

Dutch Theater Between
Abolitionism and Colonial
Subjection, 1770-1810

Sarah J. Adams

University

Repertoires of Slavery



Repertoires of Slavery

Dutch Theater Between Abolitionism and Colonial Subjection, 1770–1810

Sarah J. Adams

Amsterdam University Press



The publication of this book is made possible by a grant from the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO).

Cover illustration: Engraving by Reinier Vinkeles, frontispiece for Nicolaas Simon van Winter's *Monzongo, of de koningklyke slaaf* (Amsterdam: Pieter Meijer, 1774). Amsterdam: City

Archives [010097004566].

612

NUR

Cover design: Coördesign, Leiden Lay-out: Crius Group, Hulshout

© S.J. Adams / Amsterdam University Press B.V., Amsterdam 2023

All rights reserved. Without limiting the rights under copyright reserved above, no part of this book may be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without the written permission of both the copyright owner and the author of the book.

Every effort has been made to obtain permission to use all copyrighted illustrations reproduced in this book. Nonetheless, whosoever believes to have rights to this material is advised to contact the publisher.



Table of Contents

Lis	List of Figures		
Ac	eknowledgements	11	
In	Introduction		
1	Dutch Politics, the Slavery-Based Economy, and Theatrical		
	Culture in 1800	29	
	A Golden Age?	30	
	Economic Downturns and Political Uprisings	34	
	Dutch Abolitionism and Resistance in the Colonies	38	
	The Politics and Aesthetics of Dutch Theater	43	
2	Suffering Victims: Slavery, Sympathy, and White Self-		
	Glorification	57	
	Selico and the Pattern of the Disrupted West African Family	59	
	Plantation Testimonies and Sympathy Rewarded in <i>De negers</i>	69	
	Kraspoekol, the Colonial Oikos, and the Bildung of the White Hero	83	
	Antislavery as a "Window of Opportunity"	98	
3	Contented Fools: Ridiculing and Re-Commercializing Slavery	107	
	Blacking-Up around 1800	109	
	"A Black needs little to enjoy his life"	118	
	Subversion and Spectacle in Pantalon, Oost-Indisch planter	126	
	Zabi, or Early Blackface Burlesque in <i>Paulus en Virginia</i>	134	
	The Repertoire of Slavery, Minstrelsy, and Black Pete	142	
4	Black Rebels: Slavery, Human Rights, and the Legitimacy of		
	Resistance	155	
	Monzongo and the Justified Revolt against Spanish Tyranny	158	
	Imagining the Haitian Revolution and the Black Spartacus in <i>De</i>		
	blanke en de zwarte	173	
	The Batavian Temple of Liberty	181	
	A Pedestal for Raynal?	192	
5	Conclusions	203	



Bibliog	graphy	211
Appen	dix	
1	Antislavery Plays Published in the Netherlands, 1770–1810	232
2	Performances of the Dutch "Repertoire of Slavery"	237
Index		253

List of Figures

Figure 1	Colored engraving for Jean-Pierre C. de Florian, "Selico,	
	eene Afrikaansche geschiedenis," in Nieuwe vertel-	
	lingen van den heer M. Florian, trans. Daniël Vrijdag	
	(The Hague: J.C. Leeuwenstijn, 1801). The Hague: Royal	
	Library [KW 174 K 40 15]. We see the three brothers	
	taking care of their starving mother.	61
Figure 2	Engraving by Quirinus van Amelsfoort for Adriaan van	
	der Willigen's <i>Selico</i> (Haarlem: Jan van Walré, 1794).	
	Amsterdam: Allard Pierson, Theater Collection [OK	
	63-9119]. Selico and Berissa are freed from the stake,	
	and Faruhlo is depicted kneeling in front of Trura	
	Audati, who sits on his royal throne. The umbrella was	
	a symbol of royalty in the Benin hinterland.	66
Figure 3	Costume design for an "African Sovereign" ("Afri-	
	kaansch Vorst") by Leerzaam Vermaak (ca. 1785–1817).	
	Haarlem: North Holland Archive [3163, 624].	69
Figure 4	Engraving for August von Kotzebue, <i>Die Negersklaven:</i>	
	Ein historisch-dramatisches Gemählde in drey Akten	
	(Leipzig: Kummer, 1796). Dresden: Saxon State and	
	University Library, Digital Collections [36.8.2343]. The	
	engraving depicts the kneeling mother, pointing at her	
	chest to show how she pierced her baby's heart with	
	a nail. Truro and William stand next to her; the first	
	seems to have gotten accustomed to these cruelties,	
	while the second exhibits a more shocked impression.	74
Figure 5	Engraving for Dirk van Hogendorp's Kraspoekol, of de	
	slaaverny (Delft: M. Roelofswaert, 1800). Amsterdam:	
	Allard Pierson, Theater Collection [UBA 692 D 58].	
	Tjampakka in the middle, with half of the plate in her	
	hands as proof that the plate was already broken.	87
Figure 6	Pencil drawing by Jan Brandes (1782–1783). Amster-	
	dam: Rijksmuseum [NG-1985-7-2-139]. The enslaved girl	
	Roosje and Brandes's son, Jantje, in an office in Batavia.	89
Figure 7	Watercolor by Jan Brandes, depicting free and enslaved	
	people of Ceylon and the traditional condé hairstyle,	
	which also appears in van Hogendorp's index of	



	characters (1785–1786). Amsterdam: Rijksmuseum	
	[NG-1985-7-1-68].	89
Figure 8	Color drawing by Jan Gerard van der Wall, depicting	
	the costumes for the carnival of De Democriet of	
	March 17, 1801. "Van Belle," dressed as a Black servant,	
	is depicted top left. Haarlem: Teylers Museum [De	
	Democriet, VIII].	115
Figure 9	"Diorama of a Slave Dance" by Gerrit Schouten, made	
0	from wood and plant material (ca. 1830). Amsterdam:	
	Rijksmuseum [NG-2005-24].	119
Figure 10	Watercolor drawing by François Joseph Pfeiffer,	
J	costume designs for two Afro-diasporic captives (1797	
	at the earliest). Amsterdam: Allard Pierson, Theater	
	Collection [t0011232.000]. Characters with darkened	
	skin, short curly hair, and conventional costumes and	
	props.	123
Figure 11	Selection of commedia dell'arte masks for Harlequin	_
O	(20th century copied from 18th-century models).	
	Amsterdam: Allard Pierson, Theater Collection [from	
	left to right: m00110.000, m00112.000, m00114.000,	
	m00109.000].	132
Figure 12	Colored catchpenny print "De zegepraal," in a series of	
-	four prints about <i>Paulus en Virginia</i> , published with	
	Établ. Glénisson and Van Genechten (1833–1856). The	
	Hague: Royal Library, Catchpenny Print Collection	
	[0544]. Domingo, Zabi, and others carry Paulus and	
	Virginia on a stretcher made from branches and leaves.	138
Figure 13	Engraving by Daniël Veelaard after Jacob Smies's draw-	
	ing of the interior of theater-café De Ooievaar in the	
	Sint-Antoniesbreestraat (1808). Also printed in Fokke	
	Simonsz's Amsterdamsche Burgers-Winter avond-	
	Uitspanningen (1808). Amsterdam: City Archives, Print	
	Collection [010097003759].	141
Figure 14	Announcement for the "Lantum Ethiopian Serenaders"	
	in the Nieuwe Rotterdamsche courant (12-08-1847).	143
Figure 15	Engraving by Reinier Vinkeles, frontispiece for Nicolaas	
	Simon van Winter's Monzongo, of de koningklyke slaaf	
	(Amsterdam: Pieter Meijer, 1774). Amsterdam: City	
	Archives [010097004566]. Monzongo, in the middle,	
	attacking Alvarado. Melinde and Semire in the back.	161



