

Genium X4.

Setting a new standard for MPK performance.

Moves users closer to natural gait with the OPG 3.0

- Makes it easier to move in crowded spaces with a Start-to-Walk feature
- Enables safer, easier backward movement, even when pulling a load
- Gives users even more support when going uphill
- Enables easier walking speed transitions
- Makes biking simpler and safer with an Intuitive cycling function



Genium technology: Clear clinical benefits.

Genium X4 offers advantages that can only come with a knee built on 25+ years of MPK experience. Multiple studies have shown that the Genium Family outperforms previous MPKs in a range of clinically meaningful areas.

Genium/Genium X3 has been proven to⁺:

Better approximate a natural gait pattern ^{1, 8, 9, 11}

Improve balance and perception of safety ^{2, 5, 7, 9}

Better relieve contralateral limb stress (even when engaging the stance function or performing activities such as step-over-step stair ascent) ^{1, 9}

Enable a smoother and more intuitive gait (even in confined spaces or on uneven ground) ^{1, 2, 7, 8}

Facilitate activities of daily living (ADLs) ^{2, 5, 6, 7, 9}

Significantly increase in several aspects of quality of life ^{3, 9, 10}

References

* All publications compare Genium/Genium X3 to C-Leg.

1. Bellmann, Malte; Schmalz, Thomas; Ludwigs, Eva; Blumentritt, Siegmund (2012): Immediate effects of a new microprocessor-controlled prosthetic knee joint: a comparative biomechanical evaluation. In: Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation 93 (3), S.541–549. DOI: 10.1016/j.apmr.2011.10.017
2. Hahn A, Lang M, Stuckart C. (2016): Analysis of clinically important factors on the performance of advanced hydraulic, microprocessor-controlled exo-prosthetic knee joints based on 899 trial fittings. In: Medicine (Baltimore);95:e5386. DOI: 10.1097/MD.0000000000005386
3. Highsmith, M. Jason; Kahle, Jason T.; Miro, Rebecca M.; Lura, Derek J.; Dubey, Rajiv V.; Carey, Stephanie L. et al. (2014a): Perceived Differences Between the Genium and the C-Leg Microprocessor Prosthetic Knees in Prosthetic- Related Function and Quality of Life. In: Technol Innov 15 (4), S. 369–375. DOI: 10.3727/194982413x13844489091297
4. Highsmith, M. Jason; Kahle, Jason T.; Lura, Derek J.; Dubey, Rajiv V.; Carey, Stephanie L.; Quillen, William S.; Mengelkoch, Larry J. (2014b): Short and Mid-Distance Walking and Posturography with A Novel Microprocessor Knee. In: technol innov 15 (4), S. 359–368. DOI: 10.3727/194982413x13844488879302
5. Highsmith, M. Jason; Kahle, Jason T.; Wernke, Matthew M.; Carey, Stephanie L.; Miro, Rebecca M.; Lura, Derek J.; Sutton, Bryce S. (2016a): Effects of The Genium Knee System on Functional Level, Stair Ambulation, Perceptive and Economic Outcomes in Transfemoral Amputees. In: Technology And Innovation 18 (2-3), S. 139–150. DOI: 10.21300/18.2-3.2016.139
6. Highsmith, M. Jason; Kahle, Jason T.; Miro, Rebecca M.; Cress, M. Elaine; Lura, Derek J.; Quillen, William S. et al. (2016b): Functional performance differences between the Genium and C-Leg prosthetic knees and intact knees. In: Journal of rehabilitation research and development 53 (6), S. 753–766. DOI: 10.1682/JRRD.2014.06.0149.
7. Kannenberg, Andreas; Zacharias, Britta; Mileusnic, Milana; Seyr, Martin (2013): Activities of Daily Living: Genium Bionic Prosthetic Knee Compared with C-Leg. In: Journal of Prosthetics & Orthotics 25 (3), S. 110–117. DOI:10.1097/JPO.0b013e31829c221f
8. Lura, Derek J.; Wernke, Matthew M.; Carey, Stephanie L.; Kahle, Jason T.; Miro, Rebecca M.; Highsmith, M. Jason (2015): Differences in knee flexion between the Genium and C-Leg microprocessor knees while walking on level ground and ramps. In: Clinical biomechanics (Bristol, Avon) 30 (2), S. 175–181. DOI: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2014.12.003.
9. Mileusnic, Milana P; Rettinger, Lena; Highsmith, M J & Hahn, A (2021): Benefits of the Genium microprocessor controlled prosthetic knee on ambulation, mobility, activities of daily living and quality of life: a systematic literature review, Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology, 16:5, 453–464, DOI: 10.1080/17483107.2019.1648570
10. Schalk, Stephanie A. F.; Jonkergouw, Niels; van der Meer, Fred; Swaan, Willem M.; Aschoff, Horst-H.; van der Wurff, Peter (2015): The Evaluation of Daily Life Activities after Application of an Osseointegrated Prosthesis Fixation in a Bilateral Transfemoral Amputee: A Case Study. In: Medicine 94 (36), e1416. DOI: 10.1097/MD.0000000000001416.
11. Varrecchia, Tiwana; Serrao, Mariano; Rinaldi, Martina; Ranavolo, Alberto; Conforto, Silvia; Marchis, Cristiano de et al. (2019): Common and specific gait patterns in people with varying anatomical levels of lower limb amputation and different prosthetic components. In: Human movement science 66, S. 9–21. DOI: 10.1016/j.humov.2019.03.008.

Upcoming publication.

Genium X4 users in Germany*

*Publication in preparation

Participants

8 *Genium X4* users with prior transfemoral amputation or knee disarticulation

- Everyday prosthesis: *Genium X3* (n=7) or *Genium* (n=1)
- 2 bilateral amputees
- Mobility level 3 (n=2) or 4 (n=6)

Assessments

- Subjective perception of safety
- Prosthesis usage and performance
- Specific gait/movement situations
- Activities of Daily Living (ADLs)
- Body image
- Work/life limitations
- Preference and satisfaction
- Gait lab assessments (biomechanics and metabolic energy consumption)

Gait analysis

- Knee flexion control: More consistent with *Genium X4* during level walking with varying gait velocities.
- Walking up ramps: More natural movement pattern of the prosthetic leg and reduced ankle power on the contralateral side.
- Starting to walk: Could be performed with a more natural movement pattern of the prosthetic leg and reduced compensatory movements of the pelvis and upper body.
- Walking backwards: Higher anterior-posterior ground reaction force due to limited knee flexion, indicating an improved acceleration phase.

User feedback outcomes

After an average of 14 weeks using *Genium X4*:



All users (n=8) preferred *Genium X4* over *Genium*/*Genium X3*.



50% of users (n=4) reported that *Genium X4* required less exertion while walking than their everyday prosthesis; 50% reported no difference.



A majority of users reported that *Genium X4* was superior to *Genium*/*Genium X3* when walking up ramps (n=5), starting to walk (n=7), walking backwards (n=6), and cycling (n=4/5).



50% (n=4) found *Genium X4* superior for walking up stairs and down ramps; all users reported *Genium X4* to be equivalent or better to *Genium*/*Genium X3* for these tasks.



A majority of users (n=5) reported either equivalent or greater walking comfort compared with *Genium*/*Genium X3*.



Users reported clinically relevant improvement in many ADLs, including multiple mobility-related activities (e.g., walking in a crowded environment, pulling open a heavy door, stepping over minor obstacles, walking up ramps, walking up stairs, stepping backwards, walking at varying speeds, riding a bicycle, moving around in small spaces)