Reference	Rubio-Zarapuz, Alejandro <sup>1</sup> ; Apolo-Arenas, María Dolores <sup>2,3</sup> ; Tomas-Carus, Pablo <sup>4,5</sup> ; Tornero-Aguilera, José Francisco <sup>1</sup> ; Clemente-Suárez, Vicente Javier <sup>1,6</sup> ; Parraca, Jose A. <sup>4,5*</sup>						
	Comparative Analysis of Psychophysiological Re-						
	sponses in Fibromyalgia Patients: Evaluating Neu-						
	romodulation Alone, Neuromodulation Combined						
	with Virtual Reality, and Exercise Interventions						
	Medicina: 2024; 60(3); 404.						
	DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina60030404. Open Access.						
Products	EXOPULSE Mollii Suit vs. Suit + Virtual Reality vs. Exercise vs. Placebo (Sham)						
Major Findings	With one hour of EXOPULSE Mollii Suit only, compared to one hour of either Suit + Virtual Reality (VR), Exercise, or Placebo treatment (control):						
	<ul> <li>→ Significant reduction in subjective pain rating on NRS with all interventions         <ul> <li>Suit: decrease of 1.46 points (-24.7 %)<sup>++</sup></li> <li>Suit+VR: decrease of 2.21 points (-32.7 %)<sup>++</sup></li> <li>Exercise: decrease of 1.23 points (-18.7 %)<sup>++</sup></li> <li>Control: decrease of 0.95 points (-13.4 %)<sup>++</sup></li> </ul> </li> <li>Pain on         <ul> <li>Numeric Rating Scale (NRS)</li> <li>10 — ++</li> <li>±+ — ±+ — ±+ — ±+</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						

NAS [points]

6

5 4

7

pre

6

post

Control

6

pre

Suit only



4

post

7

pre

5

post

Suit + VR

7

pre

Exercise

post

## → Improvements in all blood oxygenation variables with all interventions

- Suit & Control: significant improvement in all variables (SmO<sub>2</sub>, THb O<sub>2</sub>Hb, and HHb)
- Suit+VR & Exercise: significant improvements, except for THb

#### → No significant changes in respiratory variables in any of the interventions

## → Improvements in functional tests

- **Suit**: significant improvement in chair stand test and non-significant improvement in handgrip strength test
- **Suit+VR**: significant improvement 10m up and go test, one leg balance test and handgrip strength test
- **Exercise**: significant improvement in chair stand test and 10m up and go test, and non-significant improvement in one leg balance
- Control: significant improvement in 10m up and go test and non-significant improvement in handgrip strength test

#### → Improvements in cortical arousal

- Suit: no change
- Suit+VR: non-significant improvement
- Exercise & Control: significant improvement

# → Changes in microcirculation measured by skin temperature of hand and index finger

- Suit & Control: significant and consistent temperature decrease
- Suit+VR: non-significant but consistent temperature decrease
- **Exercise**: non-significant but consistent temperature increase

Population	Subjects:	n = 89 (all female)
	Etiology:	Fibromyalgia (at least three months); no neuro modulation therapy or exercise program in the last months
	Mean age:	Control group $(n = 20)$ : 55.1 ± 8.3 years
		Suit only group $(n = 22)$ : 51.8 ± 8.4 years
		Suit + VR group (n = 21): $51.0 \pm 10.8$ years
		Exercise group $(n = 26)$ : 51.5 ± 10.9 years

#### **Study Design**

Randomized controlled trial (RCT) to evaluate and compare the immediate effects of different treatments on fibromyalgia patients:



Outcomes were assessed directly before and directly after the intervention.

The interventions were (1) **Control**: same protocol as the Suit only group, but the electrodes were inactive (sham stimulation); (2) **Suit only**: 60 min session with EXOPULSE Mollii Suit with all 58 electrodes active, participants lay on a massage table facing upwards; (3) **Suit + VR**: in addition to the suit with active stimulation, participants were given a virtual reality (VR) visor (Oculus Go), participants lay on a massage table facing upwards, participants could not move but mirror the movements of the avatar; (4) **Exercise**: 60 min training consisting of warm up, strength training, body weight exercises, banded exercises.

