

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Summary of Contents | .vii |
| Preface | xxxv |
| Casebook Correlation Chart | xxxvii |
| Capsule Summary | C-1 |

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

| | |
|---|---|
| I. NATURE OF TORT LAW | 1 |
| A. No satisfactory definition | 1 |
| II. CATEGORIES OF TORTS | 2 |
| A. Three types of defendant conduct | 2 |
| B. Historical overview | 2 |
| C. Combined torts | 3 |
| D. Analyzing tort problems | 4 |
| 1. Basic requirements | 4 |
| 2. Are defenses available? | 4 |
| 3. What damages? | 4 |
| III. SOURCES OF LAW | 4 |

Chapter 2

INTENTIONAL TORTS AGAINST THE PERSON

| | |
|--|---|
| I. "INTENT" DEFINED | 5 |
| A. Intent generally | 5 |
| 1. Summary of rule | 5 |
| 2. Intent to commit different tort | 6 |
| B. "Substantial certainty" | 6 |
| C. No intent to harm necessary | 7 |
| D. Transferred intent | 7 |
| II. NOMINAL AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES | 8 |
| A. Significance of intent | 8 |
| 1. Nominal damages | 8 |
| 2. Punitive damages | 8 |
| III. SCOPE OF LIABILITY | 8 |
| IV. BATTERY | 9 |
| A. Battery generally | 9 |

| | |
|--|----|
| B. Intent | 9 |
| C. Harmful or offensive contact | 10 |
| D. Extends to personal effects | 11 |
| E. Plaintiff's awareness of contact | 11 |
| F. Unforeseen consequences | 11 |
| G. Damages | 12 |
| V. ASSAULT | 12 |
| A. Definition | 12 |
| B. Intent | 12 |
| 1. Intended apprehension | 12 |
| 2. Attempted battery | 12 |
| 3. No hostility required | 13 |
| 4. Transferred intent | 13 |
| C. "Words alone" rule | 13 |
| D. Actual contact or apprehension required | 14 |
| 1. Unsuccessful prank or bluff | 14 |
| 2. Feared contact with ground or independent object suffices | 14 |
| E. Imminence of threatened contact | 14 |
| 1. Future threats | 14 |
| 2. Present ability to commit harm | 15 |
| F. Plaintiff unaware of danger | 15 |
| G. Apprehension is not same as fear | 15 |
| 1. Where threat by itself incapable of performance | 15 |
| H. Unreasonable apprehension | 16 |
| I. Threat to third persons not actionable | 16 |
| J. Ability to carry out threat | 16 |
| K. Conditional threats | 16 |
| 1. Question of legal right | 16 |
| L. Assault is not attempted battery | 17 |
| M. Abandoned attempt | 17 |
| N. Damages | 17 |
| VI. FALSE IMPRISONMENT | 17 |
| A. Definition | 17 |
| B. Intent | 17 |
| C. Transferred intent | 18 |
| D. Nature of confinement | 18 |
| 1. Confinement | 18 |
| E. Means of escape | 18 |
| 1. Means must be "reasonable" | 18 |
| F. Means by which confinement enforced | 19 |
| G. Duty to aid in escape or release | 21 |
| H. Necessity that plaintiff know of confinement | 21 |
| I. Damages | 21 |

VII. INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS (“IIED”) 21

A. Definition 21

B. Intent 21

 1. Meaning of “reckless” 22

 2. “Transferred intent” 22

 3. Emotional distress where other tort attempted 23

C. Extreme and outrageous conduct 24

D. Actual severe distress 25

E. Directed at third person 26

F. Constitutional limits on IIED awards 27

G. Public utility and common carrier liability 28

Quiz Yourself on
 INTENTIONAL TORTS AGAINST THE PERSON (Entire Chapter) 29

Exam Tips on
 INTENTIONAL TORTS AGAINST THE PERSON 32

Chapter 3

INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH PROPERTY

I. TRESPASS TO LAND 39

A. Definition 39

B. Intentional trespass 39

C. Kind of intent required 40

 1. Effect of mistake 40

D. Damages 41

E. Scope of recovery 41

F. Only possessor has claim 41

G. Indirect invasions 42

 1. Entry substantially certain 42

 2. Causing entry to third person 42

 3. Blasting damage 42

 4. Particles and gasses 43

H. Air space 43

I. Refusal to leave as trespass 44

J. Continuing trespass 44

II. TRESPASS TO CHATTELS 45

A. Torts against personal property generally 45

B. Definition 45

C. Intent 45

D. Must be actual damages 45

| | |
|---|-----------|
| E. Return of chattel | 47 |
| F. Protects possessory interest | 48 |
| III. CONVERSION | 48 |
| A. Introduction | 48 |
| B. Intent | 48 |
| 1. Negligence | 49 |
| C. What can be converted | 49 |
| D. Character of defendant's act | 49 |
| E. Kinds of interference | 50 |
| 1. Acquiring possession | 50 |
| 2. Removal of goods | 51 |
| 3. Withholding goods | 51 |
| 4. Destruction or alteration of the goods | 52 |
| 5. Use of the chattel | 52 |
| 6. Assertion of ownership | 52 |
| <i>Quiz Yourself on</i> | |
| INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH PROPERTY (Entire Chapter) | 52 |
| <i>Exam Tips on</i> | |
| INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH PROPERTY..... | 54 |

