



Collection Development, Cultural Heritage,
and Digital Humanities

DIGITAL TECHNIQUES FOR DOCUMENTING AND PRESERVING CULTURAL HERITAGE

Edited by **ANNA BENTKOWSKA-KAFEL**
and **LINDSAY MacDONALD**



ARC HUMANITIES PRESS

COLLECTION DEVELOPMENT, CULTURAL HERITAGE, AND DIGITAL HUMANITIES

This exciting series publishes both monographs and edited thematic collections in the broad areas of cultural heritage, digital humanities, collecting and collections, public history and allied areas of applied humanities. In the spirit of our mission to take a stand for the humanities, this series illustrates humanities research keeping pace with technological innovation, globalization, and democratization. We value a variety of established, new, and diverse voices and topics in humanities research and this series provides a platform for publishing the results of cutting-edge projects within these fields.

The aim is to illustrate the impact of humanities research and in particular reflect the exciting new networks developing between researchers and the cultural sector, including archives, libraries and museums, media and the arts, cultural memory and heritage institutions, festivals and tourism, and public history.

DIGITAL TECHNIQUES FOR DOCUMENTING AND PRESERVING CULTURAL HERITAGE

Edited by
ANNA BENTKOWSKA-KAFEL
and **LINDSAY MacDONALD**

ARC_{HUMANITIES PRESS}

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

A catalog record for this book is available from the Library of Congress

© 2017, Arc Humanities Press, Kalamazoo and Bradford



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International Licence.

The authors assert their moral right to be identified as the authors of their part of this work.

Permission to use brief excerpts from this work in scholarly and educational works is hereby granted provided that the source is acknowledged. Any use of material in this work that is an exception or limitation covered by Article 5 of the European Union's Copyright Directive (2001/29/EC) or would be determined to be "fair use" under Section 107 of the U.S. Copyright Act September 2010 Page 2 or that satisfies the conditions specified in Section 108 of the U.S. Copyright Act (17 USC §108, as revised by P.L. 94-553) does not require the Publisher's permission.

ISBN: 9781942401346

e-ISBN: 9781942401353

<http://mip-archumanitiespress.org>

Printed and bound by CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CR0 4YY

CONTENTS

List of Illustrations	ix
Acknowledgements	xxi
List of Acronyms and Abbreviations	xxvii
Foreword	
FRANK BOOCHS	xxxi
Introduction	
ANNA BENTKOWSKA-KAFEL	xxxiii

PART 1: COSCH CASE STUDIES

Chapter 1. An Interdisciplinary Discussion of the Terminologies Used in Cultural Heritage Research VERA MOITINHO DE ALMEIDA, STEFANIE WEFERS, and ORLA MURPHY	3
Chapter 2. From a Buried Fragment to the Virtual Artefact: A Case Study of Greek Pottery DESPOINA TSIAFAKI, ANESTIS KOUTSOUDIS, NATASA MICHAILIDOU, and FOTIS ARNAOUTOGLOU	17
Chapter 3. Beyond Photography: An Interdisciplinary, Exploratory Case Study in the Recording and Examination of Roman Silver Coins ANNA BENTKOWSKA-KAFEL, VERA MOITINHO DE ALMEIDA, LINDSAY MacDONALD, JULIO M. DEL HOYO-MELÉNDEZ, and AURORE MATHYS	35
Chapter 4. Wall Paintings in the Château de Germolles: An Interdisciplinary Project for the Rediscovery of a Unique Fourteenth-Century Decoration CHRISTIAN DEGRIGNY and FRANCESCA PIQUÉ	67

Chapter 5. A 4D Virtual Presentation of the White Bastion Fortress in Sarajevo SELMA RIZVIĆ	87
Chapter 6. Digitization of Cultural Heritage at the National Museum of Romanian History, Bucharest IRINA MIHAELA CIORTAN	107
Chapter 7. Bremen Cog: Three Recording Techniques for One Object AMANDINE COLSON and LEVENTE TAMAS	121
Chapter 8. A Study of Spectral Imaging Acquisition and Processing for Cultural Heritage SONY GEORGE, JON Y. HARDEBERG, JOÃO LINHARES, LINDSAY MACDONALD, CRISTINA MONTAGNER, SÉRGIO NASCIMENTO, MARCELLO PICOLLO, RUVEN PILLAY, TATIANA VITORINO, and E. KEATS WEBB	141
Chapter 9. Ontology-based Structuring of Spectral and Spatial Recording Strategies for Cultural Heritage Assets: Background, State of Affairs, and Future Perspectives ASHISH KARMACHARYA and STEFANIE WEFERS	159
Chapter 10. Communicating Interdisciplinary Scholarship: Conclusions from COSCH ANNA BENTKOWSKA-KAFEL	175

PART 2: METHODS AND TECHNOLOGIES

3D Depth Sensing GEORGE PAVLIDIS and SANTIAGO ROYO	195
3D Laser Scanning MONA HESS	199
Augmented Reality JEAN-PHILIPPE FARRUGIA and FRÉDÉRIC MERIENNE	207

Digital Storytelling SELMA RIZVIĆ	211
Focus Stacking AUORE MATHYS and JONATHAN BRECKO	213
Hyperspectral Imaging ANDRÁS JUNG	217
Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy (LIBS) VINCENT DETALLE	221
Multiple View Stereovision CHRISTOS STENTOUMIS	225
Photogrammetry JULIEN GUERY, MONA HESS, and AUORE MATHYS	229
Reflectance Transformation Imaging LINDSAY MACDONALD	237
Structure from Motion MONA HESS and SUSIE GREEN	243
Structured Light 3D Scanning DIRK RIEKE-ZAPP and SANTIAGO ROYO	247
Total Station Surveying MASSIMILIANO DITTA and AMANDINE COLSON	253
X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) Spectrometry JULIO M. DEL HOYO-MELÉNDEZ	257
Notes on Contributors	263
Selected Bibliography.....	277
Index	307

This page intentionally left blank.

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figures

Figure 1.1. Example of sources of measurement error in 3D scanning that lead to uncertainty of measurement results (Moitinho de Almeida 2013).....	9
Figure 1.2. Schematic diagram of surface characteristics. Adapted and reprinted from ASME B46.1-2009, by permission of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers. All rights reserved.	13
Figure 2.1. Aerial view of the ancient site of Therme, today's Karabournaki near Thessaloniki, Greece. Photo: Karabournaki excavation photo archive, 1996.	19
Figure 2.2. The fragmentary Karabournaki kantharos. Photo: Karabournaki excavation photo archive, 2010.	22
Figure 2.3. Viewpoint spatial distribution of sherds during photoshooting. © Athena Research Center, Xanthi, 2016.....	24
Figure 2.4. Visualization of 3D digital replicas of sherds using Vertex Paint and Smooth Normal Shading visualization approaches. © Athena Research Center, Xanthi, 2016.	25
Figure 2.5. Extracting profile data using horizontal and vertical intersections of a sherd. © Athena Research Center, Xanthi, 2016.....	28
Figure 2.6. The nine sherds aligned and organized into two groups. Spatial distribution of Group 1 sherds and Group 2 sherds. Colour encoding indicates a different sherd. © Athena Research Center, Xanthi, 2016.	28
Figure 2.7. Spatial alignment of the two groups of sherds. Positioning of the two groups around the axis of symmetry. Position refinement using handle positions as reference. © Athena Research Center, Xanthi, 2016.	29
Figure 2.8. Using lathe to create an approximation of the vessel's main body. © Athena Research Center, Xanthi, 2016.	29

Figure 2.9. Visualization of the approximated body, with and without the sherds digitized in 3D, after applying the Boolean 3D mesh operations. © Athena Research Center, Xanthi, 2016..... 30

Figure 2.10. Different viewpoint renderings of the virtually reconstructed kantharos. © Athena Research Center, Xanthi, 2016..... 30

Figure 3.1. The COSCH “Day of the Denarius” held at University College London, 22 June 2016. Photos: A. Bentkowska-Kafel. 38

Figure 3.2. Numismatic display with a denarius of Faustina the Elder. J. Paul Getty Museum, Santa Monica, CA. Photo: A. Bentkowska-Kafel, 2016. 39

Figure 3.3. The denarii of Faustina the Elder used in the COSCH study. Coin A (Aeternitas). Coin B (Vesta). The images were derived by image processing from sets of 64 images taken by a Nikon D200 camera with directional flash illumination. The effect simulates images of coins obtained by a photographic studio setup with axial illumination. © Lindsay MacDonald, 2017..... 41

Figure 3.4. Proposed methodological framework for the 3D digital data acquisition, processing, and analysis of historical silver coins. © Vera Moitinho de Almeida, 2016 46

Figure 3.5. Appearance of coin A obverse, detail: (a) RTI from dome 1; (b) RTI from dome 2; (c) RTI from dome 3, albedo mode; (d) RTI from dome 3, ambient mode; (e) RTI from dome 4; (f) focus stacked picture. © Aurore Mathys, 2016. 48

Figure 3.6. 3D reconstructed surface based on photometric stereo. Horizontal section with elevation showing (a) laser scanner height and (b) reconstruction. © Lindsay MacDonald et al., 2017..... 49

Figure 3.7. Results of the deviation between the obverse of the 3D models of coin A: colour deviation map, deviation distribution of points, and standard deviation of points. © Vera Moitinho de Almeida, 2016. ... 51

