

Reward Neurocircuitry Predicts Longitudinal Changes in Alcohol Use Following Trauma Exposure

Cecilia A. Hinojosa, Sanne J.H. van Rooij, Negar Fani, Robyn A. Ellis, Nathaniel G. Harnett, Lauren A.M. Lebois, Timothy D. Ely, Tanja Jovanovic, Vishnu P. Murty, Stacey L. House, Francesca L. Beaudoin, Xinming An, Thomas C. Neylan, Gari D. Clifford, Sarah D. Linnstaedt, Laura T. Germaine, Scott L. Rauch, John P. Haran, Alan B. Storrow, Christopher Lewandowski, Paul I. Musey Jr., Phyllis L. Hendry, Sophia Sheikh, Christopher W. Jones, Brittany E. Patches, Lauren A. Hudak, Jose L. Pascual, Mark J. Seamon, Erica Harris, Claire Pearson, David A. Peak, Roland C. Merchant, Robert M. Domeier, Niels K. Rathlev, Brian J. O'Neil, Paulina Sergot, Steven E. Bruce, Diego A. Pizzagalli, John F. Sheridan, Steven E. Harte, Karestan C. Koenen, Ronald C. Kessler, Samuel A. McLean, Kerry J. Ressler, and Jennifer S. Stevens

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Trauma is a risk factor for developing maladaptive alcohol use. Preclinical research has shown that stress alters the processing of midbrain and striatal reward and incentive signals. However, little research has been conducted on alterations in reward-related neurocircuitry posttrauma in humans. Neuroimaging markers may be particularly useful because they can provide insight into the mechanisms that may make an individual vulnerable to developing trauma-related psychopathologies. In this study, we aimed to identify reward-related neural correlates associated with changes in alcohol use after trauma exposure.

METHODS: Participants were recruited from U.S. emergency departments for the AURORA study ($n = 286$; 178 female). Trauma-related change in alcohol use at 8 weeks posttrauma relative to pretrauma was quantified as a change in 30-day total drinking per the PhenX Toolkit Alcohol 30-Day Quantity and Frequency measure. Reward-related neurocircuitry activation and functional connectivity were assessed 2 weeks posttrauma using functional magnetic resonance imaging during a monetary reward task using region of interest and whole-brain voxelwise analyses.

RESULTS: Greater increase in alcohol use from pretrauma to 8 weeks posttrauma was predicted by 1) greater ventral tegmental area, 2) greater cerebellum activation during gain > loss trials measured 2 weeks posttrauma, and 3) greater seed-based functional connectivity between the ventral tegmental area and lateral occipital cortex and precuneus.

CONCLUSIONS: Altered ventral tegmental area activation and functional connectivity early posttrauma may be associated with reward seeking and processing, thereby contributing to greater alcohol use posttrauma. These data provide novel evidence of neural correlates that underlie increased alcohol use early posttrauma that may be targeted via early interventions to prevent the development of maladaptive alcohol use.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2024.09.015>

Little is known about the mechanisms that place an individual at an increased risk for developing alcohol use disorder (AUD) after experiencing trauma. Uncovering vulnerability factors that contribute to increased alcohol use and ultimate disorder posttrauma will be critical for creating early interventions and improving current treatments. To this end, neuroimaging markers may be particularly useful because they can provide insight into the underlying mechanisms that make an individual more vulnerable to developing trauma-related psychopathologies, such as AUD.

According to a recent national survey, 29.5 million people in the United States had an AUD in the past year (1). Risk factors that increase the likelihood of developing an AUD include exposure to fatal/catastrophic events, such as natural disasters and events that pose a physical threat to one's life (2,3). Similarly, in the United States, up to 70% of Americans will experience at least 1 traumatic event during their lifetime (4). Unfortunately, frequent alcohol use, used to alleviate stress-related symptoms, increases the risk of dependence (5). One proposed theoretical mechanism through which AUD

may develop following trauma exposure is associated with stress due to its anxiolytic effects (i.e., self-medication hypothesis) (6,7).

Many preclinical animal and clinical human studies provide empirical evidence supporting the self-medication hypothesis. In preclinical models, stress exposure, especially in early life, can produce increased alcohol self-administration in adulthood (8,9). In humans, longitudinal studies highlight the onset of mood and anxiety disorders developing first and alcohol and substance use disorders developing second (10,11). Thus, a robust literature supports the development of alcohol use problems upon trauma exposure. However, few studies have tested whether neurobiological mechanisms identified in animal studies translate to stress-related alcohol use in human populations.

Reward neurocircuitry has been thoroughly explored, highlighting dopaminergic pathways, including the mesocorticolimbic pathway (12,13) and the nigrostriatal pathway (14). The mesocorticolimbic pathway contains dopaminergic projections from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) to the medial prefrontal cortex and nucleus accumbens (NAcc) (15,16). Alcohol use and anticipation of consumption release dopamine in this pathway, which strengthens the rewarding effects (17), ultimately leading to compulsive drug seeking (18). The nigrostriatal pathway connects the midbrain to the dorsal striatum, including the globus pallidus, caudate, and putamen. Previous studies have found a significant role of the dorsal striatum in compulsive alcohol use (19,20).

Chronic and acute stress can directly influence alcohol use via morphological changes in the brain. For example, exposure to chronic stress, such as social defeat stress and restraint stress, can exert excitotoxic cascades that lead to the loss of VTA dopaminergic neurons (21). Furthermore, rats who underwent single restraint stress showed greater alcohol self-administration due to the excitation of GABA (gamma-aminobutyric acid) neurons in the VTA (22). Studies with trauma-exposed humans have also shown alterations in the function of this circuit (23–25). Thus, alterations have been found in neural reward-related neurocircuitry with stress, although these studies were largely cross-sectional and did not specifically examine stress-related changes in alcohol use. Longitudinal studies would allow scientists to determine when maladaptive increases in alcohol use arise, potentially leading to the development of targeted, time-sensitive interventions to prevent the escalation of maladaptive alcohol use.

Additionally, while rates of AUD have historically been higher in males, excessive alcohol use in females has risen substantially (26). This increase in alcohol use is alarming given the amplified detrimental health effects that females face from alcohol, including developing liver cirrhosis more rapidly (27–29) and having a greater risk of developing alcohol-related cancers than males (30). Furthermore, females show a more rapid escalation of drug taking to addiction, possibly mediated by estradiol-induced dopamine release on the striatum (31). Even though males are more likely to experience trauma during their lifetime, females are twice as likely to develop trauma-related psychopathology (32,33). Furthermore, females report that the development of trauma-related psychopathology predates the onset of substance use, consistent with findings that females endorse more coping motives for use than males

(34). Thus, it is imperative to determine whether sex differences exist in reward neurocircuitry that could promote the development of maladaptive alcohol use by sex.

