

# Contents

<i>Preface to the Third Edition</i>	<i>xxxi</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxxix</i>

---

## *Prologue 1*

---

Why stories work	2
Client-centered lawyering	3
The recursive process of writing	3
How to use this book	6

## **Part I: Introduction to client and law 7**

<b>1 Meeting the client</b>	<b>9</b>
1.1 Client needs and goals	10
1.2 Embracing curiosity as a client-centered skill	12
1.3 Clients are whole people	14
1.4 Ethical considerations	14
1.4.1 Providing competent service	15
1.4.2 Being a diligent advocate	16
1.4.3 Respecting client autonomy	17
1.4.4 Keeping client secrets: client confidentiality	18
1.5 The client as a key audience	20
1.6 Getting started: learning about your client	21

<b>2</b>	<b>Foundations</b>	<b>25</b>
2.1	Where does law come from?	26
2.1.1	Sources of law	26
2.1.2	Types of law	28
2.1.2.1	Enacted law	28
2.1.2.2	Court-made law	30
2.2	Constraints on judicial rule-making	31
2.2.1	Respect for precedent	31
2.2.2	Rules may be binding (mandatory) or persuasive (permissive)	32
2.2.2.1	Statutes are binding in a jurisdiction	32
2.2.2.2	When are cases binding?	33
2.2.2.3	Other forms of persuasive authority	34
2.2.3	Precedent can be overturned—sometimes	35
2.2.4	Limitations on stare decisis	36
2.2.4.1	Broad or narrow holding?	36
2.2.4.2	Distinguishing previous cases	37
<b>3</b>	<b>Effective tools for communicating with or on behalf of clients</b>	<b>39</b>
3.1	Classical rhetoric	41
3.1.1	Logos: the logic of the communication	43
3.1.2	Pathos: appealing to our audience's emotions	44
3.1.3	Ethos: the credibility of the advocate	46
3.1.4	<i>Kairos</i> : the opportune moment in time	47
3.1.5	Putting it all together: persuasion requires logos, pathos, ethos, and of course, <i>kairos</i>	49
3.1.5.1	Start with the logos	49
3.1.5.2	Build empathy for your client's position	50
3.1.5.3	Establish your own credibility along with your client's	50
3.2	Audience responses to persuasion	50

3.2.1	Response shaping	50
3.2.2	Response reinforcing	51
3.2.3	Response changing	51
3.2.4	Judge Posner's formula for persuasion	52
3.2.4.1	Distance	52
3.2.4.2	Resistance	52
3.3	Cognitive psychology	53
3.3.1	The negativity bias	53
3.3.2	We think in images and narratively transport into scenes	54
3.3.3	Stock structures in persuasion	55
3.3.3.1	Types of stock structures	57
3.3.3.2	Be careful: stock structures are different to different people	58
3.3.3.3	Many legal disputes are centered in a debate about competing stock structures	58
3.3.4	Priming	59
3.3.5	Framing	60
3.4	Effective legal communication takes time to master	61
3.5	Conclusion	61
<b>4</b>	<b>Story as a tool for communication and persuasion</b>	<b>63</b>
4.1	What is a word like "story" doing in a serious legal writing course?	63
4.2	Stories are persuasive, even to lawyers and legal decision-makers	65
4.3	The biology of storytelling	68
4.3.1	Narrative transportation into the story's world activates persuasion	69
4.3.2	Our brains connect stories to "frames"	70
4.4	The storyteller's toolbox	71
4.4.1	Structural tools for the legal writer	72
4.4.1.1	Character	72
4.4.1.2	Conflict	72
4.4.1.3	Theme	73

4.4.1.4	Setting	73
4.4.1.5	Perspective	73
4.4.1.6	Point of view	74
4.4.1.7	Plot	74
4.4.1.8	Organization	74
4.4.2	Stylistic tools for the legal writer	75
4.4.2.1	Description and the selection of details	75
4.4.2.2	Stock structures	76
4.4.2.3	Metaphor	76
4.4.2.4	Reference or allusion	77
4.4.2.5	Tone	77
<b>5</b>	<b>Thinking about the audience</b>	<b>79</b>
5.1	The counseling document audience	80
5.1.1	Our audience: the supervising lawyer	80
5.1.2	Meeting our intra-office audience's expectations	80
5.1.3	Our audience: the client	82
5.2	The advocacy document audience	83
5.2.1	Trial judge: mentor to the client	84
5.2.2	Judges need to know the client's story from the beginning of the case	86
5.2.3	Role of the trial court as fact finder	87
5.2.4	Respect for higher authority	88
5.3	The appellate court audience	88
5.3.1	Appellate judge: mentor to the trial judge	89
5.4	Writing for an appellate audience	90

