

Case Report (ISSN: 2834-5673)

Co-Expression of RUNX1-RUNX1T1 and CFBF-MYH11 Transcripts in a De Novo Acute Myeloid Leukemia: a First Case Report

Ben Ammar S^{1*}, Mnekbi Y¹, Kallel F², Kasdallah M¹, Amouri H¹, Menif S¹¹Laboratory of Molecular and Cellular Hematology, Pasteur Institute of Tunis, University Tunis El Manar, Tunisia²Department of Hematology, Hedi Chaker Hospital, Tunisia

Citation: Ben Ammar S, Mnekbi Y, Kallel F, Kasdallah M, Amouri H, Menif S. Co-Expression of RUNX1-RUNX1T1 and CFBF-MYH11 Transcripts in a De Novo Acute Myeloid Leukemia: a First Case Report. *Ann Case Rep Clin Stud.* 2023;2(1):1-5.

Received Date: 17 January, 2023; **Accepted Date:** 30 January, 2023; **Published Date:** 02 February, 2023

***Corresponding author:** Ben Ammar S, Laboratory of Molecular and Cellular Hematology, Pasteur Institute of Tunis, University Tunis El Manar, 1002, Tunis, Tunisia

Copyright: © Ben Ammar S, Open Access 2023. This article, published in *Ann Case Rep Clin Stud(ACRCS)* (Attribution 4.0 International), as described by <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

ABSTRACT

Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) with recurrent t(8;21)(q22;q22) and inv16(p13q22) genetic abnormalities are termed as Core Binding Factor (CBF)-AML. CBF genetic abnormalities are usually mutually exclusive.

Here, we report a case of an 11-year-old patient diagnosed with a de novo acute myeloid leukemia AML4-eos according to WHO classification. Cytogenetic approaches revealed association of inv(16) and t(8;21)(q22;q22).

Molecular testing confirmed the presence of both RUNX1-RUNX1T1 and CBFb-MYH11 fusion genes.

Even though the CBF-AML have usually a good prognosis, it was not the case with our patient. After successful chemotherapeutic treatment the patient experienced a relapse and died one year after initial diagnosis.

To the best of our knowledge, a comparable AML associated with coexistence of RUNX1-RUNX1T1 and CFBF-MYH11 at diagnosis as the primary tumor was not previously reported. Thus, the combination of the here seen fusion genes seems to indicate an adverse prognosis.

Keywords: Acute myeloid leukemia; Core binding factor; RUNX1-RUNX1T1; CFBF-MYH11

INTRODUCTION

Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) is characterized by a block in early progenitor differentiation leading to accumulation of immature, highly proliferative leukemic stem cells in bone marrow and blood [1]. The prognostic and therapeutic significance of Karyotype at diagnosis in Patients with AML is now fully established. Two of the most common recurring cytogenetic abnormalities in AML are t(8;21)(q22;q22) and the pericentric inversion of chromosome 16, inv16(p13;q22) or its variant t(16;16)(p13;q22) [2].

Case Report (ISSN: 2834-5673)

In both subtypes, the cytogenetic rearrangements disrupt genes that encode alpha and beta subunits of core binding factor, a transcription factor that functions as an essential regulator of normal hematopoiesis and myeloid differentiation. $t(8;21)(q22;q22)$ and $inv(16)(p13q22)$ create respectively, the RUNX1-RUNX1T1 and CBFb-MYH11 fusion genes [3]. The RUNX1-RUNX1T1 chimeric protein contributes to leukemogenesis primarily by disrupting normal hematopoiesis via its constitutive transcriptional activity, which increases the capacity of hematopoietic precursors for self-renewal and decelerates their terminal differentiation. CBFb-MYH11 disrupts hematopoiesis because it gathers corepressor complexes, resulting in recruitment of Histone Deacetylase activity and silence of gene function, and sequesters RUNX1 protein in the cytoplasm [3].

CBF genetic abnormalities are usually mutually exclusive. The presence of two chromosome changes in the same leukemic clone of CBF-AML is very rare [4]. Here we report an unusual case with the rare simultaneous presence of $inv(16)$ and $t(8;21)(q22;q22)$ in a morphologically and immunologically typical AML-M4 Eo de novo.

CASE REPORT

An 11-year-old boy without any known adverse medical background was admitted to the hospital in December 2014 with asthenia and a 38.5°C fever. Physical examination showed a tonsillar hypertrophy, splenomegaly 4 cm from the costal margin, normal testicular and neurological examinations. Routine blood examination revealed a severe non-regenerative macrocytic anemia with a Hemoglobin (Hb) level at 3.2 g/dL, White Blood Cell count (WBC) $53 \times 10^9/\text{L}$ with 76% blasts and platelets (PLT) $57 \times 10^9/\text{L}$.

The bone marrow examination concluded AML FAB type M4 Eo. The karyotype showed $t(8;21)(q22;q22)$ and $inv(16)$. Molecular biology confirmed the presence of both RUNX1-RUNX1T1 and CBFb-MYH11 fusion genes.