#### **Results**

Body Functions & Structure			Activity			Participation	Environment		
Pain	Spasticity	Physiologi- cal func- tion	Psycholog- ical func- tion	General Health	Activity	Mobility & Safety	ADLs	Preference, Satisfac- tion, QoL	Health Economics

	groups							
Category	Outcomes	Results for comparison of interventions (*Sig.)						
		Suit vs. Suit + VR	Suit vs. Exercise	Suit vs. Control	Suit + VR vs. Exercise	Suit + VR vs. Control	Exercise vs. Control	
Pain	Pressure Pain Thresh- old (PPT) - epicondyle	0	0	+	0	0	0	
	- knee	0	0	0	0	+	+	
	Numeric Rating Scale (NRS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
General Health - Microcirculation	Skin Temperature measured on - palm of hand	0	+	0	+	0	+	

# Results Table 1: Comparative statistics of post-intervention results between

Ottobock | Comparative Analysis of Psychophysiological Responses in Fibromyalgia Patients: Evaluating Neuromodulation Alone, Neuromodulation Combined with Virtual Reality, and Exercise Interventions EXOPULSE Mollii Suit vs. 3 of 9 Suit + Virtual Reality vs. Exercise vs. Placebo (Sham)

Category	Outcomes	Results for comparison of interventions (*Sig.)							
		Suit vs. Suit + VR	Suit vs. Exercise	Suit vs. Control	Suit + VR vs. Exercise	Suit + VR vs. Control	Exercise vs. Control		
	- back of hand	0	+	0	+	0	0	]	
	- proximal index finger	0	++	0	+	0	+		
	- distal index finger (fin- gertip)	0	+	0	+	0	+		
General Health - Lung function	Forced Expiratory Vol- ume in - 1s (FEV1),	0	0	0	0	0	0	]	
	- 6s (FEV6), and	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	- ratio (FEV1/FEV6)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	Chest Perimeter Differ- ence	0	0	0	0	0	0		
General Health - Cortical Arousal and Salivary Pat-	Critical Flicker Fusion Threshold (CFFT)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
terns									
General Health - Muscle Oxygen	Muscle Oxygen Satura- tion (SmO <sub>2</sub> )	++	++	++	++	++	++	]	
	Total Hemoglobin (THb)	+	++	++	0	++	++	-	
	Deoxygenated Hemo- globin (HHb)	++	++	++	++	++	++		
	Oxygenated Hemoglo- bin (O <sub>2</sub> Hb)	++	++	++	++	++	++		
Activity	Handgrip strength test	0	0	0	0	0	0	]	
Mobility & Safety	Chair stand test	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	10 meter up-and-go test	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	One-leg balance for right and left leg	0	0	0	0	0	0		

\* no difference (0), trend (+ with p<0.05), significant (++ with p<0.001) difference, not applicable (n.a.)

Category	Outcomes	Results fo	r pre-intervention vs. post-intervention	Sig.*
Pain	Pressure Pain Thresh- old (PPT) [kg] - epicondyle	Control	Significant increase in pressure tolerance of 0,31 kg (pre: 1.24 ±0.527 / post: 1.55 ±0.561)	++
		Suit only	Significant increase in pressure tolerance of 0,01 kg (pre: 2.04 ±1.183 / post: 2.05 ±0.665)	0
		Suit + VR	No change in pressure tolerance (pre: 1.78 ±0.683 / post: 1.78 ±0.504)	0
		Exercise	Significant increase in pressure tolerance of 0,27 kg (pre: 1.90 ±0.897 / post: 2.17 ±1.09)	+
	Pressure Pain Thresh- old (PPT) [kg] - knee	Control	No change in pressure tolerance (pre: 1.86 ±1.851 / post: 1.80 ±0.833)	0
		Suit only	No change in pressure tolerance (pre: 2.10 ±0.861 / post: 2.25 ±0.811)	0
		Suit + VR	Significant increase in pressure tolerance of 0,61 kg (pre: 2.02 ±0.973 / post: 2.63 ±1.250)	+
		Exercise	Significant increase in pressure tolerance of 0,42 kg (pre: 2.09 ±0.951 / post: 2.51 ±1.232)	+
	Numeric Rating Scale (NRS), 0 – 10 [points]	Control	Significant decrease of nearly 1-point on pain scale (pre: 7.1 ±1.714 / post: 6.15 ±1.981)	+
		Suit only	Significant decrease of 1.46-point on pain scale (pre: 5.91 ±1.63 / post: 4.45 ±2.425)	+
		Suit + VR	Significant decrease of 2.21-points on pain scale (pre: 6.76 ±1.814 / post: 4.55 ±2.743)	+
		Exercise	Significant decrease of 1.23-point on pain scale (pre: 6.58 ±1.880 / post: 5.35 ±2.560 )	+
General Health - Microcirculation	Hand Temperature [°C] measured on	Control	Significant decrease of skin temperature values	
	- paim of hand - back of hand - proximal index finger - distal index finger		$(Paim \rightarrow \text{pre: } 32.8 \pm 1.977 \text{ post: } 31.8 \pm 2.24)$ $(Back \rightarrow \text{pre: } 32.0 \pm 2.007 \text{ post: } 31.2 \pm 2.21)$ $(Proxi. \rightarrow \text{pre: } 31.6 \pm 3.137 \text{ post: } 30.3 \pm 3.09)$ $(Distal \rightarrow \text{pre: } 30.7 \pm 3.767 \text{ post: } 29.3 \pm 3.16)$	+ + + +
		Suit only	Significant decrease of skin temperature values ( <i>Palm</i> $\rightarrow$ pre: 32.6 ±3.54 / post: 31.2 ±2.69) ( <i>Back</i> $\rightarrow$ pre: 31.8 ±3.45 / post: 30.7 ±2.87) ( <i>Proxi.</i> $\rightarrow$ pre: 31.0 ±4.79 / post: 29.5 ±3.42)	+ 0 0
			( <i>Distal</i> → pre: 29.3 ±5.21 / post: 28.3 ±3.47)	0

