Reference	Beil TL, Street GM, Covey SJ. Human Performance Laboratory and the Department of Mechanical and Manufac- turing Engineering, St. Cloud State University, MN, USA <b>Interface pressures during ambulation using</b> <b>suction and vacuum-assisted prosthetic sockets</b> Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development 2002; 39(6):693–700.															
								Products	Vacuum-assisted socket system* (VASS) vs suction socket system (SSS)							
									TEC, later acquired by Otto Bock and sold as Harmony							
Major Findings	With VASS compared to SSS:															
	<ul> <li>→ Positive pressure (compression of the residual limb) is reduced in stance phase         <ul> <li>Pressure impulse decreased by 7%</li> <li>Peak pressure decreased by 4%</li> </ul> </li> <li>→ Negative pressure (pull on the residual limb) is increased in swing phase             <ul> <li>Negative pressure impulse increased by 27%</li> <li>Negative peak pressure increased by 27%</li> </ul> </li> </ul>															
	Decreased positive pressure impulse and increased negative pressure impulse with VASS															
Population	Subjects:9 unilateral, transtibial amputeesPrevious socket system:total-surface weight bearing socketAmputation causes:not reportedMean age:46 yrs (33 - 65 yrs)Mean time since amputation:18 yrs (6 - 32 yrs)MFCL:not reported															

## **Study Design**

## Interventional, randomized crossover design:



Subjects walked 20 meters with one socket system, changed to the other socket system and walked 20 meters. This was repeated three times.

Results												
Body Function				Activity			Participation	Others				
Wound Healing	Limb Volume Fluctuation	Pain		Comfort, Limb Health	Level Walking	Balance	Activity, Mobility, ADLs	Preference, Satisfac- tion, QoL	Pistoning	Pressure Measure- ment		
Category			Out	comes		Results for VASS compared to SSS				Sig.*		
Pressure Measurement		Data from 5 force- sensing resistors (for positive pressure) and 1 air pressure sensor (for negative pressure) dur-		Stance phase (compression of the residual limb)								
				Pressure impulse decreased by 7%.				++				
				Peak pressure decreased by 4%.				++				
		ing 5 steps at 4 km/h			Swing phase (pull on the residual limb):							
					Magnitude of negative pressure impulse increased by 27%.				++			
				Magnitude of negative average impulse increased by 25%.				++				
						Magnitude of negative peak pressure in- creased by 27%.				++		

\* no difference (0), positive trend (+), negative trend (-), significant (++/--), not applicable (n.a.)

Author's Conclusion "Use of the VASS changes the positive and negative pressures exerted on the residual limb during ambulation. Pressure impulse and peak positive pressures are reduced during the stance phase, while the magnitude of the impulse, average, and peak negative pressures is increased during the swing phase." (Beil et al. 2002)

© 2014, Otto Bock HealthCare Products GmbH ("Otto Bock"), All Rights Reserved. This article contains copyrighted material. Wherever possible we give full recognition to the authors. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material according to Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107 of US Copyright Law. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner. All trademarks, copyrights, or other intellectual property used or referenced herein are the property of their respective owners. The information presented here is in summary form only and intended to provide broad knowledge of products offered. You should consult your physician before purchasing any product(s). Otto Bock disclaims any liability related from medical decisions made based on this article summary.