In this investigation, we used a reward reactivity task during functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to measure reward-related brain activation among recently trauma-exposed participants to identify neural correlates that may predict change in alcohol use posttrauma. We hypothesized that in the early aftermath of trauma, 1) greater response to monetary reward in the midbrain and striatal regions, including the VTA, NAcc, globus pallidus (external and internal), caudate, and putamen, would predict increased alcohol use from pre- to posttrauma and 2) greater task-based functional connectivity (FC) between reward-related brain regions and the ventral medial prefrontal cortex and hippocampus would negatively predict an increase in alcohol use from pre- to posttrauma. We also investigated whether differences in reward neurocircuitry influenced trauma-related changes in alcohol use by sex. Finally, to ensure that we did not miss any potential brain regions associated with increased alcohol use, we conducted exploratory whole-brain voxelwise analyses of regions whose reward response may be linked with alcohol use posttrauma.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Participants

Participants were recruited from 22 U.S. emergency departments (EDs) as part of a multisite longitudinal study (AURORA) (35). Participants had to have experienced a traumatic event within 72 hours of their ED visit. MRI scanning procedures were performed at 5 sites near multiple enrolling EDs. MRI exclusion criteria included metal or ferromagnetic implants, unwillingness to complete the MRI, a history of seizures or epilepsy, a history of Parkinson's disease, dementia (inclusive of Alzheimer's disease), having endured a moderate to severe traumatic brain injury, and current pregnancy. None of the participants in the current study sustained moderate to severe traumatic brain injury based on readings of computed tomography scans ordered during the ED admission. All participants provided written informed consent approved by each study site's institutional review board. Of the full sample ($N = 2625$), a subsample of participants was able to complete neuroimaging procedures ($n = 286$). Sample characteristics for the full sample can be found in Table S1; sample characteristics for the neuroimaging sample can be found in Table 1. The total and neuroimaging samples exhibited similar demographic characteristics, including biological sex, race/ethnicity, employment, income, trauma type distributions, and alcohol use patterns.

Measures

Once enrolled in the study, participants completed questionnaires measuring demographics and current trauma characteristics at multiple time points. Demographic data collected included race/ethnicity, sex assigned at birth, marital status, income, education level, and employment status. Participant demographic and clinical information is presented in Table 1 for individuals with neuroimaging data. Participants' reported alcohol use was obtained at the following time points:

Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Information, *n* = 286

Variable	<i>n</i> (%) or Mean (SD)
Sex	
Female	178 (62.2%)
Male	108 (37.8%)
Age, Years	33.75 (12.61)
Race/Ethnicity	
Black, Non-Hispanic American	123 (43%)
Hispanic/Latin American	45 (15.73%)
Other	14 (0.5%)
White, Non-Hispanic American	102 (35.66%)
Employment	
Employed	174 (60.84%)
Retired	6 (0.21%)
Homemaker	7 (0.24%)
Student	12 (0.42%)
Unemployed/disabled/other	53 (18.53%)
No response	34 (11.89%)
Income	
<\$19,000	71 (24.83%)
\$19,001–\$35,000	77 (26.92%)
\$35,001–\$50,000	36 (12.59%)
\$50,001–\$75,000	27 (9.44%)
\$75,001–\$100,000	18 (6.29%)
>\$100,000	21 (7.34%)
No response	36 (12.59%)
Chance of Dying	5.15 (3.42)
Trauma Type	
Motor vehicle collision	202 (70.63%)
Sexual assault	3 (1.05%)
Physical assault	32 (11.19%)
Mass incident	1 (0.35%)
Fall <10 feet or unknown height	16 (5.59%)
Fall ≥10 feet	4 (1.40%)
Nonmotorized collision	11 (3.85%)
Animal related	9 (3.15%)
Burns	1 (0.35%)
Other	7 (2.45%)
CTQ-SF Total	10.39 (10.47)
Mild Traumatic Brain Injury ^a	81 (28.32%)
Medication	
Anticholinergics	15 (5.24%)
Beta blockers	6 (2.10%)
Opioids	16 (5.59%)
Benzodiazepines	7 (2.45%)
Serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors	11 (3.85%)
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors	18 (6.29%)
Alcohol Use Score	
Pretrauma	16.61 (53.65)
2 weeks	10.16 (23.35)
8 weeks	17.99 (51.07)
3 months	17.37 (52.40)
6 months	18.57 (64.71)

CTQ-SF, Childhood Trauma Questionnaire-Short Form.

^aMild traumatic brain injury was defined using the American College of Rehabilitation Medicine 2015 criteria.

pretrauma (assessed in the ED at enrollment), weeks 2 and 8, and months 3 and 6. Trauma severity was measured via participants' subjective ratings of their chance of dying. Given the previous findings that childhood trauma was associated with reward-related neurocircuitry, childhood trauma was assessed via the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire Short Form, a 28-item scale used to examine exposure to traumatic experiences during childhood (36).

Alcohol quantity and frequency were assessed using the PhenX Toolkit Alcohol 30-Day Quantity and Frequency measure, a 2-item questionnaire (37). To assess alcohol frequency, we asked, "During the 'reference period,' how many days did you have at least one drink of any kind of alcohol, not including small tastes or sips?" For alcohol quantity, we asked an additional question: "On that day/those days, about how many drinks of alcohol did you have/usually have, on average, per day?" Our primary alcohol use outcome of interest was the product of frequency and quantity for the 30 days, as measured by the difference in this product at 8 weeks post-trauma minus pretrauma (ED enrollment). Thus, this score examines the difference in alcohol use from pretrauma to 8 weeks posttrauma (the earliest posttrauma time point showing an increase in drinking). The reference period for all assessments was the past 30 days, except for the 2-week time point, where data was assessed in the past 14 days. To facilitate longitudinal analysis, we multiplied this variable by 2 to create a rate of drinking that more closely matched the other time points (see Table 1).

fMRI Task Procedures

Table S2 displays key data acquisition parameters obtained at the 5 neuroimaging sites. While inside the scanner, participants completed a brief validated version of Delgado's monetary reward task (38), which only includes reward or loss receipt without allowing an anticipation period or including neutral trials. During this task, participants viewed a card with a question mark. They were asked to guess correctly via button press whether the card's value would be higher or lower than 5 when the real value was revealed (see the Supplement for more details). The contrast gain > loss was used to measure reward processing.

Data Analysis

fMRI Data Analysis. See the Supplement for details regarding fMRI data analysis. Data on blood oxygen level-dependent activation in response to the monetary reward task were available for 368 participants. Of these 368 participants, 82 were excluded for the following reasons: excessive motion (movement exceeding 1 mm for more than 15% of volumes, *n* = 35), technical issues during scan collection (*n* = 21), poor task response (responding to 65% of trials or less, *n* = 18), issues with anatomical scan quality (*n* = 7), and missing demographic information (*n* = 1). The remaining 286 participants were used in the final analysis. Hypotheses were tested as contrasts where linear compounds of the model parameters were evaluated using *t* statistics, which were then transformed into *z* scores. Voxelwise gain > loss contrasts were computed for each participant (first level).

Region of Interest Analyses. Hypothesis-driven regions of interest (ROIs) were extracted using the Reinforcement Learning Atlas (<https://osf.io/JKZWP/>). Our ROIs included the bilateral VTA, NAcc, globus pallidus (external and internal), caudate, and putamen. A mean of all voxels within these anatomically defined ROIs was extracted for contrast estimates from gain > loss contrast. To determine whether greater reward-related activation was associated with a change in alcohol use from 8 weeks versus pretrauma, we used an alpha of .05 and a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons (6 ROIs, $p < .008$).

