## **Reviewer's Report**

Please characterise the submitted paper by checking the relevant box:

Originality: Is the paper an important and innovative contribution to the field? Does it present substantive findings and/or new interpretations, methods, or theoretical approaches? [] very original [] rather original [] rather not original [] not original
Method: Is the method presented appropriate, precise, and described clearly? [] very appropriate [] rather appropriate [] rather not appropriate [] not appropriate
Awareness of other work in the field: does the paper demonstrate an awareness of and appropriate engagement with other work in the field of study? [] very aware [] rather aware [] rather not aware [] not aware
Argumentation: Is the argument coherent and presented clearly? [] very good [] acceptable [] needs revision [] unacceptable
Significance: Does the paper have implications for other research in the field? [] very significant [] rather significant [] rather insignificant [] totally insignificant
Language and style: Is the level of English, the use of language, and the style of presentation appropriate? [] perfect [] acceptable [] needs revision

[] unacceptable
The paper is [ ] Accepted with no revisions [ ] Accepted with minor revisions [ ] Accepted with major revisions [ ] Rejected

**Comments:**