidate" validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Kite is present on the following networks: Avalanche, Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

The Avalanche C-Chain is secured economically through the native AVAX token. Validator incentives are based primarily on staking rewards, not on redistribution of C-Chain transaction fees. A fixed amount of 360 million AVAX was minted at genesis, while additional AVAX is minted over time as validator rewards, subject to Avalanche's capped token supply framework. Validator rewards are paid at the end of the staking period and are determined by factors such as the validator's stake and compliance with staking conditions. Unlike some proof-of-stake systems, the Avalanche Primary Network does not use slashing of bonded principal as an ordinary penalty mechanism. Instead, the main protocol-level economic consequence for underperformance is the loss of reward eligibility. Where a validator fails to satisfy the applicable uptime requirement during its staking term, that validator does not receive the corresponding staking reward. Transaction fees apply on the C-Chain for transfers and smart-contract execution. The fee model follows EIP-1559 logic, meaning that transactions are priced through a dynamic base fee mechanism. In contrast to Ethereum's validator tip model, C-Chain transaction fees are burned rather than distributed to validators. This means that C-Chain fees function as a supply-reduction mechanism and are intended in part to offset inflation arising from the minting of validator rewards. In addition to ordinary transaction and smart-contract execution fees, Avalanche documentation also recognises protocol fees in connection with other network operations on other chains of the Primary Network, such as certain import or export operations and staking-related actions. However, for the C-Chain itself, the core applicable fee category is the gas fee for transaction inclusion and contract execution, and those fees are handled through the protocol burn mechanism rather than paid to validators or a treasury.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) avalanche, binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based

on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Trabzonspor Fan Token

TRA | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Trabzonspor Fan Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Trabzonspor Fan Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	34.18555	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Trabzonspor Fan Token is present on the following networks: Base, Chiliz, Chiliz Legacy, Solana.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

The Chiliz Chain operates on a Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) consensus model, a hybrid that combines Proof of Stake (PoS) and Proof of Authority (PoA) to secure the network through both economic and reputational incentives.

Core Components:

- Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) Validator Selection: Validators are selected based on their stake of CHZ tokens and their reputation within the network, enhancing security and trustworthiness.
- Collateral Requirement: Validators must lock a portion of CHZ as collateral, which can be slashed if they act maliciously or fail to meet network standards, ensuring alignment with network security.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.

- Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.

3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Trabzonspor Fan Token is present on the following networks: Base, Chiliz, Chiliz Legacy, Solana.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Chiliz incentivizes validators and delegators to contribute to network security through rewards and transaction fees in CHZ.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards Validator Rewards: Validators earn CHZ tokens for validating transactions and maintaining network integrity.
- Delegator Rewards: CHZ holders who delegate their tokens to validators share in staking rewards, allowing passive participation in network security.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees CHZ-Based Fees: Transaction fees are paid in CHZ and are distributed to validators as additional compensation, supporting validator incentives and covering network operational costs.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base, chiliz, chiliz_legacy, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Irys

IRYS | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Irys

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Irys	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	33.90587	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Irys is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Irys is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur

inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

dYdX

ETHDYDX | K8XRF7MFZ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for dYdX

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	dYdX	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	33.80388	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

dYdX is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Solana.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.

- Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.

2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

dYdX is present on the following networks: Ethereum, Solana.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.
2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.

3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Adventure Gold

AGLD | 2QNVF4SDM

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Adventure Gold

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Adventure Gold	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	33.78066	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Flamengo Fan Token

MENGO | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Flamengo Fan Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Flamengo Fan Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	30.26007	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Flamengo Fan Token is present on the following networks: Base, Chiliz, Chiliz Legacy, Solana.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

The Chiliz Chain operates on a Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) consensus model, a hybrid that combines Proof of Stake (PoS) and Proof of Authority (PoA) to secure the network through both economic and reputational incentives.

Core Components:

- Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) Validator Selection: Validators are selected based on their stake of CHZ tokens and their reputation within the network, enhancing security and trustworthiness.
- Collateral Requirement: Validators must lock a portion of CHZ as collateral, which can be slashed if they act maliciously or fail to meet network standards, ensuring alignment with network security.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.

- Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.