Whole-Brain Voxelwise Analyses. Whole-brain group-level maps were created for reward processes and their correlation with alcohol use change scores, and dummy variables for age, MRI site, sex, and reward-related region $\times$ sex interaction terms were included. A primary threshold of $p < .005$ combined with a familywise error (FWE) cluster-level correction was applied to correct for multiple comparisons (39).

FC Analysis. The Conn toolbox (<http://web.mit.edu/swg/software.htm>) was used for task-based FC analysis. For each voxel within the whole-brain mask, covariance with each ROI during responses to gain was contrasted with covariance with each respective ROI during responses to loss trials. Scrubbing, realignment, cerebrospinal fluid, global signal, and white matter time courses were first-level covariates. Age, MRI site, and sex were included as second-level covariates. We examined the effect of change in alcohol use from pretrauma to 8 weeks posttrauma on FC values.

Statistical Analysis

We used the Hmisc R package to impute data missing for alcohol use. Data imputation was conducted using predictive mean matching, and imputation uncertainty was accounted for by bootstrapping. We examined descriptive statistics and the distributional properties of each variable at each time point (see the [Supplement](#)). Given that alcohol use was positively skewed across all time points, we applied square-root transformation to each time point. Alcohol change scores were created after imputation and square-root transformation. For the extracted gain > loss values within the ROIs (with the inverse being loss > gain) and change in alcohol use from pretrauma to 8 weeks posttrauma, when extreme outliers (z score > 3.29) were present, scores were winsorized to match the next z score value < 3.29. Winsorization is known to preserve information and power while removing outliers (40).

Using the total sample, a 5 (time: pretrauma, 2 weeks, 8 weeks, 3 months, 6 months) $\times$ 2 (sex: female, male) analysis of variance with sex as an independent factor and time point as a within-subjects factor was performed to determine whether statistically significant differences existed in alcohol use over time and differed between males and females and whether significant differences existed in alcohol use between sexes across time points. Because we were interested in exploring alcohol use before and after trauma exposure, we created a change score between pretrauma alcohol use and the earliest posttrauma time point, showing an increase in drinking to be used in subsequent analyses.

To test whether reward-related brain fMRI measures predicted change in alcohol use after a trauma, we conducted hierarchical linear regression analyses. In step 1, we included age, site, race/ethnicity, the chance of dying, and Childhood Trauma Questionnaire-Short Form scores to determine the variance that these demographic and clinical variables accounted for in alcohol use change. Previous studies have highlighted the importance of controlling for age (41–44), and it is customary to control for site given the differences in scanners used across data collection sites. Race/ethnicity is particularly important in predicting clinical symptoms posttrauma (45). In step 2, we separately added reward-related brain activation to the model for each ROI (i.e., 6 separate regression models). Lastly, in step 3, a term for the interaction between reward-related brain activation at 2 weeks posttrauma and sex for each ROI (i.e., 6 separate regression models) was included to determine whether brain activation contributed significant variance in predicting clinical symptoms above and beyond the variance contributed by demographic and clinical variables and whether sex contributed significantly to the model.

RESULTS

Change in Alcohol Use Over Time

A significant main effect of time was found ($F_{4,13115} = 13.33$, $p < .001$). Bonferroni-corrected post hoc tests were performed to identify pairwise changes in alcohol use between time points. Alcohol use decreased from pretrauma to 2 weeks posttrauma (pretrauma: mean = 2.13, SD = 2.87; 2 weeks: mean = 1.97, SD = 2.67; $p_{\text{adjusted}} = .02$) and increased from pretrauma to 8 weeks (mean = 2.47, SD = 3.32; $p_{\text{adjusted}} < .001$), 3 months (mean = 2.34, SD = 3.27; $p_{\text{adjusted}} = .004$), and 6 months (mean = 2.45, SD = 3.26; $p_{\text{adjusted}} < .001$) posttrauma. There was also a significant increase from 2 weeks posttrauma to 8 weeks ($p_{\text{adjusted}} < .001$), 3 months ($p_{\text{adjusted}} < .001$), and 6 months ($p_{\text{adjusted}} < .001$) posttrauma. A significant main effect of sex was also found ($F_{1,13115} = 184.87$, $p < .001$), wherein males exhibited significantly greater alcohol use than females across all time points (Figure 1). We removed the imputed data, and the results remained significant ($ps < .001$).




Figure 1. Mean alcohol use across 5 time points, separated by sex across all participants. Dots indicate mean quantity $\times$ frequency (square-root transformed) within each group. Error bars represent standard error. M, month; WK, week.




Figure 2. (A) Positive correlation with ventral tegmental area (VTA) activation during gain > loss and alcohol change scores from pretrauma to week 8; (B) positive whole-brain voxelwise correlation with spinocerebellum activation (Montreal Neurological Institute coordinates $x = -16$, $y = -48$, $z = -28$) during gain > loss and alcohol change scores from pretrauma to week 8. Activation was extracted using REX.

ROI Analyses

In the neuroimaging sample, 99 participants (35%) exhibited increased alcohol use from pretrauma to 8 weeks posttrauma. When constructing the predictive model for trauma-related alcohol use, step 1, which contained demographic and clinical variables, was nonsignificant ($F_{5,235} = 0.95$, $p = .45$, $R^2 = 0.02$). Including VTA activation gathered at 2 weeks posttrauma in step 2 predicted alcohol change scores above and beyond covariates of age, MRI site, race and ethnicity, self-reported chance of dying, and childhood trauma exposure ($\Delta R^2 = 0.05$, $b = 1.06$, $t_{234} = 3.67$, $p < .001$) (Figure 2A). Holding our covariates constant, 1.06 was the expected increase in alcohol use from pretrauma to 8 weeks posttrauma given a 1 unit higher score in VTA activation. In step 3, the VTA activation $\times$ sex interaction effect did not significantly predict alcohol change scores above and beyond covariates of age, MRI site, the chance of dying, childhood trauma exposure, or VTA activation ($b = 0.40$, $t_{232} = 0.67$, $p = .51$). No additional regression model for the other reward-related ROIs was significant ($ps > .15$) (see Table 2). We removed the imputed and winsorized data; the results are presented in the Supplement.

