

For the attention of:

Date 6 13 1 2016

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: [] @decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is

.....Post Code

Email address:

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in this area for the past 50 years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

*"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. **At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered**".*

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, despite living close to the proposed turbines, we are not in North Kesteven district, but belong to the Borough of Boston and do not feel we have been adequately consulted. I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

.....
cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk mat.wainman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: 31/3/16

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: @decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is ...

Post Code

Email address:.....

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in this area for the past 4 years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

*"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. **At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered**".*

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, despite living close to the proposed turbines, we are not in North Kesteven district, but belong to the Borough of Boston and do not feel we have been adequately consulted. I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours faithfully,

.....
cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of :

Date: 4, 3, 16

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is ...

Post Code ..

Email address:

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in this area for the past 8.5 years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue**, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered".

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

cllr_Julia_Harrison@n-kesteven.gov.uk

For the attention of

Date: / /

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is

.....Post Co *

Email address:

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in this area for the past **60** years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue**, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered".

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

.....
cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

cllr_Julia_Harrison@n-kesteven.gov.uk

For the attention of:

Date: 3 / 3 / 2016

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: @decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is

.....Post Code ..

Email address:.....

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in this area for the past 44 years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

*"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. **At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered**"*

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response to the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

"The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme"

Finally, despite living close to the proposed turbines, we are not in North Kesteven district, but belong to the Borough of Boston and do not feel we have been adequately consulted. I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours faithfully

.....
cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: 21/3/16

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is

Post Code

Email address:

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in this area for the past 10 years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives, in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation Issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated: .

"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered".

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, despite living close to the proposed turbines, we are not in North Kesteven district, but belong to the Borough of Boston and do not feel we have been adequately consulted. I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours faithfully,

cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: 01 / 03 / 16

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is

..... Post Code ..

Email address:....

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in Lincolnshire for the past 40 years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

*"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. **At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered**".*

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

...
cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk

matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: 01/3/2016

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: I @decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is .

.....Post Code

Email address:.....

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in Lincolnshire for the past 52 years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives, in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered".

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

.....
cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk

matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: 6/03/16

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is

.....Post Code

Email address:

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in Lincolnshire for the past 17.5 years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their

For the attention of:

Date: 03/ 03 / 2016

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: decc@decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is

Post Code

Email address

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in Lincolnshire for the past 1 year and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009,- the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

*"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. **At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered**".*

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

.....

cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk

matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: / /

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is... ..

and my address is... ..

.....Post Code... ..

Email address:.....

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in Lincolnshire for the past...19..... years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered".

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk

matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: 6 /3/2016

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: jecc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is ...

and my address is ...

Post Code ...

Email address:...

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in Lincolnshire for the past23..... years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

*"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. **At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered**".*

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

"The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme".

Finally, I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

.....
cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk

matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: 6 /3/2016

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is ...

Post Code

Email address:

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in Lincolnshire for the past70..... years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

*"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. **At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered**".*

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

.....
cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk

matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: 6 /3/2016

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: l@decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is ...

Post Code ...

Email address:

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in Lincolnshire for the past60..... years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

*"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. **At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered**".*

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

.....
cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk

matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: 6 /3/2016

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: ' lecc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is ...

and my address is .

Post Code ...

Email address:...

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in Lincolnshire for the past50..... years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

*"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. **At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered**".*

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

.....
cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk

matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: 7/2/2016

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: lecc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

Sirs,

My name is:

My address:

Email address:

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in this area for the past 4 years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

Ecotricity have no right to vary configurations without full repeat consultation & applications

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim,

provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

*"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. **At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered**".*

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, despite living close to the proposed turbines, we are not in North Kesteven district, but belong to the Borough of Boston and do not feel we have been adequately consulted. I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

PS, it should be noted that wind turbines are the greatest 'suspects' causing whales to beach themselves on our coast recently and this proves much more research is required

.....
cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: 7th March, 2016

Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is _____ and my address is _____

My email address: _____

Dear I

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in this area since 2008 and as an **affected local property owner and resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

*"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. **At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered**".*

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, despite living close to the proposed turbines, we are not in North Kesteven district, but belong to the Borough of Boston and do not feel we have been adequately consulted. I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

PS, it should be noted that wind turbines are the greatest 'suspects' causing whales to beach themselves on our coast recently and this proves much more research is required

.....
cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

8

For the attention of:

Date: 1.3.16

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: 1@decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is

.....Post Code ..

Email address:

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in this area for the past 12 years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009 - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of 10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation Issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered".

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

"The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme".