## Part II: Developing the story of the law 91

<b>6</b>	<b>Types of legal reasoning</b>	<b>93</b>
6.1	Types of rules	93
6.1.1	Explicit rules	94

6.1.2	Implicit rules	94
6.1.3	Combination of explicit and implicit rules	95
6.2	Rules may use elements, factors, or both	95
6.2.1	Element tests	96
6.2.2	Factor tests	96
6.2.3	The reality mostly looks like a combination	96
6.3	Charting the types of legal reasoning	97
6.4	What's next?	99
<b>7</b>	<b>Working with the law, part 1: Interpreting statutes</b>	<b>101</b>
7.1	How to read a statute	103
7.1.1	The statutory context	104
7.1.2	Reading the statute critically	105
7.1.2.1	To whom does the statute apply?	107
7.1.2.2	Does the statute provide any express definitions of key terms?	107
7.1.2.3	What does the statute require, permit, or prohibit?	108
7.1.2.4	What are the exceptions to the general rule?	108
7.1.2.5	What is the consequence of not complying?	108
7.1.2.6	When does the statute apply?	108
7.2	Text-based interpretive tools	109
7.2.1	Plain meaning	109
7.2.2	Canons of construction	110
7.2.2.1	The plain meaning rule	110
7.2.2.2	Canons regarding specific words	110
7.2.2.3	Canon regarding grammar	111
7.2.2.4	Canons regarding lists of things	111
7.2.2.5	Other textual canons	112
7.2.3	Contextual canons	112
7.2.3.1	The statutory context: definitions and <i>in pari materia</i>	113
7.2.3.2	Consider the historical context	114

7.2.4	Substantive canons	115
7.2.4.1	Punitive statutes are to be narrowly construed; remedial statutes are to be broadly construed	115
7.2.4.2	Constitutional interpretations are favored over unconstitutional interpretations	115
7.2.4.3	The legislature does not intend absurd results	115
7.2.4.4	Statutes codifying the common law are to be broadly construed; statutes in derogation of the common law are to be narrowly construed	116
7.2.4.5	The rule of lenity	116
7.3	Purpose-based interpretation	116
7.4	Legislative history	118
7.4.1	The more the merrier	120
7.4.2	Who's talking makes a difference	120
7.4.3	Not all legislative history is created equal	120
7.4.4	Hindsight is not insight	121
7.4.5	No one voted on this stuff	121
7.5	Case law	122
7.6	Administrative interpretations	123
7.7	How to craft a statutory interpretation argument	123
7.7.1	Look at the statute through a broader lens to come up with a category	124
7.7.2	Look for other statutes that fit into the same category	124
7.7.3	Research-related statutes	125
7.7.4	Communicate to readers that there are analogous interpretations to the statute you are dealing with	125
7.8	Conclusion	126

<b>8 Working with the law, part 2: analogical reasoning</b>	<b>127</b>
8.1 The thinking stage	127
8.1.1 Step one: understand the facts of our case and the issue we have been asked to address	130
8.1.2 Step two: collect the relevant law and annotate as you read	132
8.1.3 Step three: determine the applicable legal rule	137
8.1.4 Step four: apply the legal rule to the facts of our case	143
8.1.5 Step five: predict the likely conclusion	146
8.2 Communicating—putting our thinking into written form	147
8.2.1 Introduction: understanding CREAC as one organizational paradigm	148
8.2.2 Writing the conclusion	150
8.2.3 Writing the rule explanation	151
8.2.4 Writing the application	154
8.2.5 Making fact-to-fact comparisons	155
8.3 What's next?	157
<b>9 Working with the law, part 3: creating policy arguments</b>	<b>159</b>
9.1 Future world: the uniqueness of policy arguments	160
9.2 When to make policy arguments	161
9.2.1 When one party is arguing for a change in existing law	161
9.2.2 When an argument is based on persuasive authority	162
9.2.3 When the time is right ( <i>kairos</i> )	162
9.3 The nature and types of policy arguments	163
9.3.1 Judicial administration	164
9.3.1.1 The new rule should be firm (or flexible)	164

