

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	<i>xxxi</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxxvii</i>
<i>Authors' Note</i>	<i>xxxix</i>

1 INTRODUCTION TO CONTRACTS	1
A. An Introduction to the Study of Contract Law	1
1. The Legal Definition of Contract	1
2. The Sources, Nature, and Traditions of Contract Law	2
3. The Doctrine of Precedent and Case Analysis	6
4. The Role of Scholarly Commentary	11
5. Theoretical Perspectives on Contract Law	13
6. Transnational Perspectives on Contract Law	18
B. What Makes an Agreement into a Contract	19
C. The Enforcement of Contracts: An Introduction to Remedies	21
1. Damages or Specific Performance: An Introduction to the Distinction Between Law and Equity	21
<i>Kakaes v. George Washington University</i>	23
Questions	27
Problem 1.1	28
Problem 1.2	28
2. Expectation Damages	29
Questions	29
Problem 1.3	30
Problem 1.4	31
3. The Method of Enforcing an Award of Damages	31
2 SALES OF GOODS	33
A. An Introduction to Article 2	33
1. The Coverage of Contracts for the Sale of Goods in Contracts Courses	33
2. The Creation of the Uniform Commercial Code	33
3. Revisions of the UCC	34
4. The Style and Jurisprudence of the UCC	35
	xi

UCC 1-103(a). Construction of [the Uniform Commercial Code] to Promote Its Purposes and Policies . . .	36
5. Working with Article 2	36
6. The Organization of Article 2 and the Citation of Code Sections	37
7. The Official Comments to the UCC	38
B. The Scope of Article 2	38
1. The Scope of Article 2 Generally	38
2. The Scope of Article 2 in Hybrid Transactions	38
3. The Determination of the Law Applicable to a Hybrid Transaction	40
UCC 2-102(2)	41
Problem 2.1	43
4. The Applicability of Article 2 to Sales of Intangible Rights, Especially Software and Other Intellectual Property	44
<i>Pain Center of SE Indiana LLC v. Origin Healthcare Solutions LLC</i>	45
Problem 2.2	49
C. Merchants and Article 2	49
UCC 2-104(1). Definitions: “Merchant” . . .	49
<i>Zaretsky v. William Goldberg Diamond Corp.</i>	51
Problem 2.3	54
D. International Sales of Goods and the CISG	55
3 CONTRACTUAL ASSENT AND THE OBJECTIVE TEST	57
A. The Objective Standard for Determining Assent and the Reasonable Person Construct	57
1. The Objective Test of Assent	57
<i>Morales v. Sun Constructors, Inc.</i>	59
2. The Relevance of Subjective Evidence Under the Objective Test	61
<i>SR International Business Insurance Co. v. World Trade Center Properties, LLC</i>	62
Problem 3.1	63
3. The Determination of Objective Meaning by the Reasonable Person Construct	64
Problem 3.2	64
B. The Duty to Read	65
1. The Duty to Read Standard Terms	65
<i>James v. McDonald’s Corp.</i>	65
Questions	68
2. Arbitration and Forum Selection Provisions in Standard Contracts	69
3. Boxtop and Shrinkwrap Terms	72
Problem 3.3	72

4. The Duty to Read Standard Terms in Web- or App-Based Transactions	73
<i>Nguyen v. Barnes & Noble Inc.</i>	73
Questions	78
<i>Good v. Uber Technologies, Inc.</i>	79
Problem 3.4	88
C. Manifestations of Apparent Intent Not Meant Seriously — Bluffs, Hyperbole, and Jokes	89
<i>Lucy v. Zehmer</i>	89
<i>Leonard v. PepsiCo, Inc.</i>	93
<i>Kolodziej v. Mason</i>	97
Question	103
4 THE OFFER	105
A. The Process of Contract Formation	105
1. The Offer and Acceptance Model	105
2. An Overview of the Process of Contract Formation	106
B. The Nature and Qualities of an Offer	107
1. The Definition of “Offer” at Common Law	107
2. Offers Under UCC Article 2	107
UCC 1-103(b). . . Applicability of Supplemental Principles of Law	107
UCC 2-204. Formation in General	108
3. Interpreting the Intent of a Communication to Determine Whether It Is an Offer	108
<i>Fletcher-Harlee Corp. v. Pote Concrete Contractors, Inc.</i>	109
<i>Babcock & Wilcox Co. v. Hitachi America, Ltd.</i>	111
Questions	117
Problem 4.1	118
Problem 4.2	118
Problem 4.3	118
C. Is an Advertisement an Offer or a Solicitation?	119
<i>Sateriale v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.</i>	121
Problem 4.4	125
D. The Remedies Illustrated by the Cases in Section C	126
Question	128
E. Offer and Acceptance Through Electronic Media, Especially by Automated Means (Electronic Agents)	128
F. Offers Under the UNIDROIT Principles and CISG	130

