C-Leg vs NMPKs

Stairs

| Major Findings | With C-Leg compared to NMPKs: → Improved mobility during stair descent K2: Stair assessment index score improved from 3.3 to 9 (173% increase) K3: Stair assessment index score improved from 4.4 to 10.1 (130% increase) → Stair descent strategy improved from step-to-step to step-over-step pattern → Decreased loading of the contralateral side during stair descent Ground reaction force decreased by up to 10% | | |
|--------------------|--|--------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Improved stair descent mobility with C-Leg compared to NMPCKs 12 | | |
| | | | |
| | e | ■ NMPK | |
| | Stair Assessment Index [score] | C-Leg | |
| | K2 Hafner et al. (2009) | КЗ | |
| Clinical Relevance | Stair ambulation is an activity that is important for amputees with an activity level ranging from K2 to K4. Being able to ascend and descend stairs is a requirement to participate in daily life. Evaluation of stair ascent and descent includes stair ascent strategy, use of handrail and/or use of an assistive device. | | |
| Summary | Hafner et al. (2007) investigated stair ascent and descent in K2 and K3 subjects using the Stair Assessment Index (SAI). The SAI score for descent improved from 3.7 with NMPCKs to 9.6 with C-Leg. A score of 10 points represent a reciprocal stair descent strategy with hand rail and assistive device use on a scale where a score of 13 is the maximum. These results were confirmed by a later study which investigated stair descent. For K2 subjects the SAI score improved from 3.3 with NMPKs to 9.0 (represents skip-step strategy without hand rail or assistive device use) with C-Leg and for K3 subjects the SAI score improved from 4.4 to 10.1 (Hafner et al. 2009). Furthermore, stair descent performance was reported to be improved by 63% with C-Leg compared to NMPKs (Kahle et al. 2008). | | |
| | Schmalz et al. (2007) analysed stair descent of transfemoral amputees with C-Leg compared to healthy subjects. It was found that the stair descent strategy differs between the two groups: Amputees strike the step with the heel close to the edge and the foot rolls over the edge. In comparison, healthy subjects place strike the step with the ball and the whole foot contacts the step. Toe-off is possible due to a | | |

| | plantar flexion of the foot. This difference results in decreased ground reaction force and joint moments on the prosthetic side and increased ground reaction force and joint moments on the contralateral side compared to healthy subjects during stance phase (Schmalz et al. 2007). However, in a previous study the same group meas- ured, that with C-Leg ground reaction force on the contralateral side decreased by up to 10% compared to NMPKs and therefore the unphysiological high load is low- er with C-Leg (Schmalz et al. 2002). Furthermore, knee flexion moments on the prosthetic side at the end of stance phase are increased by 41% with C-Leg com- pared to NMPKs resulting from subjects trust to load the prosthesis to a higher extend (Schmalz et al. 2002). |
|----------------------------------|--|
| References of summarized studies | Hafner, B. J., & Smith, D. G. (2009). Differences in function and safety between Medicare Functional Classification Level-2 and -3 transfemoral amputees and influ- ence of prosthetic knee joint control. The Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development, 46(3), 417–433. |
| | Hafner, B. J., Willingham, L. L., Buell, N. C., Allyn, K. J., & Smith, D. G. (2007). Evaluation of function, performance, and preference as transfemoral amputees tran- sition from mechanical to microprocessor control of the prosthetic knee. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation, 88(2), 207–217. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2006.10.030 |
| | Kahle, J. T., Highsmith, M. J., & Hubbard, S. L. (2008). Comparison of nonmicro- processor knee mechanism versus C-Leg on Prosthesis Evaluation Questionnaire, stumbles, falls, walking tests, stair descent, and knee preference. The Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development, 45(1), 1–14. |
| | Schmalz, T. (2002). Leistungsfähigkeit verschiedener Prothesenkniegelenke beim Treppabgehen von Oberschenkelamputierten. Orthopädie-Technik Quarterly, Eng- lish edition, (7), 1–6. |
| | Schmalz, T. (2007). Biomechanical analysis of stair ambulation in lower limb ampu- tees. Gait & Posture, 25(2), 267–278. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2006.04.008 |
| | Wong, C. K., Wilska, J., & Stern, M. (2012). Balance, Balance Confidence, and Falls Using Nonmicroprocessor and Microprocessor Knee Prostheses. JPO Journal of Prosthetics and Orthotics, 24(1), 16–18. doi:10.1097/JPO.0b013e3182435f12 |

© 2014, Otto Bock HealthCare Products GmbH ("Otto Bock"), All Rights Reserved. This article contains copyrighted material. Wherever possible we give full recognition to the authors. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material according to Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107 of US Copyright Law. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner. All trademarks, copyrights, or other intellectual property used or referenced herein are the property of their respective owners. The information presented here is in summary form only and intended to provide broad knowledge of products offered. You should consult your physician before purchasing any product(s). Otto Bock disclaims any liability related from medical decisions made based on this article summary.