LIST OF FIGURES 9

Figure 16	Watercolor by François Joseph Pfeiffer, "Costume	
J	design for an Indian" ("Kostuumontwerp voor een in-	
	diaan") (ca. 1800). Amsterdam: Allard Pierson, Theater	
	Collection [too3438.000]. This costume may well have	
	served to represent Monzongo in the Amsterdam	
	Theater. In a later cartoon of van Winter's protagonist	
	(see Figure 18), a very similar depiction is to be found.	166
Figure 17	Colored drawing, costume design for Melinde made for	
-	a production of <i>Monzongo</i> at Leerzaam Vermaak (ca.	
	1785). Haarlem: North Holland Archive [3163].	167
Figure 18	Cartoon depicting Monzongo as a figure of resistance,	
	in response to the currency act of 1874. Published in	
	De Nederlandsche Spectator, no. 10 (1874). The Hague:	
	Royal Library [160506352].	172
Figure 19	Theater bill for the performance of <i>De verlossing der</i>	
	slaaven door de Franschen on April 25, 1795. Rotterdam:	
	City Archive, Collection Bills of the Rotterdam Theater	
	(1791–1887), entry 405-01 [inv. 144].	187
Figure 20	"Tempel van de Bataafse Vrijheid" engraving by Jan	
	Gerritsz Visser (1795). Amsterdam: Rijksmuseum	
	[RP-P-OB-86.754].	189

Acknowledgements

Having worked on *Repertoires of Slavery* for several years, I have accumulated more intellectual and emotional debts than I can possibly acknowledge here. Let me start by expressing my heartfelt gratitude to Kornee van der Haven, whom I admire as an academic as much as I appreciate him as a person. He has been the most incredible advisor, but always left the right amount of space for me to grow as an individual scholar. I am also particularly indebted to Bert Paasman, whose pioneering research of 1984 has been a true inspiration and whose knowledge and friendship have been more valuable to me than he probably knows.

This book would not have been written without the financial support of the Research Foundation—Flanders (FWO) and the infrastructure provided by my institutional home, Ghent University. My colleagues at the Department of Dutch Literary Studies have created a cordial and hospitable climate to work in. I am especially grateful to Ellen Beyaert, Siebe Bluijs, Nele Janssens, Eva Vandemeulebroucke, Nicolas Vandeviver, Maxime Van Steen, and Renée Vulto whose encouragements really saw me through and whose friendship I consider to be one of the most valuable aspects of my time as a researcher in Ghent.

In the past few years, I have been fortunate enough to meet with and talk to a wide range of experts who have given me brilliant advice on many points and levels. For invigorating encounters I want to thank Sruti Bala, Gurminder K. Bhambra, Elleke Boehmer, Jeff Bowersox, Bambi Ceuppens, Karwan Fatah-Black, Evelien Jonckheere, Nancy Jouwe, Elmer Kolfin, Jan Lazardzig, Saskia Pieterse, Bert and Maria Reinders-Karg, Omar Jabary Salamanca, Angelie Sens, Henry S. Turner, Rob van der Zalm, Lieke van Deinsen, Johan Verberckmoes, Nele Wynants, and Adrienne Zuiderweg. I also had the opportunity to share my work with scholars at a number of workshops, seminars, and colloquia. In September 2019, I co-organized the "Staging Slavery" conference in Ghent, where I met, among others, Tunde Adefioye, Douglas A. Jones, Jr., Sigrid G. Köhler, Mariana Soutto Mayor, Heather S. Nathans, and Mercy Vungthianmuang Guite—their thought-provoking lectures and generous feedback still reverberate. I also thank Jenna M. Gibbs and Wendy Sutherland for being such wise and wonderful co-editors for the *Staging Slavery* volume that will soon come out with Routledge. I feel honored to have collaborated with both of them, for their respective books on theater, Blackness, and slavery have been a true inspiration throughout my research.



12

Several archivists, collectors, and librarians have assisted me in my search for books, prints, and visual material. I want to thank Carl Haarnack for his willingness to share, scan, and send me engravings from his collection Buku Bibliotheca Surinamica, and Kenneth Boumann for the friendly introduction to his personal "colonial collection," stored in a beautiful Ghent town house that is in fact the most delightful museum I know. I am also grateful to the staff of the Six Archive for introducing me to the impressive van Winter-van Merken collection and to Julia Hartendorp and Gonneke Janssen of the Allard Pierson Theater Collection for their vigorous research that resulted in my finally getting hold of François Joseph Pfeiffer's supposedly lost costume drawing for enslaved African characters—presented in Chapter 3 of this book.

For reading (portions of) my manuscript I am especially grateful to Stef Craps, Anna de Haas, Jenna M. Gibbs, Inger Leemans, Marrigje Paijmans, Jürgen Pieters, Michiel van Kempen, Bram Van Oostveldt, and Gloria Wekker. Their pertinent advice and encouragement have helped to accentuate my arguments and give more depth to particular parts of my analysis. The thoughtful suggestions by the anonymous reviewer for Amsterdam University Press enabled me to carry out some final revisions that sharpened the book as a whole.

I owe a large debt of gratitude to my friends. Much of this book was written during the pandemic that started in 2020 and this project was quite demanding on a personal level. Thank you so much for your heartfelt support and for being such warm and committed people.

My deepest gratitude goes out to my family for their unconditional love, faith, and care. I am particularly grateful to my sister and to my mother—they are two of the most beautiful people I know. I dedicate this book to my dear grandmother, who passed away only a few days after I defended the dissertation that began my research career. I will always carry her sharp and loving mind with me.

