| Preface Acknowledgments | | xxiii xxvii |
|----------------------------|--|----------------|
| Chapter I | Introduction to Evidence, Relevance, and Prejudice | I |
| | The Role of the Lawyers and the Judge | 2 |
| | Is the Evidence Relevant? | 3 |
| | When the Answer to the Question of Relevance Is | |
| | "Maybe": The Doctrine of Conditional Relevance | 6 |
| | Establishing Conditional Relevance | 7 |
| | When Is the Evidence Irrelevant? | 8 |
| | Objecting to Improper Evidence | 9 |
| | Objections Overruled and the Doctrine of Limited | |
| | Admissibility | 11 |
| | After the Relevance Analysis: Other Reasons to | |
| | Exclude Relevant Evidence | 12 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: No | |
| | Significant Difference | 13 |
| | The Balancing Test: Probative Value vs. Prejudicial Effect | 16 |
| | What Is the Probative Value of the Evidence? | 17 |
| | Evaluating the Probative Value of Circumstantial | 1.7 |
| | Evidence | 17 |
| | Factors in Evaluating Prejudicial Effect | 19 |
| | Balancing the Weight of the Probative Value and | 2.0 |
| | the Prejudicial Effect | 20 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: No | 21 |
| | Significant Difference | 21 |
| Chapter 2 | The Character Evidence Exclusion Rules | 27 |
| | Dangers of Character Evidence | 28 |
| | Using Character Evidence | 29 |
| | The First Purpose: To Prove Character Itself | |
| | When Character Is at Issue | 30 |
| | The Second Purpose: Circumstantial Use of | |
| | Character Evidence to Prove Conduct in Conformity | 31 |

| Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: No | |
|--|----|
| Substantial Differences | 32 |
| The Third Purpose: To Prove Something Other | |
| Than Character or Conduct in Conformity | 33 |
| Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable Difference | 38 |
| The Three Basic Kinds of Character Evidence | 38 |
| Opinion Evidence | 39 |
| Reputation Evidence | 39 |
| Specific Instances of Conduct | 40 |
| Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: No | |
| Notable Difference | 43 |
| Habit Evidence | 43 |
| Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: No | |
| Notable Differences | 44 |
| Exceptions to the Character Evidence Ban | 51 |
| Criminal Defendant's Character to Prove | |
| Conduct: CEC 1102 | 52 |
| The Racialization of Character Evidence | 56 |
| Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| Differences | 57 |
| Other Sexual Offenses by the Criminal | |
| Defendant: CEC 1108 | 57 |
| Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Minor | |
| Differences | 60 |
| Other Crimes of Domestic Violence by the | |
| Criminal Defendant: CEC 1109 | 60 |
| Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| Differences | 61 |
| The Character of the Victim Exceptions: CEC 1103 | 66 |
| Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| Differences | 68 |
| The Victim's Character in Sexual Offenses: | |
| CEC 1103(c) | 70 |
| Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| Differences | 71 |
| The Character of the Victim in Civil Cases | |
| Alleging Sexual Abuse: CEC 1106 | 72 |
| Note on the Truth in Evidence Provision | |
| (Proposition 8) | 73 |
| Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| Differences | 74 |

