

Sprinting with prosthetic versus biological legs: insight from experimental data

R Soc Open Sci. 1 January 2022;9 (1):211799.

<https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.211799>. [OpenAccess](#)

Products

Ottobock 1E90 Sprinter, stiffness category 3

Major Findings

Fastest Bilateral amputee (BA) athlete, wearing Ottobock 1E90 Sprinter, stiffness category 3 compared to non-amputee athletes (NA):

→ Similar maximum running velocity for BA and to non-amputee athletes

- The fastest bilateral amputee (BA) reached a maximum treadmill velocity of 11.4 m/s, which is within the range of elite non-amputee (NA) sprinters (≈ 11.7 m/s).

→ Better running economy for BA

- Fastest bilateral amputated (BA) athlete had a better running economy than non-amputee athletes (NA) 400 m athletes and was comparable to NA distance runners.
- BA athletes exhibit a lower $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$, and results in a $\text{vVO}_{2\text{peak}}$ that is not faster than that of non-amputee 400-m sprinters or distance runners

→ Sprint endurance profile matches elite athletes

- The ability to sustain high velocities over time was nearly identical to NA athletes, indicating prosthetic legs do not limit endurance during sprinting.

→ Similar competitive race performance across 400 m

- Despite slower initial acceleration, BAs compensate in later segments (e.g., faster final 100 m split) and achieve overall race times similar to elite NA athletes.

→ Similar biomechanics within NA range

- With optimized prosthetic configuration, BA exhibits stance average vertical GRF, contact length, and step frequency values that are within 2 SD of NA athletes, supporting comparable sprint mechanics.

→ Clear measurable disadvantage in the start phase for BA

- 40% slower time to sprint for 0-20m performance from starting block position for the BA compared to NA
- The difference in the 20m was related to the 31% lower mass-normalized horizontal force for the fastest BA, resulting in a 32% slower horizontal velocity getting out of the starting blocks

Overall, no clear performance advantage in 400m running for bilateral amputees using 1E90 Sprinter compared to non-amputee athletes.


However, it should be noted that the BA's data were collected using prostheses exceeding the regulated limb length defined by the MASH rule (2015) which influence biomechanical parameters. Therefore, comparisons with non-amputee athletes should be interpreted cautiously, especially given the limited information on the reference group in this publication.

Abbreviations - NA non-amputee athlete, fastest BA = the fastest bilateral amputated athlete with prosthetic legs, UA = unilateral amputated athlete with a prosthetic leg

Population	Subjects:	1 (fastest 400m athlete using running prostheses)
	Previous prosthesis:	Ottobock 1E90 Sprinter, stiffness category 3
	Amputation level:	bilateral, transtibial
	Amputation causes:	n.a.
	Age:	29 years
	Weight:	without prosthesis: 65.9kg (mass of both prostheses 2.5kg)
	Standing height:	with prostheses: 1.89m (standing leg length: 1.07m)
	Mean time since amputation:	n.a.
	MFCL:	n.a.
	Season-best 400m:	44.42s

Study Design

5-day experimental testing series:



A 5-day experimental testing series followed the subjects competition season, in which he ran a season-best 400 m in 44.42 s. Each test (acceleration test, max. running velocity, curve running, velocity at aerobic capacity and sprint endurance) was conducted on separate days, with the subjects' competitive passive-elastic carbon-fibre running prostheses (1E90 Sprinter). It is not further specified in which order the tests were performed.

Collected data was compared to other bilateral amputee athletes, unilateral athletes and non-amputee (NA) athletes data.

Results

Activities		Participation				Body function			Other
Sprinting, running, jumping	Other sports	Leisure / recreational sports	Competitive sports	Paralympic sports	Preference, satisfaction, QoL	Biomechanics (kinematics / kinetics)	Clinical (metabolic / performance)	Medical (pain, injuries)	Technical aspects / alignment

Category	Outcomes	Results for 1E90 Sprinter			Sig. ^a
Biomechanics (kinematics / kinetics)	Initial acceleration	40% slower time to sprint for 0-20m performance from starting block position for the BA than NA			--
		Fastest BA	Elite NA	Sub-elite NA	
		4.13 ± 0.10s	2.94s	3.13s	
		→ The difference in the 20m was related to the 31% lower mass-normalized horizontal force for the fastest BA, resulting in a 32% slower horizontal velocity getting out of the starting blocks			
		Similar mechanical force generation for the fastest BA and NA (BA: 0.372 ± 0.13s vs. NA 0.362s)			n.a.
	Maximum running velocity	Similar max. velocity for the fastest BA compared to NA and UA			n.a.
		Fastest BA	Elite NA	UA	