3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Flamengo Fan Token is present on the following networks: Base, Chiliz, Chiliz Legacy, Solana.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Chiliz incentivizes validators and delegators to contribute to network security through rewards and transaction fees in CHZ.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards Validator Rewards: Validators earn CHZ tokens for validating transactions and maintaining network integrity.
- Delegator Rewards: CHZ holders who delegate their tokens to validators share in staking rewards, allowing passive participation in network security.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees CHZ-Based Fees: Transaction fees are paid in CHZ and are distributed to validators as additional compensation, supporting validator incentives and covering network operational costs.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base, chiliz, chiliz_legacy, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Manchester City Fan Token

CITY | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Manchester City Fan Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Manchester City Fan Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	28.49664	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Manchester City Fan Token is present on the following networks: Base, Chiliz, Chiliz Legacy, Solana.

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

The Chiliz Chain operates on a Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) consensus model, a hybrid that combines Proof of Stake (PoS) and Proof of Authority (PoA) to secure the network through both economic and reputational incentives.

Core Components:

- Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) Validator Selection: Validators are selected based on their stake of CHZ tokens and their reputation within the network, enhancing security and trustworthiness.
- Collateral Requirement: Validators must lock a portion of CHZ as collateral, which can be slashed if they act maliciously or fail to meet network standards, ensuring alignment with network security.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.

- Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.

3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Manchester City Fan Token is present on the following networks: Base, Chiliz, Chiliz Legacy, Solana.

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

Chiliz incentivizes validators and delegators to contribute to network security through rewards and transaction fees in CHZ.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards Validator Rewards: Validators earn CHZ tokens for validating transactions and maintaining network integrity.
- Delegator Rewards: CHZ holders who delegate their tokens to validators share in staking rewards, allowing passive participation in network security.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees CHZ-Based Fees: Transaction fees are paid in CHZ and are distributed to validators as additional compensation, supporting validator incentives and covering network operational costs.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base, chiliz, chiliz_legacy, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Gods Unchained

GODS | 56SF1DNGQ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Gods Unchained

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Gods Unchained	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	27.90268	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Grass

GRASS | MNCR2MKHP

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Grass

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Grass	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	26.58905	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Open Loot

OL | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Open Loot

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Open Loot	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	21.10406	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

XMON

XMON | BQCLHDP88

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for XMON

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	XMON	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	18.97949	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

MAGIC

MAGIC | F41439TS4

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for MAGIC

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	MAGIC	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	18.53933	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

MAGIC is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum.

Arbitrum is an optimistic rollup that processes transactions on a Layer 2 network and relies on Ethereum for data availability and settlement. A sequencer orders incoming transactions, executes them and publishes compressed transaction batches to Ethereum. Arbitrum nodes can independently reconstruct and verify the resulting Layer 2 state using the transaction data published on the parent chain. Validators submit assertions representing the state of the network. Under the BoLD dispute protocol, conflicting or incorrect assertions may be challenged and resolved through an interactive fraud-proof process on Ethereum. Once an assertion has been confirmed and the applicable challenge process has been completed, the corresponding Layer 2 state is accepted for settlement purposes.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network

upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

MAGIC is present on the following networks: Arbitrum, Ethereum.

Transactions on Arbitrum are subject to fees paid in ETH. The total fee generally consists of a Layer 2 execution component, which covers the computational resources required to process the transaction, and a parent-chain data component, which reflects the cost of publishing compressed transaction data to Ethereum. The amount payable therefore depends on the computational complexity of the transaction, the volume and compressibility of its data and the prevailing cost of data publication on Ethereum. Participants that submit or challenge state assertions under the dispute protocol must provide economic bonds. These bonds are intended to discourage incorrect assertions and compensate successful participants in the dispute process. Withdrawals through the canonical bridge become executable after the relevant Layer 2 state has been confirmed and the applicable challenge period has elapsed.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) arbitrum, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Gmt

GMT | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Gmt

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Gmt	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	12.66565	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Tensor

TNSR | NTJJ182D7

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Tensor

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Tensor	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	12.40117	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Magic Eden

ME | 7987R7S6H

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Magic Eden

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Magic Eden	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	11.91335	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Peanut the Squirrel

PNUT | 75D0KJ7WN

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Peanut the Squirrel

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Peanut the Squirrel	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	11.39615	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Horizen