Figure 3.8. Cross-section of coin A showing deviation in height between (SLS) Smartsan (red line) and (PS) LS-PTM (colour deviation map, from red to dark blue). Inset 1 shows an enlarged detail of the profile of the hair, whereas inset 2 shows an enlarged detail of the profile of one of the letters. © Lindsay MacDonald et al., 2017. 56–7

- Figure 3.9. Micro-XRF spectrum of the point shown in the inset for the obverse of coin B. Unidentified peaks are likely due to three factors, namely interactions in the detector, X-rays contributed by the analysis system, and X-ray interactions in the sample. © Julio M. del Hoyo-Meléndez, 2015. 59
- Figure 3.10. Elemental maps obtained by the Artax 800 instrument for the obverse of coin A showing Ag Ka and Ag La lines. Although the map obtained for the Ka line shows that the coin is rather homogeneous, the less penetrating La line shows an uneven surface most likely associated with surface corrosion effects. © Julio M. del Hoyo-Meléndez, 2015. 59
- Figure 3.11. Crack inside coin B visualized by microCT method (three perpendicular microCT sections and rendered 3D model). © Miroslav Hain, 2014. 60
- Figure 3.12. Multimedia displays provide a vehicle for dissemination, *in situ* and online, of rich information about the object, its scholarship, and heritage science. Numismatic galleries of the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, MA and the Hutten-Czapski Collection, National Museum in Kraków, Poland. Screenshot of www.mfa.org, accessed 13 October 2015. Photo: A. Bentkowska-Kafel, 2016. 62
- Figure 4.1. Aerial view of the Germolles estate from the northwest. The white arrow indicates the location of the room studied (dn) on the main building. The entrance gate is also visible. The château was originally fully enclosed. Photo: Alain Rodrigue, 2007. 69
- Figure 4.2. Details of wall paintings after conservation 1989–95 (a) in the dressing room of the Countess of Nevers; the red and blue dashed lines show the repetitiveness of geometrical pattern; (b) in the dressing room of Margaret of Flanders; (c) and (d) floor tiles reproduce the floral decoration of the walls. Photos (a) and (b) Francesca Piqué, 2013; (c) and (d) Château de Germolles, 2008. 70
- Figure 4.3. Orthophotograph of the west wall of room dn. The profiles of letters P and M are marked in white. The details of the letter P circled in white are shown in figures 4.4 and 4.5. Photos: Julien Guery, 2014; the outlines Château de Germolles, 2016.... 71

Figure 4.4. VIS and IR (approx. 830–1000 nm) photographic images of the letter P highlighted in figure 4.3. Arabesques in the lower part of the letter P are indicated in the VIS image. They seem to disappear in the IR image. Photos: Francesca Piqué, 2016. 72

Figure 4.5. Hyperspectral imaging of the lower part of letter P, shown in figure 4.4. The white dotted line in the image at 1000 nm shows the original profile of the letter while the red dotted line in the RGB picture shows the profile of the embellished letter. Photos: Aurélie Mounier, 2016. 73

Figure 4.6. Micro observation of a letter M under a Dinolite[®] microscope. White ground (2), yellow (3), and white (4) paint layers. Photo: Nutsa Papiashvili, 2015. 74

Figure 4.7. Macro (a) to micro (b) observation of a thistle under a Dinolite[®] microscope. The stratigraphy comprises the underlayers (preparation and yellow layers, the green background (4) and the finishing metallic layers (5 to 9). Photos: Nutsa Papiashvili, 2015. 75

Figure 4.8. Cross-section of a fragment from a thistle, observed in visible light under an optical microscope. The identification of the material was carried out by combining (a) FTIR and SEM-EDS analyses; (b) elemental mapping of the area in the red, dashed rectangular. Photos: Francesca Piqué, Dominique Martos-Levif, and Stephan Ramseyer, 2015. 76

Figure 4.9. Micro-photography of a gold leaf from a thistle in the upper parts of room dn, showing traces of a black glaze or paint layer. Photo: Francesca Piqué, 2014. 77

Figure 4.10. H-RTI snapshots under different illumination angles. Gold remains are evident in image (a) but not so much the stratigraphy of layers. The reverse is observed in (b), when the surface is illuminated from the opposite direction. Photos: Gaëtan Le Goïc, Alamin Mansouri, and Château de Germolles, 2015. 78

Figure 4.11. Representation of the steps in the historic process of decorating the dressing room of the Countess of Nevers with murals. Photo: Château de Germolles, 2016. 78

- Figure 4.12. (a) Alteration of lead white, creating a shadow effect, observed on the edges of a P letter; (b) details of repainting (indicated by white arrows) the same P, applied in the course of the conservation campaign of 1989–95. Photos: Château de Germolles, 2015..... 80
- Figure 4.13. (a) Graphical representation through IRT of areas of detachment in the north wall of room dn; (b) representation (overlaid onto an IR image) of similar areas, in blue, as recorded by tactile assessment (knocking method). Photos: (a) Cristina Tedeschi and Marco Cucchi; (b) Nutsa Papiashvili, 2015..... 81
- Figure 4.14. Tie points between the point cloud of the south wall of room dn and a technical picture of a P on the same wall. Photos: Anthony Pamart, 2015 and Francesca Piqué, 2013..... 82
- Figure 4.15. Snapshot from the SIVT application tested on Germolles case study data. The rectified and registered IR image of the P (red arrow) is displayed on top of the orthophotography of room dn south wall. Photos: Stefanie Wefers and Tobias Reich, 2016 and Francesca Piqué, 2013..... 83
- Figure 4.16. Composite visualization of the actual decoration transitioning to the virtual representation. Photos: Dorian Masson, 2016. 84
- Figure 5.1. Structure of the virtual presentation. Screenshot of the White Bastion interactive application, 2016..... 91
- Figure 5.2. The 4D virtual presentation of the White Bastion project workflow. 92
- Figure 5.3. Bijela tabija (White Bastion) fortress, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina. Photo: Hakija Hadžalić, 2016. 93
- Figure 5.4. Actual state of the complex showing historical stratification. © Institute for the Protection of Cultural-historical and Natural Heritage of Canton Sarajevo, 2013. 93
- Figure 5.5. Artefacts found on site. Photo: Museum of Sarajevo, 2000. 94
- Figure 5.6. Artistic drawing of Catarino Zeno, a traveller whose travelogue is the earliest known written record of the fortress. Screenshot from the White Bastion film. © BHRT, 2016..... 95

Figure 5.7. Computer 3D models of the exterior and interior of the medieval fortress. Screenshots of the White Bastion interactive application, 2016. 96

Figure 5.8. First 3D model of the fortress in the Ottoman period. Screenshots of the White Bastion interactive application, 2016. 96

Figure 5.9. Display of an archaeological artefact and its virtual reconstruction. Screenshots of the White Bastion interactive application, 2016. 97

Figure 5.10. Location shooting of the story about the medieval period. 98

Figure 5.11. Location shooting of the story about the Ottoman period. Photos: Selma Rizvić, 2016. 98

Figure 5.12. Reconstructed artefacts and the trigger for a digital story inside the White Bastion interactive virtual environment. Screenshot of the White Bastion interactive application, 2016. 99

Figure 5.13. Home page of the White Bastion project website with interactive animation, 2016. 100

Figure 6.1. Pattern of end-user needs in the planning phase of a cultural heritage project. Venn-like pattern, Concentric pattern. © Irina Mihaela Ciortan, 2016. 108

Figure 6.2. Setup for photogrammetric acquisition of the ceramic vases. © National Museum of Romanian History, Bucharest, 2015. 112

Figure 6.3. Setup for the multispectral acquisition with the filter-wheel camera of the manuscripts and icons. © Sony George, 2015. 113

Figure 6.4. The single-band images corresponding to each of the eight filters. Note how some of the text begins to fade as the wavelength approaches the NIR. Photo: Irina Mihaela Ciortan. 114

Figure 6.5. The RGB visualizations of the Orthodox icons and the medieval manuscripts in the National Museum of Romanian History, Bucharest. Photos: Irina Mihaela Ciortan. 116

Figure 7.1. Bremen Cog shortly after the opening of the permanent exhibition in 2000. Photo © German Maritime Museum. 125

Figure 7.2. Bremen Cog, east side. Target on a concrete pillar. Photo © German Maritime Museum.	130
Figure 7.3. Bremen Cog floor plan, common coordinate system on the ground floor. © Amandine Colson.	131
Figure 7.4. 3D photogrammetric model. © Julien Guery, 2015.	133
Figure 7.5. Pre-alignment with key point-features and refinement with ICP using two different laser scans. © Levente Tamas, 2015.	135
Figure 7.6. The entire body and the cross-section comparison of two different laser scanned measurements. © Levente Tamas, 2009 and 2014.	136
Figure 7.7. Expertise model chart. © Amandine Colson.	138
Figure 8.1. (a) X-Rite ColorChecker with sampling areas for colorimetric and spectral analysis; (b) SphereOptics Zenith Polymer Wavelength Standard; (c) Russian icon with sampling areas for colorimetric and spectral analysis; (d) replica panel painting with sampling areas for colorimetric and spectral analysis.	145
Figure 8.2. Different spectral imaging devices used within RRT: MSI and HSI.	147
Figure 8.3. Minolta CM-2600d measuring reflectance spectra on selected areas of the Russian icon.	149
Figure 8.4. ColorChecker, comparison between the four imaging devices (MSI = 1, 2; HSI = 3, 4) and the spectro-colorimeter measurements: (a) ΔE_{00} and ΔC^* , (b) RMSE, and (c) GFC data.	150
Figure 8.5. ΔE_{00} for the twenty-four coloured areas of the ColorChecker. Average results for MSI and HSI devices.	151
Figure 8.6. Russian icon, comparison between the four imaging devices (MSI = 1, 2; HSI = 3, 4) and the spectro-colorimeter measurements: (a) ΔE_{00} and ΔC^* , (b) RMSE, and (c) GFC data.	152
Figure 8.7. Russian icon detail extracted from the different devices to show the spatial resolution quality from three IS systems.	152
Figure 8.8. Reflectance spectra from two different coloured regions (skin and blue vest, respectively) of the Russian icon reconstructed from the MSI and HSI data sets.	153