9.3.1.2	The existing or proposed rule is too complex	165
9.3.1.3	Floodgates argument	165
9.3.1.4	Slippery slope argument	166
9.3.2	Economic arguments	166
9.3.3	Institutional competence arguments	167
9.3.4	Social values arguments	167
9.4	How to make a policy argument	167
9.4.1	Prediction	167
9.4.2	Judgment about the prediction	168
9.4.3	Support for the prediction and judgment	168
9.4.3.1	Legal support	168
9.4.3.2	Nonlegal support	168
9.4.4	Couch the argument in terms of avoiding bad outcomes	171
<b>10</b>	<b>Working with the facts: inferential reasoning</b>	<b>173</b>
10.1	Determining facts	175
10.1.1	Fact synthesis	176
10.1.2	Weight of facts	180
10.2	The inferential stream (aka “reasoning with facts”)	181
10.3	Drawing negative inferences	185
10.4	What’s next?	186
<b>11</b>	<b>Managing adverse material</b>	<b>187</b>
11.1	What kind of adverse material is there?	188
11.1.1	Adverse aspects about the lawsuit procedures	188
11.1.2	Relevant facts that conflict with the client’s story	188
11.1.3	Adverse interpretations of the applicable law and standard of review	189



11.2	Structuring the counterargument: sidedness and refutation	189
11.3	Considerations when it is unclear whether the opposing party will use the adverse material	193
11.3.1	Inoculation against adverse material	194
11.3.2	Deciding when to manage adverse material	196
11.3.2.1	Address adverse material that you are ethically obligated to raise	196
11.3.2.2	Address adverse facts that we know the opposing party will use	197
11.3.2.3	Address and manage the opponent's strongest argument as soon as you bring it up	197
11.3.2.4	Avoid phantom counterarguments and look for the harder ones to manage	199
11.4	Where to manage the adverse material	200
11.5	Writing counterarguments	201
11.5.1	Know when we have already made the counterargument	201
11.5.2	Avoid defensive phrasing of counterarguments	201
11.5.3	Put the weakest link in the middle	202
11.5.4	Protect our own ethos	204
11.6	Read the writing of expert legal writers	205
11.7	Wrap-up: this isn't easy, we know	205
11.8	What's next?	205
<b>12</b>	<b>Testing the legal argument</b>	<b>209</b>
12.1	Avoid logical fallacies	209
12.1.1	Shallow thinking fallacies	210
12.1.2	Insufficient evidence fallacies	213
12.1.3	Non sequitur fallacies	215
12.1.4	Avoidance fallacies	217

12.1.5	Incomplete syllogisms	219
12.1.6	A final caution	220
12.2	Tying it all together	220

## Part III: Developing the client's story 223

<b>13</b>	<b>Gathering and assessing the facts</b>	<b>225</b>
13.1	Facts require context to understand them	228
13.2	A five-step process to thinking about fact gathering and fact assessing	229
13.2.1	Step one: what facts are legally relevant?	230
13.2.2	Step two: what is the chronology?	232
13.2.3	Step three: does it all make sense?	234
13.2.4	Step four: have you made any assumptions?	234
13.2.5	Step five: what story will the other side tell?	235
13.3	Tools for investigating and collecting facts	236
13.3.1	Two types of facts: adjudicative and legislative	236
13.3.2	Facts, inferences, and characterizations	238
13.3.2.1	Facts	238
13.3.2.2	Inferences	238
13.3.2.3	Characterizations	239
13.3.3	Formal tools for discovering facts beyond what the client knows	239
13.3.4	Informal tools for discovering facts	240
13.3.4.1	Client interview	240
13.3.4.2	Witness interviews	240
13.3.4.3	Document review	241
13.3.4.4	Informal discussion with opposing counsel	241
13.3.4.5	Electronic sources	242
13.4	Conclusion	243

<b>14</b>	<b>Researching the client’s legal issue(s)</b>	<b>245</b>
14.1	Identifying the legal issues	246
14.1.1	Identify the domain	247
14.1.2	Identify possible issues within the domain	247
14.1.3	Research the substantive issues	247
14.1.3.1	Identify the domain	248
14.1.3.2	Spot the issues	248
14.1.3.3	Research	248
14.1.4	Research procedural or “side” issues including burdens of proof and standards of review	249
14.2	Organizing your research	249
14.2.1	Commercial research programs	250
14.2.2	Create your own database	250
14.2.2.1	Creating the issues list	250
14.2.2.2	Creating the database of authorities	253
14.3	Selecting the issues to write about	255
14.3.1	Arranging your research results	255
14.3.2	Analyzing your results	257
14.3.3	Selecting the issues for deeper analysis	257
14.4	Next steps	259
<b>15</b>	<b>Representing your client, the protagonist</b>	<b>261</b>
15.1	The client as a character in the legal story	262
15.1.1	The client as hero	263
15.1.2	Using your client’s back story: competing considerations	265
15.1.3	Your client’s character will have weaknesses	267
15.1.4	The client’s narrow and broad goals	267
15.2	Other character roles in the legal action	268
15.2.1	The opposing party: gatekeepers or shape-shifters	268