ZEN | T9QL1ZT5D

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Horizen

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Horizen	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	11.03112	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



cat in a dogs world

MEW | 5Z3NS3NDB

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for cat in a dogs world

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	cat in a dogs world	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	10.84956	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Jupiter

JUP | LKWXN892M

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Jupiter

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Jupiter	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	10.47347	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Jupiter is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs

of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Jupiter is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Ethereum.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur

inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Moo Deng

MOODENG | H3X85BK7M

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Moo Deng

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Moo Deng	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	10.29709	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Parcl

PRCL | JPJ2QRH6R

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Parcl

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Parcl	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	9.97971	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Huma Finance

HUMA | PPPSTQ5M9

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Huma Finance

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Huma Finance	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	9.97021	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Huma Finance is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Solana.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.

3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Huma Finance is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Solana.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Avantis

AVNT | DGJJGJJPB

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Avantis

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Avantis	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	9.44861	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Doodles

DOOD | KF6RX3LR1

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Doodles

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Doodles	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	8.98476	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Goatseus Maximus

GOAT | 7FS1WX5VK

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Goatseus Maximus

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Goatseus Maximus	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	8.04607	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Argentine Football Association Fan Token

ARG | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Argentine Football Association Fan Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Argentine Football Association Fan Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	7.56579	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Chiliz Chain operates on a Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) consensus model, a hybrid that combines Proof of Stake (PoS) and Proof of Authority (PoA) to secure the network through both economic and reputational incentives.

Core Components:

- Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) Validator Selection: Validators are selected based on their stake of CHZ tokens and their reputation within the network, enhancing security and trustworthiness.
- Collateral Requirement: Validators must lock a portion of CHZ as collateral, which can be slashed if they act maliciously or fail to meet network standards, ensuring alignment with network security.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Chiliz incentivizes validators and delegators to contribute to network security through rewards and transaction fees in CHZ.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards Validator Rewards: Validators earn CHZ tokens for validating transactions and maintaining network integrity.

- Delegator Rewards: CHZ holders who delegate their tokens to validators share in staking rewards, allowing passive participation in network security.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees CHZ-Based Fees: Transaction fees are paid in CHZ and are distributed to validators as additional compensation, supporting validator incentives and covering network operational costs.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) chiliz is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Catizen

CATI | MFR19R16P

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Catizen

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Catizen	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	6.45054	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Open Network uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism based on the Catchain protocol. Validators stake GRAM to participate in block production, transaction validation, and network security. Catchain provides Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus across the network's sharded architecture, enabling multiple shardchains to process transactions in parallel while maintaining a consistent global state. Validators are selected and rotated periodically, and once consensus is reached, blocks achieve deterministic finality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The Open Network incentivizes network participation through staking rewards and transaction fees. Validators receive rewards for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security, with rewards distributed according to their participation and stake. Transaction fees are paid in GRAM and vary depending on the computational resources required by a transaction. The protocol also applies penalties to validators that fail to meet their obligations or act maliciously, encouraging reliable network operation and honest participation.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) toncoin is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset

within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

DOGS

DOGS | JTT6RNXDG

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for DOGS

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	DOGS	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	6.45054	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Open Network uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism based on the Catchain protocol. Validators stake GRAM to participate in block production, transaction validation, and network security. Catchain provides Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus across the network's sharded architecture, enabling multiple shardchains to process transactions in parallel while maintaining a consistent global state. Validators are selected and rotated periodically, and once consensus is reached, blocks achieve deterministic finality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The Open Network incentivizes network participation through staking rewards and transaction fees. Validators receive rewards for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security, with rewards distributed according to their participation and stake. Transaction fees are paid in GRAM and vary depending on the computational resources required by a transaction. The protocol also applies penalties to validators that fail to meet their obligations or act maliciously, encouraging reliable network operation and honest participation.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) toncoin is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset

within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Hamster Kombat

HMSTR | S770D4DST

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Hamster Kombat

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Hamster Kombat	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	6.45054	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Open Network uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism based on the Catchain protocol. Validators stake GRAM to participate in block production, transaction validation, and network security. Catchain provides Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus across the network's sharded architecture, enabling multiple shardchains to process transactions in parallel while maintaining a consistent global state. Validators are selected and rotated periodically, and once consensus is reached, blocks achieve deterministic finality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The Open Network incentivizes network participation through staking rewards and transaction fees. Validators receive rewards for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security, with rewards distributed according to their participation and stake. Transaction fees are paid in GRAM and vary depending on the computational resources required by a transaction. The protocol also applies penalties to validators that fail to meet their obligations or act maliciously, encouraging reliable network operation and honest participation.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) toncoin is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset

within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

NOT

NOT | 2W30TD6D9

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for NOT

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	NOT	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	6.45054	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Open Network uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism based on the Catchain protocol. Validators stake GRAM to participate in block production, transaction validation, and network security. Catchain provides Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus across the network's sharded architecture, enabling multiple shardchains to process transactions in parallel while maintaining a consistent global state. Validators are selected and rotated periodically, and once consensus is reached, blocks achieve deterministic finality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

The Open Network incentivizes network participation through staking rewards and transaction fees. Validators receive rewards for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security, with rewards distributed according to their participation and stake. Transaction fees are paid in GRAM and vary depending on the computational resources required by a transaction. The protocol also applies penalties to validators that fail to meet their obligations or act maliciously, encouraging reliable network operation and honest participation.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) toncoin is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset

within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



ZEUS

ZEUS | 1TTNHMLDR

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for ZEUS

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	ZEUS	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	5.42478	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Degen

DEGEN | 253CXJJ4H

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Degen

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Degen	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	5.19895	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Simons Cat

CAT | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Simons Cat

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Simons Cat	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	4.55781	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Simons Cat is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Solana.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.

3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Simons Cat is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Solana.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Portugal National Team Fan Token

POR | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Portugal National Team Fan Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Portugal National Team Fan Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	4.01649	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

The Chiliz Chain operates on a Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) consensus model, a hybrid that combines Proof of Stake (PoS) and Proof of Authority (PoA) to secure the network through both economic and reputational incentives.

Core Components:

- Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) Validator Selection: Validators are selected based on their stake of CHZ tokens and their reputation within the network, enhancing security and trustworthiness.
- Collateral Requirement: Validators must lock a portion of CHZ as collateral, which can be slashed if they act maliciously or fail to meet network standards, ensuring alignment with network security.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Chiliz incentivizes validators and delegators to contribute to network security through rewards and transaction fees in CHZ.

Incentive Mechanisms:

- Staking Rewards Validator Rewards: Validators earn CHZ tokens for validating transactions and maintaining network integrity.

- Delegator Rewards: CHZ holders who delegate their tokens to validators share in staking rewards, allowing passive participation in network security.

Applicable Fees:

Transaction Fees CHZ-Based Fees: Transaction fees are paid in CHZ and are distributed to validators as additional compensation, supporting validator incentives and covering network operational costs.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) chiliz is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Solayer

LAYER | PWG559QJQ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Solayer

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Solayer	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	3.80263	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Toshi

TOSHI | WT9GJ1K9R

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Toshi

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Toshi	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	3.45927	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Sonic SVM

SONIC | WLR4VX2XB

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Sonic SVM

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Sonic SVM	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	3.34420	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.
3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

- Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

OneFootball Credits

OFC | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for OneFootball Credits

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	OneFootball Credits	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	3.32849	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.



Neiro

NEIRO | Q4TK4Q00M

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Neiro

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Neiro	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	3.04522	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Aergo

AERGO | BL2RCZBPD

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Aergo

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Aergo	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	2.33703	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

KAITO

KAITO | Z3JGDP628

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for KAITO

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	KAITO	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	2.02470	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Base does not operate its own consensus mechanism or decentralised validator set comparable to a Layer-1 blockchain. As an optimistic rollup Layer-2 network, Base relies on Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake consensus for the finality and settlement of data and state commitments posted to Ethereum Layer 1. Transaction ordering on Base is performed by a sequencer, currently operated by Coinbase. This sequencing function provides transaction ordering and execution on the Layer-2 network but does not constitute a decentralised consensus mechanism. The validity of Layer-2 state transitions is supported by an optimistic fault-proof mechanism, under which incorrect state commitments may be challenged during the applicable dispute period. Accordingly, Base's security model depends on Ethereum Layer 1 for settlement and finality, while Layer-2 transaction ordering remains dependent on the sequencer.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Base does not have a native protocol token and does not operate a staking, validator reward or token issuance mechanism. Transaction fees on Base are paid in ETH. The economic incentives within the network are primarily related to transaction processing and network security. Transactions are executed on Base and periodically submitted to Ethereum Layer 1 in batches. As the cost of a Layer-1 submission is shared among multiple Layer-2 transactions, the average transaction cost per transaction may be lower than executing the same transactions directly on Ethereum Layer 1. The integrity of withdrawals from Base is supported by a fault-proof mechanism. Withdrawals are subject to a dispute period during which participants may challenge incorrect state commitments. The dispute process incorporates economic incentives intended to encourage honest behaviour and discourage invalid claims.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) base is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Aspecta