The patient was given standard treatment for AML including (3+7) induction chemotherapy with meningeal prophylaxis. A complete remission was achieved.

A molecular control of RUNX1-RUNX1T1 and CBFb-MYH11 fusion genes, carried out in July 2015, was negative.

Three months later the patient relapsed, clinical examination revealed peripheral facial paralysis of the left sixth nerve with positive Charles Bell signs and left hypoacusis. The ocular fundus showed stage I papilledema.

The neurological examination was normal and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) showed a tumor localization of the sphenoid bone extended to the cavum, parapharyngeal space, endocerebral extension, involvement of the 2 petrous bones and bilateral cerebellar epidural involvement, leptomeningeal dissemination at the level of the 2 acoustico-facial bundles localization at the level of the right maxillary sinus.

Routine blood examination showed: WBC $3.5 \times 10^9/\text{L}$, blasts 27%, Hb 9.6 g/dl and PLT at $6.7 \times 10^9/\text{L}$.

Thus the diagnosis of a meningeal and medullary relapse was made. The patient received a palliative treatment with high-dose corticosteroids, radiotherapy of the brain for 15 sessions between 2 November 2015 and November 20, 2015 at a rate of 2 Gy per session.

The evolution was marked by the aggravation of the cytopenias of the bone and cerebral pains resulting in death after 3 months.

Case Report (ISSN: 2834-5673)

DISCUSSION

Core-Binding Factor (CBF) acute myeloid leukemia encompasses AML with $inv(16)$ and AML with $t(8;21)(q22;q22)$. CBF AML are categorized as favorable risk AML within the 2017 European Leukemia Net (ELN) genetic risk stratification [5].

Core binding factor is a heterodimeric transcription factor complex that consists of 3 distinct DNA-binding CBF α subunits (RUNX1, RUNX2, and RUNX3), and a common CBF β subunit. RUNX1 was the first CBF gene to be isolated and has been known by a number of names including AML1, PEBPA2B, and CBFA2. The most commonly used name in the literature is AML1. It was renamed as Runt-related transcription factor 1 (RUNX1) by The Human Genome Organization [6].

CBF β and RUNX1 form heterodimers and together bind the consensus TGTGGT DNA sequence and regulate gene expression. RUNX1 protein contains a conserved RUNT Homology Domain (RHD) responsible for binding DNA and CBF β [6]. CBF β does not interact directly with DNA, but allosterically stabilizes the RUNX1-DNA interaction [7] and protects RUNX1 subunit from proteolysis. Both RUNX1 and CBF β are master regulators of definitive hematopoiesis [8].

Two specific cytogenetic types of AML, $t(8;21)(q22;q22)$ and $inv(16)(p13q22)$ or $t(16;16)(p13;q22)$, are called core-binding factor (CBF) AML [9].

The chromosomal aberration $t(8;21)(q22;q22)$ results in the fusion of RUNX1 on 21q22 with RUNX1T1 on 8q22, creating a chimeric fusion gene, RUNX1-RUNX1T1 [10]. The $t(8;21)(q22;q22)$ occurs predominantly in patients under the age of 50. It is seen in about 10% of FAB-M2 AML [11].

The aberrations $inv(16)$ and $t(16;16)$ lead to fusion of CBF β on 16q22 with smooth muscle myosin heavy-chain gene (MYH11) on 16q13, leading to formation of CBF β -MYH11 chimeric gene [12]. The $inv(16)$ is a highly specific marker for FAB-M4Eo. It is seen in about 20% of M4 cases in which there is an increased percentage of abnormal, immature eosinophils in the bone marrow [13].

The $t(8;21)$ and $inv(16)$ subtypes of AML have been usually grouped and reported together in clinical studies because of shown similarities between their molecular and prognostic features [9].

However, more recent studies have demonstrated genetic, clinical, and prognostic differences, supporting the notion that they represent 2 distinct biologic and clinical entities [3].

Here we report a case of an 11-year-old patient diagnosed with a de novo acute myeloid leukemia. At diagnosis, our patient's leukemic clone bore the clinical and morphologic features of AML of the FAB-M4Eo. Cytogenetic analysis showed the presence of both $t(8;21)(q22;q22)$ and $inv(16)$. Molecular biology confirmed the presence of both RUNX1-RUNX1T1 and CBF β -MYH11 fusion genes.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report in the literature describing the co-expression, at diagnosis, of chromosomal aberrations $inv(16)$ and $t(8;21)(q22;q22)$ in a case of AML-M4.

Battaglia et al. [14] reported a close similar case of a 17-year-old woman with acute myeloid leukemia. Cytogenetic studies of bone marrow metaphases revealed a leukemic clone with the $t(8;21)(q22;q22)$ characteristic of FAB-M2

Case Report (ISSN: 2834-5673)

[14]. The patient was treated and achieved transient remissions. On relapse, her leukemic clone had acquired, in addition to the t(8;21)(q22;q22), the inv(16)(p13q22) characteristic of FAB-M4Eo and a 5q- of the type seen in various acute myeloid leukemias and myelodysplastic syndromes. The patient achieved two further transient remissions, then relapsed and died 21 months after presentation with soft tissue masses, peripheral blast cells, and overwhelming infection [14].