Chapter 4

DEFENSES TO INTENTIONAL TORTS

| | |
|---|-----------|
| I. INTRODUCTION | 57 |
| A. Defenses generally | 57 |
| 1. Privileges | 58 |
| 2. Distinguish from <i>prima facie</i> case | 58 |
| 3. Consent | 58 |
| B. Mistake | 58 |
| II. CONSENT | 58 |
| A. General rule on consent | 58 |
| B. No operation in negligence cases | 59 |
| C. Implied consent | 59 |
| 1. Objective manifestation | 59 |
| 2. Real but unmanifested consent | 59 |
| 3. Custom | 60 |
| 4. Inaction | 60 |
| D. Lack of capacity to consent | 60 |
| E. Exceeding scope of consent | 61 |
| 1. Consent to act, not consequences | 61 |
| 2. Surgery | 61 |
| 3. Athlete's consent | 63 |

| | |
|--|----|
| F. Consent due to mistake | 66 |
| 1. Mistake known or induced by defendant | 66 |
| 2. Medical cases | 67 |
| G. Consent to criminal acts | 67 |
| III. SELF-DEFENSE | 68 |
| A. Privilege generally | 68 |
| B. Two issues | 68 |
| C. What may be defended against | 68 |
| D. Apparent necessity | 69 |
| E. Protection only | 69 |
| 1. Retaliation | 69 |
| 2. Disarmed or helpless adversary | 69 |
| 3. Verbal provocation | 69 |
| 4. Harm must be imminent | 70 |
| F. Degree of force | 70 |
| 1. Both sides with claims | 70 |
| 2. Minor assaults | 70 |
| G. Deadly force | 70 |
| 1. May have to submit | 71 |
| 2. “Deadly force” defined | 71 |
| H. Retreat | 71 |
| I. Injury to third person | 72 |
| IV. DEFENSE OF OTHERS | 72 |
| A. General rule | 72 |
| 1. Degree of force | 72 |
| 2. Reasonable mistake | 72 |
| V. DEFENSE OF PROPERTY | 73 |
| A. General rule | 73 |
| 1. Reasonable force | 73 |
| 2. Verbal demand required first | 73 |
| B. Mistake | 73 |
| 1. Mistake as to danger | 73 |
| 2. Mistake as to intruder’s privilege | 74 |
| C. Deadly force | 74 |
| 1. Serious bodily harm | 74 |
| 2. Where expulsion would injure intruder | 74 |
| D. Mechanical devices | 75 |
| 1. Trespasser | 75 |
| 2. Reasonable mistake | 75 |
| 3. Spring gun case | 75 |
| 4. Warning | 76 |
| VI. RECAPTURE OF CHATTELS | 76 |
| A. General right | 76 |

| | |
|---|----|
| B. Similar to defense of possession | 76 |
| C. Detention by merchant | 77 |
| D. Entry on land | 78 |
| VII. RE-ENTRY ON LAND | 78 |
| A. Privilege generally | 78 |
| B. Majority rule | 78 |
| VIII. NECESSITY | 79 |
| A. Directed towards innocent person | 79 |
| B. General scope | 79 |
| C. Public necessity | 79 |
| D. Private necessity | 80 |
| IX. ARREST AND OTHER AUTHORITY OF LAW | 81 |
| A. Generally | 81 |
| 1. Difficulties | 81 |
| B. Common law rules | 82 |
| 1. Arrest with warrant | 82 |
| 2. Arrest without warrant | 82 |
| 3. Reasonable force | 82 |
| C. Privilege to use force in resisting arrest | 83 |
| X. DISCIPLINE | 83 |
| XI. JUSTIFICATION | 83 |
| <i>Quiz Yourself on</i> DEFENSES TO INTENTIONAL TORTS (Entire Chapter) | 84 |
| <i>Exam Tips on</i> DEFENSES TO INTENTIONAL TORTS | 88 |

Chapter 5

NEGLIGENCE GENERALLY

| | |
|--|----|
| I. INTRODUCTION | 95 |
| II. COMPONENTS OF CAUSE OF ACTION | 96 |
| III. UNREASONABLE RISK | 97 |
| A. Imposition of risk | 97 |
| 1. Not judged by results | 97 |
| B. Balancing test | 97 |
| 1. Threat of serious injury | 98 |
| C. Calculation of burden | 98 |
| D. Restatement standard | 99 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| E. Warnings | 99 |
| F. Activity level vs. care level | 100 |
| 1. Compare with strict liability | 100 |
| IV. THE REASONABLE PERSON | 100 |
| A. Objective standard | 100 |
| B. Physical and mental characteristics | 100 |
| 1. Physical disability | 101 |
| 2. Mental attributes | 102 |
| 3. Imbecility or insanity | 102 |
| 4. Intoxication | 103 |
| 5. Children | 103 |
| C. Knowledge | 104 |
| 1. Ordinary experience | 104 |
| 2. Stranger to community | 104 |
| 3. Duty to investigate | 104 |
| 4. Memory | 105 |
| 5. Distractions | 105 |
| 6. Some frailties remain | 105 |
| D. Custom | 105 |
| E. Emergency | 106 |
| F. Anticipating conduct of others | 107 |
| 1. Negligence of others | 107 |
| 2. Criminal and intentionally tortious acts | 108 |
| G. Misrepresentation | 109 |
| V. MALPRACTICE | 110 |
| A. Superior ability or knowledge | 110 |
| B. Malpractice generally | 110 |
| 1. Good results not guaranteed | 110 |
| 2. Specialists held to a higher standard | 111 |
| 3. Need for expert testimony | 111 |
| 4. “Standards of the community” | 111 |
| 5. Objective standard for professional | 112 |
| 6. Informed consent | 112 |
| 7. Novice | 113 |
| VI. AUTOMOBILE GUEST STATUTES | 113 |
| A. Gross negligence and recklessness | 113 |
| B. Automobile guest statutes | 113 |
| VII. VIOLATION OF STATUTE (NEGLIGENCE PER SE) | 114 |
| A. Significance of statutory violation | 114 |
| 1. “Negligence per se doctrine” | 114 |
| B. Penal statutes | 115 |
| C. Statute must apply to facts | 115 |
| 1. Protection against particular harm | 115 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 2. Class of persons protected | 116 |
| D. Causal link | 117 |
| E. Excuse of violation | 117 |
| 1. Absolute duties | 117 |
| 2. Rebuttable presumption or excuse | 117 |
| 3. Foolish or obsolete legislation | 119 |
| F. Effect of the plaintiff's contributory negligence | 119 |
| G. Contributory negligence per se | 119 |
| H. Violation as evidence | 120 |
| I. Compliance with statute not dispositive | 120 |
| VIII. PROCEDURE IN JURY TRIALS | 120 |
| A. Aspects of procedure | 120 |
| B. Burden of proof | 120 |
| C. Circumstantial evidence | 122 |
| D. Function of judge and jury | 122 |
| IX. RES IPSA LOQUITUR — CREATING AN INFERENCE OF NEGLIGENCE | 123 |
| A. Aid in proving the case | 123 |
| B. Requirements for doctrine | 124 |
| C. No direct evidence of D's conduct | 124 |
| D. Inference of someone's negligence | 124 |
| E. Showing that negligence was defendant's | 126 |
| 1. Modern view | 126 |
| 2. Plaintiff's particular evidence | 127 |
| 3. Multiple defendants | 127 |
| F. Not due to plaintiff | 128 |
| G. Evidence more available to defendant | 128 |
| H. Expert witnesses on negligence issues | 128 |
| I. Effect of <i>res ipsa</i> | 129 |
| 1. Diagram | 129 |
| J. Third Restatement's stripped-down approach | 130 |
| K. Defendant's rebuttal evidence | 130 |
| L. Typical contexts | 130 |
| 1. Airplane accidents | 130 |
| 2. Car accidents | 131 |
| <i>Quiz Yourself on</i> NEGLIGENCE GENERALLY (Entire Chapter) | 131 |
| <i>Exam Tips on</i> NEGLIGENCE GENERALLY | 135 |