ASP | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Aspecta

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Aspecta	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	0.68458	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Aspecta is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Solana.

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

Solana uses a unique combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to achieve high throughput, low latency, and robust security.

Core Concepts:

1. Proof of History (PoH):
   - Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.
   - Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.
2. Proof of Stake (PoS):
   - Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards proportional to their stake while enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process:

1. Transaction Validation:
   Transactions are broadcast to the network and collected by validators. Each transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct signatures and sufficient funds.
2. PoH Sequence Generation:
   A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions, establishing a cryptographic clock for the network.
3. Block Production:
   The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all transactions are processed in the correct order.
4. Consensus and Finalization:
   Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives:

1. Incentives for Validators:
   - Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and performance.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently.
2. Security:
   - Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, enhancing network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and are incentivized to choose reliable validators.

3. Economic Penalties:
   Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Aspecta is present on the following networks: Binance Smart Chain, Solana.

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators. This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS) to secure its network and validate transactions.

Incentive Mechanisms:

1. Validators:
   - Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.
   - Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the transactions they include in the blocks. This provides an additional financial incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's integrity.

2. Delegators:
   - Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share in the rewards earned by the validators. This encourages widespread participation in securing the network and ensures decentralization.
3. Economic Security:
   - Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a portion of their staked tokens. Slashing deters dishonest actions and ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.
   - Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens, which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost incentivizes participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties. Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

Transaction Fees:

1. Low and Predictable Fees:
   Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which helps keep fees low and predictable. The average transaction fee on Solana is significantly lower compared to other blockchains like Ethereum.
2. Fee Structure:
   Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and network bandwidth.
3. Rent Fees:
   State Storage: Solana charges rent fees for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.
4. Smart Contract Fees:
   Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This ensures that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain, solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Particle Network

PARTI | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Particle Network

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Particle Network	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	0.31675	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof-of-Staked-Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated-Proof-of-Stake (DPoS) and Proof-of-Authority (PoA). This method is intended to support fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralisation and security. Validators are responsible for producing blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining network security. The validator set consists of up to 45 validators, including 21 “Cabinet” validators and 24 “Candidate” validators, selected based on bonded stake. A subset of validators is selected per epoch to participate in block production. Token holders may delegate BNB to validators to support their selection. Delegators share in the rewards generated by validators, providing an economic incentive to participate in staking. Validator candidates are nodes that have staked BNB but are not part of the primary validator subset for a given epoch. They may be selected into the active set based on staking rank and can participate in block production with lower probability. Validators are ranked based on the amount of bonded BNB and are updated periodically. Validators must stake BNB as collateral and may be subject to slashing in cases of misbehaviour, including double-signing, malicious voting, or prolonged downtime.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Validators must self-delegate BNB in order to participate in the validator system. Validator selection is staking-based, and validators that rank highly enough enter the active set and participate in block production and transaction validation. Validators are rewarded from transaction fees collected on the network. When a block is produced, most of the block fee is allocated to the validator that proposed the block. A portion is retained as validator commission, while the remainder is allocated for distribution through the validator credit structure. BNB holders may delegate BNB to validators.