Figure 8.9. SphereOptics Zenith Polymer Wavelength Standard.
Comparison of Vis-NIR and SWIR reflectance.153

Figure 8.10. Comparison of the reflectance spectra of wavelength standard for one of the Vis-NIR hyperspectral systems, a zoom of a narrow range of wavelengths showing spectral misalignment, the derivative of the spectra, and the residual error with its linear regression.154

Figure 8.11. Detail of the replica panel painting extracted at approximately 1040 nm looking at the line patterns to assess the system’s ability to resolve fine details.155

Figure 8.12. The vertical profile from an HSI device data set looking at the exposed watercolour lines on the replica panel. The system is able to differentiate between the lines and spaces as seen with the well-defined peaks.156

Figure 8.13. Images extracted from the hyperspectral data cube at two different wavelengths for the visualization of underdrawing details made with lead- and tin-based metalpoint and watercolour techniques.156

Figure 8.14. Reflectance spectra from the painted areas made with carmine lake, azurite, lead white, and gypsum preparation of the replica panel painting.157

Figure 9.1. A section of the complex graph of the COSCH^{KR}. A larger version is available at <https://coschbook.wordpress.com/9-2/>.162

Figure 9.2. Five top-level classes of COSCH^{KR}.163

Figure 9.3. 3D models of one waterlogged wood object. The shape before and after conservation treatment and the spatial differences as well as section planes are shown. The model is available for viewing at <https://coschbook.wordpress.com/9-2/> and www.rgzm.de/kur.170

Figure 9.4. The inference mechanism (A) demands on data: deformation analysis demand high accuracy 3D data, (B) generation on data at technical level through technologies first through the principle then instruments adapting to the technical process (C) the restrictions provided by CH objects and other external influences on the technologies. A larger version of this diagram is available at <https://coschbook.wordpress.com/9-2/>.172

Figure 9.5. Simulation of a GUI for the case study in conservation of water-logged wood. The red boxes represent the user input and the grey boxes represent the inferred information. A larger version of this figure is available at https://coschbook.wordpress.com/9-2/	173
Figure 11.1. The three axes of characteristics of an object. © George Pavlidis, 2016.	197
Figure 12.1. Virtual Reality display and 3D printed replica from 3D scan of a negative cast form. © Mona Hess, 2016.	203
Figure 12.2. Jeddah HBIM project. © Ahmad Baik, 3DIMPact group, Civil, Environmental and Geomatic Engineering, University College London, 2015.	205
Figure 13.1. Augmented Reality with co-localization and co-lighting (no co-occlusion). © Jean-Philippe Farrugia and Frédéric Merienne, 2015.....	208
Figure 13.2. Augmented Reality with co-localization, co-occlusion, and co-lighting. © Jean-Philippe Farrugia and Frédéric Merienne, 2015.....	208
Figure 13.3. Studying a museum object through AR. The UK V-Must School at the University College London Petrie Museum. Photo: Martin Blazeby, 2013. Reproduced by permission.....	210
Figure 15.1. Focus stacking record of a Roman coin. © Aurore Mathys, 2015.	214
Figure 15.2. Edge of an ancient copper nail. The nail was broken and restored, but broke again after some time. The glue used to fix the nail is clearly visible. © Jonathan Brecko, 2015.	215
Figure 16.1. Comparison of three imaging approaches. Conventional RGB photography, spectral line-scan, spectral frame (snapshot) capture. Image © Cubert GmbH, Germany, reproduced by permission.....	218
Figure 17.1. LIBS in operation at Chartres Cathedral and Château de Germolles in Burgundy. © LRMH, 2011 and 2015.....	222
Figure 17.2. Château de Germolles, wardrobe of the Countess of Nevers, north wall, measurement localization and LIBS spectra of the third measurement. © LRMH, 2015.....	223

Figure 19.1. Photogrammetric capture of a silver Roman coin. Representation of the cameras' positions with the resulting point cloud in the centre. © Aurore Mathys 2016.....	230
Figure 19.2. Photogrammetric survey of a large object, Bremen Cog. © Julien Guery, 2015.	231
Figure 19.3. Photogrammetric survey of architecture, the Château de Germolles (see chapter 4). © Julien Guery, 2015.	233
Figure 20.1. Experimental apparatus for PTM at the National Gallery (London) with twenty-four tungsten spotlights mounted on a rigid framework. Surface relief of a painted test panel, lit from the top right. © Joseph Padfield, National Gallery, London.	238
Figure 20.2. Malzbender using his illumination dome in an upright orientation to image a fragment of the Antikythera Mechanism. © Tony Freeth; PTM reconstruction of fragment 31, with "specular enhancement," showing scales and inscription. Screen grab from PTM viewer © Hewlett-Packard.	240
Figure 20.3. On-site photographic recording in process at Roughting Linn. Traditional static photograph of carving, and screenshot of PTM viewed with specular enhancement. © Sarah M Duffy.....	241
Figure 21.1. Edinshall kite aerial photography. Photo: Susie Green, 2011.	244
Figure 21.2. Edinshall georeferenced colour map derived from point cloud. Photo: Susie Green, 2011.....	245
Figure 21.3. Edinshall georeferenced elevation map derived from point cloud. Photo: Susie Green, 2011.....	245
Figure 22.1. Schematic of a typical compact structured light scanner with two cameras (webcam only for alignment) developed by the Centre for Sensor, Instruments and System Development at the Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC-CD6). © UPC-CD6, 2010.....	248
Figure 22.2. Compact structured light scanner with stereo camera system. © AICON 3D Systems GmbH, 2016.....	249
Figure 22.3. Cross of the Scriptures, Clonmacnoise, Ireland. Source: 3D ICONS Ireland, www.3dicons.ie/3d-content/52-cross-scriptures-clonmacnoise , reproduced under CC www.3dicons.ie/process/licensing	249

Tables

Table 2.1. Data collection details of each 3D digital replica sherd.....	27
Table 3.1. Chronology of data acquisition systems and techniques used in the case study. © Vera Moitinho de Almeida, 2016.....	44
Table 3.2. Equipment and parameters of capture of the RTI domes. Participating institutions: Cyl – The Cyprus Institute, Cyprus; RBINS – Royal Belgian Museum of Natural Sciences, Belgium; UCL – University College London, UK; US – University of Southampton, UK. © Aurore Mathys, 2016.....	47
Table 3.3. 3D data sets, systems, and techniques used. © Vera Moitinho de Almeida, 2016.	52
Table 3.4. Basic topological measurements of the complete 3D digital surface models (i.e., obverse and reverse aligned and merged into one single model) computed with four distinct software packages: CloudCompare, Geomagic, Meshlab, and Polyworks. © Vera Moitinho de Almeida, 2016.	53
Table 3.5. Basic geometric measurements of the complete 3D digital surface models (i.e., obverse and reverse aligned and merged into one single model) computed with four distinct software packages: CloudCompare, Geomagic, Meshlab, and Polyworks. © Vera Moitinho de Almeida, 2016.	54
Table 3.6. A map of atomic composition of coins based on EDX analysis in SEM. The composition of the denarii of Faustina the Elder (A) and (B) is compared to two further denarii of Republican Rome (C) and Emperor Vespasian (D). The results at two measurement points are shown for each coin. The two grey columns indicate invalid measurements, where the instrument probe was over a point of the surface contaminated by oxidized carbon deposits. © Jaroslav Valach, 2015.....	57
Table 3.7. Quantitative XRF analysis of the evaluated coins by two instruments. For the Artax 200, concentrations are reported as the average of 6 and 12 measurements for coins B and A respectively. © Julio M. del Hoyo-Meléndez and Cyl, 2015.....	58

xx LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Table 6.1. Selected Cultural Heritage Objects, as Documented in
the Database of the National Museum of Romanian History,
Bucharest. 118

Table 7.1. Bremen Cog: Comparison of all photogrammetric
data acquisition campaigns. © Amandine Colson..... 132

Table 8.1. Specification of the imaging devices used in the RRT..... 146

Table 8.2. Spectral misalignment errors of the different devices. 155

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This book is based upon work from COST Trans-Domain Action TD1201, Colour and Space in Cultural Heritage (www.cosch.info), supported by the European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST, www.cost.eu). COST is a funding agency for research and innovation networks. COST Actions help connect research initiatives across Europe and enable scientists to grow their ideas by sharing them with their peers. This boosts their research, career and innovation.



