

Reference

Paradisi F, Delussu AS, Brunelli S, Iosa M, Pellegrini R, Zenardi D, Traballes M.

Santa Lucia Foundation, Scientific Institute for Research, Hospitalization and Health Care, Rome, Italy.

The Conventional Non-Articulated SACH or a Multiaxial Prosthetic Foot for Hypomobile Transtibial Amputees? A Clinical Comparison on Mobility, Balance, and Quality of Life.

The Scientific World Journal 2015: 261801.

Products

1M10 Adjust vs SACH

Major Findings

With 1M10 Adjust compared to SACH:

→ Self selected walking speed is higher

Level walking speed: 6% increase

Stairs walking time: up: 13% decrease, down: 15% increase

→ Ambulatory skills and balance improve significantly

LCI-5 score: +9%


BBS score: +8%

→ Satisfaction with the prosthesis is significantly higher

Improvements in all domains

Statistical significant improvement: ambulation, residual limb health, utility, well-being


Reduced time to negotiate stairs and ramps



The time needed to traverse stairs or a ramp was measured. The stairs were 2 meters wide containing 12 steps. The ramp had a length of 28 meters with a slope of 10 degrees.

Population	Subjects:	20 transtibial amputees
	Previous prosthesis:	SACH (solid ankle cushion heel) foot
	Amputation causes:	vascular disease (65%), trauma (30%), neoplasia (5%)
	Mean age:	66.7 ± 6.7 years
	Mean time since amputation:	9.8 ± 13.5 years
	MFCL:	K2 (95%), K1 (5%)

Study Design Interventional, pre- to post-test design:



After fitting with 1M10 Adjust, subjects had an acclimatisation time of 4 weeks to get familiar with the new prosthesis.

Results

Activities								Participation	Environment
Level walking	Stairs	Ramps, Hills	Uneven ground, Obstacles	Cognitive demand	Metabolic energy consumption	Safety	Activity, Mobility, ADLs	Preference, Satisfaction, QoL	Health economics

Category	Outcomes	Results for 1M10 Adjust	Sig.*
Level walking	6 Minute Walking Test	The self selected walking speed was significantly higher (+6%).	++
	UGS (Upright Gait Stability)	Despite a higher walking speed the subjects gait was more stable.	+
Stairs	SAI (Stair Assessment Index)	Going up and down stairs was performed significantly faster (up +13%, down +15%) and with an improved walking quality.	<Sig.>
Ramps, Hills	HAI (Hill Assessment Index)	Going up and down a ramp was performed with a significantly improved walking quality.	++
		The performance time did improve, but did not reach a significant level.	+
Safety	BBS (Berg Balance Scale)	The median BBS Score increased by 8%, indicating a significantly improved balance.	++
Activity, Mobility, Activities of Daily Living (ADLs)	LCI-5 (Locomotor Capability Index)	The LCI-5 score increased significantly (+9%), indicating improved ambulatory skills.	++
Preference, Satisfaction, Quality of Life (QoL)	PEQ (Prosthesis Evaluation Questionnaire)	Significant improvements were found in the domains ambulation, residual limb health, utility and well-being.	++

* no difference (0), positive trend (+), negative trend (-), significant (++/--), not applicable (n.a.)

Author's Conclusion "To identify the most proper prosthesis and improve user efficiency and safety, it is important to study the effect of different feet on a specific category of amputees. This paper fills an important gap in the literature as, to the best of our knowledge,

there are no similar studies about the considered prosthetic feet for low-activity users with so wide a range of clinical evaluations. After the replacement of the SACH with a multiaxial foot, patients have maintained the same level of stability and perceived safety, while presenting a significant albeit slight improvement in some important clinical aspects of TTAs' daily living, as overall mobility, balance, general comfort, and the perceived satisfaction with their own prosthesis. Our findings demonstrate that a multiaxial foot represents an alternative solution with respect to the conventional SACH in the prescription of prosthetic feet for hypomobile TTAs. Thus, the range of prosthetic devices available to practitioners involved in amputee rehabilitation is increased, therefore allowing them to select the most appropriate solution for each specific subject based on their clinical experience." (Paradisi et al. 2015)

© 2014, Otto Bock HealthCare Products GmbH ("Otto Bock"), All Rights Reserved. This article contains copyrighted material. Wherever possible we give full recognition to the authors. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material according to Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107 of US Copyright Law. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner. All trademarks, copyrights, or other intellectual property used or referenced herein are the property of their respective owners. The information presented here is in summary form only and intended to provide broad knowledge of products offered. You should consult your physician before purchasing any product(s). Otto Bock disclaims any liability related from medical decisions made based on this article summary.