Chapter 6

ACTUAL AND PROXIMATE CAUSE

| | |
|---|-----|
| I. CAUSATION IN FACT | 141 |
| A. General significance | 141 |
| 1. “But for” test | 141 |
| 2. Broad test | 142 |
| B. Concurrent causes | 142 |
| 1. “Substantial factor” standard | 142 |
| 2. Caveat | 143 |
| 3. Distinguished from apportionable harms | 143 |
| C. Proof of actual cause generally | 143 |
| 1. Proof of “cause in fact” aspect | 143 |
| 2. D found liable for more than the damage it caused | 144 |
| 3. Expert testimony | 145 |
| 4. Scientific evidence | 145 |
| D. Actual cause – the “value of a chance” problem | 146 |
| 1. The traditional rule | 147 |
| 2. The medical malpractice scenario | 147 |
| E. Increased risk that’s not yet followed by actual damage | 149 |
| F. “Double fault and alternative liability” | 150 |
| II. PROXIMATE CAUSE GENERALLY | 152 |
| A. Scope of problem | 152 |
| B. Multiple proximate causes | 153 |
| 1. Joint tortfeasors | 153 |
| III. PROXIMATE CAUSE — THE FORESEEABILITY PROBLEM | 153 |
| A. Need for dividing line | 153 |
| B. The “direct causation” view | 154 |
| C. The foreseeability view | 155 |
| D. Cardozo rule generally followed | 158 |
| 1. “Highly extraordinary” test | 158 |
| 2. Third Restatement follows Cardozo view | 158 |
| 3. Exceptions to the foreseeable-consequences approach | 159 |
| IV. PROXIMATE CAUSE — INTERVENING CAUSES | 162 |
| A. Nature of intervening cause | 162 |
| 1. Superseding cause | 162 |
| B. Foreseeability rule | 162 |
| C. Foreseeable intervening causes | 162 |
| 1. Illustration of scope of risk | 162 |
| 2. Acts of nature generally | 163 |
| 3. Risk of harm must be increased | 163 |
| 4. Foreseeable negligence | 163 |
| 5. Criminal or intentionally tortious conduct | 164 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| D. Weakening of “foreseeable” | 165 |
| E. Unforeseeable intervention but foreseeable result | 168 |
| 1. Rationale | 168 |
| 2. Criminality or intentional tort | 168 |
| F. Unforeseeable intervention with unforeseeable results | 169 |
| 1. Extraordinary acts of nature | 169 |
| 2. Other extraordinary acts | 169 |
| G. Dependent vs. independent causes | 170 |
| H. Function of judge and jury | 171 |
| 1. Judge formulates the legal rule | 171 |
| 2. Judge’s “gatekeeper” function | 171 |
| 3. Factual determination left to the jury | 171 |
| V. SHIFTING RESPONSIBILITY | 172 |
| A. Nature of problem | 172 |
| B. No general rule | 172 |
| 1. Contract or other agreement on responsibility | 172 |
| 2. Cases where there is no agreement | 173 |
| 3. Third person’s failure to discover defect | 173 |
| <i>Quiz Yourself on</i> ACTUAL AND PROXIMATE CAUSE (Entire Chapter) | 174 |
| <i>Exam Tips on</i> ACTUAL AND PROXIMATE CAUSE | 177 |

Chapter 7

JOINT TORTFEASORS

| | |
|--|-----|
| I. JOINT LIABILITY | 183 |
| A. Joint liability for concurrent wrongdoing | 183 |
| 1. Joint liability for indivisible result (traditional rule) | 183 |
| 2. Indivisible harm | 184 |
| 3. Modern trend cuts back on joint-and-several liability | 184 |
| B. No joint-and-several liability for divisible harms | 185 |
| 1. Action in concert | 186 |
| 2. Successive incidents | 186 |
| 3. Overlapping liability | 187 |
| 4. Indivisible harms | 187 |
| II. SATISFACTION | 188 |
| III. RELEASE | 188 |
| A. Significance of release | 188 |
| IV. CONTRIBUTION | 189 |
| A. Contribution generally | 189 |
| B. Historically limited | 189 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| C. Present limitations | 190 |
| 1. No intentional torts | 190 |
| 2. Contribution defendant must have liability | 190 |
| 3. Other barriers to suit | 190 |
| D. Settlements | 191 |
| V. INDEMNITY | 192 |
| A. Concept of indemnity generally | 192 |
| B. No general rule | 192 |
| 1. Vicarious liability | 192 |
| 2. Retailer versus manufacturer | 192 |
| 3. Negligent vs. intentional tortfeasor | 193 |
| 4. Contract | 193 |
| <i>Quiz Yourself on</i> | |
| JOINT TORTFEASORS (Entire Chapter) | 193 |
| <i>Exam Tips on</i> | |
| JOINT TORTFEASORS | 195 |