It remains only for me to thank Daan Borloo. There is no other person with whom I would want to navigate through whatever is next.



Introduction

"What do you say, Batavians! is there any play more appropriate for those, who support and defend human rights, and yet participate in the Slave Trade?—Or, are we only humans because we are white, not Black?" Thus wrote Bernardus Bosch in his Patriot-minded weekly Janus Janus-Zoon of March 26, 1801. The play he is referring to is Dirk van Hogendorp's Kraspoekol, of de slaaverny (Kraspoekol, or Slavery), which would have premiered in the Casuariestraat theater in The Hague one week earlier, had not a number of state officials and members of the Council of the American Colonies and Institutions started blowing cheap whistles as soon as the curtain rose.² Kraspoekol, set in what is now Jakarta, encompassed a vigorous attack on the Dutch institution of slavery and, as another witness relates, the protesters clearly hoped "to keep in darkness [what was] never intended for the light."3 When the tumult got worse, star actor Ward Bingley, who directed the Kraspoekol production in The Hague, came onstage to ask that the show be allowed to continue in silence. Probing the mixed responses in the auditorium—curious spectators shouting "yes!" and demonstrators yelling "no!"—he decided to call the entire play off. The day after the riot,

- 1 Bosch, "Donderdag den 26 Maart," 284–286. Original quote: "Wat dunkt u Bataven! kan er nuttiger stuk zyn voor zulken, die de rechten van den mensch voorstaan en verdedigen, en tevens nog in den Slaavenhandel deelen?—Of zyn wy alleen menschen om dat wy blank en niet zwart zyn?" Unless stated otherwise, all translations are my own. "Batavians" were citizens of the "Batavian Republic," as the Netherlands were called around 1800. The term referred to the Germanic Batavi tribe, who lived near the Dutch Rhine delta and courageously revolted against their Roman oppressor in 69 AD. The Dutch started using the name of the Batavi to represent the ancient Dutch struggle for liberty in their nationalistic lore. The colonial capital in Indonesia, today's Jakarta, too, was named "Batavia" when it was conquered by Jan Pieterszoon Coen in 1619.
- 2 Bosch's discussion of the riot mentions people such as Willem Frederik van Reede, whose family had holdings in the Cape Colony, J.A. de Marree and Pieter 't Hoen from the Council of the American Colonies and Institutions, H. Wielheesen from the Dutch Marine office, and another Dutch colonist who regularly visited the Dutch Caribbean.
- 3 John Carleton cited in Bastin, "The Rivalry between Dirk van Hogendorp and S.C. Nederburgh," 85. Carleton was a Briton residing in The Hague for translating duties in the British Embassy and worked as an instructor of English to wealthy Dutch families.

Adams, S.J., Repertoires of Slavery: Dutch Theater Between Abolitionism and Colonial Subjection, 1770–1810. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press 2023.

DOI:10.5117/9789463726863_INTRO



however, all copies of *Kraspoekol* were immediately sold out and booksellers claimed that they could have sold ten times more.

Having read the drama text in its entirety, Bosch applauded van Hogendorp for taking such a bold stand against the terror of slavery and Bingley for having *Kraspoekol* staged. He considered the play particularly appropriate, it seems, because it exposed to his compatriots how the institution of slavery violated their own hard-won fundamental principles. The Dutch, with French military aid, had only recently resisted the near-monarchical yoke of the Stadtholderate and successfully established the democratic Batavian Republic on January 1, 1795. With the Dutch Declaration of the Rights of Man and Citizen close to hand, the Batavian revolutionaries subsequently discussed the blueprints of the new body politic. However, the vehement debates about notions such as natural rights and humanity did not lead the new Batavian government to cement the prospect of abolition in its eventual constitution. This was much to the disapproval of radical representatives like Bosch himself, who wanted the emancipation of African and Asian captives to be enshrined in the new revolutionary matrix.

The tumultuous performance of *Kraspoekol* in The Hague serves as an excellent gauge of the Dutch abolition debate around 1800. While magistrates and colonial shareholders still obviously ran the show, a growing number of authors and activists inveighed against the slavery system. Moreover, Bosch's published discussion of the riot in The Hague suggests that abolitionist ideologies tied in with the conceptual contours of the new nation and the revolutionary and charitable principles on which it had been built. Bosch concluded his review of *Kraspoekol* by alerting his compatriots to the fact that the abrogation of the institution of slavery had become a moral imperative and finally stated that "no Batavian citizen, finding his own fortune in liberty, may participate any longer in human trafficking, which should be condemned by god and all sensitive humans."

Through the lens of a hitherto unstudied repertoire of ameliorist and abolitionist plays such as *Kraspoekol*, this book will explore how dramatic visions of antislavery provided a site to (re)mediate a white metropolitan—and at times a specifically Dutch—identity. Probing a set of critical plays that were created and consumed between 1770 and 1810, my aim is to

- 4 Bosch, "Den Donderdag 26 Maart," 286. Original quote: "geen Bataafsch burger, die zyn geluk in de vryheid stelt, [mag] meer de hand [...] leenen in dien handel met menschen, die van god en alle menschen, welke gevoel van hunne waarde hebben, moet gedoemd worden."
- 5 To be sure, I treat terms the "white(ness)" and "Black(ness)" not as distinct biological categories, but as concepts shaped by social, political, and cultural processes.



prize open the conflicting ideological functions of abolitionist discourse in and outside the walls of the theater and to think about the ways in which people like van Hogendorp and Bosch wielded the strife-ridden question of slavery to negotiate the meanings of human rights, subjecthood, and subjection. Abolitionist theater, I will argue, both criticized and reinforced the white imperial hegemony as it impugned the status quo and propagated (gradual) reform while ultimately facilitating symbolic forms of violence and endorsing colonialist politics and aesthetics.