Funded by the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Union

The publication of this book under the Open Access scheme was made possible thanks to the COST Final Action Dissemination grant.

The editors wish to thank all contributors to the book and all those who granted permission to use material under their copyright.

All contributions to this book have been peer reviewed by COSCH and independently. For the generosity of constructive comments the authors and editors wish to thank Frank Boochs, Christian Degriigny, Orla Murphy, Sérgio Nascimento, George Pavlides, Robert Sitnik, Alain Trémeau, and the anonymous reviewer of the book manuscript.



This book would not have been published by Arc Humanities Press without the vision and dedication of Dymphna Evans, Senior Acquisitions Editor.

Individual Chapter Acknowledgements

Chapter 1

The chapter summarizes the outcomes of a number of COSCH Think Tanks for Early Career Investigators participating in the Colour and Space in Cultural Heritage network. The authors would like to thank all participants for their contributions to the discussions and in particular Irina Ciortan, Amandine Colson, Julio del Hoyo-Meléndez, Ana Gebejes, Sony George, Mona Hess, Maciej Karaszewski, Aurore Mathys, Christos Stentoumis, and Tatiana Vitorino, who worked on the resulting vocabulary document.

Chapter 2

Work on the kantharos vase unearthed at Karabournaki, described in chapter 2, was funded by the Athena Research Center, Xanthi Division, Greece, for implementation as a case study within the framework of the COST Action TD 1201.

Chapter 3

The study was conducted as an activity of COSCH (COST Action TD1201). The voluntary participation of many individuals in the COSCH Roman coin study is gratefully acknowledged. The study benefited from the support of participating institutions, but received no direct funding. Some activities were embedded in routine research and teaching of participating institutions. Research by Aurore Mathys and Vera Moitinho de Almeida was partly conducted in the course of COSCH Short-Term Scientific Missions (STSMs), and benefited from the support of the European Foundation in Science and Technology, COST. Grateful thanks are extended to: Dr. Anna Bentkowska-Kafel, until 2015 at the Department of Digital Humanities, King's College London, UK; Eryk Bunsch, Laboratory for 3D Documentation, Museum of King Jan III's Palace at Wilanów, Warsaw, Poland; Dr. Miroslav Hain, Department of Optoelectronic Measuring Methods, Institute of Measurement Science, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovakia; Dr. Mona Hess and Dr. Lindsay MacDonald, both of 3DIMPact research group at Civil, Environmental and Geomatic Engineering, Faculty of Engineering Science, University College London, UK; Dr. Julio M. del Hoyo Meléndez, Laboratory of Analysis and Non-Destructive Investigation of Heritage Objects, National Museum in Kraków, Poland; Aurore Mathys of Scientific Heritage, Royal Belgian Museum of Natural Sciences, Brussels, and the Royal Museum of Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium; Dr. Vera Moitinho de Almeida, Postdoctoral Researcher, Quantitative Archaeology Lab, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain, formerly at The Cyprus Institute (until 2015), Cyprus; Dr. Dirk Rieke-Zapp, AICON 3D Systems GmbH, Meersburg, Germany;

Professor Robert Sitnik of the Institute of Micromechanics and Photonics, Faculty of Mechatronics, Warsaw University of Technology, Poland; and Dr. Jaroslav Valach of the Institute of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague. The study was monitored and advised by Dr. Christian Degriigny of the Haute École Arc Conservation-restauration, Neuchâtel, Switzerland, the COSCH Steering Committee, and the wider COSCH community. The study would not have been possible without the help of museum staff and academics who offered generous expert advice and/or facilitated research, including: Richard Abdy, Curator of Roman Coins, Coinage of the Roman Empire, Coins and Medals, British Museum, London, UK; Alexandra Baldwin and Pippa Pearce, Conservation and Scientific Research, and Dr Eleanor Ghey, Portable Antiquities and Treasure, also at the British Museum, London; Julian MC Bowshe, Roman coin specialist, Research and Education, Museum of London Archaeology, London, UK; Dr. Matthew Ponting, of Archaeology, Classics and Egyptology, University of Liverpool, UK; Dr. Bogdan Constantinescu, National Institute of Nuclear Physics and Engineering, Bucharest, Romania; Professor Graeme Earl, Archaeology, and Professor Kirk Martinez, Electronics and Computer Science, both at the University of Southampton, UK; Dr. Vasiliki Kassianidou and Dr. Andreas Charalambous, Archaeological Research Unit (ARU), University of Cyprus. The authors are indebted to Richard Abdy, Julian MC Bowshe, and Matthew Ponting for their insightful critical comments on the draft chapter. See also <https://coschroman-coins.wordpress.com/acknowledgements/>.

Chapter 4

In addition to the technical, scientific and financial support of the EU COST Action TD1201, this interdisciplinary study also benefited from funding from the Regional Direction of Cultural Affairs DRAC-Burgundy, France, for which the authors wish to thank sincerely. The following experts were actively involved in the study and are thanked for their contribution: a wall painting conservator, Laurence Blondaux, for the discussion around Beck's monograph (Beck 2002); Livio De Luca of MAP, Marseilles, France, for his essential input concerning the applications of photogrammetry techniques to recording heritage buildings; Julien Guery of Airinov, Paris, France, for carrying out the photogrammetry campaign on the mural decoration in Countess of Nevers' dressing room; Stephan Ramseyer of IMA, La Chaux-de-Fonds, Switzerland, for the thorough examination of the cross-section of a fragment of a thistle using optical microscopy and SEM-EDS; Jana Sanyova of IRPA, Brussels, Belgium, for her interest in the project and the organisation of the final meeting of the COSCH Germolles case study group at IRPA; the leader of the COSCH WG1, Marcello Picollo, of IFAC-CNR, Sesto Fiorentino, Italy, for his

interest and support all along the project; and the owner of Château de Germolles, Matthieu Pinette of Sarl Germolles, Mellecey, France, for access to the wall paintings. The contributions of the Master's students, Dorian Masson at the University de Bourgogne, Dijon, France, and Nutsa Papiashvili at the University of Applied Sciences and Arts of Southern Switzerland (SUPSI), Treviso, are also gratefully acknowledged.

Chapter 5

The project has been implemented as a coproduction of the Sarajevo Graphics Group from the Faculty of Electrical Engineering, University of Sarajevo, the Association for Digitisation of Cultural Heritage DIGI.BA and Radio Television of Bosnia and Herzegovina. Being a project implemented almost without any funding, we feel obliged to thank the project team, namely: Selma Rizvić and Adnan Muftarević for the concept; the consultant archaeologist, Adnan Muftarević; Fatmir Alispahić (scenario); and the actor, Mirsad Tuka.

At the Faculty of Electrical Engineering—Sarajevo Graphics Group: Aida Sadžak for 3D modelling and web design; Irfan Prazina for web programming; Fotis Arnaoutoglou of the Athena Research and Innovation Center, Greece, for access to archaeological findings and digitization.

At the Association DIGI.BA we worked with the technical secretary, Reuf Kapidžić and Mirsad Festa (3D reconstruction of archaeological findings).

At the BHRT, the credits included: BH Radio drama programme crew – Miralem Ovčina, producer; Željko Marković, sound technician; Jesenko Krehić, speaker; BHT1 crew – Nihad Zečević, editing producer; Zlatomir Ban, producer; Jasmin Salanović, executive producer; Omer Bukić, driver; Dina Žunić and Bisera Bajić, wardrobe; Lamija Hadžihasanović–Homarac, make up; Elvedin Hamzić, lighting; Nedim Muminović, location sound; Adnan Mušanović, music composer and sound designer; Mirsad Festa, drawings and computer animation; Aida Sadžak, graphic design and computer animation; Nermin Komarica, editor; Hakija Hadžalic, director of photography; Vedad Hodžić, director; Selma Rizvić, project coordinator.

With thanks to: Emir Ganić for drone footage, Diwan language services provider for English translation, and the National Theatre Sarajevo for providing the costumes.

Chapter 6

The author wishes to thank all the partners and collaborators who have contributed to this case study, in particular: Corina Nicolae, Irina Petroviciu, and Mihai Bozgan of the National Museum of Romanian History in Bucharest, Romania, for facilitating access to the museum objects and the essential input to the study; Sony

George and Jon Yngve Hardeberg of the Norwegian Color and Visual Computing Laboratory, for their help with planning, scientific support, and for making the acquisition of multispectral data possible; Bogdan Constantinescu of the National Institute of Nuclear Physics, Romania, for his expert advice, and the entire COSCH network that has been a source of inspiration, as well as a forum of constructive debates, always pushing for change and improvement.

Chapter 7

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the European Cooperation in Science and Technology, the COST Action TD1201, and the German National Maritime Museum that provided the networking and research opportunities described in this volume. Thanks are extended to: Anders Ahlgren and Jacob Jacobson, Engineer and Project Manager respectively, Vasa Museum, Stockholm, Sweden; Professor Frank Boochs, Director of the Institute for Spatial Information and Surveying Technology (i3mainz), University of Applied Sciences, Mainz, Germany; Carina Justus, Stefan Mehlig, and Stefanie Wefers, all Research Associates at i3mainz; Massimiliano Ditta, Freelance Researcher and Research Associate at the University of Southern Denmark, Esbjerg; Julien Guery, Sales Representative and Project Manager at Airinov, France; Zoltan Kato, Professor at the University of Szeged, Institute of Informatics, Hungary; Christoph Krekel, Professor at the State Art Academy, Department of Conservation-Restoration Science, Stuttgart, Germany; Thomas Luhmann, Professor at the University of Applied Science, Department of Applied Photogrammetry and Geoinformatics, Oldenburg, Germany; Ursula Warnke, Director at the German National Maritime Museum, Bremerhaven, Germany.