This increases the validator's total stake and may improve its position in the validator ranking. Delegators share in the rewards earned by the validator they support, after deduction of the validator's commission. BSC distinguishes between Cabinet, Candidate and Inactive validators. The current model provides that the top 21 validators form the Cabinet, while the validators ranked from 22 to 45 are Candidates. Candidate validators have a smaller chance of producing blocks, but they remain part of the broader validator structure and support network resilience. Validator roles are updated every 24 hours based on the latest staking information. Validators may be penalised for misconduct or poor performance. Slashable events include double signing, malicious fast-finality voting and unavailability. Depending on the violation, consequences may include removal from the validator set, loss of staking rewards and slashing of part of the validator's self-delegated BNB. The staking model therefore creates an economic incentive for validators and delegators to support reliable validator performance. Transaction fees on BSC are paid in BNB and are intended to compensate validators for maintaining the network. BSC is designed as a comparatively low-fee network, and smart-contract transactions and transfers require gas fees in BNB. BSC does not rely on a separate protocol-level block reward. Instead, staking rewards are derived from transaction fees. Most of the block fee is allocated to the proposing validator, then split between validator commission and delegator-linked reward distribution. Part of transaction-fee revenue is collected through the System Reward Contract and used for designated system purposes, including fast-finality rewards. Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC requires payment of gas fees in BNB. These fees depend on the computational resources required and form part of the network's overall fee and validator-incentive model.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) binance_smart_chain is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Leo Token

LEO | 59RRBDSKQ

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Leo Token

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Leo Token	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	0.00316	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Polygon PoS is an EVM-compatible sidechain that operates with a Proof-of-Stake consensus mechanism and periodically submits checkpoints to the Ethereum mainnet. The network maintains its own validator set and processes transactions independently from Ethereum, while using Ethereum smart contracts for staking-related functions and checkpoint verification. Polygon PoS therefore uses Ethereum as a staking and checkpointing layer, but does not rely on Ethereum for full transaction execution or transaction data availability. The Polygon-side architecture consists of two primary node layers. The Bor layer is responsible for transaction execution and block production. The Heimdall layer is responsible for validator coordination, staking-related monitoring, consensus, and checkpoint finalisation. Heimdall is based on Cosmos SDK and CometBFT and aggregates blocks produced by Bor into periodic Merkle-root checkpoints that are submitted to smart contracts on the Ethereum mainnet. Validators participate in the network by staking POL tokens. Token holders may delegate POL tokens to validators, contributing to the validator's effective stake and participating indirectly in network validation. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS uses a Validator-Elected Block Producer model. Under this model, validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span, rather than relying on the previous stake-weighted selection model for multiple block producers over shorter intervals. Block production and transaction execution are performed on the Bor layer, while Heimdall validators coordinate consensus and checkpoint finalisation. At regular intervals, Heimdall validators aggregate blocks into a Merkle root and submit the resulting checkpoint to Ethereum smart contracts. These checkpoints provide an additional verification layer and support cross-chain verification, including in the context of asset transfers between Polygon PoS and Ethereum. This design enables higher transaction throughput and lower transaction costs than the Ethereum mainnet, while maintaining a technical connection to Ethereum through staking contracts and periodic checkpointing. Polygon PoS should therefore be understood as an independent

sidechain or commit-chain architecture, rather than a rollup that inherits full execution and data availability security from Ethereum.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Polygon PoS uses economic incentives to support validator participation, transaction processing, and network operation. Validators stake POL tokens and participate in block production, validation, voting, and checkpoint finalisation. Validators may receive rewards connected to their participation in the network, including rewards linked to validation activities and transaction-fee allocation, depending on the applicable protocol rules. Token holders who do not operate validator infrastructure may delegate POL tokens to validators. Delegators may receive a share of rewards attributable to the validator to whom they delegate, subject to the validator's commission and applicable protocol rules. Delegation increases the validator's effective stake and may affect its role in the validator set and related network processes. Following the Rio upgrade, Polygon PoS introduced a Validator-Elected Block Producer model, under which validators elect the block producer or block producers for a span. Publicly described protocol changes associated with this model also provide for redistribution of fees, including maximum extractable value-related fees where applicable, to non-producing validators under the relevant protocol design. This changes the incentive structure from the previous model in which block production selection was more directly described by reference to stake-weighted producer selection. Polygon PoS includes protocol specifications for validator penalties, including slashing-related concepts. Transactions on Polygon PoS require payment of network fees in POL. Fees apply to ordinary token transfers, smart contract deployment, and smart contract interaction. The amount of fees may vary depending on network demand, transaction complexity, and computational resources required. Because Polygon PoS processes transactions independently from Ethereum, transaction fees are generally designed to be lower than equivalent activity on the Ethereum mainnet, although actual fees may change according to network conditions and protocol parameters.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) polygon is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

