

ACORN ANNUAL REPORT

# AF Ecology Centre India

Annual Report Number: 1 Reporting Period: 11/2023 – 11/2024



# Instruction

Acorn projects are required to provide Acorn with an Annual Report within 3 months after the end of each reporting period. This document represents the template that should be used and is intended to record project progress. It differs from the Acorn Design Document (ADD) in that the ADD captures the project design and the annual report reflects the outcome of the design.

Depending on results and developments within a project, changes must be recorded in the ADD in addition to the annual report. Please see Table 1b below in this document to guide you on what document and what section requires updating. The annual report, and if applicable together with the updated ADD, should be uploaded onto the portal. Acorn is available for assisting the local partners in drafting the required documentation. For version numbering and file naming please consult Acorn.

On a randomly selected basis, the certifier and a third-party auditor will review a project's design and progress. Therefore, these parties will require annual report(s) and an updated ADD. Annual reports can also be used for the purpose of updating (potential) buyers.



# Table of Contents

Instruction	2
Overview	1
Executive Summary	1
1 Acorn Design Document Updates	3
2 Project Implementation	9
2.1 Carbon Regulations	9
2.2 Project Council	10
2.3 Grievances	11
2.4 Other Stakeholder Consultations	13
2.5 Training	14
2.6 Project Scaling	15
2.7 Frauds	16
3 Project Finances	17
4 Carbon Monitoring	18
4.1 Carbon Removal Units	18
4.2 Carbon Benefit Losses	18
5 Monitoring Indicators and Risk Safeguards	19
5.1 Livelihood Monitoring	19
5.2 Ecosystem Monitoring	19
5.3 Risk Monitoring	19
5.4 Leakage Monitoring	21
Annexes	23
Annex 1 – Project Council Report(s)	23
Annex 2 – Other Stakeholder Consultations and Training	23
Annex 3 – Sample Signed Agreements	23
Annex 4 – Ecoregion Maps	23
Annex 5 – Agroforestry Design	23
Annex 6 – Business Case	23
Annex 7 – Benefit Sharing Mechanism	23



## Overview

Location:	The project area takes place in the Anantapur district in the state of Andhra
	Pradesh, south-eastern India.
ADD Approval Date:	The Acorn Design Document was approved on the 30/12/2023.
Project Start Date:	Farmers first planted their trees between July and September of 2018.
	Collaboration with Acorn, for this agroforestry project was then established
	in January of 2023.
<b>Reporting Period:</b>	11/2023 to 11/2024
Submission Date:	27/01/2024
Auditing:	AFEC was validated in late November of 2023, as part of the sampling
	strategy, by Preferred by Nature. The findings will be reassessed during a re-
	validation, scheduled for March of 2025.
Auditing Date:	27/22/2023 – 01/12/2023

# **Executive Summary**

This Acorn project implemented and led by AF Ecology Centre has reached the end of its first year on November 2024. During the first year, the project has onboarded 7,111 participants with an extension of 8,620.9 ha, which reflects AFEC's efforts in engaging the community. Several community meetings were held to sensitize the participants and onboard them to the project, throughout the mandals in Anantapur and Sri Satya Sai districts.

At the start of the reporting period, the project was validated, demonstrating AFEC's efforts to design and implement the project. As a result of the project's context (i.e., extended dry spells, and bureaucratic challenges to establish a payment mechanism), the implementation could not yet take place as described in the ADD. Nevertheless, this was an opportunity for the Local Partner, together with Acorn, to improve its design and approach, which will be re-assessed beginning of March, by the same VVB, Preferred by Nature.

In AFEC's efforts to improve the project implementation, as described by the ADD, Acorn has managed to help 3,891 farmers to convert their farms to agroforestry. AFEC has been able to do through liaising with the Forest Department and procure 150,000 red sandals saplings which were provided to farmers during the 2024 planting season. AFEC has also managed to establish their own nursery, with the aim to raise saplings for the 2025 season. So far, 25,000 saplings have been raised, and by February 2025 the number of saplings will reach 100,000.

Some challenges that have been faced by the project, is to educate the farmers as well as the council members on agroforestry, and to explain the Acorn program in detail. This is because carbon credit removals are still a relatively new concept to farmers, and because farmers are very widespread across the region, which requires a lot of coordination and travel. In addition to this, it has been a challenge to motivate the farmers, because some have been onboarded a long time ago, and have still not seen any (financial) benefit from the program. As a way to overcome the mentioned challenges, AFEC has set up a more robust farmer engagement plan which will be executed in the coming year(s).

In addition to this, draughts and climate change remain a big challenge for the region, with increased desertification as result. While AFEC does what they can to manage this risk, for instance by linking farmers to governmental schemes for irrigation or providing training on water management (AFEC organized in 2024 a big event on desertification and has won prizes for land management), the issue



is persistent and would require major infrastructural investments for long-term and cross-regional solutions.

Lastly, the issue of how to perform Local Partner and farmer pay-outs has been a complex one. However, with the engagement of legal advice from both AFEC and Acorn side, we have been able to deep-dive into the existing regulation and find a solution that works.



# 1 Acorn Design Document Updates

Please refer to the updated ADD, "AFEC India ADD y1.1", on the Acorn Platform.

#### Table 1a Summary of Changes to Acorn Design Document

ADD Section	Description of Change
Part A: Project Summary	Number of existing participants was updated from 6,715 to 7,111; as a result, the project extension increased from 6,715 to 8,620.9 ha. The number of potential participants went from 7,000 to an additional 3,000.Harvesting information was updated in Question 24 to outline the tree species where planned
Part D: Carbon Baseline Assessment	harvesting is expected to take place. The T-5 check was updated, as a result of more onboarded farmers, resulting in 9 failed plots.
	Based on consultations with farmers, AFEC has concluded that these plots have most likely failed due to extremely limited access to water in the region, which has caused high mortality rates for the trees. AFEC has provided photo evidence of these farms, which indeed show a limited amount of tree coverage present. AFEC will in the coming period reach out to the farmers to assess if there is a possibility to plant more trees for these farmers and otherwise will be forced to offboard these farmers due to ineligibility.
Part F: Project Activities	The agroforestry tree species was updated, the seedling procurement and planting plan described.
Part G: Project Council	This section was updated to include information on the three project council meetings that took place between May and June (2024).
Part K: Stakeholder Analysis	Specific stakeholder information was added (names of public entities) as a result of the validation's findings.
Part L: Reversal Risk Assessment	As a result of the validation, the following risks were updated: i) Natural risks was updated to include information on where the seedlings will be sourced from (including AFEC's nursery, the Forest Department of Anantapur, from the Agriculture and Horticulture Departments, and if needed, from commercial nurseries). ii) Change of land ownership was updated to include information on how the project will deal with changes of land ownership.