Chapter 8

The authors wish to thank all the colleagues who contributed to the COSCH WG1 Round Robin Test. Special thanks to Elena Prandi and Marina Ginanni (formerly of the Restoration Laboratories of the Soprintendenza SPAE e per il Polo Museale della città di Firenze, Italy) for the reconstruction of the painted panel; to András Jung (formerly at Leipzig University, Germany) and Markku Hauta-Kasari University of Eastern Finland, Finland, for providing the SphereOptics Zenith Polymer[®] Wavelength Standard and the X-Rite[®] ColorChecker Classic, respectively. A special thanks to Andrea Casini, Lorenzo Stefani, and Costanza Cucci of IFAC-CNR for their strong support of the RRT.

Research activities by Tatiana Vitorino, E. Keats Webb, and Ruven Pillay were partly conducted in the course of COSCH Short-Term Scientific Missions (STSMs), benefiting from the support of the European Cooperation in Science and

Technology, the COST Action TD1201. E. Keats Webb is a Ph.D. student in heritage science through the Science and Engineering in Arts Heritage and Archaeology (SEAHA) programme at the University of Brighton, UK, in collaboration with the Smithsonian's Museum Conservation Institute and Analytik Ltd. The SEAHA programme is part of an EPSRC-funded Centre for Doctoral Training. Tatiana Vitorino is a Ph.D. student at the Department of Conservation and Restoration and LAQV-REQUIMTE, Faculty of Sciences and Technology, NOVA University of Lisbon, Portugal, and acknowledges the Portuguese Science Foundation (FCT-MEC) for the Ph.D. grant PD/BD/105902/2014.

Sony George's work on coordinating this study was partially funded by the Research Council of Norway, through the HyPerCept project (SHP project 221073).

Chapter 9

The development of the COSCH ontology would not have been possible without Frank Boochs of i3mainz; Guido Heinz and Uwe Herz of the Römisch-Germanische Zentralmuseum in Mainz; Marcello Picollo and Tatiana Vitorino of IFAC-CNR; Julio del Hoyo Meléndez of the National Museum in Kraków; and Christian Degrieny of HE-Arc in Neuchâtel. The authors would like to thank them for their support and valuable discussion resulting in the development of the classes and rules.

Disclaimer: The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily of the editors or publisher. The authors stated the originality of their contributions and permission granted, where applicable, to reuse earlier publications and third-party material under copyright. Technical specification of select software and hardware is in some cases given in accordance to the manufacturer's publicity. References to commercial information should be treated with due judgement.

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

3D	Three-dimensional
4D	Four-dimensional
AAT	<i>Art & Architecture Thesaurus® Online</i> , Getty Vocabulary Program, J. Paul Getty Trust, USA
ACM	Association for Computing Machinery, USA
AHRC	Arts and Humanities Research Council, UK
AOTF	Acousto-Optical Tunable Filter
AR	Augmented Reality
ASME	American Society of Mechanical Engineers
ASTM	American Society for Testing Materials
ATR	Attenuated Total Reflectance
BHRT	Bosnia Herzegovina Radio Television
BIM	Building Information Modelling
CAD	Computer-Aided Design
CBIR	Content-based Image Retrieval
CCD	Charge-Coupled Device
CD-ROM	Compact Disc-Read Only Memory
CH	Cultural Heritage
CIDOC	International Committee for Documentation (within ICOM)
CIE	Commission Internationale de l'Éclairage
CIPA	Comité International de Photogrammétrie Architecturale (within ICOMOS)
CMM	Coordinate Measurement Machine
CMOS	Complementary Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor
CMS	Collection Management System
COSCH	Colour and Space in Cultural Heritage, COST Action TD1205, 2012–16
COST	European Cooperation in Science and Technology
CPU	Central Processing Unit
CRISATEL	Conservation Restoration Innovation Systems for image capture and digital Archiving to enhance Training, Education and lifelong Learning (EU FP5-IST-1999-20163 project 2001–4)
CRM	Conceptual Reference Model
CT	Computed Tomography
DEM	Digital Elevation Map

DOI	Digital Object Identifier
DSLR	Digital Single Lens Reflex (camera)
ECCO	European Confederation of Conservator-Restorers' Organisations
ECI	Early Career Investigator
EDM	Electronic Distance Measurement/Meter
EDS	Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy
EDX	Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy
EPSRC	Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, UK
ERA	European Research Area
ESR	Early Stage Researcher
EU	European Union
FADGI	Federal Agencies Digitization Guidelines Initiative, USA
FTIR	Fourier Transformed Infrared Spectroscopy
FWHM	Full Width Half Maximum
GFC	Goodness-of-Fit Coefficient
GIS	Geographic Information System
GPS	Global Positioning System
GSD	Ground Sampling Distance
HBIM	Heritage Building Information Modelling
HD	High Definition
H-RTI	Highlight Reflectance Transformation Imaging
HSI	Hyperspectral Imaging
HTML	Hypertext Markup Language
ICCROM	International Centre for the Study of the Preservation and Restoration of Cultural Property
ICOM	International Council of Museums
ICOM-CC	International Council of Museums-Committee for Conservation
ICOMOS	International Council on Monuments and Sites
ICP	Iterative Closest Point (algorithm)
ICT	Information Communication Technology
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, USA
IET	The Institution of Engineering and Technology, UK
IFAC-CNR	Istituto di Fisica Applicata Nello Carrara, The Nello Carrara Institute of Applied Physics in Sesto Fiorentino, Italy, part of the National Research Council (CNR)

IS	Imaging Spectroscopy
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
ISPRS	International Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing
IVE	Interactive Virtual Environment
JCGM	Joint Committee for Guides in Metrology
Laser	Light Amplification by Stimulated Emission of Radiation
LCTF	Liquid Crystal Tunable Filter
LED	Light-Emitting Diode
LIBS	Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy
LIDAR	Light Detection and Ranging (remote sensing)
LS	Laser Scanning
MARC	Methodology for Art Reproduction in Colour (EU FP3-ESPRIT 6937 project 1992–95)
MoU	Memorandum of Understanding
MSI	Multispectral Imaging
MVS	Multiple View Stereovision
n/a	not applicable
NEH	National Endowment for the Humanities, USA
NIR	Near Infrared
OBJ	Computer file format for 3D geometry definition
OIML	International Organization of Legal Metrology
OWL	Web Ontology Language
PEG	Polyethylene Glycol
PGP	Prism-Grating-Prism
PLY	Polygon File (computer file 3D format)
PS	Photometric Stereo
PTFE	Polytetrafluoroethylene
PTM	Polynomial Texture Mapping
PXRF	Portable X-ray Fluorescence (Spectroscopy)
R&D	Research and Development
RDFa	Resource Description Framework in Attributes
RGB	Red Green Blue
RIC	<i>The Roman Imperial Coinage</i> (see Mattingly and Sydenham 1923)
RMSE	Root-Mean-Square Error
ROV	Remotely Operated Vehicle

xxx LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

RRT	Round Robin Test
RS	Recommender System
RTI	Reflectance Transformation Imaging
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
SfM	Structure from Motion
SIVT	Spatial Image analysis and Viewing Tool
SLI	Structured Light Imaging
SLR	Single Lens Reflex (camera)
SLS	Structured Light Scanning
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
SPD	Spectral Power Distribution
SPIE	Society of Photographic Instrumentation Engineers
STSM	Short-Term Scientific Mission
SWIR	Short-Wave Infrared
TIN	Triangulated Irregular Network
TLS	Terrestrial Laser Scanner
TOF	Time of Flight
UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
URL	Uniform Resource Locator
USB	Universal Serial Bus
UV	Ultraviolet
VASARI	Visual Art System for Archiving and Retrieval of Images (EU FP2-ESPRIT 2 project 1989–92)
VDI/VDE	Verein Deutscher Ingenieure / Verband der Elektrotechnik Elektronik Informationstechnik
Vis	Visible
VNIR	Visible and Near Infrared
VR	Virtual Reality
VRML	Virtual Reality Modelling Language
WG	Working Group
XML	eXtensible Markup Language
XRF	X-ray Fluorescence Spectroscopy

FOREWORD

COSCH stands for Colour and Space in Cultural Heritage and represents four years of networking activities of scientists and researchers from other disciplines from twenty-eight European countries. They share a dedication to improving the understanding of optical measurement techniques, applied to various tasks in documentation of material cultural heritage. The COSCH network benefited from the support and funding of the European Cooperation in Science and Technology, commonly known as COST. COST was founded in 1971 as an intergovernmental framework for cooperation in science and technology and is one of the oldest European bodies funding networking in these areas. Cooperation is implemented through the so-called Actions, such as COSCH. A COST Action provides a platform for dialogue and exchange of interdisciplinary knowledge amongst researchers from different academic disciplines, as well as industry and commercial research laboratories.