5 ACCEPTANCE	131
A. General Principles of Acceptance	131
<i>Lightstone RE, LLC v. Zinntex LLC</i>	131
Questions	132
Restatement, Second §30. Form of Acceptance Invited	133
UCC 2-206(1)(a). Offer and Acceptance in Formation of Contract	133
B. Communication of Acceptance, the Effective Date of Acceptance, and the Mailbox Rule	134
<i>Trinity Homes, LLC v. Fang</i>	136
C. The Acceptance Must Be in Compliance with Any Instructions in the Offer Relating to the Manner and Method of Acceptance	138
D. The Acceptance Must Not Vary the Terms of the Contract Proposed in the Offer	139
<i>Roth v. Malson</i>	140
Questions	144
Problem 5.1	145
E. The Acceptance Must Occur While the Offer Is Still in Effect: Lapse or Revocation of an Offer	146
1. Lapse of an Offer	146
Problem 5.2	147
2. Revocation of an Offer	148
<i>Hendricks v. Behee</i>	148
Problem 5.3	149
<i>Dickinson v. Dodds</i>	150
Questions	152
Problem 5.4	152
F. Inadvertent Manifestation of Acceptance	153
<i>Anderson v. Douglas & Lomason Co.</i>	154
Questions	157
G. Silence or Inaction as Acceptance	158
<i>Pride v. Lewis</i>	159
<i>Gupta v. Morgan Stanley Smith Barney, LLC</i>	162
H. Acceptance by Performance: Unilateral Contracts	166
1. The Distinction Between Unilateral and Bilateral Contracts	166
Problem 5.5	168
<i>Carlill v. Carbolic Smoke Ball Co.</i>	169
<i>Leonard v. PepsiCo, Inc.</i>	170

Question	172
Problem 5.6	172
Problem 5.7	173
<i>Wayment v. Schneider Automotive Group LLC</i>	174
Question	176
Problem 5.8	177
Problem 5.9	177
2. Performance as an Exclusive or Permissive Method of Acceptance	178
Problem 5.10	178
3. Shipment as Acceptance of an Offer to Buy Goods	178
UCC 2-206(1)(b). Offer and Acceptance in Formation of Contract	179
Problem 5.11	179
4. Communication of Acceptance by Performance	180
5. Acceptance of an Offer by an Act That Cannot Be Accomplished Instantaneously	181
Restatement, Second §45. Option Contract Created by Part Performance or Tender	181
Restatement, Second §62. Effect of Performance by Offeree Where Offer Invites Either Performance or Promise	182
Problem 5.12	182
I. The Offer and Acceptance Model in Perspective	182
J. Acceptance Under the UNIDROIT Principles and the CISG	183
6 CONFLICTING STANDARD TERMS, THE BATTLE OF THE FORMS, AND LATE NOTICE OF STANDARD TERMS	185
A. The Common Law Approach to Conflicting Standard Terms in the Parties' Communications	185
B. The UCC's Approach to Conflicting Standard Terms in the Parties' Communications: The Battle of the Forms Under UCC 2-207	187
1. The Rationale and Aim of UCC 2-207	187
UCC 2-207. Additional Terms in Acceptance or Confirmation	189
2. Some General Observations on Dealing with Offer and Acceptance Under UCC 2-207	189
3. UCC 2-207(1): The Determination of Whether the Response Is or Is Not an Acceptance	191
Problem 6.1	192
Problem 6.2	192
Problem 6.3	193