Acorn	Rabobank á
-------	------------

	iii) Natural risks was updated from low to high due to the issue of lack of water in the project area. Information on mitigation measures, and monitoring frequency were also included.
Part M: Monitoring	The indicator of nutritional variety was updated to include more information on AFEC's approach to sensitize farmers. The updated high risk was included in the table of monitoring of identified risks.
Annexes	Annex 1 was updated to include the project map with the new onboarded farmers. Annex 5 was updated to include the letter of acknowledgement from the State Department. Annex 6 was updated to include the new Project Council Reports

\*Table can be left blank if no updated to the ADD were made.

#### Table 1b Date of Most Recent ADD Updates

ADD Section	Required Update	Date of Submission or
	Frequency	Most Recent Update
Part A; Project Summary	When applicable	11/2024
Part B; Eligibility Checklist	When applicable	11/2023
Part C; Additionality Assessment	When applicable	11/2023
Part D; Carbon Baseline Assessment	When applicable	11/2024
Part E; Project Baseline	At least every 3 years	11/2023
Part F; Project Activities	When applicable	11/2024
Part G: Project Council	When applicable	11/2024
Part H: Organisational Capacity	When applicable	11/2023
Part I; Financial Feasibility	When applicable	11/2023
Part J; Payments and Benefit Sharing	When applicable	11/2023
Part K; Stakeholder Analysis	When applicable	11/2024
Part L; Risk Assessment	At least every 5 years	11/2024
Part N; Technical specifications	At least every 3 years	11/2023
Annexes	When applicable	11/2024

#### Table 1c Response to Corrective/Forward Actions Required\*

CAR/FAR	Description	Timeframe	Response	Status	Source
CAR 01/23	The activities as	Not	The project's	Open – to be	Part F: Project
	described in the	determined	implementation is	reassessed	Activities
	ADD did not	by the VVB	dependent on the	during the	
	take place yet		planting of border	re-validation	
	and during the		species. For this,	scheduled	
	audit it could		the Local Partner	for late	
	not be		has held three	February of	
	confirmed that		Project Councils to	2025.	
	as designed, it		further sensitize		
	would be		the participants in		