COST Actions have a funding period of four years. The funding covers networking activities, mainly the cost of organizing and travelling to meetings, conferences, and workshops. Financial support is also available for research exchange visits (so-called Short-term Scientific Missions, or STSMs), training schools, publications, and other dissemination activities. Personnel costs cannot be funded, which distinguishes COST Actions from research projects supported through the framework programmes of the European Union, such as Horizon 2020 and the earlier 7th Framework Programme (FP7) for Research and Technological Development. The networking character of COST Actions is paramount. Despite the lack of research funding, COST Actions have nonetheless a clear scientific focus that guides work conducted in the course of all activities. COST takes a bottom-up approach to the selection of proposals for funding: any kind of scientific objectives held by an international group of researchers may compete for support, if it fits within the COST implementation rules. When accepted, a proposal is transformed into a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) and is signed by all participating countries. This document defines the objectives, programme of work, its milestones and measures to be implemented by the Action. The summary of the COSCH MoU (2012, p. 3) reads:

True, precise and complete documentation of artefacts is essential for conservation and preservation of our cultural heritage (CH). By ensuring access to the best possible documentation of artefacts we are contributing to the enhanced understanding of material CH and help its long-term preservation. We are all responsible for ensuring that this heritage is

passed on to future generations. Documentation of CH involves researchers, scientists and professionals from multiple disciplines and industries. There is a need to promote research, development and application of non-contact optical measurement techniques (spectral and spatial) adapted to the needs of heritage documentation on a concerted European level, in order to protect, preserve, analyse, understand, model, virtually reproduce, document and publish important CH in Europe and beyond. Research in this field typically relies on nationally-funded projects with little interaction between stakeholders. This Action will provide a stimulating framework for articulating and clarifying problems, sharing solutions and skills, standardising methodologies and protocols, encouraging a common understanding, widening applications and dissemination. The Action will foster open standards for state-of-the-art documentation of CH. It will simplify the usage of high-resolution optical techniques in CH and define good practice and stimulate research.

COSCH represents four years of intense interdisciplinary work, to the benefit of our common cultural heritage, aimed at optimizing its documentation through a better understanding of technology, and its dependencies on the questions and requirements of specific applications. Exemplary case studies in applied technology, in accordance with identified guiding research questions, are the main subject of this book. They represent the practical work which has been conducted in order to meet the COSCH objectives.

Frank Boochs
COSCH Chairman

INTRODUCTION

ANNA BENTKOWSKA-KAFEL

This book presents some of the outcomes of interdisciplinary research and debates conducted by the participants in the international network, Colour and Space in Cultural Heritage (COSCH) between 2012 and 2016. The book adds to a large body of literature on the applications of digital technologies to the study and preservation of cultural heritage. So why was another book on the subject needed? In this introduction the rationale for the book, its scope, and methodology are explained.

Cultural Heritage

After a certain period of time, human cultural activities and their products acquire the status of cultural heritage. The International Council on Monuments and Sites (ICOMOS 2002, 21) defines cultural heritage broadly as “an expression of the ways of living developed by a community and passed on from generation to generation.” Some standard definitions of cultural heritage, both tangible and intangible, in the context of 3D documentation, have been collated by the consortium, 3D-COFORM (Arnold et al. 2009, 16–19).¹

No single book can cover the variety and richness of cultural heritage, or the methods of its documentation. The limitations are inevitable. The COSCH network limited its interests to material objects of the cultural heritage of Europe, some of which are covered in this book. The objects and sites come from different periods and bear witness to different cultures. They vary in scale and materials, significance and value. They include an ancient Greek vase, the Karabournaki kantharos (chapter 2); Roman silver coins, the denarii of Faustina the Elder (chapter 3); painted wall decoration of the medieval French château of Germolles (chapter 4); a fortress overlooking Sarajevo, steeped in the multicultural, turbulent history of Bosnia (chapter 5); a medieval wooden shipwreck, known as a cog, lifted from a river bed in Germany (chapter 7); and a variety of historic objects housed by the National Museum of Romanian History, Bucharest, including icons, illuminated manuscripts, and pottery (chapter 6). Other works of world art and architecture, as significant

¹ Major research projects in this area are often better known through their acronyms than full names, some of which tend to be very long and when used in a sentence distract from the main argument. The acronyms used by the authors can be found, spelled out, in Selected Bibliography, p. 277, see also Acronyms and Abbreviations, p. xxvii.

as the ancient, rock-cut statues of Buddha in the Bamiyan Valley in Afghanistan, demolished by the Taliban in 2001, are mentioned in the second part of the book. This small selection is representative of other art, crafts, and architecture, in various states of preservation and restoration that can be found *in situ* or housed in museums.

COSCH was established to enhance and promote specialist applications of optical technologies to the spatial and spectral recording of material cultural heritage. The research processes and some digital outputs of such applications (both digitally born and digitized) represent cultural heritage in its own right and require effective digital preservation. The significance of digital heritage has been recognized by UNESCO (2003b). Museum collections, archives, and other heritage organizations, motivated to some extent by a growing public demand, are under pressure to digitize their holdings. Yet we need to remember that only a small proportion of world cultural heritage has been digitized. The automation of digitization is seen as a way forward (Karaszewski et al. 2014), but not without concerns over the quality achieved by fast and uniform machine processes applied to unique objects. Issues of long-term digital preservation are also common. As noted in chapter 7, the long-term maintenance and access to digital tools and resources remain problematic, constituting a major barrier to the wider adoption of digital research methodologies. Only a fraction of digital assets thus created since the 1980s continue to be wanted, accessible, and usable. Technical solutions to digital obsolescence are available, but there are other reasons for neglect of electronic resources created in the past. The 3D computer model of the Old Minster in Winchester, created by archaeologists of the site in collaboration with IBM Research UK in the mid-1980s (3DVisA, 2006) exemplifies how research methods and outcomes that were cutting-edge in their day have fallen behind current expectations of digital, interdisciplinary scholarship. The model nonetheless represents an important step in the history of digital scholarly collaborations and is worthy of inclusion in a museum of computing or a museum of virtual archaeology.

Colour

Those new to the name, Colour and Space in Cultural Heritage, will read into this phrase a preconceived understanding of colour and space. Both concepts are so familiar to the experience of cultural heritage and life in general, that an explanation may seem redundant. An understanding of the concepts will, however, differ from one reader to another, so much so that a common understanding must not be assumed.

Colour “is the child of light, the source of all life on earth” (Delamare and Guineau 2000, 13). Johann Wolfgang von Goethe (1810) believed that colour is a

level of darkness. He disputed Isaac Newton's (1704) discovery of the spectrum—the rainbow of colours of visible light after it passed through a prism. Newton's drawing of what he termed the “crucial experiment” (Newton 2010) shows sunlight refracted into five constituent colours, as he could not quite decide upon their number. The diagram, in black ink on paper, is a lasting, graphic record of his discovery process. Although since dismissed by modern science, Goethe's intuitive and poetic ideas about science and psychology of colour have continued to influence cultural theories. Since the earliest known cave paintings, artists have had a very special relationship with colour, not only physical, even tactile, but primarily spiritual. Our visual response to colour is emotional.

Colour in the arts is associated with pigments used to make paint, and dyes to colour textiles, but also with musical analogies to tint, tone, and hue, and further to chromatic harmony. From the quantifiable measures of the physical properties of colour to the significance of colour as an indicator of race, the range of possible references to cultural heritage is endless. The power of colour is forcefully expressed in the painting *Potent Fields* (2002, British Museum, London) by the South-African artist, Karel Nel, in which two planes, one in white ochre and the other in dark red ochre, refer to the separateness (apartheid) of colour. This powerful reading is only apparent to the informed beholder. Artwork detached from its message and context risks being meaningless. One must recognize that no documentation of a material object is ever complete unless its historical, social and phenomenological, spiritual and symbolic aspects are also studied. Conservation and heritage science are also capable of bringing back some of the lost meaning. Discolouration of paint or varnish over time, for example, influences the perceived meaning of art, as the well-known painting by Rembrandt van Rijn, showing a militia group portrait in daylight, continues to be popularly called *Night Watch* (1642, Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam).

Colour and Space in Cultural Heritage was led by the Technical University of Applied Science in Mainz, the COSCH Action grant holder. COSCH participants met in many different places in Europe, also in Mainz, which is famous for being the birthplace of Johannes Gutenberg and an apt place to deliberate the progress in documentation and reproduction technologies. For those who love the exuberance of colour in art, St. Stephen's church in Mainz is a perfect destination. The stained-glass windows there were designed by the ninety-year-old Marc Chagall, who was, according to Picasso, the only painter (after Matisse) to understand colour. “When Matisse dies,” Picasso is known to have said, “Chagall will be the only painter left who understands what colour really is.”² The colour scheme is

2 Françoise Gilot and Carlton Lake, *Life with Picasso* (London: Virago, 1990), 265.

predominantly cobalt blue with vivid accents of red, black, and yellow, ever changing in the daylight. The glowing effect is spiritual, even heavenly for some. What influences the artist's choice of paint or draws the viewer to particular colours may be investigated from aesthetic, psychological and many other perspectives. While recognizing this, the COSCH network's research into this area, however, has primarily been scientific with a focus on the capture of the intensity of radiation reflected at each wavelength from each point on a coloured surface (see, for example, Casini et al. 2015; Nascimento et al. 2017).