4. UCC 2-207(2): The Treatment of Additional and Different Terms in an Acceptance	193
<i>Ridgelawn Cemetery Ass'n v. Granite Resources Corp.</i>	196
Problem 6.4	199
5. UCC 2-207(3): Conduct Recognizing a Contract in the Absence of a Contract Formed by Communications	199
<i>Niagara Bottling, LLC v. Rite-Hite Co.</i>	200
Problem 6.5	202
Problem 6.6	203
6. Confirmation Distinguished from Acceptance in UCC 2-207	203
<i>Lively v. IJAM, Inc.</i>	204
Questions	207
Problem 6.7	207
C. Standard Terms Revealed After Goods or Services Are Ordered: Shrinkwrap Terms, Rolling Contracts, and Unilateral Postcontractual Amendments	208
1. Shrinkwrap Terms and Rolling Contracts	208
<i>ProCD, Inc. v. Zeidenberg</i>	209
<i>Dye v. TAMKO Building Products, Inc.</i>	212
Questions	218
2. Unilateral Postcontractual Amendments	219
D. The UNIDROIT Principles and CISG Provisions on the Battle of the Forms	220
7 PRELIMINARY, INCOMPLETE, AND INDEFINITE AGREEMENTS	223
A. The Scope of This Chapter	223
B. Binding and Nonbinding Preliminary Agreements and Agreements to Continue Bargaining in Good Faith	223
<i>Brown v. Cara</i>	224
Questions	231
Problem 7.1	232
C. Unenforceable Agreements to Agree: Deferred Agreement on Essential Contract Terms	232
<i>Alaska Fur Gallery, Inc. v. Tok Hwang</i>	233
Questions	237
Problem 7.2	238

D. The Problem of Indefiniteness or Vagueness in an Agreement	238
<i>Baer v. Chase</i>	239
Questions	242
Problem 7.3	242
8 THE STATUTE OF FRAUDS	245
A. The Basic Principle	245
B. The Requirements of the Statute	246
Restatement, Second §131. General Requisites of a Memorandum	247
1. Writing or Record	247
2. Signature	248
3. Content	249
Problem 8.1	250
4. The Consequences of Failure to Comply with the Statute	250
C. The Methodology of Applying the Statute	251
D. The Statute of Frauds Relating to Sales and Transfers of Land	251
Problem 8.2	252
<i>St. John's Holdings, LLC v. Two Electronics, LLC</i>	252
E. The Statute of Frauds Relating to Contracts Not Performable Within a Year of Execution	258
<i>Holloway v. Bucher</i>	260
Problem 8.3	264
F. The Statute of Frauds Relating to the Sale of Goods	265
UCC 2-201. Formal Requirements; Statute of Frauds	265
Problem 8.4	266
<i>Vanguard Energy Services, LLC v. Shihadeh</i>	267
Problem 8.5	271
Problem 8.6	272
G. The Approach of the CISG and UNIDROIT Principles to the Statute of Frauds	272
9 CONSIDERATION	275
A. Consideration — The Basic Doctrine	275
1. Introduction	275
<i>Hamer v. Sidway</i>	276
Questions	279

Restatement, Second §71. Requirement of Exchange; Types of Exchange	281
Problem 9.1	281
2. The Distinction Between “Bargained for” Exchanges and Gratuitous Promises	282
<i>Congregation Kadimah Toras-Moshe v. DeLeo</i>	282
Questions	284
<i>Pennsy Supply, Inc. v. American Ash Recycling Corp.</i>	285
Questions	289
<i>Carlisle v. T&R Excavating, Inc.</i>	289
Questions	294
Problem 9.2	295
B. What Suffices as Consideration	295
1. Adequacy of Consideration	296
<i>Kessler v. National Presto Industries</i>	296
2. Preexisting Duties	300
Restatement, Second §73. Performance of Legal Duty	300
<i>White v. Village of Homewood</i>	300
Questions	302
Problem 9.3	303
Problem 9.4	303
3. Agreements to Settle Disputed Claims or Defenses	305
<i>Holt v. Holt</i>	306
Questions	310
Problem 9.5	311
Problem 9.6	311
C. The Doctrine of Mutuality of Obligation and Its Application to Illusory Promises	311
1. Performance as Consideration	312
Problem 9.7	313
2. Promises as Consideration	313
<i>National Federation of the Blind v. Container Store, Inc.</i>	314
<i>Wood v. Lucy, Lady Duff-Gordon</i>	321
Questions	322
D. Consideration in the International Context	323
10 PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL	325
A. An Overview of Promissory Estoppel	325
1. The Origins and Nature of Promissory Estoppel as a Theory of Recovery	325
Restatement, Second §90. Promise Reasonably Inducing Action or Forbearance	328