### Annual Report, Reporting Period: 11/2023 to 11/2024

Acorn	Rabob
-------	-------



implementation and would lead to the enhancementborder trees in the proposed agroforestry design.oragroforestry design.orProcurement of improvementof the land. So far, only fruitbeen identified and an implementation plantationshave been establishedguarantee farmers (independently on the project)onconvert to agroforestry by and otheractivities as described in the documentation planting.Not According to the planting season of 2025.CAR 02/23The project for the moterialize so for.Not by the VVB by the VVB by the typesed projectOpen - to be reassessed during the indicators, and according to the by the VVB by the typesed projectOpen - to be reassessed during the reassessed during the indicators, and according to the proposed scheduled for the monitoring.Part M: Monitoring, indicators, and according to the proposed scheduled for the measured KPIs are used to measure the used to measure the used to measure the indicators, and does not need to does not need to design these indicators, and does not need to design these indicators, and does not need to define mitigationPart M: Monitoring, the project agroforestry), the agroforestry, the expected to improve; hence, no adverse indicators, and does not need to define mitigationPart M: Monitoring, the project agroforestry, the agroforestry, the expected to improve; hence, no adverse	
to the enhancement oragroforestry design. Procurement of seedlings has also been identified and an improvement of the land. So far, only fruit monoculture plantations have been established (Independently) on the project) and other activities as described in the ADD did not materialize so far.agroforestry design. Procurement of seedlings has also been identified and an guarantee farmers convert to agroforestry by 2026. The aim is that 4,210 farmers convert to agroforestry in the planting season of z025.Part M: Moti Motioning, Indicators, and 3. Risks aresured KPIs are expected to gaps in these indicators, andPart M: Motioning, and 3. Risks aresured KPIs are expected to gaps in these indicators, andCAR 02/23The project he projectNot determined by the VVBOpen - to be reasessed during the reasessed for the monitoring. There are some indicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance. However, there are a number of gaps in theseNot According to the project indicators, and does not need toPart M: Monitoring, and 3. Risks and 3. Risks and 3. Risks	
enhancement ordesign. Procurement of seedlings has also been identified and an implementation plantations have been established (independently on the project) and other activities as described in the ADD did not materialize so for.design. Procurement of seedlings has also been identified and an implementation plan was developed to guarantee farmers convert to agroforestry by 2026. The aim is that 4,210 farmers described in the ADD did not materialize so for.Open - to be Part M: reassessed during the reassessed for the by the VVBOpen - to be reassessed during the reassessed for latePart M: Monitoring, Indicators, and 3. RisksCAR 02/23The project documentation does not define kPis to be used for the monitoring.Not determined by the VVBOpen - to be reassessed during the ressessed and according to the proposed project indicators indicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance. However, there are a number of agas in these indicators, andPart M: conversion from monoculture to agroforestry), the measured KPIs are expected As a of gaps in thesePart M: conversion from monoculture to agroforestry), the measure the performance. However, there are a number of gaps in theseNot measure to expected. As a result, the project does not need toPart M: materialize conversion from monoculture to agroforestry), the measure the impacts are expected. As a result, the projectPart M: result, the project does not need to	
or improvement of the land. So far, only fruit monoculture plantations have been established (independently on the project) add other ADD did not materialize so far.Procurement of seedlings has also been identified and an implementation plan was developed to guarantee farmers convert to agroforestry by and other activities as described in the ADD did not materialize so far.Prover to agroforestry in the planting season of 2025.Open - to be reassesd during the revalidation scheduled for the monitoring.Part M: Monitoring, indicators identified and the projectOpen - to be revalidation scheduled for latePart M: Monitoring, indicators identified and the projectOpen - to be revalidation scheduled for latePart M: Monitoring, indicators, and according to the project scheduled for the monitoring.Open - to be revalidation scheduled for latePart M: Monitoring, indicators, and according to the project indicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance. However, there are a number of agroforestry, the measure the performance. However, there are a number of agroforestry in the project indicators, andPart M: conversion from monoculture to agroforestry), the measured KPIs are improve; hence, no adverse improve; hence, no adverse improve; hence, are a number of agro in thesePart M: conversion from monoculture to agroforestry), the measure the performance. However, there are a number of agro in thesePart M: conversion from expected As a result, the project does not need	
improvement of the land. So far, only fruit monoculture plantations have been established (independently on the project) add other activities as described in the ADD did not materialize so far.seedlings has also been identified and an implementation plan was developed to guarantee farmers convert to agroforestry by adorforestry in the planting season of far.Part M:CAR 02/23The project documentation documentation documentation documentation does not define for the monitoring.Not determined by the VVBOpen - to be reassesd data coording to the according to the projectPart M: Monitoring, indicators, ard according to the projectCAR 02/23The project documentation does not define k KPIs to be used for the monitoring.Not determined agroforestry), the measured KPIs are used to measure the performance. However, there are a number of agas in theseNot expected As a result, the project impacts are result, the project indicators, andAccorn framework, and according to the project according to the projectindicators, andexpected to impacts are result, the project indicators, andagroforestry), the measure to eresult, the project	
of the land. So far, only fruit monoculture plantations have been established (independently on the project) and other described in the ADD did not materialize so far.been identified and an implementation plan was developed to agroforestry by and other activities as described in the ADD did not materialize so far.Dot agroforestry in the planting season of 2025.Open - to be result of the Monitoring to the projectPart M: Moticators, and according to the planting season of 2025.CAR 02/23The project documentation does not define for the indicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance. However, there are a number of gaps in these indicators, andNot the project agroforestry in the projectOpen - to be ressessed Monitoring, the proposed project scheduled for latePart M: Indicators, and 3. Risks agroforestry), the the proposed projectThere are some indicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance. However, there are a number of gaps in these indicators, andmonitoring the project the project the project the projectSa a the project the project the project the projectHowever, there are a number of gaps in these indicators, andmonit project the project the project the projectNot the project the project the projectHowever, there are a number of gaps in these indicators, andmonit project the project the project the projectsa a the project the project the project the project	
far, only fruit monoculture plantations have been establishedand an implementation plan was developed to guarantee farmers (independently on the project) and other activities as described in the ADD did not materialize so far.and the agroforestry by 2026. The aim is that 4,210 farmers convert to agroforestry in the planting season of 2025.Open - to be reassesed Monitoring, Indicators, and 3. Risks for the monitoring.Part M: monitoring intervention (i.e., for lateCAR 02/23The project does not define for the monitoring.Not by the VVBAccording to the and according to the proposed projectOpen - to be reassessed Monitoring, and according to the propisetThere are some indicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance. However, there are a number of gaps in these indicators, andNot convert to and according to the project improve; hence, no adverse result, the projectOpen - to be Part M: reassessed Monitoring, and 3. Risks scheduled for lateThere are some indicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance. However, there are a number of gaps in these indicators, and does not need toOpen - to be Part M: reassessed for late for late	
monoculture plantations have been established (independently on the project) add otherimplementation plan was developed to guarantee farmers convert to agroforestry by add otherPart M:adtivities as described in the ADD did not materialize so far.Not determinedAccording to the planting season of 2025.Open - to be reassessed during the nonitoring, There are some indicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance.Not materialize and project and according to the proposed project intervention (i.e., for late for late project to agroforestry), the measured KPIs are expected to improve; hence, no adverse are a number are a numberPart M: Part M: Part M: According to the adcording to the proposed project improve; hence, no adverse improve; hence, no adverse improve; hence, are a number are a numberPart M: Part M: Part M: According to the adcording to the proposed project improve; hence, no adverse improve; hence, no adverse improve; hence, are a numberPart M: Part M: 	
plantations have been established (independently on the project) and otherplan was developed to guarantee farmers convert to agroforestry by 2026. The aim is activities as described in the ADD did not materialize so far.planting season of 2025.Part M:CAR 02/23The project no the projectNot determinedAccording to the According to the and according to the proposed indecators, and according to the projectPart M: Monitoring, Indicators, and according to the proposed indicators, agroforestry), the measured KPIs are used to measure the performance.Not material according to manoculture to agroforestry), the measured KPIs are expected to improve; hence, no adverse are a number of gaps in these indicators, andNot measure to agroforestry), the measure to agroforestry), the measured KPIs are expected As a of gaps in these indicators, andPart M: Monitoring, monitoring, monoculture to agroforestry), the measured KPIs are expected As a of gaps in these indicators, andOpen - to be performance.	
have been established (independently on the project) add otherdeveloped to guarantee farmers convert to agroforestry by 2026. The aim is activities as described in the ADD did not far.Part M: According to the planting season of 2025.CAR 02/23The project documentation does not define for the monitoring.Not by the VVB planting season of 2025.Open - to be reassessed during the nonitoring.Part M: Monitoring, Indicators, and according to the proposed intervention (i.e., for lateOpen - to be reassessed during the and according to the proposed scheduled intervention (i.e., for latePart M: Monitoring, Indicators, and 3. Risks scheduled intervention (i.e., for lateThe reare some indicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance.Not expected to improve; hence, no adverse improve; hence, no adverse improve; hence, no adverse are a numberScheduled improve; hence, no adverse improve; hence, no adverse improve; hence, af gaps in these of gaps in theseIndicators improve; hence, improve; hence, no adverseHowever, there are a numberexpected to improve; hence, no adverse improve; hence, no adverseIndicators improve; hence, improve; hence, no adverseHowever, there are a numberresult, the project improve; hence, no adverseIndicators, andAdverter are a numberresult, the project improve; hence, no adverseIndicators, and	
established (independently on the project) and other activities as described in the ADD did not materialize so far.guarantee farmers convert to agroforestry by 2026. The aim is convert to agroforestry in the planting season of 2025.Part M:CAR 02/23The projectNotAccording to the determinedOpen - to be reassessedPart M:Monitoring, documentationNotAccording to the projectOpen - to be reassessedPart M:Acro 02/23The projectNotAccording to the projectOpen - to be reassessedMonitoring, during the indicators, indecatorsMonitoring, and according to the proposedIndicators, and 3. RisksFor the monitoring.intervention (i.e., reassestfor late for lateAcord Sector revalidationThere are some indicatorsagroforestry), the measured KPIs are used to measure the performance.agroforestry), the measured KPIs are improve; hence, no adverseSector improve; hence, no adverseSector improve; hence, improve; hence, are a numberHowever, there are a numberimpacts are result, the projectimpacts are result, the projectimpacts are result, the project	