# **Results Table 2: Results for pre- and post-intervention variables for each intervention group**

Ottobock | Comparative Analysis of Psychophysiological Responses in Fibromyalgia Patients: Evaluating Neuromodulation Alone, Neuromodulation Combined with Virtual Reality, and Exercise Interventions

Category	Outcomes	<b>Results for pre-intervention vs. post-intervention</b> (expressed as means ± SD for quantitative variables)			
		Suit + VR	Decrease of skin temperature values ( <i>Palm</i> → pre: 32.0 ±2.91 / post: 31.2 ±2.97) ( <i>Back</i> → pre: 31.1 ±2.58 / post: 31.1 ±2.48) ( <i>Proxi.</i> → pre: 30.5 ±3.76 / post: 29.6 ±3.73) ( <i>Distal</i> → pre: 29.1 ±4.43 / post: 27.8 ±3.89)	0 0 0 0	
		Exercise	Increase of skin temperature values ( <i>Palm</i> → pre: 33.0 ±2.29 / post: 34.2 ±2.13) ( <i>Back</i> → pre: 32.3 ±2.50 / post: 33.0 ±2.27) ( <i>Proxi.</i> → pre: 30.6 ±7.23 / post: 33.7 ±2.65) ( <i>Distal</i> → pre: 29.8 ±7.11 / post: 32.4 ±3.81)	0 0 0 0	
General Health - Lung function	Forced Expiratory Vol- ume [L / %] in - 1s (FEV1),	FEV1, FEV prior to and in the Cont	6, and FEV1/FEV6 had no significant changes d after the intervention, except for FEV1/FEV6 rrol group:	0	
	- 6s (FEV6), and - ratio (FEV1/FEV6)	Control	Decrease of 5.25 % after the intervention (pre: 106.30 ±11.85 / post: 111.55 ±5.83)	+	
	Chest Perimeter Differ- ence [cm] (between full air inspiration and expiration)	Control	No change in chest perimeter difference (pre: 7.40 ±2.55 / post: 7.48 ±1.97)	0	
		Suit only	No change in chest perimeter difference (pre: $6.65 \pm 2.16$ / post: $7.30 \pm 1.72$ )	0	
		Suit + VR	Slight increase of 0.30 cm (pre: 6.49 ±2.79 / post: 6.79 ±2.75)	0	
		Exercise	Significant increase of 0.54 cm (pre: 6.28 ±1.94 / post: 6.82 ±2.21)	+	
General Health - Cortical Arousal	Critical Flicker Fusion Threshold (CFFT) [Hz]	Control	Significant increase of 1.4 Hz (pre: 33.8 ±2.4 / post: 35.2 ±3.54)	+	
and Salivary Pat- terns		Suit only	No change of CFFT (pre: 33.7 ±3.65 / post: 33.5 ±2.84)	0	
		Suit + VR	Slight increase of CFFT (pre: 33.2 ±2.28 / post: 34.0 ±2.90)	0	
		Exercise	Significant increase of 1.7 Hz (pre: 32.6 ±2.47 / post: 34.3 ±2.82)	++	
General Health - Muscle Oxygen	Muscle Oxygen Satura- tion (SmO <sub>2</sub> ) value [%]	Control	Significant increase of 1.52% (pre: 48.63 ±11.53 / post: 50.15 ±12.25)	++	
Variables		Suit only	Significant increase of 4.7% (pre: 53.2 ±16.12 / post: 57.94 ±15.50)	++	
		Suit + VR	Significant increase of 15.6% (pre: 45.76 ±15.05 / post: 61.34 ±12.36)	++	
		Exercise	Significant increase of 11.72% (pre: 43.91 ±14.86 / post: 55.63 ±17.62)	++	
	Total Hemoglobin (THb) value [g/dL]	Control	Significant increase of hemoglobin value (pre: 11.85 ±0.36 / post: 11.96 ±0.32)	++	
		Suit only	Significant increase of hemoglobin value (pre: 11.76 ±0.34 / post. 11.85 ±0.44)	++	
		Suit + VR	No change of hemoglobin value (pre: 12.01 ±0.39 / post: 11.81 ±0.35 )	0	