| Chapter 3 | Other Policy Exclusions for Relevant Evidence | 83 |
|-----------|---|------|
| | Subsequent Remedial Conduct | 84 |
| | Illustrations of Which Measures Are Not Admissible Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: One | 84 |
| | Notable Difference | 85 |
| | Offers to Compromise | 86 |
| | Humanitarian Offers | 87 |
| | What Is Not Protected from Disclosure | 88 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| | Differences | 89 |
| | Offers to Plead Guilty | 89 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| | Differences | 90 |
| | Liability Insurance | 91 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| | Difference | 92 |
| | Expressions of Sympathy and Benevolence | 92 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: One | |
| | Notable Difference | 93 |
| | Mediation Provisions | 93 |
| | Other Less Frequently Used Policy Exclusions | 94 |
| | Evidence to Test a Verdict Records of Medical or Dental Study of In- | 94 |
| | Hospital Staff Committee | 94 |
| | Records of Medical and Psychiatric Studies of | |
| | Quality Assurance Committees | 95 |
| | Diagnosis of "Excited Delirium" | 95 |
| | Proceeding or Records of Organized | |
| | Committees on Quality of Care | 95 |
| | Animal Experimentation in Products Liability Actions | 95 |
| | Human Trafficking and Sex Work | 96 |
| Chapter 4 | The Hearsay Rule | 103 |
| | The Rationale for Excluding Hearsay | 104 |
| | Illustrating the Decrease in Reliability with Hearsay | 106 |
| | The Hearsay Analysis | 107 |
| | 1. Is this a Statement? | 107 |
| | 2. Was the Statement Made Out of this Court's | |
| | Hearing? | 111 |
| | 3. What Is the Statement Being Offered to Prove? | 112 |
| | Options for Admitting Statements that May Constitute Hearsay | 114 |
| | Call the Declarant to Testify in Court | 114 |
| | • | xiii |

| | Show That the Statement Does Not Meet the | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| | Three Elements of Hearsay | 114 |
| | Determine Whether the Statement Satisfies a | |
| | Hearsay Exception | 115 |
| | Double Hearsay | 115 |
| | Common Non-truth Purposes | 116 |
| | To Prove Facts That Are Material Under The | |
| | Substantive Law (M) | 116 |
| | To Explain Why: Subsequent Conduct/Effect on | |
| | Listener (Y) | 117 |
| | To Prove Relevant Knowledge (K) | 118 |
| | To Prove Further Investigation Was Required (I) | 118 |
| | To Prove a Warning Was Given (W) | 118 |
| | To Prove Conscious or Alive (A) | 119 |
| | To Prove the Nature of a Place of Business (N) | 119 |
| | To Impeach a Witness (I) | 120 |
| | To Prove Indirect State of Mind or Emotion (S) | 120 |
| | Limitations on Use for Non-TOMA Purposes | 121 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| | Differences | 121 |
| Chapter 5 | The Confrontation Clause and Unavailability | 129 |
| | The Confrontation Clause | 130 |
| | When Hearsay Declarants Are Not Physically | |
| | Present at Trial | 131 |
| | Modern History of Confrontation | 132 |
| | Indicia of Reliability | 132 |
| | Firmly Rooted Hearsay Exceptions | 133 |
| | Particularized Guarantees of Inherent Trustworthiness | 133 |
| | The Standard: Only Testimonial Statements | |
| | Implicate the Confrontation Clause | 134 |
| | Determining Whether a Statement Is Testimonial | 136 |
| | Statements to Police Officers and Emergency Personnel | 137 |
| | Statements in Scientific Reports | 139 |
| | Satisfying the Confrontation Clause When a Statement | |
| | Is Testimonial | 140 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: No | |
| | Significant Difference | 142 |
| | Determining Whether a Declarant Is Unavailable | 142 |
| | Privilege | 143 |
| | Disqualified | 143 |
| | Dead or Mentally or Physically Ill | 144 |
| | Absent When the Court Is Unable to Compel Attendance | 144 |

| | Absent Despite Reasonable Efforts to Get the | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| | Witness into Court | 144 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: No | |
| | Significant Difference | 146 |
| Chapter 6 | Hearsay Exceptions That Apply Only | |
| | When the Declarant Is Unavailable | 153 |
| | Declarations Against Interest | 153 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| | Differences | 157 |
| | Dying Declarations | 157 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| | Differences | 159 |
| | Previously Existing State of Mind | 159 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| | Differences | 161 |
| | Declarations Relating to Wills and Estates | 162 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Minor Differences | 162 |
| | Hearsay Exceptions for Former Testimony | 163 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| | Differences | 169 |
| | Other Limited Exceptions | 170 |
| | Procurement or Wrongdoing by the Proponent | 170 |
| | Unavailability Due to Homicide or Kidnapping | 171 |
| | Prior Statements of Deceased Declarant | |
| | Regarding Gangs | 172 |
| | Statements of Threats | 172 |
| | Other Limited Exceptions | 173 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| | Differences | 173 |
| | Forfeiture and the Confrontation Clause | 174 |
| Chapter 7 | Hearsay Exceptions: Party Admissions | |
| | and Prior Statements of Witnesses | 183 |
| | Admissions as Exceptions to the Hearsay Rule | 184 |
| | Any Statement Can Be an Admission | 185 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable Difference | 188 |
| | Adoptive Admissions | 188 |
| | Express, Implied, and Tacit Adoption | 190 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: No | |
| | Significant Difference | 192 |