(independently on the project) and other activities as described in the ADD did not far.2026. The aim is that 4,210 farmers convert to agroforestry in the planting season of 2025.Part M:CAR 02/23Not determined documentation does not define for the monitoring.Not determined by the VVB indetermined projectOpen - to be reassessed during the not according to the project the proposed indicators, indicatorsPart M:CAR 02/23Not determined documentation determined does not define by the VVBAccording to the non according to the project intervention (i.e., conversion from monoculture to agroforestry), the these could be used to measure the performance. However, there are a number of gaps in these indicators, andNot agroforestry by conversion from monoculture to agroforestry), the measured KPIs are expected to improve; hence, no adverse impacts are ere an umber of gaps in these indicators, andPart M: conversion from monoculture to agroforestry), the measured KPIs are expected to improve; hence, no adverseOpen - to be Part M: non adverse impacts are expected. As a result, the project indicators, andOpen - to be Part M: nonculture to adroforestry), the performance.	
on the project) and otheragroforestry by 2026. The aim is that 4,210 farmers convert to agroforestry in the planting season of 2025.Image: Convert to agroforestry in the planting season of 2025.CAR 02/23The projectNotAccording to the other materializeOpen - to be Part M: reassesedCAR 02/23The projectNotAccording to the other monitoring, does not defineOpen - to be by the VVBPart M: nonitoring, and according to the proposedMonitoring, and 3. Risks and according to the proposedMonitoring, and 3. Risks and according to the proposedFor the monitoring.Indicators, and according to the proposedFebruary of z025.Indicators, and 3. Risks and according to the projectImage: Conversion from indicatorsFebruary of agroforestry), the these could be used toGores respected to measure the performance.Scheduled improve; hence, no adverseImage: Conversion from indicators, andExpected. As a result, the projectImage: Conversion improve; hence, improve; hence, are a numberImage: Conversion improve; hence, improve; hence, improve; hence, improve; hence, are a numberImage: Conversion improve; hence, improve; hence, improve; hence, improve; hence, are a numberImage: Conversion improve; hence, improve; he	
and other2026. The aim is that 4,210 farmers convert to agroforestry in the planting season of 2025.Image: Sease of planting season of 2025.CAR 02/23The projectNot decumentation decumentation des not define by the VVBAccording to the Acorn Framework, and according to the proposed projectOpen - to be reassessed Monitoring, and according to the proposed for the monitoring.Part M: Indicators, and according to the proposed projectOpen - to be reassessed Monitoring, and according to the proposed for the monitoring.Open - to be Part M: reassessed and according to the proposed projectPart M: reassessed and according to the proposed projectThere are some indicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance.Imeasured KPIs are expected to improve; hence, no adverse improve; hence, no adverse improve; hence, are a number of gaps in these indicators, andImeasure active improve index are expected As a result, the projectImeasure indicatorsImage: the indicators, andImage: the improve i	
activities as described in the ADD did notthat 4,210 farmers convert to agroforestry in the planting season of 2025.lease the convert to agroforestry in the planting season of 2025.CAR 02/23The projectNotAccording to the documentation determinedOpen - to be during the Indicators, and according to the propsedPart M: reassessed Monitoring, and according to the propsedCAR 02/23The projectNotAccording to the and according to the propsed the propsedOpen - to be reassessed Monitoring, and 3. Risks and 3. Risks the propsedCAR 02/24The or esome indicatorsindicators and 3. Risks projectOpen - to be reassessed the propsed scheduledMonitoring.indicators indicatorsand according to projectduring the re-validation and 3. Risks and 3. RisksIndicatorsintervention (i.e., projectfor lateIndicators, and 3. RisksIndicatorsintervention (i.e., agroforestry), the these could bemeasured KPIs are improve; hence, no adverseIndicator indicatorsIndicatorsindicatorindicatorindicatorIndicators, andindicatorindicatorindicatorIndicators, andindicetindicatorindicatorIndicators, andindicetindicatorindicatorIndicators, andindicetindicatorindicatorIndicators, andindicetindicatorindicatorIndicators, andindicatorindicatorindicator <th></th>	
described in the ADD did not materialize so far.convert to agroforestry in the planting season of 2025.Open - to be reassessedPart M: Monitoring, Indicators, and 3. RisksCAR 02/23The project documentation does not define for the monitoring.Not determined by the VVBAccording to the and according to the proposed projectOpen - to be reassessed during the indicators, and 3. RisksFor the monitoring.Not determined by the VVBAccording to and according to the proposed projectOpen - to be reassessed during the indicators, and 3. RisksThere are some indicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance.Not measured KPIs are improve; hence, no adverse impacts are expected. As a result, the project does not need toPart M: Monitoring, and 3. Risks	
ADD did not materialize so far.agroforestry in the planting season of 2025.lease leaseCAR 02/23The project documentation does not define by the VVBNot determined by the VVBAccording to the and according to the proposed projectOpen - to be reassessed during the lindicators, and 3. Risks and 3. Risks indicators, indicatorsPart M: Monitoring, Indicators, and according to the proposed projectMonitoring, and 3. Risks and 3. Risks scheduled intervention (i.e., for lateThere are some indicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance. However, there are a number of gaps in these indicators, andagroforestry, the measure the impacts are expected. As a result, the projectIndicator indicator indicators, and	
materialize so far.planting season of 2025.Open - to be reassessedPart M:CAR 02/23The projectNotAccording to the documentation determinedOpen - to be reassessedPart M:does not define does not define for the monitoring.by the VVBand according to the proposed projectduring the re-validation scheduledIndicators, and 3. Risksfor the monitoring.intervention (i.e., tindicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance. However, there are a number of gaps in these indicators, andagroforestryl, the impacts are result, the projectIndicatorindicators, andimprove; hence, result, the projectIndicators impacts are result, the projectIndicator	
far.2025.Part M:CAR 02/23The project documentation determinedAccording to the Acorn Framework, and according to the proposed projectOpen - to be reassessedPart M: Monitoring, Indicators, and 3. Risks and 3. Risks scheduledKPIs to be used for the monitoring.by the VVB the proposed projectand according to the proposed projectduring the re-validation and 3. Risks scheduledThere are some indicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance.measured KPIs are expected to improve; hence, no adverse impacts are expected. As a result, the projectIndicators improve for tate	
CAR 02/23The project documentation does not define kPIs to be used for the monitoring.Not determined by the VVBAccording to the corn Framework, and according to the proposed projectOpen - to be reassessed during the indicators, and 3. RisksThere are some indicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance. However, there are a number of gaps in these indicators, andNot According to the Acorn Framework, and according to the proposed project intervention (i.e., conversion from measured KPIs are expected to improve; hence, no adverse impacts are expected. As a result, the project does not need toPart M: Monitoring, Monitoring, and 3. Risks	
documentation does not define kPIs to be used for the monitoring.determined by the VVBAcorn Framework, and according to the proposed projectreassessed during the indicators, and 3. RisksThere are some indicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance.Monitoring, intervention (i.e., agroforestry), the improve; hence, no adverse impacts are expected. As a result, the projectMonitoring, indicatorsHowever, there are a number of gaps in these indicators, andMonitoring, and according to the proposed projectPreassessed during the re-validation scheduled for lateMonitoring. monitoring.Indicators intervention (i.e., conversion from mensured KPIs are improve; hence, no adverseMonitoring, and 3. RisksMonitoring. measure the performance.Indicators agroforestry), the improve; hence, no adverseIndicators accontent are impacts are expected. As a result, the project does not need toMonitoring, and 3. Risks	
does not define KPIs to be used for theby the VVBand according to the proposed projectduring the re-validation and 3. Risksmonitoring.intervention (i.e., projectfor lateThere are some indicatorsconversion from monoculture to agroforestry), the these could be used toFebruary of 2025.used to measure the performance.mprove; hence, no adverse impacts are expected. As a of gaps in these indicators, andno adcording to the projectIndicators, and 3. Risks	CAR 02/23
KPIs to be used for the monitoring.the proposed projectre-validation scheduled for lateand 3. RisksThere are some indicators identified and these could be used to measure the performance.conversion from monoculture to agroforestry), the measured KPIs are expected to improve; hence, no adverse impacts are are a number of gaps in these indicators, andand 3. Risks	
for theprojectscheduledmonitoring.intervention (i.e.,for lateThere are someconversion fromFebruary ofindicatorsmonoculture to2025.identified andagroforestry), the2025.these could bemeasured KPIs areused toexpected tomeasure theimprove; hence,performance.no adverseHowever, thereimpacts areare a numberexpected. As aof gaps in theseresult, the projectindicators, anddoes not need to	
monitoring.intervention (i.e., conversion fromfor lateThere are some indicatorsconversion from monoculture toFebruary of 2025.identified and these could be used toagroforestry), the measured KPIs are expected to	
There are some indicators identified and these could be used toconversion from monoculture to agroforestry), the measured KPIs are expected to improve; hence, no adverse However, there are a number of gaps in these indicators, andFebruary of 2025.There are some monoculture to agroforestry), the measured KPIs are expected to improve; hence, no adverse the sourceFebruary of 2025.	
indicatorsmonoculture to agroforestry), the measured KPIs are expected to improve; hence, performance.2025.However, there are a number of gaps in these indicators, andmonoculture to agroforestry), the measured KPIs are expected to improve; hence, no adverse result, the project does not need to2025.	
identified andagroforestry), thethese could bemeasured KPIs areused toexpected tomeasure theimprove; hence,performance.no adverseHowever, thereimpacts areare a numberexpected. As aof gaps in theseresult, the projectindicators, anddoes not need to	
these could be used tomeasured KPIs are expected tomeasure the performance.improve; hence, no adverseHowever, there are a numberimpacts are expected. As aof gaps in these indicators, andresult, the project does not need to	
used toexpected tomeasure theimprove; hence,performance.no adverseHowever, thereimpacts areare a numberexpected. As aof gaps in theseresult, the projectindicators, anddoes not need to	
measure the performance.improve; hence, no adverseHowever, there are a numberimpacts are expected. As aof gaps in these indicators, andresult, the project does not need to	
performance.no adverseHowever, thereimpacts areare a numberexpected. As aof gaps in theseresult, the projectindicators, anddoes not need to	
However, thereimpacts areare a numberexpected. As aof gaps in theseresult, the projectindicators, anddoes not need to	
are a numberexpected. As aof gaps in theseresult, the projectindicators, anddoes not need to	
of gaps in these result, the project indicators, and does not need to	
indicators, and does not need to	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
might not measures.	
always fully fit Concrete	
the purpose. mitigation	
measures are	
instead	
determined in the	
risk assessment	
(Part L of the	
ADD).	
<b>CAR 03/23</b> At the time of Not Investigation from Open – to be Part J:	
validation, the determined legal and tax reassessed Payments a	CAR 03/23
issue of how to by the VVB experts took place during the	CAR 03/23