15.2.2	Secondary characters: decision-makers, witnesses, and lawyers	270
15.3	Finding your client's archetype	271
15.3.1	Selecting a heroic archetype	271
15.3.2	Missing information and reasonable inferences	272
15.3.3	Repeating the steps	272
15.4	The client's obstacle: the conflict type of lawsuit	273
15.4.1	The types of conflicts	274
15.4.2	Identifying the central conflict your client faces	275
<b>16</b>	<b>Starting to write: shadow stories and theme development</b>	<b>277</b>
16.1	Planning for writing: sketching a "shadow" draft of the facts section	277
16.1.1	What is the shadow story, and why do we need it?	278
16.1.2	Identifying your theme via the shadow story	281
16.2	Conclusion	283
<b>17</b>	<b>Creating the working draft of the Facts section</b>	<b>285</b>
17.1	The Facts section introduces the theme, which relates to (but is different from) the theory of the case	285
17.2	Plan the plot's arc	287
17.2.1	Introduction/exposition	288
17.2.2	Complicating incident/rising action	290
17.2.3	Story climax	291
17.2.4	Resolution/falling action	291
17.2.5	Denouement	292
17.3	Putting hands on the keyboard (or the technological equivalent)	292
17.3.1	Be ready to throw away the pity paragraph immediately	293

17.3.2	Taking stock of the story's "beats"	294
17.3.3	Organize the beats into a cause-and-effect flow	295
17.3.4	Describe actions, settings, objects, and (sometimes) characters	296
17.3.5	Choose the level of detail by changing the perspective of the reader's viewing of the story	298
17.3.6	Omit characterizations that consist of unsupported opinions rather than facts	299
17.4	Putting down the working draft of the Facts section	301

## Part IV: Creating documents 303

<b>18</b>	<b>Structure of counseling documents: intra-office memos, opinion letters, and the like</b>	<b>305</b>
18.1	Types of client counseling documents	306
18.2	Writing a client counseling document	307
18.2.1	Identify the client's goal or goals	308
18.2.2	Predict the outcome and identify obstacles	309
18.3	The form of specific client counseling documents	309
18.3.1	Opinion letters	310
18.3.2	Bench memos	311
18.3.3	Long form intra-office memos	312
18.3.3.1	The caption	312
18.3.3.2	Question(s) presented (the issues)	313
18.3.3.3	Brief answer(s)	315
18.3.3.4	Statement of facts	315
18.3.3.5	Discussion/analysis	316
18.3.3.6	Recommendations and next steps	317
18.3.4	Short form office memos	317
18.4	Conclusion	318

<b>19</b>	<b>Organizing the working draft of the legal analysis</b>	<b>319</b>
19.1	Choosing your large-scale organization	322
19.1.1	“Logical flow” structure	322
19.1.2	Organizing by type of reasoning	323
19.1.3	Argument chains	323
19.1.4	“Lead with strength” is the default choice	325
19.2	Roadmaps and headings: signaling the bottom line, up front	325
19.2.1	Roadmaps lay the groundwork for memory	326
19.2.1.1	Describing the overall legal structure and the arrangement of the analysis	327
19.2.1.2	Setting up foundational law	329
19.3	Headings chunk the information	329
19.4	The visual design of headings (and why the numeric outline style?)	331
19.5	Small-scale organization	333
19.5.1	The common organizational arrangement for legal reasoning	333
19.5.2	Variations (avoid formulaic thinking)	336
19.5.3	An outlined argument using a common variation: rule of law at issue	338
19.5.4	Handling factor tests	339
19.5.4.1	Individualized (unrelated) factors	340
19.5.4.2	Interrelated factors	342
19.5.4.3	Factor tests pop up everywhere—even in the middle of an elements test	342
19.5.5	Local roadmaps	343
19.6	Conclusion	344