When in the early 2000s the Corpus Vitrearum Medii Aevi embarked upon digitization of medieval stained-glass that have survived in Great Britain (www.cvma.ac.uk), it surprised everyone how well the luminous effect of digital images displayed on a monitor screen, then glass, simulated the viewing of actual stained-glass windows. This was a visible, positive point of digitization. The process had many critics. Digitization in those days mainly involved scanning slides (particularly in teaching collections), negatives, and photographic prints. The laboriousness and exorbitantly high costs were of concern. A blank CD-ROM, then a popular portable data storage medium, retailed at approximately £12/\$18 each in 1998, and could hold no more than 650MB of read-only data. Various major technical issues involved in the processing and storing of digital data, their presentation and dissemination have since been resolved. Importantly, the direct digitization of the artefact, without the intermediary of a photographic surrogate, is now not only possible, but commonplace. Although amateur photographers rarely control the quality of the photographs they take, tending to rely on factory colour settings of the device, professionals carefully set up the lighting and calibrate the hardware, and not only manage, but also measure colour at all stages of the photographic and imaging processes, from capture through to application.

The importance of colour accuracy in art and heritage studies cannot be overestimated. It is perhaps surprising that black-and-white prints still prevail in many art books, even those on painting and colour studies. The popular book, *Art of Seeing* by John Berger, frequently reprinted by Penguin, may serve as an example. The superior quality of print reproduction of art, that has become available since the 1990s, is a result of years of research into colorimetric imaging. Notable international research projects in this area included VASARI (mentioned in chapter 8), MARC and MARC II, and CRISASTEL, carried out by major European information technology companies in association with museums and conservation institutes from 1989 through the 2000s. Prototype scanners enabling direct digitization of a painting, without the intermediary of photographic material, were developed and implemented at the Doerner Institute in Munich, the National Gallery in London, and the Uffizi Gallery in Florence. Another lasting effect of these historic imaging developments is the service known as Print-on-Demand, offered by some

museums to visitors and online: customers can order a superior quality print, on canvas-textured paper, of a painting of their choice. CRISASTEL also pioneered the transmission of large image files over a network, today taken for granted. Black-and-white photography is art in itself, but one can only be pleased that greyscale prints, so common in the past, no longer predominate in newly created visual records.

There is more to colour than meets the eye. The colour that is invisible to the human eye is a subject of aesthetic theories of art that explores the effect of mental “after-images” that we can see, with the eyes closed, having previously stared at the sun or another light source. Władysław Strzemiński’s abstract *An After-image of Light. A Lady at the Window* (ca. 1948, Museum of Art, Łódź) evidences such an artistic exploration. COSCH’s scope of scientific research into colour extended to spectral imaging techniques and devices in the visible (380–750nm), near infrared (750–1000nm), and infrared (1000–2500nm) ranges. “The combination of digital imaging with spectroscopy has expanded point-based, or one-dimensional (1D) spectroscopic techniques. Imaging spectroscopy provides the ability to distinguish and map the spatial distribution of materials over an entire object, extract reflectance spectra for the identification of materials, calculate colour, enhance and reveal underdrawings, detect changes in composition, and identify damage and past conservation treatments” (p. 142). A group of scientists and other researchers within the COSCH network carried out a Round Robin Test (RRT), discussed in chapter 8, to assess the current diversity of systems and methodologies, aiming at more standardization and quality control.

Space

“Colour is all,” believed Chagall. “When colour is right, form is right.”³ This artistic observation points towards one of the most pertinent relationships in the arts and in our experience of the external world, that between colour and form. The COSCH network’s understanding of space was predominantly scientific. In the context of COSCH research interests, space is synonymous with the three-dimensionality of material objects: the geometry of the object, including architecture and other physical structures. Therefore, space in this book is primarily considered as measurable, geometrical properties of material objects, and a subject of 3D optical metrology. Geographical terrain, or phenomenological experiences of space, or

3 Citation after Chagall “Colour Is Everything,” Spring exh., William Weston Gallery, London, 16 April 2015, <http://www.williamweston.co.uk/exhibitions/items/133> (accessed 15 October 2016).

anthropological approaches to a place, all of which are relevant to cultural heritage, were outside the scientific objectives of COSCH research, although they had to be addressed, if only marginally, in the context of 3D historical visualization (COSCH Working Group 5). Time, inherent to the experience of space, is considered in the same context, and referred to, for convenience, as the 4D presentation of cultural heritage (chapter 5). A dedicated COSCH working group was pre-occupied with spatial object documentation: the methods and instruments for the acquisition and processing of 3D data. Photogrammetry, 3D laser scanning and total station surveying, structured light scanning and Structure from Motion are amongst 3D sensing techniques employed in COSCH studies, covered in the chapters that follow. Historical visualization of cultural heritage was generally seen as a by-product of 3D data acquisition and processing. Arts and humanities scholars and the general public are likely to evaluate visualization, based on its appearance and realism of rendering, rather than its geometry. The characteristics of these and other methods are explained and discussed; ample exemplars of applications to cultural heritage are provided, with further references to significant non-COSCH projects and literature. One should bear in mind that Euclidean space and linear time, both deeply rooted in Western cultures, are amongst other possible concepts of past cultures.

Science and Technology

The relationships between science, technology, and cultural heritage, described as “inexorable” (Rogerio-Candelera 2014, xi) have been widely researched (MacDonald 2006; Barber and Mills 2011; Stanco et al. 2011). The COSCH network was established as a trans-domain COST Action (TD 1201) in Materials, Physical, and Nanosciences. Participating scientists and engineers included experts in material sciences, conservation, chemistry and physics, photonics, imaging and a range of other fields in computer science. The participation of humanities scholars and other non-scientists in a network dedicated to materials, physical, and nanosciences is an unusual feature.

The material and technology used in the making of an artefact determines its formal characteristics, as well as aesthetic qualities and its value. One of the COSCH working groups focused on the conservation science of the surfaces of material objects. The case study described in chapter 4 concerns the examination of art materials that led to their identification, supporting the dating and attribution of the work, namely medieval wall paintings rediscovered under modern plasters. Art materials and techniques may also, albeit not necessarily, determine the cultural and artistic significance of the work (both are subject to fashion and other fluctuating, subjective factors), providing insights into the technical history

of cultural heritage. Outdoor Impressionist painting, characterized by impasto, would not have been possible without the invention of quick drying oil paint. Le Corbusier's architecture would be very different without reinforced concrete. Technology affects the preservation, conservation, study, and communication of material culture.

Long before the digital era technology was being applied in the conservation of art and architecture to great, albeit occasionally disastrous effect (chapter 4). For example, microscopic analysis of pigments and dendrology helped the authentication and dating of paintings on wooden panels; and the application of photogrammetry to architecture was applied, among others, in the rebuilding of the Castle Howard in Yorkshire, England, after it was partly damaged by fire in 1940 (Thompson 1962). Accurate dimensions for restoration were calculated from available old photographic prints that had been taken with no photogrammetry in mind.

An important area of COSCH research has implications for *all* computer-based activities, namely algorithms. Invisible to most users, algorithms determine the effectiveness of applications of digital technology. Much work, led by a dedicated working group, was carried out to offer guidance in this difficult area. The problem of calibration, for example, is much greater than mentioned above. "The main problem with calibration accuracy is that it is both device dependent and application dependent. Thus, the calibration process used for a laser scanning system may differ from the calibration processes used for point-based spatial recording, spatial fringe projection, spatial photogrammetry or tactile measurement" (Trémeau and Murphy 2016, 2).

Good understanding of algorithms that support optical recording and examination of material objects was fundamental to the correct representation of the relevant know-how. So in the COSCH Knowledge Representation (COSCH^{KR}), described in chapter 9, "The COSCH Algorithm Selection Module (COSCHASM) has been defined formally to represent several processing chains used in Cultural Heritage as a hierarchy of algorithms to denote the types (from acquisition to visualization), properties (e.g., accuracy) and inter-relationships (e.g., between parametric factors) of algorithms used for a given task (e.g., 3D reconstruction of a Greek vase in the Kantharos case study exemplar). The main specificity of the COSCHASM is that it is aimed at end-users (who are non-experts in computer vision) in order to help them to find/select the best sequence of algorithms corresponding to their application" (Trémeau and Murphy 2016, 8).

As with other areas of study, digital technology has exponentially enhanced and expanded applications, while raising new issues. Digital data captured for one particular purpose may be reused for another. However, the advantages of applying digital technology to the examination and documentation of material culture

cannot be taken for granted. The benefits need to be evidenced. Technical innovation must acknowledge earlier relevant research and be sympathetic to those traditional research methods that continue to be effective. A combination of different research methods enhances the critical evaluation of the chosen approach, often bringing a better understanding of the data under investigation and, ultimately, new insights into the subject of study. Chapter 4 demonstrates the significance of archival research conducted concurrently with a technical investigation of historic wall paintings. The virtual reconstruction of a fragmented ancient vase, covered in chapter 2, would be futile unless based on archaeological knowledge and earlier comparative studies of Greek pottery, including those communicated through text and hand drawings.

