



#HorizonEU



THE EU **RESEARCH & INNOVATION PROGRAMME**

2021 – 2027

REA.C.1





Key aspects of the evaluation process





Evaluation process and principles



Fair and equal treatment of all proposers




Based on the criteria announced in the Call



Confidential process, no conflicts of interest



Independent external expert



Timeline: single-stage calls

Next call: HORIZON-CL2-2025-01

Destinations: DEMOCRACY

HERITAGE

TRANSFORMATIONS

Evaluation

 Strict page limit: CSAs 33 pages RIA/IA 50 pages


 Evaluation external experts: Q3 2025 followed by Ethics in early 2026 Information
 to applicants:
 max 5 months
 after Call
 deadline

Month 5

GAP

 Grant agreement preparation: Q2-Q3 2026 Grant agreement signature: around mid-May 2026

Month 8



Month 0

deadline:

16 Sept.

2025

Call

Timeline: two-stage calls

Next call: HORIZON-CL2-2025-02-TWO-STAGE

Topics: HORIZON-CL2-2025-02-HERITAGE-02-two-stage

HORIZON-CL2-2025-02-TRANSFO-04-two-stage

Evaluation

Call deadline:16 Sept. 2025

Month 0

- 1st stage evaluation by external experts.
- Strict page limit for 1st stage: 10 pages
- 1st stage results: mid-December 2025

- 2nd stage submission deadline:
- 17 March 2026
- Full proposal RIA: 50 pages
- 2nd stage evaluation by external experts
- Ethics evaluation in mid-2026

Information to applicants: early June 2026

Month 8

GAP

 Grant agreement preparation: June-August 2026 Grant agreement signature: end Q3 2026

Month 12



Key documents on the Funding & Tenders Portal

Horizon Europe Cluster 2 Work Programme

Background, topics and budgets (link)

General Annexes to the Work Programme

 List of countries, eligibility and admissibility conditions, award criteria and procedure, scoring and threshold (<u>link</u>)

Submissions forms and templates

 Essential forms and guides to draw up and submit your proposal (see relevant topic page)

Horizon Europe Programme Guide

 Informs applicants about the specificities of the programme (<u>link to the guide</u>)

Key elements in designing your proposal



Your proposed work must be within the scope of a work programme topic



You need to demonstrate that your idea is ambitious and goes beyond the state of the art



Your scientific methodology must take into account interdisciplinary, gender dimension and open science practices.



You should show how your project could contribute to the outcomes and impacts described in the work programme (the pathway to impact)



You should describe the planned measures to maximise the impact of your project ('plan for the dissemination and exploitation including communication activities')



You should demonstrate the quality of your work plan, resources and participants

Policy and horizontal considerations



Open Science across the programme



Gender dimension in R&I content



Pathway to impact



Measures to maximise impact



Artificial intelligence

These aspects must normally be considered in all Horizon Europe calls (unless explicitly mentioned in the topic description).

Specific calls may include other aspects to take into account.

For more details consult the Horizon Europe Programme Guide



Award Criteria

- If admissible and eligible, the proposals will be evaluated and ranked against the following award criteria, depending on the type of action:
 - 1. Excellence
 - 2. Impact
 - 3. Quality and efficiency of the implementation
- Each criterion is subdivided in a set of sub-criteria, which are adapted to the different types of action. (Check the respective evaluation form on the topic page.)
- Each award criterion refers to a specific section of the proposal where applicants need to demonstrate that they have adequately addressed the criterion in question.



Lump sum topics Proposal submission form specificities

The proposal contains two parts:

- Part A: web-based forms generated by the IT system based on the information provided by the participants. Make sure that you have all the information at hand to complete the extended Part A (especially related to the Participant data). Part A also includes a summary budget table.
- Part B: narrative part with three sections that each correspond to an evaluation criterion.

 Must be uploaded as a PDF document using the call- and topic-specific templates provided.

 Higher page limit than the standard calls: CSAs 33 pages, RIA/IA 50 pages. For the first stage of the 2-stage topics: 10 pages. A strict page limit is applied!
- + Additional Excel annex, detailed budget table!
- + depending on the call conditions, additional annexes may be added

Extra check to be done by the applicants: Consistency of budget totals between Part A, the detailed budget table and Part B!



Consortium composition – minimum eligibility criterion

Research and Innovation Actions (RIA), Innovation Actions (IA)

Unless otherwise provided for in the specific call conditions, legal entities forming a consortium are eligible to participate in actions provided that the consortium includes:

- at least one independent legal entity established in a Member State; and
- at least two other independent legal entities, each established in different MSs or ACs.

Coordination and Support Actions (CSA)

Applications for 'Coordination and support' actions may be submitted by one or more legal entities, which may be established in a MS, AC or, in exceptional cases and if provided for in the specific call conditions, in another third country.



Update on the application and evaluation forms for the 2025 Work Programme

- All references to the Do No Significant Harm (DNSH) principle have been removed from both the application and evaluation forms
- No longer a requirement for participants to demonstrate the robustness of the AI tools used, unless this is required by the topic conditions. Assessment of robustness of AI tool will only be performed when this is specified in the topic description or conditions. All references to AI robustness have been removed from both the application and evaluation forms



Use of generative AI tools

In designing proposals

- Applicants:
 - may use generative Al tools when preparing proposals;
 - must be fully transparent towards the granting authority and disclose which AI tools were used and how they were utilized;
 - o must exercise caution and careful consideration while using generative AI tools;
 - must review and validate thoroughly any Al-generated content to ensure its appropriateness and accuracy, as well as its compliance with intellectual property regulations;
 - o remain **fully responsible for the content of the proposal** (including the parts produced by the Al tool).