Category	Outcomes	Results for 1E90 Sprinter			Sig. ^a
		11.4 m/s	11.72 m/s	11.55 m/s	
		Faster max. treadmill running velocity of the fastest BA compared to other bilateral athlete with prosthetic legs			n.a.
	Ground reaction force (GRF) and step kinematics	Similar average vertical GRF, contact length and step frequency biomechanical parameters at t 10m/s for the fastest BA compared to NA – less than 8% difference			n.a.
		19-23% higher stance average vertical GRF relative to body weight and 14% longer but less frequent steps for fastest BA compared to 2 nd fastest BA (who has lower stiffness in the running prosthesis) -			n.a.
	Curve running	Similar curve running velocities – on a 36.5m curve fastest BA curve speed was approx. 6% slower than straightaways speed, for NAs it is 3 – 4.7% slower on a curve than straightway			n.a.
	Sprint endurance	Similar sprint endurance profiles for BAs and NAs – fastest and 2 nd fastest BA show nearly identical model predictions to NAs (3% difference)			n.a.
	400m race splits	Fastest BA's 400 m time (44.42 s) was less than 1 s.d. from the average and within the range of elite NA athletes 400 m time from the 2017 IAAF World Championships			n.a.
		1 st 400m split (0 – 100m): slower first 100m for BA than NAs → fastest BA was 8.3% slower (greater than 7 s.d.) than the elite NA athlete			n.a.
		2 nd 400m split (100-200m): Similar times midrace for BA and NAs → the fastest BA was 2.5% slower (less than 2 s.d.) compared to the elite NA athletes			n.a.
		3 rd 400m split (200-300m): Similar times midrace for BA and NAs → 0.2% faster (less than 2 s.d.) compared to the elite NA athletes			n.a.
		4 th 400m split ticket (300.-400m): faster last 100m for BA than NAs → fastest BA ran 9.9% faster (greater than 3 s.d.) than the elite NA athletes			n.a.
Clinical (metabolic / performance)	Velocity at aerobic capacity (vVO ₂ peak)	14% slower vVO ₂ peak for fastest BA than that reported by the 2nd fastest BA, which is nearly identical to the average from NAs.			n.a.
		Fastest BA	2 nd fastest BA	NA	
		4.3 m/s	5.0 m/s	4.9 ± 0.04 m/s	
		vVO ₂ peak of the 2nd fastest BA is 19% slower than that of NA distance runners			
	Running economy	Fastest BA's average running economy was better than any other athlete with prosthetic legs and 19% better (greater than 8 s.d.) than NA 400 m athletes (and non-different (less than 1 s.d.) from NA distance runners			n.a.
	VO ₂ Peak	22% lower V _o 2peak for fastest BA compared to the 2nd fastest BA			n.a.
		Fastest BA	2 nd fastest BA	NA 400m	
		41.2 ml O ₂ /kg/min	52.7 ml O ₂ /kg/min	63.5 ml O ₂ /kg/min	

Category	Outcomes	Results for 1E90 Sprinter	Sig. ^a
		V _{o2} peak of the 2nd fastest BA is 17% (greater than 2 s.d.) and 33% (greater than 6 s.d.) lower than that of the same NA 400 m athletes and NA distance runners respectively	

^aSignificance criterion: values >2 SD (Standard deviation) outside NA mean were considered *meaningfully different* and was used as conservative statistical threshold
no difference (0), positive trend (+), negative trend (–), significant (++/–), not applicable (n.a.)

Author’s Conclusion	“Currently, no athlete with bilateral leg amputations using passive-elastic carbon-fibre running-prostheses, including the fastest such athletes, has ever been reported to have a single 400 m performance metric that is better than that achieved by NA athletes. Therefore, based on experimentally derived 400 m performance metrics, athletes with bilateral leg amputations using passive running prostheses cannot be unequivocally considered to have an advantage over NA athletes during 400 m competitions.” (Beck et al., 2022)
---------------------	--

Author’s Affiliation	¹ The Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA ² Department of Kinesiology, California State University, Sacramento, CA, USA ³ Department of Integrative Physiology, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA ⁴ Department of Veterans Affairs, Eastern Colorado Healthcare System, Denver, CO, USA
----------------------	---

©2026, Otto Bock HealthCare Products GmbH (“Otto Bock”), All Rights Reserved. This article contains copyrighted material. Wherever possible we give full recognition to the authors. We believe this constitutes a ‘fair use’ of any such copyrighted material according to Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107 of US Copyright Law. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond ‘fair use’, you must obtain permission from the copyright owner. All trademarks, copyrights, or other intellectual property used or referenced herein are the property of their respective owners. The information presented here is in summary form only and intended to provide broad knowledge of products offered. You should consult your physician before purchasing any product(s). Otto Bock disclaims any liability related from medical decisions made based on this article summary.