#### Ottobock | Comparative Analysis of Psychophysiological Responses in Fibromyalgia Patients: Evaluating Neuromodulation Alone, Neuromodulation Combined with Virtual Reality, and Exercise Interventions

6 of 9

Category	Outcomes	<b>Results for pre-intervention vs. post-intervention</b> (expressed as means ± SD for quantitative variables)			
		Exercise	No change of hemoglobin value (pre: 11.92 ±0.40 / post: 11.82 ±0.45)	0	
	Deoxygenated Hemo- globin (HHb) value	Control	Significant decrease of HHb value (pre: 6.11 ±1.47 / post: 5.98 ±1.55)	+	
	[g/dL]	Suit only	Significant decrease of HHb value (pre: 5.52 ±1.95 / post: 5.01 ±1.93)	++	
		Suit + VR	Significant decrease by 1.97 g/dL (pre: 6.54 ±1.87 / post: 4.57 ±1.51)	++	
		Exercise	Significant decrease by 1.43 g/dL (pre: 6.71 ±1.87 / post: 5.28 ±2.16)	++	
	Oxygenated Hemoglo- bin (O <sub>2</sub> Hb) value [g/dL]	Control	Significant increase of O <sub>2</sub> Hb value (pre: 5.74 ±1.27 / post: 5.97 ±1.37)	++	
		Suit only	Significant increase of O <sub>2</sub> Hb value (pre: 6.23 ±1.82 / post: 6.84 ±1.74)	++	
		Suit + VR	Significant increase by 1.77 g/dL (pre: 5.47 ±1.70 / post: 7.24 ±1.45)	++	
		Exercise	Significant increase by 1.34 g/dL (pre: 5.21 ±1.72 / post: 6.55 ±1.99)	++	
Activity	Handgrip strength test [kg]	Control	Decrease of 0.53 kg decrease (pre: 22.53 ±4.54 / post: 22.00 ±4.93)	0	
		Suit only	Better performance with 0.44 kg increase (pre: $22.57 \pm 5.15$ / post: $23.01 \pm 5.77$ )	0	
		Suit + VR	Better performance with 0.44 kg increase (pre: $23.14 \pm 5.05$ / post: $24.04 \pm 5.39$ )	+	
		Exercise	No change in handgrip strength (pre: 23.12 ±4.50 / post: 23.32 ±4.10)	0	
Mobility & Safety	Chair stand test [repeti- tions]	Control	No change in chair stand test (pre: 11.35 ± 5.12 / post: 11.15 ± 3.63)	0	
		Suit only	Significantly better results (1.41 repetitions) (pre: $15.41 \pm 6.98$ / post: $16.82 \pm 7.66$ )	+	
		Suit + VR	No change in chair stand test (pre: 12.71 ± 3.36 / post: 13.24 ± 4.85 )	0	
		Exercise	Significantly better results (1.58 repetitions) (pre: $13.46 \pm 7.38$ / post: $15.04 \pm 8.07$ )	+	
	10 meter up-and-go test [s]	Control	Significant better performance (pre: 7.46 ±2.73 / post: 7.02 ±2.63 )	+	
		Suit only	No change in 10 meter up-and-go test (pre: 6.33 ±1.57 / post: 6.13 ±1.47)	0	
		Suit + VR	Significant better performance (pre: 6.17 ±0.93 / post: 5.75 ±0.99 )	++	
		Exercise	Significant better performance (pre: 6.37 ±1.29 / post: 6.08 ±1.13 )	+	
	One-leg balance [s] for right and left leg	Control	No change in balance test ( <i>right</i> → pre: 22.93 ±17.25/ post: 26.75 ±23.32) ( <i>left</i> → pre: 19.59 ±17.86 / post: 25.11 ±21.21)	0 0	