The title of my book, Repertoires of Slavery, has a threefold meaning. First of all, it refers to the collection of ameliorist or abolitionist dramas that were produced in the Netherlands between 1770 and 1810. This provisional list, presented in Appendix 1, consists of sixteen original and translated plays that express critical visions of slavery. Although I will not, of course, treat translations as originally Dutch works, I do believe that translations generally accumulate different meanings and workings in the varying geopolitical contexts in which they appear. For clarity, and in order to facilitate future (transnational) research, Appendix 1 also contains brief plot descriptions of each play. My own analyses run to a smaller selection out of this list in order to allow for close readings that capture the complexities of these scripts more fully: *Monzongo*, of de koningklyke slaaf (Monzongo, or the royal slave, 1774), Selico (1794), De verlossing der slaaven door de Franschen (The liberation of the enslaved by the French, 1794), De negers (The Blacks, 1796), Paulus en Virginia (1797), De blanke en de zwarte (The white and the Black, 1798), Kraspoekol, of de slaaverny (1800), Pantalon, Oost-Indisch planter, of Arlequin uit slavernij verlost door toverkunst (Pantaloon, East Indian planter, or Harlequin magically liberated, 1803), and with passing references to still other dramas. As will become evident, these plays differ widely in scope. While some of them, most notably *Paulus en Virginia* and *Monzongo*, enjoyed several decades' worth of popularity, others, including Selico and De blanke en de zwarte, experienced a transient popularity on local stages and were consumed primarily as reading texts. Appendix 2 contains an overview of locations where the plays under scrutiny were staged in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries—this list is not exhaustive, however, and merely serves as a first indication of where and how many times these plays may have been put on stage.6

6 This study focuses solely on theater in the Dutch metropole and does not look at plays and productions created and consumed in the overseas colonies. Adrienne Zuiderweg is currently studying the repertoire of Dutch theaters in Batavia and Michiel van Kempen has gathered information on the productions staged in Suriname around 1800. His list, which he so generously



Second, my title points to a set or repertoire of recurring dramatic templates and scenarios about slavery and non-white captives which these plays (re)produced and reinforced. Racialized characters, often rendered by white actors donning black or brown masks and makeup, rarely appeared as heroic or sophisticated and their stories were tethered to narrative and performative strains that reduced and ridiculed non-white subjectivities while bolstering white ones instead. My chapters, as I will expound below, are structured around three of these templates: the pathetic and passive victim waiting to be saved, the happy-go-lucky fool resigning him/herself to his/her servile position, and the disloyal rebel avenging his/her subjection. As Stuart Hall and Edward W. Said have so compellingly shown, imperialism relied on excessive colonial violence as much as it capitalized on the circulation of cultural representations and discourses that envisioned and secured racial hierarchies and formations of inequality—often under the guise of humanitarianism and progress. Representation, not "truth," constituted the narratives and images of African and Asian captives' displacements and, as consequence, had the enormous power of shaping the metropolitan reception of those events.⁷ In the plays and performances discussed here, a radical rhetoric of universal human rights converged with blackface techniques and transparent white supremacist conceptions, resulting in a wavering depiction of slavery that perhaps intensified the balance of power it criticized and helped regulate non-white people's scope of liberty. It is not my ambition to merely catalog homogenized types of "the stage slave" but to investigate the complex ways in which s/he was coded and construed on stage and how these representations were invested with power.

Importantly, the stories and pictures of the blackface characters and their relation to their white on- and offstage counterparts were not unique to the Dutch stage. Rather, they were part of an outflowing discourse of racialized subjection that was developed and cultivated across national and generic boundaries. Scholars such as Jan Nederveen Pieterse, Allison Blakely, Susan

shared with me, contains titles of plays that were written and staged in the Dutch metropole and then traveled to Suriname as well as unique titles such as *Het Surinaamsche Leeven* (ca. 1771), *Suriname verheugd, bij de aankomst der Nederlandsche vloot* (ca. 1783), and *De tovery der Indianen* (1806). Enslaved people, like children and Jews, were generally not permitted to enter theater buildings—they could enter only to reserve seats for their masters. For more about the institution of theater in Paramaribo, see also van Kempen, *Een geschiedenis van de Surinaamse literatuur* I, 95–101. I have recently written a chapter on the staging of Dutch harlequinades in Paramaribo, which will appear in Julia Prest's edited volume *Colonial-Era Caribbean Theatre: Challenges in Research, Writing and Methodology* (Liverpool University Press, forthcoming).

7 $\,$ See especially Hall, "The Spectacle of the Other," 257–269; Said, Orientalism; Said, Culture and Imperialism.



Legêne, and Dienke Hondius have charted some of the dominant representational modes of Africans, Asians, and their descendants in European metropolitan culture, albeit with a focus on the Netherlands. Each grappling with different societal domains—ranging from paintings, architecture, religious imagery, "scientific" and political reports, and literature—they conclude that the recurring tropes, images, and views featuring in those works of art created and sustained symbolic forms of racialized violence and asserted that white superiority over non-white people was, as Hondius puts it in very Gramscian terms, "common sense knowledge" by the early nineteenth century.

Repertoires of Slavery will be the first in-depth study of such representations in Dutch theater. Research on other-language theater has revealed that dramatic texts and productions of the decades around 1800 were feasible vessels for conflicting views on slavery and race. Heather S. Nathans, for one, has explored the central role of sentimental drama in the debate over Black participation in early American society while also warning about the ways in which these excessively sentimental and stereotyped pictures ultimately failed to envision Afro-diasporic people outside the frame of servitude.¹⁰ Jenna M. Gibbs's important Performing the Temple of Liberty (2014) relates a transatlantic account of how entertainers in Philadelphia and London helped create "a lexicon of recognizable meanings and symbols" that combined notions of natural rights and neoclassical motifs with racial burlesque. 11 As Gibbs explains in the course of her book, blackface comedy and reformist sentiment had become inseparable threads by the early nineteenth century. Furthermore, Noémie Ndiaye's study argues that a vivid "stage idiom of Blackness" was developed by white performers in early modern France, Spain, and England and led spectators to believe that Afro-diasporic people belonged at the bottom of racialized hierarchies.12 Nathans, Gibbs, and Ndiaye, among others, have shown that theater responded to the social realities and changing perceptions of race and slavery but also helped reify colonial power relations.

The existence of such international techniques, ideas, scenarios, and lexemes suggests that the genealogy of these representations is in many ways a transnational one. As noted, several plays on the list here are translations

- 8 Nederveen Pieterse, Wit over zwart; Blakely, Blacks in the Dutch World; Legêne, De bagage van Blomhoff en Van Breugel; Hondius, Blackness in Western Europe.
- 9 Hondius, Blackness in Western Europe, Chap. 4.
- 10 Nathans, Slavery and Sentiment on the American Stage, 247.
- 11 Gibbs, Performing the Temple of Liberty, 5.
- 12 Ndaiye, Scripts of Blackness.



or adaptations, and the performative and narrative templates used in Dutch antislavery theater were deeply premised on a cross-genre and cross-language humanist discourse. However, *Repertoires of Slavery* will also attend to dramatic praxes, imaginations, and national appeals that were specifically Dutch. For instance, the presence of Asian settings and characters in plays such as *Kraspoekol* and *Pantalon, Oost-Indisch planter* is unique to the Dutch antislavery repertoire. Although we will see that the common threads among different abolitionist plays outweigh the differences, these plays do reflect the centrality of Indonesia in the Netherlands' colonial economy and testify to the favorable position of this eastern orbit in the Dutch empire.