Finally, despite living close to the proposed turbines, we are not in North Kesteven district, but belong to the Borough of Boston and do not feel we have been adequately consulted. I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. It is my firm belief that there should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

cc: stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: 3, 3, 16

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: @decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is

Post Code

Email address:

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in this area for the past 22 years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

*"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. **At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered**".*

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, despite living close to the proposed turbines, we are not in North Kesteven district, but belong to the Borough of Boston and do not feel we have been adequately consulted. I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: 21/3/16

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email :decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is

.....Post Code ..

Email address:.....

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in this area for the past 50 years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

*"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. **At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered**".*

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, despite living close to the proposed turbines, we are not in North Kesteven district, but belong to the Borough of Boston and do not feel we have been adequately consulted. I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: 02/03/2016

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: @decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is _____ s and my address is:

Email address:

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in Lincolnshire for the past ...23..... years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

*"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. **At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered**".*

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, despite living close to the proposed turbines, we are not in North Kesteven district, but belong to the Borough of Boston and do not feel we have been adequately consulted. I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully.....

cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: 02/03/2016

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: @decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is

.....Post Code

Email address:

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in Lincolnshire for the past 20 years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

*"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. **At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered**"*.

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

"The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme".

Finally, I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk

matt warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: / /

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

.....

and my address is

.....Post Code

Email address:.....

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in Lincolnshire for the past 25 years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire.** There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered".

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk

matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:

Date: / /

National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email:

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is ...

and my address is

Post Code

Email address:.....

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in Lincolnshire for the past 20 years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

*"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. **At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered**".*

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours faithfully,

cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk

matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

For the attention of:
Keith Welford
Case Manager
National Infrastructure Consents
Department of Energy & Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

Date: 21, 4, 16.

DECC Contact email: keith.welford@decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State Amber Rudd & Minister of State Andrea Leadsom Department of Energy & Climate Change.

My name is

and my address is

.....Post Code .

Email address:.....

Dear Sir,

I have only been informed today of the consultation initiated by your Department DECC on the 19 January 2016 regarding above application, and now respectfully request that my further concerns are taken into account and not ignored as on previous occasions.

I have lived in Lincolnshire for the past 26 years and as an **affected local resident**, wish to register my strong **OBJECTION** to Ecotricity's attempt to vary the turbine configuration of their Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented by a Government appointed Inspector against the legitimate planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, our local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in solid support of local residents.

I consider that my original concerns have not been allayed and that to approve the proposed increase in the turbine blades rotor diameter from 90m to a maximum rotor diameter of up to 103m is totally unacceptable, especially as this will substantially increase the risk of harm to local residents arising from the recent recognition and final acceptance by the wind turbine industry and Government departments, after many years of not considering that this has been causing legitimate and serious concerns, - that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, especially low frequency and amplitude modulation, which is not masked by background noise, protection against which for affected local residents is still not available after all this time.

I am aware that there are residents in other areas of the country that are still suffering from these unacceptable impacts, as well as in other countries.

I also wish to state that I have **no confidence** that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, approved by the previous Governments' Secretary of State with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provides adequate protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should I and local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

Ecotricity's wind farm proposal was first mooted in 2008, prior to the meeting mentioned below in 2009, - the wind farm was consented in February 2013, the five year condition extends this uncertainty to Feb 2018. This is a combined total of **10 years to resolve this well known Radar Mitigation issue, which was the cause of objections by MoD, with much earlier wind farm planning applications, even before 2008, in the same operational vicinity of RAF Stations in this area of Lincolnshire. There is absolutely no justification for any additional time allowance.**

I wish to record my total dissatisfaction with the methods deployed by Ecotricity to gain this wind farm planning consent, further evidence of their disregard for local communities is recorded in the Officer's Report to the Planning committee, which stated:

"When the wind farm was first proposed in 2009 we were contacted by 'Ecotricity' Limited. We arranged a public meeting and two of their senior management gave a presentation the 7th September 2009 at Heckington Village Hall. At this meeting a large number of parishioners attended and expressed their concerns. The representatives of 'Ecotricity' were very candid in the responses and the general opinion was that most were against the proposal. At this meeting we were told that a Parish Council could have no influence in the final decision which would be made by Central Government without consultation. The Parish Council's views would not even be considered".

I also totally agree with the final comment made in the letter submitted by North Kesteven District Council dated 10 February 2016 in response the DECC consultation letter of the 19 January 2016, in support of local residents which stated:

'The Council would urge the Secretary of State to take on board all representations made by the local community and have regard to their strength of feeling and opposition to the scheme'.

