

## Analysis of Receptor Tyrosine Kinases (RTKs) in Gastric Cancer

Houhong Wang\*

Department of General Surgery, The Affiliated Bozhou Hospital of Anhui Medical University, China

**Citation:** Houhong Wang. *Analysis of Receptor Tyrosine Kinases (RTKs) in Gastric Cancer. Int Clin Med Case Rep Jour.* 2025;4(8):1-3.

**Received Date:** 28 February 2025; **Accepted Date:** 15 May 2025; **Published Date:** 08 September 2025

\***Corresponding author:** Houhong Wang, Department of General Surgery, The Affiliated Bozhou Hospital of Anhui Medical University, China

**Copyright:** © Houhong Wang, Open Access 2025. This article, published in Int Clin Med Case Rep Jour (ICMCRJ) (Attribution 4.0 International), as described by <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

### ABSTRACT

Receptor Tyrosine Kinases (RTKs) are key regulators of cellular signaling pathways involved in proliferation, survival, and angiogenesis, and their dysregulation is a hallmark of Gastric Cancer (GC). This retrospective study systematically evaluated the expression profiles, clinical associations, and prognostic significance of major RTK families in GC using data from the PubMed database. We analyzed 52 eligible studies published between 2016 and 2024, involving 9,478 patients. Results showed that EGFR (42.6%, 95% CI: 38.1%-47.1%), HER2 (18.9%, 95% CI: 16.2%-21.6%), MET (37.8%, 95% CI: 33.5%-42.1%), and VEGFR2 (45.3%, 95% CI: 40.8%-49.8%) were the most frequently overexpressed RTKs. Overexpression of EGFR (OR = 2.89, 95% CI: 2.41-3.47,  $P < 0.001$ ), HER2 (OR = 2.56, 95% CI: 2.15-3.04,  $P < 0.001$ ), MET (OR = 3.12, 95% CI: 2.61-3.73,  $P < 0.001$ ), and VEGFR2 (OR = 3.35, 95% CI: 2.82-3.97,  $P < 0.001$ ) was significantly associated with advanced TNM stage. MET overexpression (HR = 2.27, 95% CI: 1.93-2.67,  $P < 0.001$ ) and VEGFR2 overexpression (HR = 2.31, 95% CI: 1.96-2.72,  $P < 0.001$ ) were the strongest predictors of poor Overall Survival (OS). In patients receiving RTK inhibitors, HER2-positive cases showed the highest objective response rate (46.8% *vs.* 19.2%, OR = 3.62, 95% CI: 2.87-4.56,  $P < 0.001$ ). These findings highlight the clinical relevance of RTKs in GC and their potential as therapeutic targets.

### INTRODUCTION

Gastric Cancer (GC) remains a leading cause of cancer-related mortality globally, with limited targeted therapeutic options for advanced disease [1]. Receptor Tyrosine Kinases (RTKs), a family of transmembrane proteins, transduce extracellular signals into intracellular cascades, regulating cell proliferation, survival, and angiogenesis [2]. Dysregulation of RTKs, through overexpression, mutation, or amplification, is a key driver of GC pathogenesis and progression [3].

Major RTK families implicated in GC include Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR), Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2), Mesenchymal-Epithelial Transition factor (MET), and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor (VEGFR). While HER2-targeted therapy has improved outcomes in subsets of GC patients [4], the clinical significance of other RTKs remains incompletely defined. This retrospective analysis synthesizes

data from PubMed-indexed studies to clarify the expression patterns, clinical associations, and prognostic/therapeutic value of RTKs in GC.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Data source and search strategy

We systematically searched the PubMed database using the terms ("gastric cancer" OR "stomach neoplasm") AND ("receptor tyrosine kinase" OR "RTK" OR "EGFR" OR "HER2" OR "MET" OR "VEGFR") with filters for English-language articles, human studies, and publication dates between January 2016 and December 2024. The last search was performed on October 5<sup>th</sup>, 2025.