Chapter 8

DUTY

| | |
|---|-----|
| I. “DUTY” GENERALLY | 197 |
| II. FAILURE TO ACT | 198 |
| A. No general duty to act | 198 |
| 1. Misfeasance v. nonfeasance | 198 |
| 2. Duty to protect or give aid | 198 |
| B. Exceptions | 199 |
| 1. Special relationship | 199 |
| 2. Defendant involved in injury | 201 |
| 3. Defendant and victim as co-venturers | 202 |
| 4. Assumption of duty (“undertaking”) | 202 |
| 5. What constitutes undertaking | 204 |
| 6. Duty to control others | 205 |
| 7. Good Samaritan protection for physicians | 206 |
| III. EFFECT OF A CONTRACT | 206 |
| A. Relation between tort and contract | 206 |
| B. Traditional distinction between misfeasance and nonfeasance | 206 |
| C. Party to the contract; nonfeasance | 207 |
| D. Party to the contract; misfeasance | 207 |
| 1. Election | 207 |
| 2. Insurer’s failure to settle | 207 |
| 3. Breach of duty of “good faith and fair dealing” | 208 |
| E. Non-party to contract; traditional rule as to nonfeasance | 208 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| F. Non-party to the contract; modern rule as to nonfeasance and misfeasance | 209 |
| 1. Sellers of chattels | 209 |
| 2. Services | 209 |
| IV. MENTAL SUFFERING | 210 |
| A. Pure mental suffering without physical impact or injury | 210 |
| B. Mental distress damages “tacked on” to case involving physical impact or injury | 212 |
| C. Emotional distress, but no fear of impact on oneself or on others | 212 |
| D. P is within the “zone of danger,” and suffers distress | 213 |
| E. P is a “bystander,” and sees a close relative suffer bodily injury | 213 |
| F. P is a “bystander,” and sees a non-close-relative suffer bodily injury | 216 |
| G. Special relationship or special activity | 217 |
| H. The “at-risk plaintiff” | 218 |
| I. Intentional torts | 220 |
| V. UNBORN CHILDREN | 221 |
| A. Scope of problem | 221 |
| B. Modern view | 221 |
| VI. PURE ECONOMIC LOSS | 223 |
| A. The problem generally | 223 |
| 1. Tacking on of economic loss to personal or property damage | 223 |
| B. Standard rule disallows pure economic losses | 223 |
| C. Contexts in which rule is applied | 224 |
| 1. Blocking of highways or streets and thus access to P’s business | 224 |
| 2. Toxic torts affecting land or water | 225 |
| 3. Tort against employee or employer causing economic loss | 227 |
| 4. Interruption to power or supplies | 227 |
| D. Situations that are exceptions or fall outside of the rule | 228 |
| E. Some courts reject basic rule | 230 |
| F. Special statutes | 230 |
| G. Other contexts involving pure economic loss | 231 |
| <i>Quiz Yourself on</i> | |
| DUTY (Entire Chapter) | 231 |
| <i>Exam Tips on</i> | |
| DUTY | 233 |

Chapter 9

OWNERS AND OCCUPIERS OF LAND

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| I. HISTORICAL INTRODUCTION | 238 |
|-----------------------------------|-----|

| | |
|---|-----|
| A. Landowner tort law historically | 238 |
| II. OUTSIDE THE PREMISES | 238 |
| A. Natural v. artificial conditions | 238 |
| 1. Natural hazards | 238 |
| 2. Artificial hazards | 239 |
| B. Conduct of others | 239 |
| III. INJURIES ON THE PREMISES GENERALLY | 240 |
| IV. TRESPASSERS | 240 |
| A. General rule as to trespassers | 240 |
| B. Exceptions | 241 |
| 1. Constant trespass on limited area | 241 |
| 2. Discovered trespassers | 241 |
| 3. Trespassing children | 242 |
| V. LICENSEES | 244 |
| A. Significance of being a “licensee” | 244 |
| B. Social guests | 244 |
| C. Dangerous activities | 245 |
| D. Automobile guests | 245 |
| VI. INVITEES | 246 |
| A. Significance of distinction from licensees | 246 |
| B. Who is invitee | 246 |
| C. Duty of due care | 247 |
| 1. Duty to inspect | 247 |
| 2. Effect of warning | 247 |
| 3. Duty varies with use | 248 |
| D. Firefighters and other public-safety personnel | 249 |
| VII. REJECTION OF CATEGORIES | 250 |
| A. Rejection of categories | 250 |
| VIII. LIABILITY OF LESSORS AND LESSEES | 251 |
| A. Lessee | 251 |
| B. Lessor’s liability | 251 |
| 1. Danger unknown to lessee which should be known to lessor | 251 |
| 2. Rented property to be held open to public | 251 |
| 3. Common areas kept under control of lessor | 252 |
| 4. Lessor contracts to repair | 252 |
| 5. Repairs negligently undertaken | 252 |
| 6. Duty of protection | 253 |
| 7. Persons outside the premises | 254 |
| 8. General negligence standard for lessors | 254 |
| 9. Strict liability for latent defects | 254 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| IX. VENDORS AND VENDEES | 255 |
| A. Vendor's liability | 255 |
| 1. Danger to one on the property | 255 |
| 2. Danger to one outside the property | 256 |
| B. Builder-vendors | 256 |
| <i>Quiz Yourself on</i> | |
| OWNERS AND OCCUPIERS OF LAND (Entire Chapter) | 257 |
| <i>Exam Tips on</i> | |
| OWNERS AND OCCUPIERS OF LAND | 258 |