#### Annual Report, Reporting Period: 11/2023 to 11/2024





	transfer the	to reach a	re-validation	Benefit
	-			
	funds from Bababapk to	consensus on how	scheduled	Sharing
	Rabobank to	to adequately pay	for late	
	AFEC, and from AFEC to the	out the respective CRU revenue share	February of 2025.	
		to the farmers and	2023.	
	farmers, was	AFEC alike. The		
	not yet sorted			
	out.	following solutions have been		
		identified (a		
		positive outcome		
		of the validation is		
		needed to		
		appropriately		
		apply these):		
		1) For farmers		
		short-term: pay		
		out through		
		Rabobank's		
		corresponding bank in India		
		(HSBC).		
		2) For farmers		
		long-term: a		
		collective (new legal entity) will be		
		set up, which will		
		manage the		
		administration		
		and perform		
		payments to the		
		farmers.		
		3) For AFEC short-		
		term: AFEC is		
		allowed to receive		
		10% of CRU		
		revenues to cover		
		the costs required		
		to implement the		
		program. If costs		
		of project		
		implementation		
		are less than 10%		
		of CRU revenues,		
		we will implement		
		the long-term		
		solution to		
		manage		
		payments.		
		4) AFEC long-term:		
		In the long term,		
L		in the long term,	1	



CAR 04/23	Project documents provided to the local farmers shall be in an applicable language that suits all participants.	Not determined by the VVB	AFEC is investigating the opportunity to set- up another legal entity which would be allowed to receive 10% CRU revenues directly. All of the onboarded Acorn farmers and villages speak Telugu as their primary language (although ~4% do not read it), and some farmers (mainly in the bordering regions of the state) may speak Kannada, but in general would also speak Telugu or have family members which speak Telugu. Participant agreements are available in Telugu and Kannada, and the Acorn infographic is used during meetings (i.e., Project Council) for sensitization.	Open – to be reassessed during the re-validation scheduled for late February of 2025.	Not applicable
NIR 01/23	Stakeholder's analysis in the ADD (Part K) shall be updated, identifying key stakeholders and including the required specific information.	Not determined by the VVB	Part K: Stakeholder Analysis of the ADD has been updated to include information on the key stakeholders and their required outcomes. These include higher and local-level governmental bodies.	Open – to be reassessed during the re-validation scheduled for late February of 2025.	Part K: Stakeholder Analysis