Caplab

CAP | /

Quantitative information

Quantitative sustainability indicators for Caplab

Field	Value	Unit
S.1 Name	OKCoin Europe Ltd	/
S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	54930069NLWEIGLHXU42	/
S.3 Name of the crypto-asset	Caplab	/
S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	2025-09-06	/
S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	2026-09-06	/
S.8 Energy consumption	0.00000	kWh/a

Qualitative information

S.4 Consensus Mechanism

Ethereum uses a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism introduced with The Merge on 2022-09-15, which replaced the previous Proof-of-Work consensus model. The PoS mechanism is implemented through Gasper, combining Casper-FFG for finality with the LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule for chain selection. Validators participate in consensus by staking ETH through the Beacon Chain. Validators are pseudo-randomly selected to propose new blocks, while other validators attest to the validity of proposed blocks. The network operates using 12-second slots grouped into epochs of 32 slots. Under normal network conditions, finality is typically achieved after two epochs, approximately 12.8 minutes, through Casper-FFG. The LMD-GHOST fork-choice rule determines the canonical chain based on the accumulated weight of validator attestations. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, such as equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties, while offline validators may incur inactivity penalties. Subsequent network upgrades, including Dencun (2024-03-13), Pectra (2025-05-07) and Fusaka (2025-12-03), introduced protocol changes affecting Ethereum's consensus mechanism and Layer 2 functionality.

S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees

Ethereum's Proof-of-Stake (PoS) mechanism secures the network through validator incentives and protocol-defined penalties. Validators are required to stake ETH in order to participate in block proposal and attestation activities. A minimum of 32 ETH is required to activate a validator. Following the Pectra upgrade on 2025-05-07, EIP-7251 increased the maximum effective balance per validator from 32 ETH to 2,048 ETH. Validators may receive protocol-defined rewards for proposing blocks, attesting to valid blocks and participating in sync committees. Rewards consist of newly issued ETH and transaction-related fees. Transaction fees on Ethereum follow the mechanism introduced by

EIP-1559, under which each transaction includes a base fee that is burned at the protocol level and an optional priority fee paid to the validator proposing the relevant block. Validators that engage in certain malicious behaviour, including equivocation or contradictory attestations, may be subject to slashing penalties. Validators that fail to participate correctly in consensus activities may also incur inactivity penalties. These mechanisms are intended to support validator participation and the economic security of the Ethereum network.

S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components:

To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the network(s) ethereum is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

This report was provided by:

Crypto Risk Metrics

Our services

ESG data for crypto-assets

Provision of ESG data for crypto-assets in compliance with MiCA requirements. The data is based on ISO-certified measurements, is legally robust, can be easily integrated, and is trusted by leading regulated market participants operating in different regulatory environments.

White papers for crypto-assets

Crypto Risk Metrics supports CASPs in drafting and maintaining MiCA-compliant white papers, including ESG disclosures, or assumes responsibility for the entire process through its "White Glove Service", with transfer of liability. If the issuer of the crypto-asset does not provide a white paper, the trading platform may prepare and submit it to the competent authority.

Risk management

Crypto Risk Metrics provides a MaRisk- and BAIT-compliant SaaS solution that helps financial institutions manage risks in direct and indirect crypto-asset investments by reference to BSI standards and other recognised standards. Daily reports and a complete audit trail ensure transparent, audit-ready documentation of crypto-asset risks.

Compliant price data

Crypto Risk Metrics delivers KARBV-compliant price data for native crypto-assets using a valuation model tailored to non-organised markets, such as Bitcoin and Ethereum. This ensures accurate asset valuation in line with Sections 27 and 28 of the German Investment Accounting and Valuation Ordinance (KARBV).

Market conformity check

The service ensures compliance with Circular 05/2023 (BA) through automated verification of the market conformity of crypto trades and by flagging potentially non-conforming transactions. Customers receive daily trade reports securely via SFTP or in CSV format, simplifying regulatory risk management.

Contact

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH
Lange Reihe 73
20099 Hamburg
Germany

Tel.: +49 251 981156-4070
Mail: info@crypto-risk-metrics.com