Whole-Brain Voxelwise Analyses

Whole-brain voxelwise correlations to the gain > loss contrast were conducted with alcohol use change from pretrauma to 8 weeks and its correlation with alcohol use change scores. A statistically significant positive correlation was observed in the functional subdivision of the cerebellum called the

Table 2. Predicting Alcohol Change Scores From Pretrauma to 8 Weeks Posttrauma From Reward-Related ROIs

Brain Region	Step 2			Step 3		
	B_{ROI}	t	p	$B_{ROI \times Sex}$	t	p
Week 8 – Pretrauma Alcohol Change Scores						
Ventral Tegmental Area	1.06	3.67	.000296 ^{a,b,c}	0.40	0.67	.51
Putamen	0.60	1.15	.25	1.39	1.26	.21
Globus Pallidus (Internal)	0.59	1.12	.26	–1.26	–1.18	.24
Globus Pallidus (External)	1.24	2.18	.03 ^d	1.36	1.11	.27
Nucleus Accumbens	0.69	2.18	.03 ^d	0.14	0.20	.84
Caudate	0.32	0.66	.51	–1.12	–1.08	.28
Month 6 – Pretrauma Alcohol Change Scores						
Ventral Tegmental Area	0.59	2.35	.02 ^d	0.76	1.47	.14
Putamen	0.44	0.99	.32	1.66	1.77	.08
Globus Pallidus (Internal)	0.18	0.39	.70	–0.86	–0.94	.35
Globus Pallidus (External)	0.29	0.59	.56	–0.16	–0.15	.88
Nucleus Accumbens	0.11	0.38	.70	–0.19	–0.31	.76
Caudate	0.27	0.65	.52	0.15	0.17	.86

Step 2: alcohol change scores $\sim$ age + MRI site + race/ethnicity + CTQ + chance of dying + ROI. Step 3: alcohol change scores $\sim$ age + MRI site + race/ethnicity + CTQ + chance of dying + ROI $\times$ sex.

CTQ, Childhood Trauma Questionnaire; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; ROI, region of interest.

^a $p < .001$.

^bSignificant ($p < .05$) overall regression model.

^cMet Bonferroni correction of $p = .008$.

^d $p < .05$.

spinocerebellum (Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) coordinates $x = -16$, $y = -48$, $z = -28$; FWE-corrected $p = .03$; $z = 5.46$; K_E [number of voxels in a cluster] = 184) (Figure 2B). No statistically significant negative correlations were found. A term was included for the interaction between alcohol use change from pretrauma to 8 weeks posttrauma and sex. No statistically significant positive or negative correlations were found after we included this interaction term.

FC Analyses

The alcohol change score was positively associated with FC between the VTA seed and the right lateral occipital cortex (LOC) (MNI coordinates $x = 28$, $y = -76$, $z = 50$; FWE-corrected $p < .001$; $z = 4.33$; $K_E = 607$) (Figure 3A) and left precuneus (MNI coordinates $x = -20$, $y = -60$, $z = 18$; FWE-corrected $p = .014$; $z = 3.93$; $K_E = 290$) (Figure 3B). This finding suggests that functional integration of the VTA and right LOC and left precuneus in response to monetary reward was positively associated with change in alcohol use early posttrauma. No regions showed statistically significant negative correlations between alcohol change scores and their connectivity with the VTA seed.

DISCUSSION

This investigation is one of the first to utilize a longitudinal design to uncover reward-related brain activation associated




Figure 3. Alcohol change scores and functional connectivity (FC) between the ventral tegmental area (VTA) seed and **(A)** the lateral occipital cortex (Montreal Neurological Institute coordinates $x = 28, y = -76, z = 50$; $K = 607$) and **(B)** the precuneus (Montreal Neurological Institute coordinates $x = -20, y = -60, z = 18$; $K_E = 290$). Clusters that survived the familywise error-corrected threshold were extracted using REX.

with increased alcohol use in trauma-exposed participants, a group that is more at risk for the development of AUD. The findings partially support our first hypothesis: greater response to monetary reward in the VTA predicted increased alcohol use from pretrauma to 8 weeks posttrauma. The results did not support our second hypothesis of lower task-based FC between the reward-related brain regions and the ventromedial prefrontal cortex and hippocampus. Instead, we found greater task-based FC between the VTA and LOC, and the precuneus was associated with increased alcohol use from pre- to posttrauma. Lastly, we found no statistically significant differences in associations between brain activation and alcohol change scores based on sex.

The VTA is a key region in the mesolimbic pathway that is important in the development and progression of an AUD. The neural processes that underlie the progression of alcohol use to the development of an AUD are defined by an increase in dopamine release in the VTA to the NAcc during the initial consumption of alcohol; however, upon consumption of alcohol for an extended time, dopamine levels decrease, and motivation for use to relieve or prevent withdrawal leads to disorder (46). In this investigation, the positive association between VTA activation and trauma-related increase in alcohol use may reflect an acute-phase poststressor increase in dopamine signaling from the VTA. Preclinical studies have found that alcohol directly stimulates dopamine firing in the VTA, increasing dopamine release in the NAcc and ultimately mediating the rewarding effects of alcohol (47). For our participants, secondary rewards might have caused greater

dopamine release. On a mechanistic level, alterations in the mesolimbic pathway caused by stress may contribute to increases in alcohol use posttrauma (22). Preclinical studies have highlighted the role of chronic and acute stress in alcohol use [reviewed in (8)] mediated by many factors, including corticotropin-releasing factors, glucocorticoids, and other stress-related neuropeptides. One study found that adrenalectomy caused decreased alcohol drinking in alcohol-preferring rats (48), while intracerebroventricular infusion of corticosterone increased alcohol intake (49). Our findings provide preliminary evidence that measuring VTA responsivity to reward is a potential biomarker for predicting increased drinking early posttrauma. This makes sense given the role of glucocorticoids in potentiating dopamine release within the VTA (50). Our study results are important for potentially developing preventive interventions such as neuromodulation, psychoeducation, and psychopharmacological avenues. However, future research must provide more detailed mechanistic validation of our findings, especially using preclinical studies to manipulate dopaminergic pathways early poststressor.

Our whole-brain voxelwise findings highlighted the potential importance of cerebellar reward responsivity in predicting alcohol use posttrauma. The cerebellum is a hindbrain structure responsible for motor coordination, cognitive processing, and sensory discrimination (51) and has connections to the VTA (52). Cerebellum activation has been associated with alcohol craving (53), especially during the presentation of alcohol cues (54), and encodes reward-related information (55,56). While our fMRI task did not use alcohol-related stimuli, it is possible that the cerebellum is responding to the presentation of the reward-related cue (i.e., a green check mark in this study that corresponded to a \$1 monetary value received).

The seed-based FC findings highlight the importance of the VTA's functional coupling with the LOC and precuneus. While dopamine receptors are sparse in the visual cortex (57), previous studies have found modulation of this region in response to reward-related task performance (58,59). Greater FC between the VTA seed and LOC could contribute to an elevated visual attentional bias to reward cues. Previous studies have reported that participants who exhibited a greater change in alcohol use over time were generally biased toward directing attention to reward-related cues (60,61). However, our study did not measure the time an individual fixated on reward-related cues or subjective feelings to reward, so this interpretation should be evaluated further. Given the lack of dopamine receptors in the LOC, alternative interpretations should be investigated. Previous studies have also shown that the LOC is involved in numeric representation and activation (62), and activation from this area was significantly correlated with the reaction time that it took for participants to respond to numbers versus object names (63). Thus, it could be that the presentation of numbers activates the LOC. These findings highlight that greater activation in visual regions may be related to greater attention to stimuli associated with reward-related cues to help determine how to respond to subsequent trials to achieve the same positive outcome. It will be important to replicate our findings and conduct more research on the role of the LOC in alcohol use given that this area could be a good target for neuromodulation techniques that are designed to

change VTA-cortical circuits. Neuromodulation techniques have shown promise in targeting the occipital cortex (64–68) and treating maladaptive alcohol and substance use (69,70).