Chapter 10

DAMAGES

| | |
|--|-----|
| I. PERSONAL INJURY DAMAGES GENERALLY | 261 |
| A. Actual injury required | 261 |
| 1. Physical injury required | 262 |
| 2. Elements of damages | 262 |
| 3. Maximum possible verdict | 262 |
| B. Hedonistic damages | 262 |
| 1. Consciousness required | 262 |
| C. Recovery for future damages | 263 |
| D. Effect of taxation | 264 |
| E. Reimbursement by third persons | 265 |
| 1. Collateral source rule | 265 |
| 2. Rationale | 266 |
| 3. Attack on rule | 266 |
| F. Mitigation | 266 |
| 1. Only reasonable care required | 266 |
| 2. Seat belt defense | 267 |
| 3. Effect of comparative negligence | 267 |
| G. Caps on pain-and-suffering awards | 267 |
| II. PUNITIVE DAMAGES | 267 |
| A. Punitive damages | 267 |
| 1. Negligence cases | 268 |
| 2. Punitive damages against corporation | 268 |
| 3. Constitutional limits | 268 |
| 4. Legislative reform | 269 |
| III. RECOVERY BY SPOUSE OR CHILDREN OF INJURED PARTY | 270 |
| A. Historical action for husband | 270 |
| 1. No remedy for wife | 270 |
| 2. Modern view | 270 |
| 3. Parent's recovery where the child is injured | 271 |
| 4. Child's action where parent injured | 271 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 5. Defenses | 271 |
| IV. WRONGFUL DEATH AND SURVIVOR ACTIONS | 272 |
| A. Consequences of injured party's death | 272 |
| B. Survival statutes | 272 |
| C. Wrongful death statutes | 273 |
| D. Variety of statutes | 274 |
| <i>Exam Tips on</i> DAMAGES | 275 |

Chapter 11

DEFENSES IN NEGLIGENCE ACTIONS

| | |
|--|------------|
| I. CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE | 277 |
| A. Nature of contributory negligence defense | 277 |
| B. Historical emergence | 278 |
| C. Burden of pleading and proof | 278 |
| D. Standard of care | 278 |
| 1. Possible difference | 278 |
| 2. Child plaintiffs | 278 |
| 3. Issue left to jury | 278 |
| E. Proximate cause | 279 |
| F. Avoidable consequences | 279 |
| G. Conscious exposure to danger | 279 |
| H. Claims against which defense not usable | 280 |
| 1. Intentional torts | 280 |
| 2. Willful and wanton tort | 280 |
| 3. Strict liability | 280 |
| 4. Negligence per se | 280 |
| I. Last clear chance | 281 |
| II. COMPARATIVE NEGLIGENCE | 281 |
| A. Rejection of "all or nothing" approach | 281 |
| B. Comparative negligence defined | 281 |
| C. Historical emergence | 282 |
| D. "Pure" vs. "50%" systems | 282 |
| E. Multiple parties | 283 |
| F. How percentage is determined | 284 |
| G. Last clear chance | 284 |
| H. Willful and wanton misconduct by defendant | 284 |
| I. Assumption of risk | 285 |
| J. Mitigation of damages | 285 |
| K. P's negligence creates the need for D's services | 285 |
| L. Violation of safety statute by defendant | 286 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| M. Seat belt defense | 286 |
| N. Strict liability | 288 |
| O. Imputed comparative negligence | 288 |
| III. ASSUMPTION OF RISK | 292 |
| A. Nature of the doctrine | 292 |
| B. Classes of assumption of risk | 292 |
| C. Express assumption | 292 |
| D. Implied assumption of risk | 294 |
| 1. Requirements for implied assumption | 294 |
| 2. Knowledge of risk | 294 |
| 3. Voluntary assumption | 295 |
| 4. Statutory violation by defendant | 296 |
| 5. Effect of comparative negligence | 296 |
| E. Burden of proof | 298 |
| IV. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS | 298 |
| A. Discovery of injury | 298 |
| V. IMMUNITIES | 300 |
| A. Definition of immunity | 300 |
| B. Intra-family immunity | 300 |
| 1. Husband and wife | 300 |
| 2. Parent and child | 301 |
| 3. Siblings | 302 |
| C. Charitable immunity | 302 |
| D. Governmental immunity | 303 |
| 1. United States | 303 |
| 2. State governments | 304 |
| 3. Local government immunity | 305 |
| 4. Government officials | 306 |
| 5. Government contractors | 307 |
| <i>Quiz Yourself on</i> | |
| DEFENSES IN NEGLIGENCE ACTIONS (Entire Chapter) | 307 |
| <i>Exam Tips on</i> | |
| DEFENSES IN NEGLIGENCE ACTIONS | 310 |

Chapter 12

VICARIOUS LIABILITY

| | |
|---|-----|
| I. VICARIOUS LIABILITY GENERALLY | 315 |
| A. Nature of doctrine | 315 |
| II. EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE RELATIONSHIP (RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR) | 315 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| A. <i>Respondeat superior</i> doctrine | 315 |
| B. Who is an “employee” | 316 |
| C. Scope of employment | 316 |
| 1. Trips from home | 317 |
| 2. Side trip | 317 |
| 3. Acts prohibited by employer | 318 |
| 4. Intentional torts | 318 |
| 5. Employer’s own liability | 319 |
| D. Torts by non-employees (e.g., guests and customers) | 319 |
| III. INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS | 319 |
| A. No general liability | 319 |
| B. Exceptions to non-liability | 319 |
| 1. Employer’s own liability | 320 |
| 2. Vicarious liability for non-delegable duties | 320 |
| IV. JOINT ENTERPRISE | 322 |
| A. Nature of joint enterprise relationship | 322 |
| 1. Use in auto cases | 322 |
| B. Requirements for joint enterprise | 323 |
| V. AUTO CONSENT STATUTES, THE “FAMILY PURPOSE” | |
| DOCTRINE AND BAILMENT | 323 |
| A. Bases for automobile liability | 323 |
| B. Consent statutes | 323 |
| C. Automobile insurance omnibus clause | 324 |
| D. Judge-made doctrines | 324 |
| E. Bailments | 324 |
| <i>Quiz Yourself on</i> | |
| <i>VICARIOUS LIABILITY (Entire Chapter)</i> | 325 |
| <i>Exam Tips on</i> | |
| <i>VICARIOUS LIABILITY</i> | 326 |