Problem 10.1	328
2. The Theoretical Context of Promissory Estoppel and Why It Matters	329
<i>Cohen v. Cowles Media Co.</i>	331
Questions	335
B. Use of Promissory Estoppel to Enforce Promises in the Absence of a Clear Bargain	336
<i>Conrad v. Fields</i>	336
Questions	339
Problem 10.2	340
<i>In re Morton Shoe Co.</i>	342
Questions	344
<i>Thomas v. Archer</i>	345
Question	351
C. Promissory Estoppel in the Broader Context of a Bargain	351
Problem 10.3	353
1. Promissory Estoppel in Commercial Negotiations	354
Problem 10.4	354
<i>Garwood Packaging, Inc. v. Allen & Co.</i>	356
Question	359
2. Promissory Estoppel and the Statute of Frauds	360
<i>DK Arena, Inc. v. EB Acquisitions I, LLC</i>	360
Questions	366
D. Remedies in Promissory Estoppel Actions	366
<i>Tour Costa Rica v. Country Walkers, Inc.</i>	368
Questions	373
Problem 10.5	374
11 OPTIONS AND FIRM OFFERS	375
A. Option Contracts	375
Problem 11.1	377
B. Promissory Estoppel and Offers	378
<i>LAHR Construction Corp. v. J. Kozel & Son, Inc.</i>	381
Questions	385
C. Firm Offers Under the UCC	385
UCC 2-205. Firm Offers	385
Problem 11.2	386
Problem 11.3	386

D. Provisions in the UNIDROIT Principles and CISG on the Revocability of Offers	387
Question	387
12 OBLIGATION BASED ON UNJUST ENRICHMENT AND MATERIAL BENEFIT	389
A. Unjust Enrichment	389
1. The Relationship Between Unjust Enrichment and Contract	389
2. The Elements of Unjust Enrichment	391
3. Terminology	394
4. The Distinction Between Factually and Legally Implied Contracts	394
<i>Martin v. Little, Brown & Co.</i>	396
Questions	398
Problem 12.1	399
Problem 12.2	399
<i>Feingold v. Pucello</i>	400
Questions	402
5. Volunteers and Intermeddlers	403
<i>Birchwood Land Co. v. Krizan</i>	403
Problem 12.3	407
B. The Application of Unjust Enrichment Principles to Promises for Past Benefits: The “Moral Obligation” and “Material Benefit” Doctrines	407
Restatement, Second §86. Promise for Benefit Received	409
Questions	410
Problem 12.4	410
13 IMPROPER BARGAINING	413
A. General Introduction to the Doctrines in This Chapter	413
B. Fraudulent Misrepresentation	414
1. The Nature of Misrepresentation and the General Principles and Elements of Fraud	414
Restatement, Second §162(1). When a Misrepresentation Is Fraudulent . . .	416
2. Affirmative Fraud	418
<i>Hodge v. Craig</i>	418
Questions	423
Problem 13.1	423
3. Silence as Fraud: Fraudulent Nondisclosure and the Duty to Speak	424
Problem 13.2	425