Ottobock | Comparative Analysis of Psychophysiological Responses in Fibromyalgia Patients: Evaluating Neuromodulation Alone, Neuromodulation Combined with Virtual Reality, and Exercise Interventions

EXOPULSE Mollii Suit vs. 7 of 9 Suit + Virtual Reality vs. Exercise vs. Placebo (Sham)

Category	Outcomes	<b>Results fo</b> (expressed a	<b>Results for pre-intervention vs. post-intervention</b> (expressed as means ± SD for quantitative variables)			
		Suit only	Decrease by 12.15 s			
			$(right \rightarrow pre: 54.04 \pm 48.68/ post: 41.89 \pm 26.29)$	0		
			( <i>left</i> → pre: 43.06 ±32.58 / post: 45.57 ±37.37)	0		
		Suit + VR	Significant better performance by 11.79 s in- crease in the right leg			
			$(right \rightarrow pre: 28.51 \pm 28./ post: 56 40.3 \pm 35.09)$	++		
			( <i>left</i> → pre: 33.26 ±28.63 / post: 35.62 ±33.79)	0		
		Exercise	Increase by 13.28 s in the left leg			
			$(right \rightarrow pre: 43.79 \pm 42.62/ post: 49.97 \pm 49.36)$	0		
			( <i>left</i> → pre: 45.51 ±39.24 / post: 58.79 ±69.17)	0		

Author's Conclusion	"In conclusion, our research presents compelling evidence that the EXOPULSE Mollii suit, both alone and in combination with virtual reality (VR), as well as a dedicated 1 h training session serve as effective treatment modalities for fibromyalgia (FM) patients, each yielding acute beneficial impacts. Notably, the augmented effects observed when the EXOPULSE Mollii suit is paired with VR, or when a comprehensive 1 h training session is implemented, highlight their superior efficacy over the use of the suit in isolation. This insight holds particular significance for FM patients grappling with severe pain and fatigue, showcasing the standalone suit as a viable treatment option while also suggesting enhanced benefits through its combination with other interventions. [] Crucially, the interventions evaluated in this study demonstrated significant improvements in essential aspects such as muscle oxygenation, subjective pain perception, and activation of the parasympathetic nervous system. These outcomes highlight the comprehensive benefits of these treatments in address-ing the complex symptom profile of FM, offering a multidimensional approach to management." (Rubio-Zarapuz <i>et al.</i> , 2024).
Author's Affiliation(s)	<ul> <li><sup>1</sup> Faculty of Sports Sciences, Universidad Europea de Madrid, Tajo Street, s/n, 28670 Madrid, Spain; alejandro.rubio@universidadeuropea.es (A.RZ.); vicentejavier.clemente@universidadeuropea.es (V.J.CS.)</li> <li><sup>2</sup> Department of Medical Surgical-Therapy, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universidad de Extremadura, 06006 Badajoz, Spain; mdapolo@unex.es</li> <li><sup>3</sup> Research Group PhysioH, University of Extremadura, 06006 Badajoz, Spain</li> <li><sup>4</sup> Departamento de Desporto e Saúde, Escola de Saúde e Desenvolvimento Humano, Universidade de Évora, 7004-516 Évora, Portugal; orlandoj@uevora.pt</li> <li><sup>5</sup> Comprehensive Health Research Centre (CHRC), University of Évora, 7004-516 Évora, Portugal</li> <li><sup>6</sup> Grupo de Investigación en Cultura, Educación y Sociedad, Universidad de la Costa, Barranquilla 080002, Colombia</li> <li>* Correspondence: josefrancisco.tornero@universidadeuropea.es (J.F.TA.); jparraca@uevora.pt (J.A.P.)</li> </ul>

© 2024, Otto Bock HealthCare Products GmbH ("Otto Bock"), All Rights Reserved. This article contains copyrighted material. Wherever possible we give full recognition to the authors. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material according to Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107 of US Copyright Law. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner. All trademarks, copyrights, or other intellectual property used or referenced herein are the property of their respective owners. The information presented here is in summary form only and intended to provide broad knowledge of products offered. You should consult your physician before purchasing any product(s). Otto Bock disclaims any liability related from medical decisions made based on this article summary.

Ottobock | Comparative Analysis of Psychophysiological Responses in Fibromyalgia Patients: Evaluating Neuromodulation Alone, Neuromodulation Combined with Virtual Reality, and Exercise Interventions