Chapter 13

STRICT LIABILITY

| | |
|--|-----|
| I. STRICT LIABILITY GENERALLY | 329 |
| II. ANIMALS | 330 |
| A. Trespassing animals | 330 |
| B. Non-trespass liability | 330 |
| 1. Wild animals | 330 |
| 2. Domestic animals | 330 |
| 3. Distinguishing wild from domesticated | 331 |
| C. Defenses | 331 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| III. ABNORMALLY DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES | 332 |
| A. The doctrine of <i>Rylands v. Fletcher</i> | 332 |
| B. America's slow adoption | 332 |
| C. Second Restatement's rule | 332 |
| D. Third Restatement's rule | 333 |
| E. Some contexts | 334 |
| 1. Use and storage of explosives | 334 |
| 2. Crop dusting | 335 |
| 3. Airplane accidents | 335 |
| 4. Toxic chemicals and flammable liquids | 336 |
| 5. Nuclear reactor | 336 |
| 6. Use of firearms | 336 |
| 7. Construction activities | 336 |
| 8. No strict liability for common carrier | 336 |
| F. Incentives and economic analysis | 336 |
| IV. LIMITATIONS ON STRICT LIABILITY | 337 |
| A. Limitations generally | 337 |
| B. Scope of risk | 337 |
| 1. Abnormally sensitive activity by plaintiff | 337 |
| 2. Manner in which harm occurs | 338 |
| 3. Scope of liability compared with negligence cases | 338 |
| C. Plaintiff's contributory negligence no defense | 338 |
| 1. Unreasonable assumption of risk | 339 |
| 2. Assumption of risk | 339 |
| 3. P's comparative negligence will reduce recovery | 339 |
| V. WORKERS' COMPENSATION | 339 |
| A. Generally | 339 |
| B. Scope of coverage | 340 |
| C. Benefits | 341 |
| D. Exclusivity of remedy | 341 |
| E. Application to other areas | 343 |
| 1. Automobile no-fault | 343 |
| 2. Childhood vaccines | 343 |
| 3. Victims of 9/11 | 343 |
| <i>Quiz Yourself on</i> | |
| STRICT LIABILITY (Entire Chapter) | 344 |
| <i>Exam Tips on</i> | |
| STRICT LIABILITY | 344 |