The precuneus is important for self-monitoring and processing internal states (71) and has dopamine D₁ (72) and D₂/D₃ (73) receptors, making it easily modulated by dopamine (74). In individuals with alcohol dependence who had recently relapsed, precuneus activation in response to script-driven imagery was correlated with alcohol craving (75). In our study, greater FC between the VTA and precuneus was found [as was found in a previous study in trauma-exposed children (24)] and associated with greater trauma-related change in alcohol use. One interpretation is that given the role of the precuneus in self-monitoring and the processing of internal states, trauma-exposed participants might have been more conscious about the strategy they used that ended in their receiving a reward and ruminated on how that reward made them feel. This also incorporates the VTA-LOC findings because it incentivizes the participant to expend attentional resources on the trials in which they received rewards to succeed in future trials.

We did not find significant associations between clinical symptoms and reward-related activation (see Table S3). This is interesting because many studies have provided empirical evidence for the self-medication hypothesis (i.e., individuals use alcohol to relieve clinical symptoms experienced after trauma exposure) (11). We may have failed to find evidence supporting the self-medication hypothesis given methodological differences (i.e., differences in the timing of when assessments were gathered and the assessments used). While our findings highlight the variability that exists in trauma-related alcohol use, it should be emphasized that none of our participants had an AUD.

We found sex differences in alcohol use; males drank more than females, even when we controlled for pretrauma alcohol use scores. However, sex did not significantly influence brain activation to predict alcohol use. Previous preclinical studies have highlighted a potentially important role of estradiol in modulating reward responses in the VTA. For example, one study found that when estradiol levels were highest in female rats, VTA neurons were more sensitive to alcohol excitation (76) as mediated by the activation of the estrogen receptor alpha (77). Thus, it is interesting that we did not identify neural correlates that mediated the different trends for females versus males. However, this lack of significant findings in our sample could be related to the stimuli used to examine reward. The preclinical studies described examined sex differences in response to alcohol administration, while our study utilized a monetary reward task, a secondary reinforcer. Thus, a broader examination outside the context of monetary reward is warranted. Furthermore, our sample shows a divergence between males and females in alcohol use from 3 to 6 months. Given that the current study was focused on the early aftermath of trauma, future studies should examine neural correlates that mediate these longitudinal changes in alcohol use between sexes.

Limitations

Although our investigation had many strengths, including its longitudinal design, large sample size, recruitment of

participants from multiple, geographically diverse EDs that increase its external validity, a standardized posttrauma timeline, and examination of sex differences, our study also has some limitations. One limitation of our work is that we relied on self-report data to measure our factors and outcomes of interest. Furthermore, we asked participants to recall alcohol use for the previous 14 to 30 days, introducing the potential for recall bias. Future longitudinal prospective studies that follow participants before they experience trauma would be ideal for gathering less potentially biased baseline assessments of alcohol use behavior, and the use of more ecologically valid forms of measurement, such as daily mobile surveys or diary-keeping of alcohol use behavior, could be used to measure alcohol use. Additionally, our reward task did not include a neutral condition, which would have allowed us to remove potential confounds inherently caused by the task. Specifically, we may be missing activation that is present for both gain and loss trials given that the activation is excluded when the gain > loss contrast is calculated. Future studies should incorporate a neutral condition to examine the relationship of overlapping activation separately for gain and loss trials with alcohol use change scores in trauma-exposed individuals. Lastly, we did not assess AUD directly, which limits conclusions regarding severity and consequences that may inform intervention efforts.

Conclusions

This investigation is one of the first to utilize a longitudinal design to uncover reward-related brain activation associated with increased alcohol use in trauma-exposed individuals. Our findings highlight that even at 2 weeks posttrauma, VTA function in response to monetary gain can help guide decisions about which trauma-exposed individuals are at greatest risk for greater alcohol use. We have also identified a neural pathway (VTA and LOC) as a potential neural circuit-based target for future neuromodulation techniques for intervention. This work highlights the importance of measuring reward-related neurocircuitry, specifically VTA activation, early posttrauma to gain insight into those who are most at risk for greater alcohol use.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND DISCLOSURES

This project was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) (Grant No. U01MH110925 [to SAM]), the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, One Mind, and the Mayday Fund. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of any of the funders. This article reflects the views of the authors and may not reflect the opinions or views of the National Institutes of Health or of the investigators who submitted original data to the NIMH Data Archive (NDA).

The investigators thank the trauma survivors participating in the AURORA study. Their time and effort during a challenging period of their lives make our efforts to improve recovery for future trauma survivors possible.

Data and/or research tools used to prepare this manuscript were obtained from the NDA. The NDA is a collaborative informatics system that was created by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) to provide a national resource to support and accelerate research in mental health. Dataset identifier(s): NIMH Data Archive Collection ID(s) or NDA Digital Object Identifier.

A previous version of this article was published as a preprint on Open Science Framework: <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/rsak9>.