Therefore, Shao et al. [15] reported a case of AML with RUNX1-RUNX1T1 that transitioned to AML with CBFβ-MYH11 following autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation [15].

The co-expression of translocation t(15;17) and t(8;21) was more common in the literature [16].

While LAM CBF are reputed to have a good prognosis, 25% to 58% of relapse incidences are reported in patients with CBF-AMLs [17].

Moreover, the concomitant occurrence of the 2 anomalies RUNX1-RUNX1T1 and CBFβ-MYH11 seems to be associated with a pejorative prognosis as evidenced by our case and that reported by Battaglia et al. [14]. In fact, our patient had a short duration of first remission (three months) and died three months after relapse.

REFERENCES

1. Rosenbauer F, Koschmieder S, Steidl U, Tenen DG. Effect of transcription-factor concentrations on leukemic stem cells. Blood. 2005;106(5):1519-24.
2. Ravandi F, Kadkol SS, Ridgeway J, Bruno A, Dodge C, Lindgren V. Molecular identification of CBFβ-MYH11 fusion transcripts in an AML M4Eo patient in the absence of inv16 or other abnormality by cytogenetic and FISH analyses--a rare occurrence. Leukemia. 2003;17(9):1907-10.
3. Sangle NA, Perkins SL. Core-binding factor acute myeloid leukemia. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2011;135(11):1504-9.
4. Xue YQ, Guo Y, Lu DR, Gu J, Lu DW, Gong JX, et al. A case of basophilic leukemia bearing simultaneous translocations t(8;21) and t(9;22). Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1991;51(2):215-21.
5. Hyde RK, Liu PP. RUNX1 Repression Independent Mechanisms of Leukemogenesis by Fusion Genes CBFβ-MYH11 and AML1-ETO (RUNX1-RUNX1T1). J Cell Biochem. 2010;110(5):1039-45.
6. Speck NA, Gilliland DG. Core-binding factors in haematopoiesis and leukaemia. Nat Rev Cancer. 2002;2:502-13.
7. Tang YY, Shi J, Zhang L, Davis A, Bravo J, Warren AJ, et al. Energetic and functional contribution of residues in the core binding factor beta (CBFβ) subunit to heterodimerization with CBFα. J Biol Chem. 2000;275(50):39579-88.
8. Huang G, Shigesada K, Ito K, Wee HJ, Yokomizo T, Ito Y. Dimerization with PEBP2β protects RUNX1/AML1 from ubiquitin-proteasome-mediated degradation. EMBO J. 2001;20(4):723-33.
9. Marcucci G. Core binding factor acute myeloid leukemia. Clin Adv Hematol Oncol. 2006;4(5):339-41.
10. Paschka P. Core binding factor acute myeloid leukemia. Semin Oncol. 2008;35(4):410-7.

Case Report (ISSN: 2834-5673)

11. Morphologic, immunologic and cytogenetic (MIC) working classification of the acute myeloid leukaemias. Second MIC Cooperative Study Group. Br J Haematol. 1988;68(4):487-94.
12. Arber D, Vardiman J, Brunning R. Acute myeloid leukemia with recurrent genetic abnormalities. In: Swerlow SH, Campo E, Harris NL, et al, eds. WHO Classification of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues. Lyon, France: IARC Press; 2008;2:110-23.
13. Le Beau MM, Larson RA, Bitter MA, Vardiman JW, Golomb HM, Rowley JD. Association of an inversion of chromosome 16 with abnormal marrow eosinophils in acute myelomonocytic leukemia. A unique cytogenetic-clinicopathological association. N Engl J Med. 1983;309(11):630-6.
14. Battaglia D, Dubé I, Pinkerton P, Senn J. Acquisition of additional primary chromosome abnormalities in the course of karyotype evolution in a case of FAB-M2 acute leukemia. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1989;40(1):105-10.
15. Shao H, Yang Q, Wu C, Cen J, Chen S, Pan J. Therapy-related acute myeloid leukemia with inv(16) after successful therapy for de novo acute myeloid leukemia with t(8;21). Ann Hematol. 2017;96(12):2127-9.
16. Bonomi R, Giordano H, del Pilar Moreno M, Bodega E, Landoni AI, Gallagher R, et al. Simultaneous PML/RARalpha and AML1/ETO expression with t(15;17) at onset and relapse with only t(8;21) in an acute promyelocytic leukemia patient. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 2000;123(1):41-3.
17. Singh AA, Mandoli A, Prange KHM, Laakso M, Martens JHA. AML associated oncofusion proteins PML-RARA, AML1-ETO and CFBF-MYH11 target RUNX/ETS-factor binding sites to modulate H3ac levels and drive leukemogenesis. Oncotarget. 2017;8(8):12855-65.