Chapter 14

PRODUCTS LIABILITY

| | |
|----------------------------|-----|
| I. NEGLIGENCE | 348 |
|----------------------------|-----|

| | |
|---|-----|
| A. Negligence and privity | 348 |
| B. Historical development | 348 |
| 1. <i>MacPherson v. Buick</i> | 349 |
| 2. Acceptance of <i>MacPherson</i> | 349 |
| C. Classes of defendants | 349 |
| 1. Manufacturers | 349 |
| 2. Third person's failure to inspect | 350 |
| 3. Retailers | 350 |
| 4. Other suppliers | 351 |
| II. WARRANTY | 351 |
| A. Historical importance of warranty | 351 |
| B. Express warranties | 352 |
| C. Implied warranty | 353 |
| 1. Warranty of merchantability | 353 |
| 2. Warranty of fitness for a particular purpose | 354 |
| 3. Privity | 354 |
| D. Warranty defenses | 357 |
| 1. Disclaimers | 357 |
| 2. Limitation of consequential damages | 357 |
| 3. Notice of breach | 358 |
| E. Phasing out of warranty suits | 358 |
| 1. Useful cases | 358 |
| 2. Privity action | 358 |
| III. STRICT LIABILITY | 358 |
| A. Historical emergence | 358 |
| B. Restatement Second §402A | 359 |
| C. Third Restatement on Products Liability | 359 |
| D. What products meet the test | 360 |
| E. Unavoidably unsafe products | 361 |
| 1. Second Restatement's exemption | 361 |
| 2. Third Restatement takes risk-utility view | 362 |
| 3. Prescription drugs and medical devices | 363 |
| F. Unknowable dangers | 364 |
| G. Food products | 365 |
| 1. Foreign/natural distinction | 365 |
| 2. The majority's "consumer expectation" standard | 365 |
| H. Warning | 365 |
| 1. Negligence aspects | 365 |
| I. Obvious dangers | 365 |
| 1. Second Restatement's "consumer expectation" standard | 365 |
| 2. Third Restatement's approach | 366 |
| J. Proving the case | 367 |
| K. Epidemiological proof | 370 |
| 1. Victories by defendants | 371 |
| 2. General causation used to prove specific causation | 371 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 3. The “doubling” rule | 371 |
| L. Bystanders and other non-user plaintiffs | 372 |
| IV. DESIGN DEFECTS | 372 |
| A. Design defects distinguished from manufacturing ones | 372 |
| 1. Design defect defined | 372 |
| B. Aspects of negligence | 372 |
| C. Third Restatement’s approach | 373 |
| 1. Text of definition | 373 |
| 2. Based on negligence | 373 |
| 3. The “reasonable alternative design” (RAD) | 373 |
| 4. Consumer-expectation test not dispositive | 375 |
| 5. “State-of-the-art” defense allowed | 376 |
| 6. Strict liability for reseller | 376 |
| D. Types of design defect claims | 377 |
| E. Structural defects | 377 |
| F. Lack of safety features | 377 |
| 1. Defenses | 377 |
| 2. Obvious defects | 377 |
| 3. Subsequently discovered precaution | 378 |
| G. Suitability for unintended uses | 378 |
| 1. Unforeseeable misuse | 378 |
| 2. Foreseeable misuse | 378 |
| 3. Second collision cases | 379 |
| 4. Contributory negligence defense | 379 |
| H. Unavoidably unsafe categories | 380 |
| I. Military products sold to and approved by government | 380 |
| J. Regulatory compliance defense | 380 |
| V. DUTY TO WARN | 381 |
| A. How the duty to warn may arise | 381 |
| 1. Negligence aspects predominate | 381 |
| B. Significance of duty to warn | 382 |
| C. Risk-utility basis for warnings liability | 383 |
| D. Drug cases | 384 |
| E. Cigarettes | 388 |
| F. Duty to warn of unknown and unknowable dangers | 388 |
| G. Effect of government labeling standards | 389 |
| H. Danger to small number of people | 389 |
| I. Obvious danger | 389 |
| J. Warning against misuse | 390 |
| 1. Warning against removal of safety devices | 390 |
| K. Post-sale duty to warn | 391 |
| L. Allergies | 393 |
| M. Hidden causation issue | 393 |
| 1. Plaintiff who does not read warnings or ignores them | 393 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| VI. WHO MAY BE A DEFENDANT | 394 |
| A. Cases involving chattels | 394 |
| 1. Retail dealers | 394 |
| 2. Used goods | 395 |
| 3. Component manufacturers | 396 |
| 4. Fulfillment services | 396 |
| B. Lessors of goods | 400 |
| C. Sellers of real estate | 400 |
| D. Lessors of real property | 401 |
| E. Services | 401 |
| 1. Electric utilities | 402 |
| 2. Construction workers | 402 |
| 3. Product incorporated in service | 402 |
| VII. INTERESTS THAT MAY BE PROTECTED | 403 |
| A. Property damage | 403 |
| B. Intangible economic harm | 404 |
| 1. Direct purchaser | 404 |
| 2. Remote purchasers and non-purchasers | 405 |
| VIII. DEFENSES BASED ON THE PLAINTIFF'S CONDUCT | 406 |
| A. Introduction | 406 |
| B. The Second Restatement and early decisions | 406 |
| C. The Third Restatement / modern approach | 407 |
| 1. Failure to discover the risk | 407 |
| 2. Knowing assumption of risk | 408 |
| 3. Conduct that is high-risk apart from defect | 409 |
| 4. Ignoring of safety precaution | 409 |
| D. "Misuse" of the product | 410 |
| 1. Reduction in recovery | 410 |
| 2. Indication that product was not defective at all | 410 |
| 3. Misuse as superseding cause | 411 |
| IX. DEFENSES BASED ON FEDERAL REGULATION, MAINLY | |
| THE DEFENSE OF PREEMPTION | 412 |
| A. Preemption | 412 |
| 1. The Supremacy Clause | 413 |
| 2. Preemption, generally | 413 |
| B. Compliance with government standards | 416 |
| <i>Quiz Yourself on</i> | |
| PRODUCTS LIABILITY (Entire Chapter) | 416 |
| <i>Exam Tips on</i> | |
| PRODUCTS LIABILITY | 418 |

Chapter 15

NUISANCE

| | |
|---|-----|
| I. NUISANCE GENERALLY | 429 |
| II. PUBLIC NUISANCE | 429 |
| A. Definition of public nuisance | 429 |
| B. “Right common to general public” | 430 |
| C. Requirement of particular damage in private suits | 431 |
| D. Within “control” of defendant at time of harm | 431 |
| III. PRIVATE NUISANCE | 431 |
| A. Nature of private nuisance | 431 |
| B. Interference with use | 432 |
| C. Defendant’s conduct | 433 |
| D. Intentional | 433 |
| 1. Unreasonableness requirement | 433 |
| 2. Nature of neighborhood | 434 |
| 3. Action taken for spite | 435 |
| E. Interference with water | 435 |
| F. Remedies | 435 |
| 1. Damages | 435 |
| 2. Injunction | 436 |
| 3. Self-help abatement | 436 |
| G. Defenses | 436 |
| 1. Contributory negligence | 436 |
| 2. Assumption of risk | 436 |
| <i>Quiz Yourself on</i> NUISANCE (Entire Chapter) | 437 |
| <i>Exam Tips on</i> NUISANCE | 438 |

Chapter 16

MISREPRESENTATION

| | |
|---|-----|
| I. INTRODUCTION | 441 |
| II. INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION (“DECEIT”) | 442 |
| A. Common law action | 442 |
| 1. Elements of cause of action | 442 |
| B. Misrepresentation | 442 |
| 1. Actions | 442 |
| 2. Concealment | 442 |
| 3. Nondisclosure | 443 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| C. Scienter | 444 |
| 1. What constitutes scienter | 444 |
| 2. Negligence not enough | 444 |
| 3. Stating belief as knowledge | 445 |
| 4. Negligent and innocent misrepresentation | 445 |
| D. Right of third persons to recover | 445 |
| E. Justifiable reliance | 446 |
| 1. Causal question | 446 |
| 2. Justifiability of reliance | 447 |
| 3. Materiality | 447 |
| F. Opinion | 448 |
| 1. More liberal rule | 448 |
| 2. Opinion of adverse party | 448 |
| 3. “Puffing” still not actionable | 449 |
| 4. Opinion of apparently disinterested person | 449 |
| 5. Opinion implying fact | 449 |
| G. Statements as to law | 450 |
| H. Prediction and intention | 451 |
| I. Damages | 452 |
| 1. Proximate cause | 452 |
| 2. Measure of damages | 452 |
| III. NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION | 454 |
| A. Historical view | 454 |
| B. Business relationship | 454 |
| C. Liability to third persons | 455 |
| D. Contributory negligence | 456 |
| E. Damages limited to pecuniary harm | 456 |
| IV. STRICT LIABILITY | 457 |
| A. Increasing willingness to allow | 457 |
| B. Sale, rental or exchange | 457 |
| C. Misrepresentation by seller of chattels to consumer | 457 |
| <i>Quiz Yourself on</i> MISREPRESENTATION (Entire Chapter) | 458 |
| <i>Exam Tips on</i> MISREPRESENTATION | 460 |