The specific Dutch theme of some plays also brings me to the third signification of my book title. Repertoires of Slavery alludes to what Gloria Wekker, in her pivotal White Innocence (2016), has termed "the Dutch cultural archive." Like most ex-colonizing nations, Wekker argues, the Netherlands retains an immense "reservoir" of memories, knowledge, figures, affects, and beliefs concerning race that piled up throughout centuries-long imperial rule and have been crucial to the production and preservation of white hegemony in modern Dutch society.¹³ This archive has shaped the ways in which the Netherlands tends to present itself—as a moral, tolerant, and color-blind nation without any ties to the history or present state of racialized violence—and, paradoxically, contributed to the fact that Dutch society has partly functioned according to a "racial grammar" since the earliest stages of colonialism.¹⁴ In her book, Wekker builds on the concept of the "cultural archive" as articulated by Said in Culture and Imperialism (1993). For Said, the metropolitan cultural archive was the space wherein artistic and intellectual investments in imperialism and white European hegemony were made for centuries. 15 While Said's work centers on the domain of imperial culture, and British and French novels in particular, Wekker exposes how the Dutch cultural archive can be traced in modern-day policies, organizational phenomena, folklore, and in what have become common sense feelings and thoughts. Therefore, it was never her predominant concern to map the specific historical genesis and dynamics of the cultural archive of the Netherlands. Repertoires of Slavery seeks to gain insight into the late-eighteenth- and early-nineteenth-century theatrical modes, tropes,

¹⁵ Said, *Culture and Imperialism*, xxvi. In a less pronounced way, Wekker also built on the work of Ann Laura Stoler, who, in *Along the Archival Grain*, explored new ways of thinking about archives as something in between a physical and cultural space that contains written documents as well as memories. Wekker, *White Innocence*, 19.



¹³ Wekker, White Innocence, 3, 19-20.

¹⁴ Wekker, White Innocence, 2, 20-21.

and scenarios of racialized subjection and to consider them as materials of this Dutch "reservoir"—or repertoire, perhaps—of sentiments, knowledge, fantasies, and beliefs about race and slavery that have shaped the dominant sense of the Dutch self until today. In this sense, the title of my book also invokes Diana Taylor's notion of the "repertoire" as enacted and embodied knowledge, allowing ephemeral performances from the past to continue shaping identities and realities in the present. ¹⁶

When I refer to the "repertoire of (anti)slavery" in the following sections and chapters, I am thus primarily driving at the collection of plays under scrutiny but with the above-described layers of meaning in mind. Exploring the conflicting ideological functions of abolitionism produced in and mediated through this repertoire, then, my method is less grafted onto a complete theoretical framework than it is informed by the provocative and interrelated concepts, concerns, and programs of post/decolonial critics who have sought to break through the ideological surfaces of dominant, white-penned cultural, societal, and historical productions. I have been particularly inspired by the nonconformist reading strategies of scholars such as Saidiya V. Hartman and Michel-Rolph Trouillot. The latter, in Silencing the Past (1995), prodigiously analyzed the ways in which hegemony and power operate in the making and documenting of history and knowledge. The (re)production of the past, Trouillot contends, is a matter of ideologically driven "mentions" and "silences"—a point which he skillfully illustrates by revealing how the Haitian Revolution, the most successful slave-led revolt in history, has been misinterpreted and minimalized by contemporaries as much as by historians.¹⁷ In Lose Your Mother (2007), Saidiya V. Hartman offers an impressive example of how to speak to such "silences" by tracing the transatlantic route back to Ghana and charting the disruptions of those lives that have gone unrecorded. My readings here, however, are more affected by Hartman's earlier work, Scenes of Subjection (1997), which excavates different "scenes"—ranging from on- and offstage performances to plantation diaries, newspapers, and legal cases—to confront imbalances of power. Hartman seeks to "brush history against the grain" and uncover how discourses of reform transmitted profound physical and socioeconomic terror to Afro-diasporic people in nineteenth-century America.¹⁸ What interests me most in Hartman's work is that it centers around the fields of consent, protection, and humanitarianism more than around blunt, violent

- 16 Taylor, The Archive and the Repertoire.
- 17 Trouillot, Silencing the Past, 48.
- 18 Hartman, Scenes of Subjection, 11.



domination and force to explore the inconvenient but insistent marriage of pleasure and terror. In *Repertoires of Slavery*, too, I hope to illuminate "the encroachments of power that take place through notions of reform." While race was in many cases the central axis of difference, my analyses will also attend as much as possible to the ways in which representations of power related to aspects of gender, class, and sexuality.

In his exploration of the British and French cultural archives, Said offers an interesting conceptual opening for reading through the philanthropic coating of the antislavery repertoire. Attempting to grasp dormant ideologies of empire in canonical literary works, Said suggests reading them "contrapuntally" instead of "univocally." The term "counterpoint," borrowed from Western classical music, refers to a nexus in which different tones and narratives—reactionary and progressive, metropolitan and "native"—play off one another.²⁰ Reading cultural productions of the imperial era "contrapuntally," or against the proposed ideology, allows for critics to open texts up to views that have been (un)knowingly cloaked or excluded.²¹ One of Said's most famous contrapuntal readings includes the literary analysis of Joseph Conrad's 1899 *Heart of Darkness*. In it, he appreciates the novel as a perfect product of Conrad's literary talent and repeatedly points to how *Heart of Darkness*, through the observations made by its protagonist, Marlow, laid bare for British readers the yawning gap between the brutal realities in the Congo region and the alleged ambition of King Leopold II of Belgium to bring civilization, progress, and maturation. However, Said explains, despite Marlow's incisive criticism and sympathetic feelings for the colonized subjects, the novel engages in a discourse that "assumes the primacy and even the complete centrality of the West," thus restoring Africa to white hegemony through narration.22

Reading the repertoire of slavery contrapuntally or "against the grain," as Hartman puts it, enables me to appreciate plays such as *Kraspoekol* as crucial attempts for negotiating enslaved people's liberty while at the same time challenging the dominant discourse and reading of those texts. Dutch metropolitan abolitionism has been primarily studied in terms of its chronology, the arguments used, and the (lack of) organizational structures. Bert Paasman, for one, in his groundbreaking study of 1984, unearthed an enormous number of eighteenth-century antislavery publications,

```
19 Hartman, Scenes of Subjection, 5.
```

²² Said, Culture and Imperialism, 25-26, 164.