Reward Neurocircuitry and Alcohol Use Posttrauma

CAH is supported by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (Grant No. K99AA031333). SJHvR is supported by NIMH (Grant No. K01MH121653). NGH reports support from NIMH (Grant No. K01MH129828). LAML reports unpaid membership on the Scientific Committee for the International Society for the Study of Trauma and Dissociation and support from NIMH (Grant No. K01 MH118467) and the Julia Kasparian Fund for Neuroscience Research. LAML also reports spousal integrated payments from Vanderbilt University for technology licensed to Acadia Pharmaceuticals unrelated to the current work. TCN has received research support from the NIH, Department of Veterans Affairs, and Rainwater Charitable Foundation and consulting income from Jazz Pharmaceuticals. During the last 3 years, GDC has received research funding from the National Science Foundation, NIH, and LifeBell AI and unrestricted donations from AliveCor Inc., Amazon Research, the Center for Discovery, the Gates Foundation, Google, the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, MathWorks, Inc., Microsoft Research, Nextsense Inc., One Mind Foundation, the Rett Research Foundation, and Samsung Research. GDC has a financial interest in AliveCor Inc. and Nextsense Inc. He is also the CTO of MindChild Medical and CSO of LifeBell AI and has ownership in both companies. These relationships are unconnected to the current work. LTG receives funding from NIMH (Grant No. R01 MH121617) and is on the board of the Many Brains Project. His family also has equity in Intelrad Medical Systems, Inc. SLR reported serving as secretary of the Society of Biological Psychiatry, as a board member of Community Psychiatry and Mindpath Health, as a board member of the National Association of Behavioral Healthcare, as secretary and board member for the Anxiety and Depression Association of America, and as a board member of the National Network of Depression Centers; receiving royalties from Oxford University Press, American Psychiatric Publishing Inc., and Springer Publishing; and receiving personal fees from the Society of Biological Psychiatry, Community Psychiatry and Mindpath Health, and the National Association of Behavioral Healthcare outside the submitted work. SS has received funding from the Florida Medical Malpractice Joint Underwriter's Association Dr. Alvin E. Smith Safety of Healthcare Services Grant; Allergan Foundation; the NIH/NIA-funded Jacksonville Aging Studies Center (Grant No. R33AG05654); and the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (Grant No. 1H79TI083101-01) and the Florida Blue Foundation. CWJ has no competing interests in this work, although he has been an investigator on studies funded by AstraZeneca, Vapotherm, Abbott, and Ophirex. Over the past 3 years, DAP has received consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Compass Pathways, Engrail Therapeutics, Neumora Therapeutics (former BlackThorn Therapeutics), Neurocrine Biosciences, Neuroscience Software, Otsuka Pharmaceuticals, Sage Therapeutics, and Takeda Pharmaceuticals; honoraria from the Psychonomic Society and the American Psychological Association (for editorial work) and Alkermes; and research funding from the Bird Foundation, Brain and Behavior Research Foundation, DARPA, Millennium Pharmaceuticals, and the NIMH. In addition, he has received stock options from Compass Pathways, Engrail Therapeutics, Neumora Therapeutics (former BlackThorn Therapeutics), and Neuroscience Software. SEH has no competing interest in this work, although during the last 3 years he has received research funding from Aptinyx and Arbor Medical Innovations and consulting payments from Aptinyx. KCK's research has been supported by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, the Kaiser Family Foundation, the Harvard Center on the Developing Child, the Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research at the Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, the NIH, One Mind, the Anonymous Foundation, and Cohen Veterans Bioscience. She has been a paid consultant for Baker Hostetler, Discovery Vitality, and the Department of Justice. She has been a paid external reviewer for the Chan Zuckerberg Foundation, the University of Cape Town, and Capita Ireland. She has had paid speaking engagements in the last three years with the American Psychological Association and European Central Bank, Sigmund Freud University Milan, Cambridge Health Alliance, and Coverys. She receives royalties from Guilford Press and Oxford University Press. During the past 3 years, RCK was a consultant for Cambridge Health Alliance; Canandaigua VA Medical Center; Holmusk; Partners Healthcare, Inc.; RallyPoint Networks, Inc.; and Sage Therapeutics. He has stock options in Cerebral Inc., Mirah, PYM, and Roga Sciences. SAM has consulted for Walter Reed Army Institute for Research, Arbor Medical Innovations, and BioXcel Therapeutics, Inc. KJR has performed scientific consultation for BioRxcel, Bionomics, Acer,

and Jazz Pharma; serves on Scientific Advisory Boards for Sage, Boehringer Ingelheim, Senseye, and the Brain Research Foundation; and has received sponsored research support from Alto Neuroscience. All other authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

From the Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia (CAH, SJHvR, NF, TDE, JSS); Division of Alcohol, Drugs, and Addiction, McLean Hospital, Belmont, Massachusetts (RAE); Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts (RAE, NGH, LAML, LTG, SLR, DAP, KJR); Division of Depression and Anxiety, McLean Hospital, Belmont, Massachusetts (NGH, LAML, DAP, KJR); Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Neurosciences, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan (TJ); Department of Psychology, Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (VPM); Department of Emergency Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri (SLH); Department of Health Services, Policy, and Practice, The Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island (FLB); Department of Emergency Medicine, The Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island (FLB); Institute for Trauma Recovery, Department of Anesthesiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina (XA, SDL); Departments of Psychiatry and Neurology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California (TCN); Department of Biomedical Informatics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia (GDC); Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia (GDC); Institute for Technology in Psychiatry, McLean Hospital, Belmont, Massachusetts (LTG, SLR); The Many Brains Project, Belmont, Massachusetts (LTG); Department of Psychiatry, McLean Hospital, Belmont, Massachusetts (SLR); Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts (JPH); Department of Emergency Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee (ABS); Department of Emergency Medicine, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, Michigan (CL); Department of Emergency Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana (PIM); Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Florida College of Medicine, Jacksonville, Jacksonville, Florida (PLH, SS); Department of Emergency Medicine, Cooper Medical School of Rowan University, Camden, New Jersey (CWJ); Department of Emergency Medicine, Ohio State University College of Medicine, Columbus, Ohio (BEP); Ohio State University College of Nursing, Columbus, Ohio (BEP); Department of Emergency Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia (LAH); Department of Surgery, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (JLP); Department of Neurosurgery, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (JLP); Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (JLP, MJS); Department of Surgery, Division of Traumatology, Surgical Critical Care and Emergency Surgery, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (MJS); Department of Emergency Medicine, Einstein Medical Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (EH); Department of Emergency Medicine, Wayne State University, Ascension St John Hospital, Detroit, Michigan (CP); Department of Emergency Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts (DAP); Department of Emergency Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts (RCM); Department of Emergency Medicine, Trinity Health, Ann Arbor, Ypsilanti, Michigan (RMD); Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School-Baystate, Springfield, Massachusetts (NKR); Department of Emergency Medicine, Wayne State University, Detroit Receiving Hospital, Detroit, Michigan (BJO); Department of Emergency Medicine, McGovern Medical School at UTHealth, Houston, Texas (PS); Department of Psychological Sciences, University of Missouri – St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri (SEB); Division of Biosciences, Ohio State University College of Dentistry, Columbus, Ohio (JFS); Institute for Behavioral Medicine Research, Oregon State University Wexner Medical Center, Columbus, Ohio (JFS); Department of Anesthesiology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan (SEH); Department of Internal Medicine-Rheumatology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan (SEH); Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston,

Massachusetts (KCK); Department of Health Care Policy, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts (RCK); Department of Emergency Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina (SAM); and Institute for Trauma Recovery, Department of Psychiatry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina (SAM).

Address correspondence to Cecilia A. Hinojosa, Ph.D., at cecilia.a.hinojosa@emory.edu.

Received Sep 5, 2024; revised Sep 10, 2024; accepted Sep 25, 2024.

Supplementary material cited in this article is available online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2024.09.015>.