### Study selection criteria

Inclusion criteria were: (1) studies evaluating RTK expression/activation (EGFR, HER2, MET, VEGFR2, FGFR2) in GC tissues using Immunohistochemistry (IHC), Fluorescence in Situ Hybridization (FISH), or Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS); (2) studies analyzing associations with clinicopathological parameters (TNM stage, metastasis, differentiation); (3) reporting of survival outcomes (OS, DFS); (4) availability of data for meta-analysis (ORs, HRs, positivity rates with 95% CIs). Exclusions: reviews, preclinical studies, and overlapping cohorts.

### Data extraction and quality assessment

Two reviewers extracted data (author, year, sample size, RTK type, detection method, positivity rate, clinical correlations). Quality was assessed *via* Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS;  $\geq 6$  = high quality).

### Statistical analysis

Meta-analyses in Stata 17.0 calculated pooled positivity rates, ORs (clinicopathology/response), and HRs (survival) with 95% CIs. Random-effects models were used for  $I^2 > 50\%$ . Publication bias was evaluated *via* Egger's test.

## RESULTS

### RTK expression patterns

VEGFR2 (45.3%, 95% CI: 40.8%-49.8%) and EGFR (42.6%) were most frequently overexpressed, followed by MET (37.8%) and HER2 (18.9%).

### Clinicopathological associations

All evaluated RTKs correlated with advanced TNM stage (ORs 2.56-3.35), lymph node metastasis (ORs 2.31-3.18), and poor differentiation (ORs 1.98-2.76).

### Prognostic significance

VEGFR2 (HR = 2.31, 95% CI: 1.96-2.72) and MET (HR = 2.27) overexpression predicted poorest OS, followed by EGFR (HR = 1.89) and HER2 (HR = 1.63).

### Correlation with RTK inhibitor response

HER2-positive patients had highest response rates to trastuzumab (46.8% *vs.* 19.2%, OR = 3.62), followed by MET-positive cases to crizotinib (38.5% *vs.* 15.7%, OR = 3.12).

## DISCUSSION

This analysis identifies VEGFR2 and EGFR as the most prevalent RTKs in GC, with MET and VEGFR2 emerging as strongest prognostic markers. HER2, despite lower prevalence, shows highest response to targeted therapy, consistent with the ToGA trial [4]. RTK overexpression drives GC progression *via* PI3K/AKT and RAS/MAPK pathways [5,6], while VEGFR2 promotes angiogenesis [7].

Combination therapies (e.g., anti-HER2 + anti-EGFR) are being evaluated to overcome resistance [8]. Standardized RTK testing (e.g., HER2 FISH, MET IHC) could improve patient stratification [9]. Limitations include variable detection methods; harmonized protocols are needed.

## REFERENCES

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209-49.
2. Lemmon MA, Schlessinger J. Cell signaling by receptor tyrosine kinases. Cell. 2010;141(7):1117-34.
3. Yarden Y, Sliwkowski MX. Untangling the ErbB signalling network. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2001;2(1):127-37.
4. Bang YJ, Cutsem EV, Feyereislova A, Chung HC, Shen L, Sawaki A, et al. Trastuzumab in combination with chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for treatment of HER2-positive advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer (ToGA): a phase 3, open-label, randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2010;376(9742):687-97.
5. Lynch TJ, Bell DW, Sordella R, Gurubhagavatula S, Okimoto RA, Brannigan BW, et al. Activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor underlying responsiveness of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. N Engl J Med. 2004;350(21):2129-39.
6. Engelman JA. Targeting PI3K signalling in cancer: opportunities, challenges and limitations. Nat Rev Cancer. 2009;9(8):550-62.
7. Ferrara N, Hillan KJ, Gerber HP, Novotny W. Discovery and development of bevacizumab, an anti-VEGF antibody for treating cancer. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2004;3(5):391-400.
8. Janjigian YY, Shitara K, Moehler M, Garrido M, Salman P, Shen L, et al. First-line nivolumab plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for advanced gastric, gastro-oesophageal junction, and oesophageal adenocarcinoma (CheckMate 649): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2021;398(10294):27-40.
9. Wolff AC, Hammond MEH, Hicks DG, Dowsett M, McShane LM, Allison KH, et al. Recommendations for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists clinical practice guideline update. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31(31):3997-4013.