Changes to the gender criterion for ex-aequo proposals

The gender balance criterium to establish a priority ranking among proposals with the same score ("ex-aequo criteria") was amended in the General Annexes and concerns now only researchers with leading role in the proposal

General Annexes:

For each group of proposals with the same score, starting with the group achieving the highest score and continuing in descending order:

- 1) Proposals that address aspects of the call that have not otherwise been covered by more highly ranked proposals will be considered to have the highest priority.
- 2) The proposals identified under 1), if any, will themselves be prioritised according to the scores they have been awarded for 'Excellence'. When these scores are equal, priority will be based on scores for 'Impact'. In the case of 'Innovation actions', priority will be given to the score for 'Impact', followed by that for 'Excellence'.
- 3) If necessary, the gender balance among the researchers with a leading role named in the researchers table in the proposal, will be used as a factor for prioritisation.
- 4) If necessary, any further prioritisation will be based on geographical diversity, defined as the number of Member States or Associated Countries represented not otherwise receiving funds from projects higher up the ranking number, then by budget).

Importance of the ethics self-assessment in Part A

2 HUMANS		YES/ NO		Information to be provided in the proposal	Documents to be kept on file and provided on request
Does your activity involve human participants?				Please provide information in one of the subcategories below	
If YES:	Are they volunteers?			1) Details on recruitment, inclusion and exclusion criteria and informed consent procedures. 2) Details on unexpected findings policy.	1) Copies of ethics approvals (if required by law or practice). 2) Informed consent forms and information sheets.
	Are they healthy volunteers for medical studies?			1) Details of the recruitment, inclusion and exclusion criteria and informed consent procedures. 2) Details on incidental findings policy.	Copies of ethics approvals. Informed consent forms and information sheets.
	Are they patients for			1) Details on the	1) Copies of ethics

The most common Ethics Issues under Cluster 2 are usually linked to working with Humans, Data protection, working in non-EU countries, Artificial intelligence, potential misuse of results, etc.

For each Ethics Issue, please consult the "How to complete your ethics self-assessment"





Proposal submission and tips








Topic page Proposal submission

General information Topic description Destination Conditions and documents Partner search Submission service Topic related FAQ Get support Call updates

- The coordinator: creates the draft proposal.
- Partners will be invited by the coordinator.
- The coordinator can edit all sections, while the participants can edit only their own section. Uploading the Part B, inviting participants and submitting the proposal is reserved for the coordinator.
- Make sure to leave sufficient time to prepare the extended Part A information, not to miss any information that is essential for the evaluation, e.g. researchers participating, experience, publications.
- Submit the first version as early as possible, no later than 48 hours before the deadline to avoid any technical issues. Any new submission overwrites the previous version.

Submission system



Eligibility warnings: For information

The precise topic conditions are on the topic page!

Affiliated entity:

Linked to a participant with related tasks and budget.

Associated partner:

Linked to the proposal, cannot fill in budget table.


No contacts can be added

here

See definiti

Gene

Partner Search



1. Find partner organisations for specific topics directly on the topic pages via the Partner search announcements

Complete your **Person Profile** to be able to publish partner searches in your name as a person/researcher (Login – My AREA, left hand side menu) from next year.

 Or check the database of project partners and person profiles on the How to Participate → Partner search page using the search criteria.



Optimising the chances for success

Optimise the time available to prepare the proposal

- Last minute preparations are often reflected in a lower quality which largely reduces the chances
 of success
- Download the templates from the submission system under the correct topic
- Start a draft early + submit on time

Completeness

- One section missing could make your proposal inadmissible or result in a lower score
- Address each Part A section and all sub-criteria in the Part B

Be clear and explicit

 Evaluators must judge only what they read and not on the proposal potential. They have limited time

Consortium

Check the type of action to meet the minimum eligibility requirements when prepar partnership

Page limit


Keep the page limit for the Part B and the required format/font size!

National Contact Points of Horizon Europe

Who are they?

National contact points providing information and advice to applicants and beneficiaries in their own language

Find out who are NCPs in your country



NCP Services

Topics identification

Consortium creation

Proposal preparation

Proposal review

Submission


- Guidance on choosing relevant Horizon Europe topics
- Assistance in partner search
- Training and tailormade assistance on proposal writing
- Distribution of documentation (forms, guidelines, manuals etc.)
- Advice on administrative procedures and contractual issues
- Helping in proposal check (proposal prescreening, events)
- Knowledge of the Funding & Tender Opportunities Portal
- Organizing information activities (info-days, seminars, conferences, newsletters, web sites, brokerage events,...)

Take part in the <u>brokerage events</u> organised online by the National Contact Points!

BROKERAGE EVENT on 16th May 2025



Register in the database of experts, new expertise is always welcome!







NEW:

- <u>Horizon Implementation Days</u> about proposal submission and evaluation, grant preparation and grant management
- <u>Lump sum related information</u> on the dedicated page

Short videos related to the use of the Portal and some aspects of the evaluation process

Recorded webinars on the events page of the Funding & Tenders Portal

- 'All you need to know on D&E under Horizon Europe' (9 June 2021). Recorded session
- 'Horizon Europe: key changes to the Ethics Appraisal Process' (18 July 2021). Record
 session



Thank you!

HorizonEU

http://ec.europa.eu/horizon-europe