REFERENCES

- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (2021): National survey on drug use and health. Available at: <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/data-we-collect/nsduh-national-survey-drug-use-and-health>. Accessed October 25, 2024.
- Keyes KM, Hatzenbuehler ML, Hasin DS (2011): Stressful life experiences, alcohol consumption, and alcohol use disorders: The epidemiologic evidence for four main types of stressors. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 218:1–17.
- Keyes KM, Hatzenbuehler ML, Grant BF, Hasin DS (2012): Stress and alcohol: Epidemiologic evidence. *Alcohol Res* 34:391–400.
- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE (2005): Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National comorbidity Survey Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 62:593–602.
- Wise RA, Koob GF (2014): The development and maintenance of drug addiction. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 39:254–262.
- Khantzian EJ (1997): The self-medication hypothesis of substance use disorders: A reconsideration and recent applications. *Harv Rev Psychiatry* 4:231–244.
- Gilman JM, Ramchandani VA, Davis MB, Bjork JM, Hommer DW (2008): Why we like to drink: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study of the rewarding and anxiolytic effects of alcohol. *J Neurosci* 28:4583–4591.
- Becker HC, Lopez MF, Doremus-Fitzwater TL (2011): Effects of stress on alcohol drinking: A review of animal studies. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 218:131–156.
- Noori HR, Helinski S, Spanagel R (2014): Cluster and meta-analyses on factors influencing stress-induced alcohol drinking and relapse in rodents. *Addict Biol* 19:225–232.
- Turner S, Mota N, Bolton J, Sareen J (2018): Self-medication with alcohol or drugs for mood and anxiety disorders: A narrative review of the epidemiological literature. *Depress Anxiety* 35:851–860.
- Hawn SE, Cusack SE, Amstadter AB (2020): A systematic review of the self-medication hypothesis in the context of posttraumatic stress disorder and comorbid problematic alcohol use. *J Trauma Stress* 33:699–708.
- Haber SN, Knutson B (2010): The reward circuit: Linking primate anatomy and human imaging. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 35:4–26.
- Haber SN (2011): Neuroanatomy of reward: A view from the ventral striatum. In: Gottfried JA, editor: *Neurobiology of Sensation and Reward*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press/Taylor & Francis.
- Wise RA (2009): Roles for nigrostriatal–Not just mesocorticolimbic–Dopamine in reward and addiction. *Trends Neurosci* 32:517–524.
- Han X, Jing MY, Zhao TY, Wu N, Song R, Li J (2017): Role of dopamine projections from ventral tegmental area to nucleus accumbens and medial prefrontal cortex in reinforcement behaviors assessed using optogenetic manipulation. *Metab Brain Dis* 32:1491–1502.
- Haber SN (2016): Corticostriatal circuitry. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 18:7–21.
- Weiss F, Lorang MT, Bloom FE, Koob GF (1993): Oral alcohol self-administration stimulates dopamine release in the rat nucleus accumbens: Genetic and motivational determinants. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 267:250–258.
- Koob GF (2014): Neurocircuitry of alcohol addiction: Synthesis from animal models. *Handb Clin Neurol* 125:33–54.
- Goutaudier R, Joly F, Mallet D, Bartolomucci M, Guicherd D, Carcenac C, et al. (2023): Hypodopaminergic state of the nigrostriatal pathway drives compulsive alcohol use. *Mol Psychiatry* 28:463–474.
- Vollstädt-Klein S, Wichert S, Rabinstein J, Bühler M, Klein O, Ende G, et al. (2010): Initial, habitual and compulsive alcohol use is characterized by a shift of cue processing from ventral to dorsal striatum. *Addiction* 105:1741–1749.
- Douma EH, de Kloet ER (2020): Stress-induced plasticity and functioning of ventral tegmental dopamine neurons. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 108:48–77.
- Ostrovskiy A, Thomas AM, Kimmey BA, Karsch JS, Doyon WM, Dani JA (2016): Stress increases ethanol self-administration via a shift toward excitatory GABA signaling in the ventral tegmental area. *Neuron* 92:493–504.
- Marusak HA, Hatfield JRB, Thomason ME, Rabinak CA (2017): Reduced ventral tegmental area-hippocampal connectivity in children and adolescents exposed to early threat. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging* 2:130–137.
- Park AT, Tooley UA, Leonard JA, Boroshok AL, McDermott CL, Tisdall MD, Mackey AP (2021): Early childhood stress is associated with blunted development of ventral tegmental area functional connectivity. *Dev Cogn Neurosci* 47:100909.
- Seo D, Jia Z, Lacadie CM, Tsou KA, Bergquist K, Sinha R (2011): Sex differences in neural responses to stress and alcohol context cues. *Hum Brain Mapp* 32:1998–2013.
- Grant BF, Chou SP, Saha TD, Pickering RP, Kerridge BT, Ruan WJ, et al. (2017): Prevalence of 12-month alcohol use, high-risk drinking, and DSM-IV alcohol use disorder in the United States, 2001–2002 to 2012–2013: Results from the national epidemiologic survey on alcohol and related conditions. *JAMA Psychiatry* 74:911–923.
- Agabio R, Pisanu C, Gessa GL, Franconi F (2017): Sex differences in alcohol use disorder. *Curr Med Chem* 24:2661–2670.
- Roerecke M, Vafaei A, Hasan OSM, Chrystoja BR, Cruz M, Lee R, et al. (2019): Alcohol consumption and risk of liver cirrhosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 114:1574–1586.
- Szabo G (2018): Women and alcoholic liver disease - Warning of a silent danger. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 15:253–254.
- National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (2024, August): Alcohol's Effects on Health: Women and Alcohol. Available at: <https://www.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/brochures-and-fact-sheets/women-and-alcohol>. Accessed October 25, 2024.
- Becker JB (2016): Sex differences in addiction. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 18:395–402.
- Breslau N (2009): The epidemiology of trauma, PTSD, and other posttrauma disorders. *Trauma Violence Abuse* 10:198–210.
- Blanchard RJ, Hebert M, Sakai RR, McKittrick C, Henrie A, Yudko E, et al. (1998): Chronic social stress: Changes in behavioral and physiological indices of emotion. *Aggr Behav* 24:307–321.
- McHugh RK, Votaw VR, Sugarman DE, Greenfield SF (2018): Sex and gender differences in substance use disorders. *Clin Psychol Rev* 66:12–23.
- McLean SA, Ressler K, Koenen KC, Neylan T, Germine L, Jovanovic T, et al. (2020): The Aurora Study: A longitudinal, multimodal library of brain biology and function after traumatic stress exposure. *Mol Psychiatry* 25:283–296.
- Bernstein DP, Stein JA, Newcomb MD, Walker E, Pogge D, Ahluvalia T, et al. (2003): Development and validation of a brief screening version of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire. *Child Abuse Negl* 27:169–190.
- Hamilton CM, Strader LC, Pratt JG, Maiese D, Hendershot T, Kwok RK, et al. (2011): The PhenX Toolkit: Get the most from your measures. *Am J Epidemiol* 174:253–260.
- Speer ME, Bhanji JP, Delgado MR (2014): Savoring the past: Positive memories evoke value representations in the striatum. *Neuron* 84:847–856.
- Chumbley JR, Friston KJ (2009): False discovery rate revisited: FDR and topological inference using Gaussian random fields. *Neuroimage* 44:62–70.