The limitations of digital technologies and related know-how need to be communicated to stimulate further research and this is one of the aims of this book. Another aim is a transparent account of areas prone to errors, including the failures of our research, to prevent others from repeating the same mistakes and take research forward. The aim of integrating spatial and spectral instruments and software, currently used independently, and to enhance the fusion of heterogeneous data, remains an ambitious and difficult goal. Many issues within individual technologies remain. Two studies in particular, those of silver coins and the Round Robin Test, described in chapters 3 and 8 respectively, applied a range of recording and analytical technologies to the same objects in order to compare and evaluate how results depend on a particular setup or operator. A number of problems were encountered due to: differences between instruments, software, and algorithms; the heterogeneity of captured data and file formats that can be generated and the profusion of standards and different claims to best practice. As pointed out in chapter 1,

One should not underestimate the importance of describing and documenting well the used measurement methods and procedures, as these contribute to the determination and understanding of the overall quality of the measurement results and, at the same time, to a better analysis and interpretation of the measurand—the cultural heritage object in context—in this case. . . . “repeatability” measures the variation in measurements taken by a single instrument or operator under the same conditions (including same location, instrument, operator, procedure) and repetition over a short period of time, “reproducibility” measures the variation in measurements under a reproducibility set of conditions (e.g., different location, instrument, operator, procedure). Put simply, it measures the ability to replicate the results of others. (p. 6)

The COSCH network was primarily preoccupied with applied optical technologies. This book makes clear the connection between technology and its application(s). In the second, strictly technical part of the book, selected technologies employed in COSCH case studies are explained in the wider context of international research, with additional examples and suggestions for further reading. The explanations are aimed at both specialist and non-specialist readers. Each entry consists of a definition which introduces the basic principle of the technology or method, followed by a more detailed, specialist description and selected examples of significant projects.

Methodology

Conference papers exploring the relationships between cultural heritage and technology constitute a considerable part of the literature in this area. Published relatively quickly, conference proceedings are indispensable sources for the latest innovation. Significant collections of diverse practice-based academic papers have been published in book format (Rogerio-Candelera 2014; Ioannides and Quak 2014; Stylianidis and Remondino 2016). Such collections, broadly responding to a generic theme in cultural heritage and technology, are not necessarily consistent in approach and research methodology. The collection of papers in this book is deliberately different. The chapters present the outcomes of carefully designed COSCH case studies dealing strictly with applications of optical technologies, spatial and spectral, to the study of material cultural heritage. In 2012 COSCH issued a call for proposals for case studies that would adhere to the scientific and interdisciplinary objectives of the Action. The call was issued with relevant methodological advice and references to standard literature on case study research.

Discussions held during the course of the COSCH Action showed that it is quite common to confuse a case study with use case or an exemplar application. A case study and use case are not synonymous with an exemplar (typically of a particular practice or project). Case studies and use cases are both forms of detailed empirical research into a particular situation and behaviour. Both require data collection and data analysis. To demonstrate the reliability of a case study, or use case, it is necessary to demonstrate that the operations can be repeated with the same results. Should one expect the same accuracy from instrumentation of comparable specification, irrespectively of how and where the recording took place? Is the human operator an affecting factor? COSCH case studies have demonstrated that this is far from being straightforward. The COSCH Round Robin Test (chapter 8) served as an experiment conducted in multiple laboratories to understand better the factors that affect the results of imaging spectroscopy techniques, applied to the same artefacts, in particular the differences in the instrumentation and

data acquisition methods, and their effects on the accuracy and reliability of the acquired data. The COSCH case study of historic silver coins (chapter 3) involved experimenting with various spatial recording and analytical technologies, applied to the same test coins, in order to compare the quality of the acquired data.

In applied technical research a use case is a method in software and systems engineering. It seeks to establish how a human or machine system is able to achieve an objective specified *a priori*. Case study research combines quantitative and qualitative methods with the emphasis on human participants, and is more common in social sciences. It also seeks to determine how one condition leads to another (internal validity), but only for explanatory or causal studies. The other types of case study research, descriptive or exploratory, may be designed differently, but should equally demonstrate “external validity, i.e. define the domain to which a study’s findings can be generalized” (Yin 2014, 46). Bearing in mind the interdisciplinary complexity of research into cultural heritage, the choice of case study methodology offered the required flexibility, without jeopardizing the integrity of individual disciplines and without curtailing innovation. Importantly, six types of sources that may be used in case study research include the evidence of the physical object, which is critical to the COSCH research covered in this volume. The reader will be able to judge the quality of response to the COSCH call for case studies, and the level of success in adopting this approach while seeking coherence in intellectual complexity and technological diversity. When dealing with this challenge human limitations and financial restrictions played a part. They were manifest in planning, organization, and delivery of tasks in the course of what was generally voluntary work.

Knowledge through Documentation

Documentation is the key concern that connects all the contributions to this book. Documentation is “The already existing stock of information. As an activity, it stands for the systematic collection and archiving of records in order to preserve them for future reference. It can be said: Today’s recording is tomorrow’s documentation.” According to the same source, recording “is in a broad sense, . . . the acquisition of new information deriving from all activities on a heritage asset, including heritage recording, research and investigation, conservation, use and management, and maintenance and monitoring” (Letellier et al. 2007, iv).

Although not a subject of systematic and comprehensive study by COSCH, the formats, standards, and management of documentation were relevant to many COSCH projects. The Roman coin case study (chapter 3) sought to establish connection between historic and current museum documentation practice and heritage science, looking specifically at the relationships between a standard museum

object record, technical metadata (such as 3D measurements, results of chemical and elemental analyses), and iconographic records other than 2D photographs. Documentation, both the process of and its outcomes, was variably understood by COSCH researchers as: digitization/recording/surveying/measuring of a historic material object of cultural significance. All these terms were used interchangeably, prompting a need for in-depth, critical discussion of terminologies used by the COSCH interdisciplinary community, and generally in cultural heritage research (see chapter 1). The clarity of discrete disciplinary differences was essential for effective communication (see chapter 10).

Technical documentation that employs scientific methods of recording and analysis may be produced independently of historical and other cultural knowledge about the object. A basic responsibility of the museum, and other custodians of cultural heritage, is to keep records of every object in their care, even if the object is obscure to scholars and likely to remain in store awaiting study. This critical legal and conservation objective continues to be met predominantly through photography, manual measurements, and notes. The benefit of applying advanced optical recording technologies to cultural heritage is best manifested when—apart from recording the object’s appearance and condition—the process also serves critical investigation with the potential of bringing about new insights into the interpretation of the object: its authenticity, provenance, historical use, and transformation. Comprehensive object documentation consists of technical records based on scientific information, alongside all other available records (visual, textual, audio, and in other formats) of the object, pertaining to its material and immaterial aspects, past and present. “It is the cultural heritage question one wishes to answer that determines the key properties that need to be documented [or recorded] and described in any given situation for a given cultural heritage object,” state the authors of chapter 1 (p. 5). COSCH researchers were broadly in agreement that the best method of documentation may only be chosen based on the specific, object-related questions. Choosing the provider of the appropriate technology requires some level of familiarity with the technical options possible, and sustainability of outcomes, a familiarity which the object custodians may not have.

As part of its aim to foster applications of optical technologies for the documentation of material cultural heritage, the COSCH network sought to structure relevant information about applications, methods, and instruments. Based on information science and semantic technologies, a formal representation of this specialist interdisciplinary knowledge was discussed, designed, and implemented through a dedicated online platform, COSCH^{KR} (see chapter 9 and <http://cosch.info/coschkr-treeview>). The responsiveness of the ontology to ever progressing knowledge—scientific, technical, and cultural—proved to be a true intellectual challenge. The design and development of the COSCH^{KR} was one of the areas of

COSCH research that required a good understanding of conservation and scholarly documentation practices; good enough to be able to determine how advanced spatial and spectral technologies may effectively support cultural heritage professionals and scholars in their practice.

Art and science are forms of knowledge. A few glimpses into the aesthetic thought and practice of a single artist, Chagall for example, suffice to confirm the complicated, multidimensional nature of colour and space. Some dimensions cannot be measured. Owing to this complexity, optical technologies can only offer a part-solution to comprehensive object documentation. However, the significance of this contribution cannot be stressed enough. Recording yields data which, through processing and interpretation, bring about information that is required to acquire new knowledge. The realization of the significance of interpretative processes for the advancement of knowledge results in the necessity to record not only the process of recording, as advocated above, but the entire research process. Therefore paradata, recorded alongside metadata, are critical to scholarship, even more so to those digital scholarly processes which progress from one step to another without leaving behind visible traces of how one has arrived at the result. Paradata and other forms of *scholia* are necessary to ensure the transparency of the process, to enable its repetition and revision (Bentkowska-Kafel et al. 2012).

The WinSOM software, designed for the solid modelling of Winchester Old Minster, cost several times more than the funding of the COSCH network which, some thirty years later, has facilitated the studies of some 240 international researchers over four years. Applications of cutting-edge technology may be worth the great effort and investment even if they are eventually superseded by better solutions. The contributors to this book make persuasive arguments about the long-term, cognitive benefit of the digital documentation of cultural heritage, the value of which is independent of the technology that enabled new insights into the subject of study. We hope that our readers, specialists and non-specialists alike, find the chapters herein, which are representative of our work, valuable for developing and recording their own digitization projects and that they spark new research questions for the cultural heritage community.

The companion website with additional material, some interactive and in 3D, can be found at <https://coschbook.wordpress.com>.