CAR 05/23	The audit team could not confirm at the site that additionality is fully demonstrated.	Not determined by the VVB	Requiring on-the- ground coordination and funding, AFEC has adopted a phased approach to plant a variety of additional trees, from several different sources, and ensure training is provided. Refer to the ADD for detailed information.	Open – to be reassessed during the re-validation scheduled for late February of 2025.	Part F: Project Activities
NIR 02/23	Acorn and AFEC shall update the Risk Assessment in the ADD) to evaluate two infra-estimated risks: change of land ownership and coverage, and planting material.	Not determined by the VVB	The following risks were updated and mitigation actions described. Change of land ownership and coverage (land tenure), planting material, and natural risks (water scarcity). The latter was raised to high and includes mitigation and monitoring actions.	Open – to be reassessed during the re-validation scheduled for late February of 2025.	Part L: Reversal Risk Assessment, and Part M: Monitoring
NIR 03/23	The Local Partner should seek agreement or other type of documentation with the government, that they allow to sell CRUs.	Not determined by the VVB	AFEC has received a letter of acknowledgement, on December 20th, by the Agricultural Department of the State of Andhra Pradesh, to engage in the Acorn program.	Open – to be reassessed during the re-validation scheduled for late February of 2025.	Part A: Project Summary, and Annex 5



# 2 Project Implementation

#### 2.1 Carbon Regulations

While there is still an amount of uncertainty regarding the evolution of India's carbon markets, its government is actively involved in policy development. India perceives the carbon market as a positive avenue for foreign direct investment and social development and it acknowledges the need to balance this with achieving its Nationally Determined Contributions (NDC) targets.

Furthermore, India's domestic compliance market, which will allow for some use of offsets to meet obligations, is currently being established and is projected to become operational by 2026. This development is expected to create a new source of demand for credits (full details regarding the quantity of credits and the standards and methodologies from which they originate are yet to be confirmed). India has expressed its intention to participate in Article 6 markets and has issued a whitelist of projects eligible for trading in the mechanism. Nonetheless, there is no established registry infrastructure or authorisation process in place.

In July 2024, India released final regulations on their compliance carbon market following stakeholder consultations on the draft CCTS regulations from December 2023. Alongside these regulations, they also indicated that there would be a voluntary offsetting mechanism however specific rules for projects are still in development. Full eligibility requirements are expected to be released by the Bureau of Energy Efficiency. However, we know that sectors for CCC offsets will be considered eligible in two phases. Phase I will cover the energy, industry, waste, agriculture, forestry, and transport sectors. Phase II will be the construction, fugitive emissions, solvent use, and CCUS sectors.

Regarding the international market, it is expected that India will develop a registry in 3-4 years' time. Expectation is that India will recognize the Global VCM Standards because buyers will accept these methodologies. Verra, under which many of the carbon projects are registered in India, has already started the process of acceptance in the country, and the GOI acknowledges Verra projects in India.

With this we can conclude that while there is still a considerable amount of uncertainty w.r.t. carbon market regulations in India, we believe that the risks related to regulations in India and Acorn are limited. This is due to a few factors:

- Like mentioned, India has a wide experience in (compliance) carbon markets (Indian agencies have registered 2nd largest number of CDM projects globally) and has the ambition to become the global market leader in carbon projects. To become a market leader, India will have to align with global carbon market standards which are in line with the Acorn Framework and Acorn's development;

- Currently there is a 10% flat tax imposed on the regulated carbon projects (out of scope for Acorn). There are indications that this tax rate will not be increased in the future, which is positive for the Acorn business case. In addition, for numerous of our projects, Indian governmental institutions have approved the farmer share of carbon proceeds to be tax exempt, implying the positive approach of the Indian government towards carbon markets and their impact on local communities, thus lowering the economic risk of the project from farmer perspective;

- In the case of AFEC, Acorn has received a formal letter of acknowledgement from Ministry of Agriculture to continue our activities. Approval (and endorsement) has also been received for our other projects in India by governmental institutions;

- Based on an assessment by our legal teams, there are no specific restrictions under the Indian Export Rules regarding the export of claims on carbon capture or CRUs, subject to compliance with the requirements for realization of export value and reporting. Rabobank does not require a license, registration or approval under Indian law prior to for the Acorn transaction;

- Lastly, some of our Local Partners are frequently invited to advise the government on carbon market developments, and these Partners have provided us with positive signals on policy development (i.e. that regulation will not be impeding Acorn activities).

#### 2.2 Project Council

Acorn

The Project Council structure will be composed of AFEC's representatives, including the field supervisors and field coordinators, farmer representatives and non-participant community members. This year, AFEC has held three Project Council meetings in three mandals (Kalyandurgam, Madakasira, and Anantapuram) to ensure farmer representation and participation.

During the onboarding process of the project participants, AFEC's field coordinators created WhatsApp groups with the participants, for each mandal, to communicate any project-related information, and in turn, receive questions and feedback from the participants. The same approach has been taken for the Project Council members. Therefore, with these channels in place, participants will be able to provide feedback on the project design and implementation.

Refer to the project council report in Annex 1.

Rabobank 🍐

Date project council took place	Project Council	Representation of council	Number of people present	Attendance rate (%)	Location (region)
27/06/2024	Kalyandurga m	Local Partner staff, field supervisors, participants, local government officials from the Agriculture, Horticulture and Forest departments, and prospect new participants.	140 attendees, with 85% being male and 14% being female.	120 people were planned to attend and additional 20 have attended.	Kalyandurga m mandal, at the AFEC office
20/06/2024	Madakasira	Local Partner staff, field supervisors, participants, local government officials from the Agriculture, Horticulture and Forest departments, and prospect	62 attendees, with 83% being male and 16% being female.	120 were planned for but only 62 attended.	Madakasira mandal, at the Rural Developmen t Trust Office

#### Table 2.2 Project Council overview



		new participants.			
14/06/2024	Anantapura m	Local Partner staff, field supervisors, participants, local government officials from the Agriculture, Horticulture and Forest departments, and prospect new participants.	62 attendees, with 89% being male and 11% being female.	120 were planned for but only 94 attended.	Anantapura m mandal, at the AFEC office