<i>Kaloti Enterprises, Inc. v. Kellogg Sales Co.</i>	425
<i>Milliken v. Jacono</i>	431
Questions	436
Problem 13.3	436
4. Misrepresentation of Fact, Opinion, or Prediction	438
<i>Rodi v. Southern New England School of Law</i>	438
Problem 13.4	443
5. Misrepresentation of Intent	443
Problem 13.5	444
6. Disclaimers and Contracting Out of Fraud	444
<i>Psenicska v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp.</i>	445
Questions	450
7. The Remedies for Fraud: Rescission or Damages	450
8. Fraud in the Inducement Distinguished from Fraud in the Factum	451
Question	452
C. Duress	452
1. The Elements of Duress	452
<i>Germantown Manufacturing Co. v. Rawlinson</i>	454
Questions	457
2. Distinguishing Hard Bargaining and External Pressures from Duress	459
<i>Zuckerman v. Metropolitan Museum of Art</i>	460
D. Duress and Bad Faith in Relation to Contract Modification	465
1. The Interaction of Consideration and Duress Doctrines	465
<i>City of Scottsbluff v. Waste Connections of Nebraska, Inc.</i>	466
2. Supervening Difficulties as a Basis for Upholding a Modification Without Consideration	470
3. Contract Modifications Under UCC Article 2	471
Problem 13.6	472
E. Undue Influence	473
<i>Moore v. Moore</i>	474
F. Unconscionability	478
1. The Derivation and Meaning of “Unconscionability”	478
UCC 2-302. Unconscionable Contract or Clause	479
Restatement, Second §208. Unconscionable Contract or Term	480
2. The Remedy Where a Contract or Term Is Found to Be Unconscionable	480
3. The Elements of Unconscionability	481
Problem 13.7	482
<i>Feldman v. Google, Inc.</i>	485
<i>Lhotka v. Geographic Expeditions, Inc.</i>	489
<i>Zuver v. Airtouch Communications, Inc.</i>	494
Questions	501

4. The Range of Unconscionability Doctrine	502
Problem 13.8	503
5. Unconscionability and the Prohibition of Class Actions	504
G. An International Perspective	505
14 ILLEGALITY, VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY, AND LACK OF CONTRACTUAL CAPACITY	507
A. Introduction	507
B. Illegality	509
Problem 14.1	511
Problem 14.2	512
<i>Danzig v. Danzig</i>	513
Questions	515
C. Contracts in Violation of Public Policy	515
<i>Brigance v. Vail Summit Resorts, Inc.</i>	516
Problem 14.3	521
Problem 14.4	521
<i>Syncom Industries, Inc. v. Wood</i>	523
Questions	527
Problem 14.5	528
D. Incapacity Based on Minority	528
1. General Principles	528
2. Disaffirmance and Ratification	529
<i>I.B. v. Facebook, Inc.</i>	530
Problem 14.6	533
3. Misrepresentation	534
<i>Topheavy Studios, Inc. v. Doe</i>	534
4. Contracts Entered into by a Minor with Parental Assistance or Executed by a Parent on Behalf of a Minor	536
<i>Woodman v. Kera LLC</i>	537
5. Exceptions to a Minor's Lack of Capacity, Especially Contracts for Necessaries	540
Problem 14.7	541
6. Restitution on Disaffirmance	542
E. Incapacity Based on Mental Illness or Defect	543
<i>In re Seminole Walls & Ceilings Corp.</i>	545
<i>Spangler v. Spangler</i>	547
Question	552

15	CONTRACT INTERPRETATION AND CONSTRUCTION	553
A.	The Content of Contractual Obligations	553
B.	Interpretation	555
1.	Sources of Contract Meaning	555
	Problem 15.1	556
	Problem 15.2	557
	<i>Terry Barr Sales Agency, Inc. v. All-Lock Co.</i>	557
	Questions	563
2.	Interpretation of Written Agreements	564
	<i>Right Field Rooftops, LLC v. Chicago Baseball Holdings, LLC</i>	567
	Questions	572
	Problem 15.3	573
	<i>Pacific Gas & Electric Co. v. G.W. Thomas Drayage & Rigging Co.</i>	573
	Questions	576
	Problem 15.4	576
3.	Interpretation of Standard Contracts	577
	<i>Lang Fur Farms, Inc. v. Bird Island-Hawk Creek Mutual Ins. Co.</i>	578
	<i>Hahn v. GEICO Choice Insurance Co.</i>	583
C.	Construction of Contract Obligations	588
1.	Gap Fillers	588
	<i>Family Snacks of North Carolina, Inc. v. Prepared Products Co.</i>	589
	Questions	593
2.	The Obligation of Good Faith in Output and Requirements Contracts	593
	<i>Silver Foam Distributing Co. v. Labatt Brewing Trading Company, Ltd.</i>	594
3.	The General Obligation of Good Faith and Fair Dealing	599
	<i>United Airlines, Inc. v. Good Taste, Inc.</i>	600
	Questions	606
D.	The Doctrine of Misunderstanding and Its Relation to Contract Interpretation and Construction	606
	<i>Konic International Corp. v. Spokane Computer Services, Inc.</i>	607
	Questions	610
16	THE PAROL EVIDENCE RULE	611
A.	Introduction to the Parol Evidence Rule	611
B.	Application of the Parol Evidence Rule	615
	<i>Masterson v. Sine</i>	620
	Questions	625