²⁰ Said, Culture and Imperialism, 63.

²¹ Said, Culture and Imperialism, 52, 83-84.

illustrating that literate metropolitans must have been well aware of the Dutch slavery system's hazards. ²³ Maartje Janse has detailed the concrete ways in which Dutch abolitionist activists started organizing themselves in the 1840s, while other scholars, including Angelie Sens, have sought to explain this relatively late development of structural protest against slavery. ²⁴ Here, I am less interested to know when, how, and by whom Dutch abolitionism was articulated than to comprehend the ontology and conflicting assumptions of antislavery ideologies and the ways in which they connected to white senses of self and subjecthood in the Netherlands. This is in line with more recent scholarship, conducted by scholars such as René Koekkoek, Remco Raben, and Susan Legêne, among others, that seeks to explore the nexus of colonial affairs, metropolitan culture, and Dutch citizenship and politics. ²⁵

To be sure, the point here is not to disregard individual authors' efforts to act against the wrongs of the Dutch institution of slavery or to devalue their works of art altogether. This would not yield much, and I agree with Said and Trouillot that authors are undeniably shaped by the social and historical realities in which they function. ²⁶ It should not come as a surprise, then, that some of the dramatists in the antislavery repertoire actively contributed to the slavery-based system—as merchants of colonial goods or even, like the author of Kraspoekol, as slave owners—while simultaneously, as we will see in Chapter 2, putting their reputation and safety at risk by formulating such "uncompromising" views on Dutch overseas conduct and the institutions of slavery and the slave trade. With regard to Heart of Darkness, Said asserted that Conrad's aesthetics and politics were so imperialist partly because the European hegemony had made non-imperialist alternatives unacceptable and even "unthinkable"—a term which Trouillot would later adopt as he analyzed metropolitans' silencing and incapability to understand the Haitian Revolution.27

Repertoires of Slavery follows the footsteps of the excellent work done by Paasman, Blakely, Hondius, and Koekkoek, among others, but I want to tap new sources of study by turning to Dutch theater. Not only was drama by far the most important literary medium of the eighteenth-century Netherlands,

- 23 Paasman, Reinhart, 211.
- 24 Janse, De afschaffers; Sens, "Dutch Antislavery Attitudes."
- 25 Koekkoek, *The Citizenship Experiment*; a number of essays in Koekkoek, Richard, and Weststeijn, eds., *The Dutch Empire Between Ideas and Practice*; Raben, "A New Dutch Imperial History?," 23; Legêne, *De bagage van Blomhoff en Van Breugel*; Legêne, *Spiegelreflex*.
- 26 Said, Culture and Imperialism, 65-66; see also Trouillot, Silencing the Past, 82.
- 27 Said, Culture and Imperialism, 27-28.



numerically speaking—between 1700 and 1800, no fewer than three thousand plays were published. 28 Unlike other cultural forms, performance was accessible to the literate and illiterate alike, and the Dutch stage had been reflecting and inflecting politics since its early institutionalization in 1638. Moreover, the visible nature of the theater made it a privileged space for Dutch metropolitans to imagine, perhaps for the first time, overseas territories and peoples who were enslaved or otherwise subjected. Although theatrical culture—including offstage performances such as funfair exhibitions and court celebrations—offers a unique canvas on which we can study how the Dutch metropole conceptualized ethnicity, subjugation, and empire, it has been largely overlooked by scholars of Dutch colonial culture and history.²⁹ An important exception is Manjusha Kuruppath, who, in her monograph Staging Asia (2016), zoomed in on the ways in which the Amsterdam Theater represented Asian-Dutch encounters from 1650 until 1780.30 The antislavery dramas under discussion in Repertoires of Slavery have rarely been studied. Originally Dutch plays such as Kraspoekol and Monzongo have received sporadic attention by literary historians, but never before have they been examined in relation to other abolitionist plays and productions, nor have they been addressed as theatrical pieces.³¹

In this study, I refuse to see the drama text as the sole instrument of signification and thus I factor in other representational strategies, such as bodily expression, costuming, makeup, and stage properties, as transmitters of meaning. The antislavery repertoire was indeed consumed on multiple levels. Plays were sold as reading texts in bookshops, staged in private circles, or produced in minor and major playhouses across the Netherlands—all of which involved different degrees of understanding and interpreting. While reading drama texts is a quite solitary experience—unless, of course, when discussed collectively in coffeehouses or learned

³¹ See, for instance, Paasman, *Reinhart*, 135; Leemans and Johannes, *Worm en donder*, 561, 575–578; de Vries, "In traanen wegsmelten bij *Monzongo*."



²⁸ Leemans and Johannes, Worm en donder, 294-296.

²⁹ No structural research has been conducted on imperial themes in Dutch theater. My own preliminary findings suggest that, between 1770 and 1820, more than eighty such plays were written, translated, and adapted for the Dutch stage. Examples include Marten Corver's comedy De jonge Africaansche dogter of juffer ("The Young African Daughter or Damsel"), translated from Nicolas-Sébastien Roch de Chamfort in 1770; Bautin's pantomimic ballet Almaüde, of de Amerikaansche heldin ("Almaüde, or the American Heroine") of 1798; and the moral drama De westindie-vaarder, of die wel doet, wel ontmoet ("The West Indian Mariner, or Do as You Would Be Done by"), translated anonymously from Caspar Friedrich Lossius in 1802.

³⁰ Kuruppath, *Staging Asia*. Another study which makes a brief excursion into theater is de Hond, *Verlangen naar het Oosten*, 180–196.

societies—live performance instigates shared and active imaginative experiences among the spectators as well as between the thespians and the audience. In line with theater specialist Erika Fischer-Lichte, I believe that theater should be understood as an event achieved by the actors and spectators, whose "bodily co-presence" in the same physical space evokes interactions that shape the performance in crucial ways.³² The tumultuous debut of *Kraspoekol* illustrates this point perfectly. Because of the loud shouts coming from the auditorium, the talented actor Gabriël Valkenier, playing van Hogendorp's white Dutch protagonist, repeatedly forgot himself on stage and even ended up in a heated discussion with Pieter 't Hoen, a clerk of the Council of the American Colonies and Institutions. Two actresses had to take Valkenier off the stage and eventually the play's director entered the podium to call the performance off, much to the disappointment of those who were curious to see the rest of the show. Thus, the dynamic between actors and spectators—both part of a theater space where the dividing lines between podium and auditorium were significantly blurred—fundamentally determined the ways in which the representation developed and was experienced.