Finally, I did not back the proposed wind farm from the beginning and I still do not back this now. There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Yours Faithfully,

.....
cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk

.....
matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk

Date: 30, 7, 16

For the attention of:
Keith Welford
Case Manager
National Infrastructure Consents
Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy
3 Whitehall Place
LONDON
SW1A 2AW

DECC Contact email: keith.welford@decc.gsi.gov.uk

RE: Ecotricity Heckington Fen Wind Farm Variation of Consent ref: 4038P019901.

I also respectfully request that my letter is forwarded to the Secretary of State the Rt Hon Greg Clark MP

My name is

and my address is

.....Post Code ..

Email address:.....

Dear Sir,

I am now aware that Ecotricity have submitted a variation application to DECC in 2015, to increase the length of the turbine blades on their consented wind turbines from 82m to a maximum of 103m, without changing to overall height from 125m and to change the planning permission condition to enable them to start the wind farm site ground works infrastructure construction, before they have the agreement from MOD that there is an effective system of radar mitigation in place, to protect RAF pilots flying in the skies around the local RAF bases at Cranwell, Waddington and Coningsby.

I understand a 2nd round of consultation was initiated by The Department of Energy & Climate Change (DECC) on the 19 January 2016 and that submissions are still being accepted as of the date of this letter. I respectfully request that these are all considered.

I wish to register my **OBJECTION** to the variation to the Heckington Fen wind farm, which was consented against the planning concerns of our Local Planning Authority, local District and Parish Councillors' representatives', in support of residents.

I understand that operating wind turbines with blades over 50metres long, on a shortened turbine tower, will cause intrusive turbine noise levels to rise and as a result substantially increase the risk of harm to the affected local residents, particularly with regards to the fact it is now established, that large scale wind turbines do emit specific types of noise characteristics, identified as low frequency and excessive amplitude modulation noise, which is not masked by local background noise. There are now proven cases of adverse effects and complaints from local residents in the UK and in many other countries across the world.

I am also aware that local communities commissioned a noise impact appraisal report by a renowned wind turbine noise expert, John Yelland MA DPil (Oxon) MInstP FIET AMASA MIOA, to examine whether Ecotricity had correctly assessed if the operation of turbines with longer blades complied with government noise guidelines and to ensure as far as possible that safeguards and the protection of local residents had been adequately considered.

To do this Dr Yelland carried out a forensic appraisal of Ecotricity's variation noise impact assessment and found that this was largely based on and relied upon their original assessment issued in July 2011, used to gain planning consent for their 22 turbines wind farm each 125m high in February 2013, from the previous Secretary of State at DECC.

As a result of this examination Dr Yelland's findings and conclusions in his report are absolutely shocking.

This states that:

"Given that the wind farm design had, little or no "headroom" to be able to operate within noise limits at any of the surveyed locations either at or near to local resident's homes, "the inevitable consequence of rectifying the errors I have found inevitably demonstrates that the applicant's wind farm design is not compliant with government guidelines".

"The applicant, by proposing a wind farm with an installed power greater than 50 MW, was able to circumvent local opposition by removing the decision from local to central government, at a time when the relevant government department, DECC, had great enthusiasm for wind farms but little understanding of their potential impacts on wind farm neighbours. Having been assured by the developer on the one hand that there would be no adverse impacts from the proposal and on the other hand that objection was futile as the decision was a predetermined formality, in particular Heckington Parish Council, South Kyme Parish Council and Amber Hill Parish Council felt that their concerns would carry little weight in the decision making process. Local residents likewise also registered their concerns".

"The chosen site is simply too small for a 50 MW, let alone 66 MW, wind farm. It is now apparent that the original consent was gained in spite of a defective noise impact assessment; if constructed the wind farm would have produced noise well in excess of government limits. If the variation application were to be consented the noise excess would be even greater".

The report also highlights in great detail that the methodology used to assess virtually all aspects of the levels of noise local residents would be subject to over and above the existing background noise levels, were flawed and non-compliant, including the analysis of the levels of wind turbine noise the turbines would emit.

In view of this, I wish to state that I have little confidence that even the current consented wind turbine and wind farm configuration for this wind farm, with the accompanying wind turbine noise conditions, including the final resort to a potential nuisance claim, provide sufficient protection to local residents against these impacts, and that past experience has clearly demonstrated that this is the case.

I also confirm that I oppose the variation of the planning condition to permit Ecotricity to commence construction of any aspect of the wind farm development prior to the radar mitigation scheme condition being satisfied in full. The planning condition specifies that Ecotricity have a full five years available to meet this condition, if they cannot complete this during this period, then why should local communities continue to be subject to planning blight and uncertainty?

There should either be a new inquiry or failing this, the proposed variation should be refused.

Thank you.

Yours faithfully,

cc. stephen.phillips.mp@parliament.uk matt.warman.mp@parliament.uk