	<i>Myskina v. Condé Nast Publications, Inc.</i>	626
	Questions	631
	Problem 16.1	633
C.	Application of the Parol Evidence Rule Under the UCC	634
	UCC 2-202. Final Written Expression: Parol or Extrinsic Evidence	634
	<i>Segal Wholesale, Inc. v. United Drug Service</i>	636
	Questions	639
	Problem 16.2	639
D.	The Scope of the Parol Evidence Rule	641
	Problem 16.3	641
	<i>Sound Techniques, Inc. v. Hoffman</i>	644
E.	Contract Interpretation and Parol Evidence Under the UNIDROIT Principles and the CISG	647
17	MISTAKE AND EXCUSE DUE TO CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCES	649
A.	Mistake	650
1.	Mutual Mistake	651
	<i>SCI Minnesota Funeral Services, Inc. v. Washburn-McReavy Funeral Corp.</i>	651
	Questions	657
	Problem 17.1	659
	Problem 17.2	660
	Restatement, Second §154. When a Party Bears the Risk of a Mistake	661
	<i>Estate of Nelson v. Rice</i>	661
	Problem 17.3	664
	<i>Cherry v. McCall</i>	665
	Question	667
2.	Unilateral Mistake	667
	<i>Bert Allen Toyota, Inc. v. Grasz</i>	668
	Problem 17.4	671
3.	Mistake in Expression and Reformation	671
B.	Excuse Due to Changed Circumstances	673
1.	Impracticability	674
	<i>CNA International Reinsurance Co. v. Phoenix</i>	675
	Questions	677
	UCC 2-615(a). Excuse by Failure of Presupposed Conditions	678
	<i>Clark v. Wallace County Cooperative Equity Exchange</i>	678
	Questions	680

Problem 17.5	680
2. Frustration of Purpose	681
<i>Lindner v. Meadow Gold Dairies, Inc.</i>	681
Questions	686
<i>1600 Walnut Corp. v. Cole Haan Company Store</i>	687
Questions	689

18 CONDITIONS AND PROMISES 691

A. The Components of a Contract: Conditions and Promises	691
1. Identification of Promises and Conditions	692
2. The Requirement That the Event Must Be Uncertain	694
3. The Reasons for Including Conditions in a Contract	695
4. The Sequencing of Performance: Conditions Precedent and Concurrent Conditions	696
5. The Arcane Distinction Between Conditions Precedent and Conditions Subsequent	696
<i>Custom Container Solutions, LLC v. Century Surety Company</i>	698
6. The Distinction Among Express Conditions, Implied Conditions, and Construed Conditions	702
<i>Medical Recovery Services, LLC v. Neumeier</i>	704
7. Promises, Conditions, and Terms That Are Both Conditions and Promises (Promissory Conditions)	707
8. Problems on the Classification and Function of Conditions and Promises	709
Problem 18.1	709
Problem 18.2	710
Problem 18.3	710
Problem 18.4	711
Problem 18.5	711
Problem 18.6	711
9. Interpretation to Determine if an Event Referred to in a Contract Is Intended as a Condition	712
<i>Martz v. Day Development Company, L.C.</i>	712
B. A Promise to Take Action to Try to Satisfy a Condition	716
Problem 18.7	717
Problem 18.8	718
C. Strict Compliance with Express Conditions and the Substantial Compliance Doctrine Where a Condition Is Construed	718
<i>E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company v. Chemtura Corporation</i>	720
D. Conditions of Satisfaction	724
Problem 18.9	725