The Kraspoekol riot epitomizes how Fischer-Lichte sees the encounter between thespians and audience not as a merely aesthetic but as a deeply political moment.³³ But it also illustrates how much of our knowledge about historical performances depends on the sources and documents left behind by the productions' participants.³⁴ Drama texts, playbills, costume designs, masks, posters, newspaper advertisements, and reviews or journal discussions, for example, can provide an idea of the performance that supposedly happened—although, however detailed such sources are, we can never truly grasp how plays were staged and how audiences responded. Nonetheless, where possible, my analyses of the antislavery repertoire will turn to aspects of performance such as actors' bodily expression mediated through novel acting conventions, costuming and stage properties responding to the increasingly urgent demand for "naturalness" on stage, and the donning of blackface in relation to those same demands and changing views on race and "Blackness." In doing so, I hope in this book to contribute to a Dutch literary history that is less focused on literature as synonymous with printed texts and to the understanding of (anti)slavery representations outside the strict boundaries of printed media.

- 32 Fischer-Lichte, The Transformative Power of Performance, 43.
- 33 Fischer-Lichte, The Transformative Power of Performance, 51.
- 34 Fischer-Lichte, *The Routledge Introduction to Theatre and Performance Studies*, 71.



Repertoires of Slavery is structured as follows. Chapter 1 sets out to clarify some of the specific contexts in which this repertoire was produced and perceived. Indeed, the decades around 1800 were marked by significant political and philosophical change, growing slavery-based consumption, and the consolidation of the institution of theater as a central forum of bourgeois cultural life. Against this contextual framework, then, my analyses will pivot around three important dramatic templates that came to the fore as I read the abolitionist repertoire contrapuntally. Chapter 2, "Suffering Victims: Slavery, Sympathy, and White Self-Glorification," examines the constellation of enslaved characters as objects of pity for their white counterparts on and off the stage. I turn to Selico, De negers, and Kraspoekol—three plays I will classify as "bourgeois dramas"—to identify a set of generic strategies employed to inspire Dutch audiences with an antislavery spirit. Dramatists, often displaying their ambitions in elaborate and highly sentimental prefaces, confronted audiences with the wrongs of slavery through moving "slave testimonies" and onstage manifestations of violence that revealed the harsh realities of the transatlantic and Asian slavery systems. One of the most important dramatic conventions was the presence of a white bourgeois hero who alleviated the plight of these passive victims and whose passionate antislavery speeches drew directly from the debates held outside the walls of the theater. The composition of this philanthropic figure, I will show, was as central to the abolitionist appeal as he was to the reification of white male dominance.

Chapter 3, "Contented Fools: Ridiculing and Re-Commercializing Slavery," studies the convergence of pain and pleasure in Dutch onstage representations of slavery. I revisit De negers and analyze Pantalon, Oost planter and Paulus en Virginia, two popular musical productions, to expose how antislavery sentiment generated visions of Afro-diasporic people's alleged congenital simplicity, happy-go-lucky-attitude, and servility in order to promote good mastership and simultaneously fortify racial and social boundaries pending legal measures against slavery. Besides distinct narrative tropes and performative techniques such as distorted language and "exotic" scenes of amusement, a key aspect in this template was the complexion of the enslaved characters. My readings here are therefore preceded by a tentative outline of the changing praxes and politics of Blackness in the Dutch theater of 1800. This chapter ultimately proposes to extend the history of blackface brutalities in the Netherlands, which has been predominantly understood through mid-nineteenth-century minstrelsy and its manifest legacies in the Black Pete figure.

While the plays and productions discussed in Chapter 2 and 3 simulated Asians' and Africans' easy inclination to submit themselves to white



mastery—thus, in the words of Hartman, transforming "relations of violence and domination into those of affinity"—Chapter 4, "Black Rebels: Slavery, Human Rights, and the Legitimacy of Resistance," considers those blackface characters who sought to challenge their subjection.³⁵ The onstage representation of slave-led resistance directly responded to the growing number of rebellions in the Atlantic orbit and to the metropolitan discussions and anxieties about the constant threat of retributive violence. As I detail the constellations of the blackface rebels in *Monzongo*, *De blanke* en de zwarte, and De verlossing der slaaven and the ideological assertions fixed onto their characters, it will become evident that the orchestrated revolts against slavery and the violation of human rights are portraved as brutal and ineffective—if they are staged and considered at all. Following Trouillot's observations about how the Haitian Revolution was "unthinkable" for white European contemporaries, this chapter will argue that dramatists, thespians, and audiences trivialized and erased non-white forms of redress by recasting these characters' revolts into a mold that made sense to the white-dominant order and by utilizing Afro-diasporic people's struggle for emancipation for imagining their own fight for (political) liberty.

Although these chapters hardly exhaust the topic, they assemble some important stories about slavery and race that were (re)created and consumed in the late eighteenth- and early nineteenth-century Dutch metropole. The templates that emerged, to be sure, were not entirely new. Typing and stereotyping were not unique to enslaved stage characters, and metropolitan spectators had been confronted with distressed victims in need of redemption, foolish clowns, and rebellious figures for centuries. What is specific to the figures in the antislavery repertoire is that the plots, roles, gestures, costumes, makeup, and language through which they were designed were deeply racialized and helped shape, fix, and proliferate the unsettling ways in which non-white people were and are perceived in a white-dominated society. Moreover, actors' impersonations of enslaved characters and audiences' sentiments about these performances very much depended on what Hartman refers to as "the fungibility of the captive body," or the possibility to appropriate Black bodies for various purposes.³⁶ Black people were dislocated, sold, humiliated, and whipped by white slavers and masters who asserted their dominance over them. Yet the bodies of African and Asian captives were also available to abolitionists, whose well-intended pamphlets, novels, and plays easily restaged these people's suffering and encouraged

³⁶ Hartman, Scenes of Subjection, 19.



³⁵ Hartman, Scenes of Subjection, 88.

audiences to sympathize through wedding their own subjectivities to that of the enslaved, thus allowing them to imaginatively slip into the captive's body and project their own feelings, fantasies, and anxieties into it. As such, the stage slave—appearing as a victim, fool, rebel, or otherwise—easily became a means for sentimental recourse as well as for pleasure and white self-exploration.³⁷

That said, I want to close this introduction with a brief note on my location. Attempting to consider the Dutch antislavery repertoire against the grain and to perforate structures of power, my readings still necessarily start from my position as a white scholar who has a particular idea of what society should look like but has no personal experience with the pervasive effects of the harmful discourses I study or with the institutional forms of racism they have helped sustain. Departing from this privileged subjectivity—which is, naturally, constructed around many more axes than around the fact that I am being interpellated as white—my understandings of the repertoire are undoubtedly incomplete. In fact, my own writings may well be read contrapuntally to point out themes and variations that have escaped my observations. In addition, I want to note that, since it is my aim to lay bare the symbolic violence in humanitarian texts and while I have tried to make informed translational choices, I cannot avoid reproducing some of the thoughts, images, and assumptions which most of us find disturbing and problematic today.³⁸ I can only hope to have provided a critical context in which they can be used and perceived for purposes contrary to those for which they were initially fabricated. The various forms of today's racialized violence were prefigured by the artistic, intellectual, and political investments co-mediated through colonial culture. Studying these investments as part and parcel of the (Dutch) cultural archive may provide new historical perspectives to help comprehend past and present traumas and for different agents in public debates to chalk out possible future pathways.