Chapter 17

DEFAMATION

| | |
|---|-----|
| I. GENERAL PRINCIPLES | 465 |
| A. Prima facie case | 465 |
| II. DEFAMATORY COMMUNICATION | 466 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| A. Injury to reputation | 466 |
| B. Effect limited to one segment of public | 466 |
| C. Meaning to be attached to statement | 466 |
| 1. Judge-jury allocation | 467 |
| D. Reference to plaintiff | 467 |
| E. Truth | 468 |
| 1. Effect of constitutional decisions | 468 |
| 2. Substantial truth | 468 |
| F. Opinion | 469 |
| 1. Pure opinion | 469 |
| 2. Implied assertions of fact | 469 |
| 3. Hyperbole | 469 |
| 4. Context | 470 |
| 5. Reviews | 470 |
| G. Who may be defamed | 470 |
| 1. Deceased persons | 470 |
| 2. Corporation | 471 |
| III. LIBEL VS. SLANDER | 471 |
| A. Significance of distinction | 471 |
| B. Libel | 471 |
| 1. Embodied in physical form | 471 |
| 2. Dictation to stenographer | 471 |
| 3. Radio and television | 471 |
| C. Slander | 472 |
| D. Special harm | 472 |
| 1. Tacking on of damages | 472 |
| 2. Harm caused by repetition | 472 |
| 3. Cases where no special harm necessary (“slander per se”) | 472 |
| 4. Libel | 473 |
| IV. PUBLICATION | 474 |
| A. Requirement of publication generally | 474 |
| 1. Must be intentional or negligent | 474 |
| 2. Must be understood | 474 |
| 3. Dictation to stenographer | 475 |
| 4. Defamation by will | 475 |
| B. Publication by plaintiff | 475 |
| C. Repeater’s liability | 475 |
| D. Single or multiple publication | 475 |
| V. INTENT | 476 |
| A. Common law strict liability | 476 |
| 1. Falsity | 476 |
| B. Constitutional decisions | 476 |
| 1. <i>New York Times v. Sullivan</i> | 477 |
| 2. Meaning of “reckless disregard” | 477 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 3. Public figures | 477 |
| 4. Private figures | 477 |
| 5. Application to non-media | 478 |
| 6. Private aspects of public figures | 479 |
| VI. PRIVILEGES | 479 |
| A. Privileges generally | 479 |
| B. Absolute privileges | 479 |
| 1. Judicial proceedings | 479 |
| 2. Legislative proceedings | 479 |
| 3. Government officials | 480 |
| 4. Husband and wife | 480 |
| 5. Consent | 480 |
| C. Qualified privilege | 480 |
| 1. Protection of publisher's interest | 480 |
| 2. Interest of others | 481 |
| 3. Common interest | 481 |
| 4. Where recipient can act in public interest | 481 |
| 5. Report of public proceedings | 481 |
| 6. The "neutral reportage" privilege | 482 |
| D. Abuse | 484 |
| 1. Knowledge of falsity or reckless disregard | 484 |
| 2. Purpose of the privilege | 485 |
| 3. Excessive publication | 485 |
| E. Statutory privileges | 485 |
| 1. Internet Service Providers | 485 |
| VII. REMEDIES | 486 |
| A. Damages | 486 |
| 1. Punitive damages | 486 |
| 2. Presumed damages | 486 |
| 3. Nominal damages | 487 |
| B. Retraction | 487 |
| <i>Quiz Yourself on</i> | |
| DEFAMATION (Entire Chapter) | 487 |
| <i>Exam Tips on</i> | |
| DEFAMATION | 490 |

Chapter 18

MISCELLANEOUS TORTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| I. INVASION OF PRIVACY | 496 |
| A. Right generally | 496 |
| B. Misappropriation of identity | 496 |
| C. Intrusion | 497 |

| | |
|---|----------|
| D. Publicity of private life | 497 |
| E. False light | 499 |
| F. Privileges | 499 |
| II. MISUSE OF LEGAL PROCEDURE | 499 |
| A. Three torts | 499 |
| B. Malicious prosecution | 499 |
| C. Wrongful civil proceedings | 501 |
| D. Abuse of process | 502 |
| III. INTERFERENCE WITH ADVANTAGEOUS RELATIONS | 502 |
| A. Three business torts | 502 |
| B. Injurious falsehood | 502 |
| C. Interference with existing contract | 504 |
| D. Interference with prospective advantage | 506 |
| E. Common-law trademark, copyright and unfair competition claims ... | 508 |
| IV. INTERFERENCE WITH FAMILY AND POLITICAL RELATIONS | 508 |
| A. Interference with family relations | 508 |
| B. Interference with political and civil rights | 510 |
| <i>Quiz Yourself on</i> MISCELLANEOUS TORTS (Entire Chapter) | 512 |
| <i>Exam Tips on</i> MISCELLANEOUS TORTS | 514 |
| ESSAY EXAM QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS | 517, 520 |
| MULTISTATE-STYLE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS..... | 529, 539 |
| TABLE OF CASES..... | 549 |
| TABLE OF <i>RESTATEMENT SECOND</i> REFERENCES..... | 553 |
| TABLE OF <i>RESTATEMENT THIRD</i> REFERENCES..... | 559 |
| SUBJECT MATTER INDEX | 561 |