E. The Use of Conditions to Provide for Alternative Performances	726
Problem 18.10	726
F. The Use of Conditions to Sequence Performances Where the Performance of the Parties Will Not Be Instantaneous and Simultaneous	727
Problem 18.11	729
Problem 18.12	729
G. Excuse of Conditions	730
1. Waiver	730
Problem 18.13	732
2. Estoppel	733
Problem 18.14	734
3. Obstructive or Uncooperative Conduct	734
<i>Bender v. Rosman</i>	735
Questions	739
4. Unfair Forfeiture	740
<i>United Properties Ltd. v. Walgreen Properties, Inc.</i>	740
19 MATERIAL BREACH, SUBSTANTIAL PERFORMANCE, AND ANTICIPATORY REPUDIATION	747
A. Material Breach, Total Breach, and Cure	747
<i>Al Hirschfeld Foundation v. Margo Feiden Galleries Ltd.</i>	748
Questions	751
<i>Raymond Weil, S.A. v. Theron</i>	752
Questions	756
Problem 19.1	757
Problem 19.2	758
B. Substantial Performance and Its Consequences	758
1. The Distinction Between Material Breach and Substantial Performance	758
<i>Jacob & Youngs, Inc. v. Kent</i>	759
Problem 19.3	763
2. The Measure of Relief for Substantial Performance	763
<i>Landis v. William Fannin Builders, Inc.</i>	765
Problem 19.4	770
C. The Recovery of the Breaching Party: Unjust Enrichment or Recovery Under the Contract	771
<i>Menorah Chapels at Millburn v. Needle</i>	773
Questions	776

D. Breach and Substantial Performance Under UCC Article 2: The Perfect Tender Rule	777
1. Perfect Tender Under Article 2	777
UCC 2-601. Buyer's Rights on Improper Delivery	777
Problem 19.5	777
2. Limitations on the Perfect Tender Rule	778
Problem 19.6	779
E. Anticipatory Repudiation and Prospective Nonperformance	780
1. Anticipatory Repudiation	780
UCC 2-610. Anticipatory Repudiation	782
<i>Princes Point LLC v. Muss Development LLC</i>	783
Question	785
Problem 19.7	786
2. Retraction of Repudiation	786
3. Prospective Inability to Perform	787
UCC 2-609. Right to Adequate Assurance of Performance	787
Problem 19.8	788
Problem 19.9	789
20 INTRODUCTION TO CONTRACT DAMAGES AND THE "BENEFIT OF THE BARGAIN"	791
A. The Goal and Fundamental Principles of Contract Damages	791
1. The Distinctions Among the Expectation, Reliance, and Restitution Interests	792
Restatement, Second §344. Purposes of Remedies	793
Question	794
Problem 20.1	794
Problem 20.2	795
2. Theoretical Perspectives on "Benefit of the Bargain" Damages	797
B. The "Benefit of the Bargain" at Common Law	800
1. Components of Expectation Damages	800
2. Introduction to Measurement of the Expectation Interest	802
Restatement, Second §347. Measure of Damages in General	802
3. Measurement of the Expectation Interest When Neither Party Performs	804
<i>Handicapped Children's Education Board v. Lukaszewski</i>	806
Questions	810
Problem 20.3	810
Problem 20.4	811
Problem 20.5	812
Problem 20.6	812
4. Measurement of the Expectation Interest When the Breaching Party Performs in Part	812

Problem 20.7	814
Problem 20.8	815
Problem 20.9	816
C. “Benefit of the Bargain” Damages Under the UCC	816
UCC 1-305(a). Remedies to Be Liberally Administered	816
1. Buyer’s Damages Under the UCC	817
UCC 2-712. “Cover”; Buyer’s Procurement of Substitute Goods	818
UCC 2-713. Buyer’s Damages for Non-delivery or Repudiation	819
UCC 2-714. Buyer’s Damages for Breach in Regard to Accepted Goods	820
2. Seller’s Damages Under the UCC	820
UCC 2-706. Seller’s Resale Including Contract for Resale	822
UCC 2-708. Seller’s Damages for Non-acceptance or Repudiation	822
3. Some Problems Relating to “Benefit of the Bargain” Damages Under the UCC	825
Problem 20.10	825
Problem 20.11	826
Problem 20.12	826
Problem 20.13	826
Problem 20.14	827
Problem 20.15	827
D. Limitations on Recovery of “The Benefit of the Bargain”	827
1. Reasonable Certainty of Damages	828
<i>Rancho Pescado, Inc. v. Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Co.</i>	829
<i>Hollywood Fantasy Corp. v. Gabor</i>	833
Questions	838
2. Foreseeability of Damages	838
<i>Hadley v. Baxendale</i>	839
Problem 20.16	841
<i>McNaughton v. Charleston Charter School for Math & Science, Inc.</i>	843
Questions	847
3. The Mitigation Principle	848
<i>DeRosier v. Utility Systems of America, Inc.</i>	849
UCC 2-710. Seller’s Incidental Damages	855
UCC 2-715. Buyer’s Incidental and Consequential Damages	856
Problem 20.17	856