Reward Neurocircuitry and Alcohol Use Posttrauma

40. Reifman A, Garrett K (2022): Winsorize. In: Frey BB, editor: SAGE Encyclopedia of Research Design, 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 1821–1822.
41. Clausen AN, Fercho KA, Monsour M, Disner S, Salminen L, Haswell CC, *et al.* (2022): Assessment of brain age in posttraumatic stress disorder: Findings from the ENIGMA PTSD and brain age working groups. *Brain Behav* 12:e2413.
42. Steuber ER, Seligowski AV, Roeckner AR, Reda M, Lebois LAM, van Rooij SJH, *et al.* (2021): Thalamic volume and fear extinction interact to predict acute posttraumatic stress severity. *J Psychiatr Res* 141: 325–332.
43. Harnett NG, Finegold KE, Lebois LAM, van Rooij SJH, Ely TD, Murty VP, *et al.* (2018): Structural covariance of the ventral visual stream predicts posttraumatic intrusion and nightmare symptoms: A multivariate data fusion analysis. *Transl Psychiatry* 12:321.
44. Lebois LAM, Harnett NG, van Rooij SJH, Ely TD, Jovanovic T, Bruce SE, *et al.* (2022): Persistent dissociation and its neural correlates in predicting outcomes after trauma exposure. *Am J Psychiatry* 179:661–672.
45. van Rooij SJH, Stevens JS, Ely TD, Hinrichs R, Michopoulos V, Winters SJ, *et al.* (2018): The role of the hippocampus in predicting future posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in recently traumatized civilians. *Biol Psychiatry* 84:106–115.
46. Gilpin NW, Koob GF (2008): Neurobiology of alcohol dependence: Focus on motivational mechanisms. *Alcohol Res Health* 31:185–195.
47. Morikawa H, Morrisett RA (2010): Ethanol action on dopaminergic neurons in the ventral tegmental area: Interaction with intrinsic ion channels and neurotransmitter inputs. *Int Rev Neurobiol* 91:235–288.
48. Fahlke C, Eriksson CJ (2000): Effect of adrenalectomy and exposure to corticosterone on alcohol intake in alcohol-preferring and alcohol-avoiding rat lines. *Alcohol* 35:139–144.
49. Fahlke C, Hansen S (1999): Effect of local intracerebral corticosterone implants on alcohol intake in the rat. *Alcohol* 34:851–861.
50. Lopez SA, Flagel SB (2021): A proposed role for glucocorticoids in mediating dopamine-dependent cue-reward learning. *Stress* 24: 154–167.
51. Luo J (2015): Effects of ethanol on the cerebellum: Advances and prospects. *Cerebellum* 14:383–385.
52. Carta I, Chen CH, Schott AL, Dorizan S, Khodakhah K (2019): Cerebellar modulation of the reward circuitry and social behavior. *Science* 363:eaav0581.
53. Schneider F, Habel U, Wagner M, Franke P, Salloum JB, Shah NJ, *et al.* (2001): Subcortical correlates of craving in recently abstinent alcoholic patients. *Am J Psychiatry* 158:1075–1083.
54. Schacht JP, Anton RF, Myrick H (2013): Functional neuroimaging studies of alcohol cue reactivity: A quantitative meta-analysis and systematic review. *Addict Biol* 18:121–133.
55. Engelmann JB, Pessoa L (2007): Motivation sharpens exogenous spatial attention. *Emotion* 7:668–674.
56. Ohmae S, Medina JF (2015): Climbing fibers encode a temporal-difference prediction error during cerebellar learning in mice. *Nat Neurosci* 18:1798–1803.
57. Berger B, Trottier S, Verney C, Gaspar P, Alvarez C (1988): Regional and laminar distribution of the dopamine and serotonin innervation in the macaque cerebral cortex: A radioautographic study. *J Comp Neurol* 273:99–119.
58. Krawczyk DC, Gazzaley A, D'Esposito M (2007): Reward modulation of prefrontal and visual association cortex during an incentive working memory task. *Brain Res* 1141:168–177.
59. Small DM, Gitelman D, Simmons K, Bloise SM, Parrish T, Mesulam MM (2005): Monetary incentives enhance processing in brain regions mediating top-down control of attention. *Cereb Cortex* 15:1855–1865.
60. Weafer J, Fillmore MT (2012): Alcohol-related stimuli reduce inhibitory control of behavior in drinkers. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 222:489–498.
61. Qureshi A, Monk RL, Pennington CR, Wilcoxon TDW, Heim D (2019): Alcohol-related attentional bias in a gaze contingency task: Comparing appetitive and non-appetitive cues. *Addict Behav* 90: 312–317.
62. Huth AG, de Heer WA, Griffiths TL, Theunissen FE, Gallant JL (2016): Natural speech reveals the semantic maps that tile human cerebral cortex. *Nature* 532:453–458.
63. Cappelletti M, Lee HL, Freeman ED, Price CJ (2010): The role of right and left parietal lobes in the conceptual processing of numbers. *J Cogn Neurosci* 22:331–346.
64. Barbieri M, Negrini M, Nitsche MA, Rivolta D (2016): Anodal-tDCS over the human right occipital cortex enhances the perception and memory of both faces and objects. *Neuropsychologia* 81:238–244.
65. Sasia B, Cacciamani L (2021): High-definition transcranial direct current stimulation of the lateral occipital cortex influences figure-ground perception. *Neuropsychologia* 155:107792.
66. Van Meel C, Daniels N, de Beeck HO, Baek A (2016): Effect of tDCS on task relevant and irrelevant perceptual learning of complex objects. *J Vis* 16:13.
67. Groen IIA, Silson EH, Pitcher D, Baker CI (2021): Theta-burst TMS of lateral occipital cortex reduces BOLD responses across category-selective areas in ventral temporal cortex. *Neuroimage* 230:117790.
68. Mullin CR, Steeves JKE (2011): TMS to the lateral occipital cortex disrupts object processing but facilitates scene processing. *J Cogn Neurosci* 23:4174–4184.
69. McCalley DM, Kaur N, Wolf JP, Contreras IE, Book SW, Smith JP, Hanlon CA (2023): Medial prefrontal cortex theta burst stimulation improves treatment outcomes in alcohol use disorder: A double-blind, sham-controlled neuroimaging study. *Biol Psychiatry Glob Open Sci* 3:301–310.
70. Mahoney JJ, Hanlon CA, Marshalek PJ, Rezai AR, Krinke L (2020): Transcranial magnetic stimulation, deep brain stimulation, and other forms of neuromodulation for substance use disorders: Review of modalities and implications for treatment. *J Neurol Sci* 418:117149.
71. Raichle ME, MacLeod AM, Snyder AZ, Powers WJ, Gusnard DA, Shulman GL (2001): A default mode of brain function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98:676–682.
72. Kaller S, Rullmann M, Patt M, Becker GA, Luthardt J, Girbardt J, *et al.* (2017): Test-retest measurements of dopamine D1-type receptors using simultaneous PET/MRI imaging. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 44:1025–1032.
73. Ishii T, Kimura Y, Ichise M, Takahata K, Kitamura S, Moriguchi S, *et al.* (2017): Anatomical relationships between serotonin 5-HT_{2A} and dopamine D2 receptors in living human brain. *PLoS One* 12:e0189318.
74. Spindler LRB, Luppi AI, Adapa RM, Craig MM, Coppola P, Peattie AR, *et al.* (2021): Dopaminergic brainstem disconnection is common to pharmacological and pathological consciousness perturbation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 118:e2026289118.
75. Seo D, Lacadie CM, Tuit K, Hong KI, Constable RT, Sinha R (2013): Disrupted ventromedial prefrontal function, alcohol craving, and subsequent relapse risk. *JAMA Psychiatry* 70:727–739.
76. Vandegrift BJ, You C, Satta R, Brodie MS, Lasek AW (2017): Estradiol increases the sensitivity of ventral tegmental area dopamine neurons to dopamine and ethanol. *PLoS One* 12:e0187698.
77. Vandegrift BJ, Hilderbrand ER, Satta R, Tai R, He D, You C, *et al.* (2020): Estrogen receptor α regulates ethanol excitation of ventral tegmental area neurons and binge drinking in female mice. *J Neurosci* 40:5196–5207.