³⁸ Translating passages and phrases replete with (un)concealed racialized violence, I struggled to find a balance between taking into account the historical contexts in which these texts were cultivated and not actively reproducing words that helped shape the harmful ways in which people of color continue to experience discrimination. I have decided to translate the Dutch word "neger" as "Black" or "enslaved" depending on the specific context in which it occurs. Original citations are always inserted in footnotes and titles of historical texts will, of course, be preserved. This is not a matter of "censoring" history—in fact, translating is *always* a matter of making informed choices. Historical texts may be timeless, but the ways in which we speak about them, fortunately, change.



³⁷ Hartman, Scenes of Subjection, 62.

Works Cited

Bastin, John, "The Rivalry between Dirk van Hogendorp and S.C. Nederburgh: A Contemporary English 'translation' of the *Berigt*," *Indonesië: Tweemaandelijks tijdschrift gewijd aan het Indonesisch cultuurgebied* 7, no. 1 (1953): 80–85.

- Blakely, Allison, *Blacks in the Dutch World* (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1993).
- Bosch, Bernardus, "Den Donderdag 26 Maart," *Janus Janus-Zoon* 38, no. 4 (The Hague: J.L. van Laar Mahuet, 1801): 281–287.
- Fischer-Lichte, Erika, *The Transformative Power of Performance: A New Aesthetics*, trans. Saskya Iris Jain (New York: Routledge, 2008).
- Fischer-Lichte, Erika, *The Routledge Introduction to Theatre and Performance Studies*, trans. Minoy Arjomand (London/New York: Routledge, 2014).
- Gibbs, Jenna M., *Performing the Temple of Liberty: Slavery, Theater and Popular Culture in London and Philadelphia, 1760–1850* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2014).
- Hall, Stuart, "The Spectacle of the Other," in *Representation: Cultural Representations* and Signifying Practices, ed. Stuart Hall (Thousand Oaks: Sage/Open University, [1997] 2010), 223–290.
- Hartman, Saidiya V., Scenes of Subjection: Terror, Slavery, and Self-Making in Nineteenth-Century America (New York: Oxford University Press, 1997).
- de Hond, Jan, Verlangen naar het Oosten: Oriëntalisme in de Nederlandse cultuur, ca. 1800–1920 (Leiden: Primavera Pers, 2008).
- Hondius, Dienke, *Blackness in Western Europe: Racial Patterns of Paternalism and Exclusion* (London/New York: Routledge, 2017). Kobo.
- Janse, Maartje, *De afschaffers: Publieke opinie, organisatie en politiek in Nederland,* 1840–1880 (Amsterdam: Uitgeverij Wereldbibliotheek, 2007).
- $Koekkoek, Ren\'e, \textit{The Citizenship Experiment: Contesting the Limits of Civic Equality and Participation in the Age of Revolutions (Leiden/Boston: Brill, 2019).$
- Koekkoek, René, Anne-Isabelle Richard, and Arthur Weststeijn, eds., *The Dutch Empire between Ideas and Practice*, 1600–2000 (Cham: Palgrave Macmillan, 2019).
- van Kempen, Michiel, *Een geschiedenis van de Surinaamse literatuur* I (Paramaribo: Uitgeverij Okopipi, 2002).
- Kuruppath, Manjusha, *Staging Asia: The Dutch East India Company and the Amsterdam Theatre* (Leiden: Leiden University Press, 2016).
- Leemans, Inger, and Gert-Jan Johannes, *Worm en donder: Geschiedenis van de Nederlandse literatuur, 1700–1800: de Republiek* (Amsterdam: Bert Bakker, 2017).
- Legêne, Susan, *De bagage van Blomhoff en Van Breugel: Japan, Java, Tripoli en Suriname in de negentiende-eeuwse Nederlandse cultuur van het imperialisme* (Amsterdam: Koninklijk Instituut voor de Tropen, 1998).



Legêne, Susan, *Spiegelreflex: Culturele Sporen van de Koloniale Ervaring* (Amsterdam: Bakker, 2010).

- Nathans, Heather S., *Slavery and Sentiment on the American Stage*, 1787–1861: Lifting the Veil of Black (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009).
- Ndaiye, Noémie, *Scripts of Blackness: Early Modern Performance Culture and the Making of Race* (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2022).
- Nederveen Pieterse, Jan, *Wit over zwart: Beelden van Afrika en zwarten in de westerse populaire cultuur* (Amsterdam: Koninklijk Instituut voor de Tropen, 1990).
- Paasman, Albertus N., Reinhart: Nederlandse literatuur en slavernij ten tijde van de verlichting (Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff, 1984).
- Raben, Remco, "A New Dutch Imperial History? Perambulations in a Prospective Field," *BMGN—Low Countries Historical Review* 128, no. 1 (2013): 5–30.
- Said, Edward W., Orientalism (London: Penguin Group, [1978] 2003).
- Said, Edward W., Culture and Imperialism (New York: Alfred Knopf, 1993).
- Sens, Angelie, "Dutch Antislavery Attitudes in a Decline-Ridden Society, 1750–1815," in *Fifty Years Later: Capitalism, Modernity, and Antislavery in the Dutch Orbit*, ed. Gert Oostindie (Leiden: KITLV Press, 1995), 89–104.
- Stoler, Ann-Laura, *Along the Archival Grain: Epistemic Anxieties and Colonial Common Sense* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2010).
- Taylor, Diana, *The Archive and the Repertoire: Performing Cultural Memory in the Americas* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2003).
- Trouillot, Michel-Rolph, *Silencing the Past: Power and the Production of History* (Boston: Beacon Press, 1995).
- de Vries, Cor, "In traanen wegsmelten bij *Monzongo*: Reacties op de opvoering van het eerste anti-slavernijstuk in Nederland," *Historica* 41, no. 3 (2018): 12–16.
- Wekker, Gloria, *White Innocence: Paradoxes of Colonialism and Race* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2016).