21 CONTRACT REMEDIES IN THE BROADER CONTEXT **857**

A. Noneconomic and Noncompensatory Damages	858
1. Damages for Pain, Suffering, and Emotional Distress	858
<i>Erlich v. Menezes</i>	859
<i>Ward v. McGarry</i>	864

2. Punitive Damages	867
<i>Huy Fong Foods, Inc. v. Underwood Ranches, LP</i>	869
B. Agreed Remedies	872
1. The Distinction Between Unenforceable Penalties and Enforceable Liquidated Damages Clauses	874
<i>Kent State University v. Ford</i>	876
Problem 21.1	883
2. Contractual Limitations on and Modifications of Remedy	884
<i>Wedner v. Fidelity Security Systems, Inc.</i>	884
Questions	886
C. Specific Performance and Injunctions	887
1. The Common Law's Preference for Damages	887
2. Inadequacy of Damages	889
<i>Van Wagner Advertising Corp. v. S&M Enterprises</i>	890
Questions	894
Problem 21.2	894
Problem 21.3	894
Problem 21.4	895
3. The Discretionary Nature of the Remedy	895
<i>Campbell v. Carr</i>	896
Questions	899
Problem 21.5	899
4. Injunctive Relief as an Alternative to Specific Performance	899
<i>Systems & Software, Inc. v. Barnes</i>	901
Questions	905
Problem 21.6	905
D. Restitution as a Remedy upon Breach of Contract	905
<i>Worcester Heritage Society, Inc. v. Trussell</i>	906
Questions	908
22 THE RIGHTS OF NONPARTIES	911
A. Third-Party Beneficiaries	911
1. Intended and Incidental Beneficiaries: The Intent to Confer Power of Enforcement on the Third Party	911
<i>Taylor v. Honda Motorcars, Inc.</i>	913
Question	916
<i>Oliverio v. Transdev Services, Inc.</i>	917
Question	921
Problem 22.1	921

2. Nonclients as Third-Party Beneficiaries of a Contract Between an Attorney and a Client	922
Problem 22.2	924
3. Vesting of Rights in the Beneficiary and the Power of the Original Parties to Modify the Contract	924
Problem 22.3	925
4. Defenses Available to the Promisor Against the Beneficiary	925
Problem 22.4	926
5. The Promisee's Rights of Enforcement	926
B. Assignment and Delegation	928
1. The Transfer of Contract Rights and Duties	928
2. Assignment: General Principles	928
Restatement, Second §317. Assignment of a Right	931
UCC 2-210(2) . . . Assignment of Rights	932
Problem 22.5	934
3. Assignments That Violate Public Policy	934
<i>Gurski v. Rosenblum & Filan, LLC</i>	934
Questions	938
4. Anti-Assignment Clauses	938
Problem 22.6	939
5. The Effect of Assignment, Notice of Assignment, and the Obligor's Defenses Against the Assignee	939
Problem 22.7	940
6. The Delegation of Contractual Duties	941
Restatement, Second §318. Delegation of Performance of Duty	941
UCC 2-210(1) and (6). Delegation of Performance . . .	942
<i>Bakke v. Magi-Touch Carpet One Floor & Home, Inc.</i>	942
Problem 22.8	945
<i>Self-Assessment Questions</i>	<i>947</i>
<i>Table of Cases</i>	<i>1057</i>
<i>Table of Secondary Sources</i>	<i>1061</i>
<i>Table of Laws, Regulations, and Model Statutes</i>	<i>1065</i>
<i>Index</i>	<i>1067</i>