<b>20</b>	<b>Revising the legal argument for efficacy: persuasive rules and persuasive application</b>	<b>345</b>
20.1	Cognitively priming your readers	346
20.2	Persuasively wording the rules	346
20.2.1	Use client-centered imagery	347
20.2.2	Statutes and common law rules: The same advocacy principles apply	349
20.2.2.1	Writing about statutory law	349
20.2.2.2	Writing about common law	352
20.3	Persuading through headings and introductions	354
20.3.1	Writing persuasive and client-centered headings	355
20.3.2	Bottom Line Up Front (BLUF) writing	357
20.4	Rule explanation: illustrating with precise details and stock structures	358
20.4.1	The storytelling of the rule explanation	359
20.4.2	Persuasive thesis sentences up front	361
20.4.3	Choosing the length of the rule explanation: in-text versus parentheticals	363
20.4.3.1	Will a case story help readers at all?	364
20.4.3.2	How important is the case to the argument?	365
20.4.3.3	How complicated are the facts of the case?	365
20.4.4	Drafting parentheticals	365
20.4.4.1	Narrative parentheticals	365
20.4.4.2	List parentheticals	366
20.4.4.3	Quote parentheticals	366
20.5	Application to the client's facts	367
20.5.1	Show how your legal position is consistent with existing precedent	369
20.5.2	Show how your position is materially different from precedent, and therefore should have the opposite result	371

20.5.3	Show how the plain meaning of a statute directly supports the result your client wants	373
20.5.4	If a statute appears to contradict the result your client wants, show how the statute does not apply	373
<b>21</b>	<b>Revising the story to strengthen the theme</b>	<b>375</b>
21.1	A sequence for revising across the major parts of the document	375
21.2	Revising the facts	377
21.2.1	Reworking “telling” to become “showing” description	377
21.2.2	Organizational revising: possibly use headings to prime the reader	379
21.3	Revising across the brief	379
21.3.1	Visual impact moments in the Statement of Facts	379
21.3.2	Connecting the facts to the argument	382
21.3.3	Choosing the way to refer to the characters	383
21.3.4	Strategizing word choices	384
21.4	What happens next in the writing process?	387
<b>22</b>	<b>Making the first and last impressions: the Introduction and Conclusion sections</b>	<b>389</b>
22.1	The power of the introduction	389
22.1.1	Planning the introduction	391
22.1.2	Expressing the theme of the brief	394
22.1.3	Developing the character in an introduction	396
22.1.4	Developing the conflict and the goal of the story	398
22.1.5	Wrap up	400
22.2	Making the final impression: writing a persuasive conclusion	400



<b>23</b>	<b>Finishing up: the other parts of a brief</b>	<b>405</b>
23.1	Overview: the contents of a brief depend on the court rules	405
23.2	Requirements of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure	406
23.2.1	Appellant's brief	407
23.2.1.1	Corporate disclosure statement	407
23.2.1.2	Table of contents	407
23.2.1.3	Table of authorities	409
23.2.1.4	Jurisdictional statement	410
23.2.1.5	Statement of the issues	410
23.2.1.6	Statement of the case	415
23.2.1.7	Summary of the argument	416
23.2.1.8	The legal argument and standards of review	417
23.2.1.9	Conclusion	418
23.2.1.10	Signature	419
23.2.1.11	Certificate of compliance with Rule 32g(1)	419
23.2.2	Appellee's brief	419
23.3	Formatting rules	420
<b>24</b>	<b>Dressing your document: tone and credibility with good visual design</b>	<b>423</b>
24.1	Document design principles	424
24.1.1	Contrast with size and weight	424
24.1.2	Proximity: keep related items related with appropriate spacing	426
24.1.3	Alignment: justification and tables (and some resume and cover sheet tips)	428
24.1.4	Repetition and document consistency	430
24.2	Application and limitations in legal documents	431
24.3	Writing for onscreen reading	434
24.3.1	Numeric outlining for navigability	434
24.3.2	Incorporating visuals into your document	435

24.3.2.1	Documentary evidence presented as visuals	435
24.3.2.2	Analytical reasoning presented as visuals	436
24.4	Further readings	438

## Part V: Oral argument 441

### 25 Persuading in person: oral argument 443

25.1	Setting the stage: the purpose of oral argument	444
25.2	Preparing for argument	447
25.3	Conduct of oral argument	452
25.4	Tips on presentation	456

Epilogue 459

Index 461