#### 2.3 Grievances

### Table 2.3a Summary Grievance Register

Grievances raised	Grievances resolved	Grievances unresolved
2	1	1

#### Table 2.3b Grievances and Resolutions

Date	Channel	Grievance	Mitigation plan	Mitigation action	Status	Time taken to solve
27/06/2024 20/06/2024 14/06/2024	At the three Project Council meetings (in Kalyandurgam, Madakasira, and Anantapuram)	Farmers want to receive their settlement of CRUs	Part of the validation findings, AFEC, with the support of Acorn, has established a fund flow mechanism by the end of July to facilitate the CRU payments.	Not successfully undertaken during the reporting period.	Unresolved	Unresolved
27/06/2024 20/06/2024 14/06/2024	At the three Project Council meetings (in Kalyandurgam, Madakasira, and Anantapuram)	Farmers want to receive seedlings free of cost to participate	Also part of the validation findings. AFEC has procured seedlings, to provide free of charge to farmers, from	Based on the farmers' preferences, a plan has been developed by AFEC to ensure that	Resolved	One year



in the	the Forest	all farmers	
project.	Department	will have	
	and their own	converted	
	nursery. Seeds	their farms	
	instead of	to	
	seedlings	agroforestry	
	Three options	by 2026. In	
	for the	the 2025's	
	transition to	planting	
	agroforestry	season, the	
	have been	plan is that	
	identified,	4,210	
	where	farmers	
	seeds/seedlings	convert their	
	are either	farms to	
	sourced from	agroforestry.	
	AFEC's nursery,		
	the State's		
	Forest		
	Department,		
	or, at a last		
	resort, from		
	commercial		
	nurseries and		
	financed by		
	farmers		



#### 2.4 Other Stakeholder Consultations

AFEC engages with local stakeholders to varying degree, depending on the need basis for stakeholder consultations. AFEC for example works very closely with the local agriculture, horticulture and forestry departments and district officers, and meet with them (bi)weekly to discuss progress of AFEC's projects, including the Acorn project. AFEC is also connected to the state government, and the state government is has visited AFEC and the Acorn project in the past year. In addition to this, AFEC engages also with secondary stakeholders. One such stakeholder is the Saytrees project (another agroforestry carbon projects), with whom AFEC has engaged with to ensure there is no overlap in farmers and carbon. AFEC also meets with commercial nurseries on demand, when they require saplings. Lastly, AFEC frequently organizes events on topics such as climate change and desertification, during which many stakeholders are present and (in)formally informed about the Acorn project.

Participants are in general very satisfied with the project and appreciate that the project is supporting farmers to transition to agroforestry (as expressed by farmers verbally during PC meetings. However, due to the fact that farmers have not yet received any financial benefits from participating in the program, we have seen a number of complaints of farmers. To ensure that farmers are adequately informed and that their expectations are managed, AFEC has developed a farmer engagement plan which will be implemented in the next reporting period.

Date	Activity	Stakeholder group	Description	Attendees
June 22 <sup>nd</sup>	AFEC Desertification event	Mix of local stakeholders, government, organizations, farmers (incl. AFEC farmers.	Collaboration event on desertification, aimed to enhance knowledge on desertification topics (incl. Irrigation).	>300
August 2024	State government visit to project	State government	Acorn project, agroforestry design	A state representative, Florence Deepa, Deputy Forest Ranger of the Kalyanadurgam region; AFEC staff
(Bi)weekly	Local government discussions and meetings	Local Government	Project visits and trainings provided by local governments	Local government (district officers from agriculture, horticulture and forestry departments).
November 2024	AFEC and Acorn visit to state government	State government	Acorn project and government approval	Additional chief secretary, Govt of Andhra Pradesh, with Dr. B.

#### **Table 2.4 Stakeholder Consultation Activities**

				Rajsekhart; AFEC staff; Acorn staff
November 2024	Local agricultural office visist	Local government	Discuss Acorn project and potential to connect farmers to agricultural and horticultural schemes	Local head of agriculture department

### 2.5 Training

In 2024, AFEC has organized 3 trainings, which have been attended by 100 farmers. During the trainings, the following topics were covered:

- Management of agroforestry trees, including pest and disease management;

- Re-cap of the Acorn program, its obligations and opportunities for the farmers;

- information about available government schemes that can be utilized by farmers to complement the program.

In addition, AFEC has shared agroforestry pamphlets to farmers, both physically during PC meetings or field officer visits to farms, as well as online through the already established WhatsApp groups. In the coming reporting period, plan is to upscale the training activities from 3 to 15 trainings.

#### Table 2.5 Training Activities – AFEC to provide evidence

Date	Training	Stakeholder	Topics	Attendees	Trainer
	type	group			
26/10/24	Physical workshop & Video	Council members & Farmers	Training on Main& Bordercrops management at Kalyandurgam	80	Horticulture officer- Krishna Teja, Bhaskar babu & Coordinators of AFEC
29/10/24	Physical workshop & Video	Council members & Farmers	Training on Main& Bordercrops management at Madakasira	80	RDT regional direcctor,Agriculture officer,NREGS technical officer & Coordinator
22/11/24	Physical workshop & Video	Council members & Farmers	Training on Main& Bordercrops management at Ananthapuram u	44	DWMA plantation manger (Lakshminarayan)Ag riculture officer kuderu (Vijayakumar),ACOR N Team (Marta & Nishanth) & Coordinators



### 2.6 Project Scaling

In the reporting period, approximately 1,500 new farmers have been onboarded to the project. These are mainly farmers with new plantations (less than 1 year old plantation), as the farmers with older plantations have already been onboarded. Onboarding has been performed by the field officers, who have already been trained on Acorn data collection.

In the coming reporting period, we expect a maximum of 1,500 additional farmers to be onboarded. There was no change in the agroforestry design after the new participants were onboarded, as the newly onboarded farmers are farmers who have planted new agroforestry based on the earlier designed agroforestry designs.

There is a slight change in agroforestry designs due to the farmers conversion of agroforestry trees, however, with limited impact on agroforestry design and business case.

Because AFEC had to re-measure the CRU calculations due to changes in uncertainty methodology of Acorn, and new measurements have not been performed yet, there are no changes to the uncertainty adjustments. The other 2 adjustment factors are not expected to change.

Project area	Ecoregion	Agroforestry design & business case	Project council	Number of newly added participants /farms	Number of new plots	Total project size (ha)
The new farmers are spread across existing project area which covers 15 mandals.	Deccan thorn scrub forests	Fruit trees with border plantations (same as before)	Spread across the 3 existing Project Councils	1,500 participants	1,500 plots	1,818 ha

#### Table 2.6a New plots & participants

#### Table 2.6b Changes to adjustment factors

Adjustment factor	Initial factor (%)	Current factor (%)	Reason for change
Leakage	0%	0%	No change – project will be recalculated based on Methodology v1.0.1
Uncertainty	0%	0%	No change – project will be recalculated based on Methodology v1.0.1
Pre-project	25 %	25 %	No change – project will be recalculated based on Methodology v1.0.1

\*Table can be left blank if no changes were made to the adjustment factors.



#### Table 2.6c Changes to business case

Business case tab & name	Row & column number	Description of change
N/A	N/A	N/A

#### 2.7 Frauds

*No fraud was detected during the reporting period as no farmer pay-out was carried out.* 

#### Table 2.7b Summary Fraud Register

Fraud received	Frauds settled	Frauds unsettled
N/A	N/A	N/A

#### Table 2.7a Frauds and Settlements

Date	Channel	Description	Resolution action	Status	Time taken to solve
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



# 3 Project Finances

Table 3a Summary of Project Finances Since the Start of the Acorn Project

Provided. Concealed for data protection purposes.

#### Table 3b Summary of Project Finances for the Reporting Period

Provided. Concealed for data protection purposes.



# 4 Carbon Monitoring

#### 4.1 Carbon Removal Units

This project has not yet generated CRUs.

For real-time CRU information see our <u>website</u>.

#### Table 4.1 Summary of CRUs throughout the project period. (*This table will be prefilled by Acorn*).

	Calculation Period		
	Historic	Reporting Period	Total
Status			
CRUs generated	N/A	N/A	N/A
CRUs sold	N/A	N/A	N/A
CRUs reserved	N/A	N/A	N/A
CRUs available	N/A	N/A	N/A
BRCUs generated	N/A	N/A	N/A
BCRUs reserved	N/A	N/A	N/A

#### 4.2 Carbon Benefit Losses

No event that led to significant losses of carbon benefits during this reporting period took place. \*Table can be left blank if no carbon benefit losses were observed.

#### Table 4.2 Summary of Events Generating Losses of Carbon Benefits

Event number	N/A
Project area(s)	N/A
affected	
Participants affected	N/A
Description	N/A
CRU impact	N/A
E&S impact	N/A
BCRUs	N/A
Response	N/A

# 5 Monitoring Indicators and Risk Safeguards

### 5.1 Livelihood Monitoring

#### Table 5.1a Mandatory Livelihood Monitoring Results

Indicator	Metric	Baseline metric value	Current metric value	Causes and adjustments (If applicable)
Farmer Income from CRUs	Carbon revenues	0	0	See section 4 Carbon Monitoring
Nutritional Variety	Average number of food groups consumed	5 food groups	5 food groups	N/A

#### Table 5.1b Additional Livelihood Monitoring Results\*

Indicator	Metric	Baseline metric value	Current metric value	Causes and adjustments (If applicable)
Agricultural land use productivity	Average cash crop output value per hectare [kg/ha/crop].	44,026.8 Kg/ha/year	44,026.8 Kg/ha/year	N/A
Farmer financial state	Farm revenues – operating expenses	-31,466.7 INR	-31,466.7 INR	N/A

\*Only the additional indicators chosen at project design need to be completed.

#### 5.2 Ecosystem Monitoring

#### **Table 5.2 Ecosystem Monitoring Results**

Indicator	Metric	Baseline metric value	Current metric value	Causes and adjustments (If applicable)
Agricultural Biodiversity	Gini-Simpson	62%	62%	N/A
	Index value.	(acceptable)	(acceptable)	

#### 5.3 Risk Monitoring

The project has performed its first risk assessment in 2023 and will reassess it after five years (2028). So far, two medium risks, and one high risk was identified and confirmed after the validation took place in November 2023.

#### Table 5.3 Risk Monitoring Overview

Risk category	Identified Risk Areas or Potential Negative	Mitigation action	Monitoring action	Status
	Impacts			



Natural risks	Water scarcity – the	The project	AFEC will monitor	High
	project area is located	promotes an	the impacts of	
	in a drought-prone	agroforestry design	project intervention	
	region and the	with drought-	on water resources	
	farmers' lands are	tolerant species	through the regular	
	predominantly	(i.e., teak, red	field visits from the	
	rainfed.	sanders,	supervisors to the	
		sandalwood,	farmers, and during	
		arjuna, Malabar	the biannual Project	
		neem, and	Council meetings.	
		mahogany).		
		Technical input will		
		be provided, in the		
		form of leaflets, to		
		the participants to		
		optimize their		
		seedling plantation		
		in the water scarce		
		context.		
		AFEC is and will		
		continue to link		
		farmers to		
		governmental		
		schemes that can		
		alleviate the water		
		shortage in the		
		region.		
Negative	AFEC has received a	The organisation	AFEC to provide state	
project cash	grant from Rabobank	doesn't have a	of financials bi or tri-	
flow	to meet its necessary	financial buffer in place. However,	monthly, and the leadership of Acorn	
	financial resources for the project	there are some	and AFEC to discuss	
	implementation.	options to ensure	the project financials	
	implementation.	that the project	on a frequent basis	
		remains funded on	(bi or tri-monthly).	
		the LP side:		
		- Minimize		
		the project		
		costs;		
		utilize		Medium
		other AFEC		
		staff on		
		Acorn's		
		activities;		
		- AFEC to		
		explore		
		possibilities		
		for grant		
		funding;		
	1		1	
		- Acorn to		

fund LP costs which is repaid by future carbon	
is repaid by future	
future	
credits;	
- Increase the	
scale of	
project to	
attract	
more	
carbon	
income that	
can be re-	
invested.	
Animal or The risk of animal The land owners During PC meetings,	
human interference is keep a close watch AFEC to collect	
interference medium, as animals of their lands until information on	
like deer and wild sunset and ensure whether and how	
boars present threats that their crops/ many farmers have	
to the crops. trees are not been affected by	
damaged by animal interference.	
animals, and few	
participants have	
fences in place.	
The estimation is	
that 10% of the	
narticinants face	
the risk, especially	Medium
those whose fields	
are further away	
from the villages.	
In addition, there is	
a government policy	
in place which	
states that the	
government can at	
times recover the	
loss caused by	
animal interference	
on farms.	

### 5.4 Leakage Monitoring

The maturity of the cash crop trees planted in addition to the border planting results in no overall productivity loss. This is something known and expected by the farmers before the project intervention. Through this project, AFEC wants to help farmers further improve their agroforestry design, so that it is a long-lived system in place, by introducing border planting with species with economic value, such as timber and medicinal species.



# Table 5.3 Risk Monitoring Overview

Identified leakage source	Mitigation action	Monitoring action
No significant leakage sources	N/A	N/A



#### Annexes

Annex 1 – Project Council Report(s)

Provided. Concealed for data protection purposes.

Annex 2 - Other Stakeholder Consultations and Training

Provided. Concealed for data protection purposes.

Annex 3 – Sample Signed Agreements

Provided. Concealed for data protection purposes.

Annex 4 – Ecoregion Maps

Provided. Concealed for data protection purposes.

Annex 5 – Agroforestry Design

Provided. Concealed for data protection purposes.

Annex 6 – Business Case

File name: <u>AFEC Business Case</u>

Annex 7 – Benefit Sharing Mechanism

*Please not, this project is under Acorn Framework 1.0 and has a detailed Benefit Sharing Mechanism in the ADD.*