| | Authorized Admissions | 192 |
|-----------|--|---|
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| | Differences | 193 |
| | Co-Conspirators' Admissions | 194 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| | Differences | 197 |
| | Discretion in the Order of Proof | 198 |
| | Other Exceptions to the Hearsay Rule: Prior | |
| | Statements of Witnesses | 207 |
| | Prior Inconsistent Statements | 207 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| | Differences | 209 |
| | Prior Consistent Statements | 209 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: No | |
| | Significant Differences | 213 |
| | Refreshing Recollection | 213 |
| | Past Recollection Recorded | 214 |
| | Is It Past Recollection Recorded? | 215 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: No | |
| | Significant Differences | 216 |
| | Statements of Identification | 216 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Minor Differences | 217 |
| Chambar 0 | Other Hearsay Exceptions | 225 |
| Chapter 8 | Other rearsay Exceptions | 225 |
| Chapter 8 | | 226 |
| Chapter 8 | Spontaneous Statements | |
| Chapter 8 | | |
| Chapter 8 | Spontaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met | 226 |
| Chapter 8 | Spontaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception | 226 228 |
| Chapter 8 | Spontaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met | 226 228 |
| Chapter 8 | Spontaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | 226 228 228 |
| Chapter 8 | Spontaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable Differences | 226 228 228 229 |
| Chapter 8 | Spontaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable Differences Contemporaneous Statements | 226 228 228 229 |
| Chapter 8 | Spontaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable Differences Contemporaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the | 226 228 228 229 229 |
| Chapter 8 | Spontaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable Differences Contemporaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met | 226 228 228 229 229 230 |
| Chapter 8 | Spontaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable Differences Contemporaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception | 226 228 228 229 229 230 |
| Chapter 8 | Spontaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable Differences Contemporaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception Federal Rules of Evidence | 226 228 228 229 229 230 231 |
| Chapter 8 | Spontaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable Differences Contemporaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Substantive Differences | 226 228 228 229 229 230 231 |
| Chapter 8 | Spontaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable Differences Contemporaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Substantive Differences State of Mind Declarations | 226 228 228 229 229 230 231 231 232 |
| Chapter 8 | Spontaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable Differences Contemporaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Substantive Differences State of Mind Declarations Indirect State of Mind Is Non-hearsay Direct State of Mind Requires a Hearsay Exception Determining Whether the Content of the | 228 228 229 229 230 231 231 232 232 |
| Chapter 8 | Spontaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable Differences Contemporaneous Statements The Standards for Determining Whether the Elements Are Met The Rationale for this Exception Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Substantive Differences State of Mind Declarations Indirect State of Mind Is Non-hearsay Direct State of Mind Requires a Hearsay Exception | 228 228 229 229 230 231 231 232 232 |

| | Using the Statement to Prove Subsequent Conduct | 234 |
|-----------|---|-----|
| | The Trustworthiness Element | 235 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence | |
| | Compared: Substantive Differences | 235 |
| | Multiple Levels of Hearsay | 236 |
| | Some Less Common Hearsay Exceptions | 237 |
| | Hearsay Exceptions for Documents | 246 |
| | Business Records | 246 |
| | The Elements of the Hearsay Exception for | |
| | Business Records | 248 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| | Differences | 249 |
| | The Absence of an Entry | 250 |
| | Records by a Public Employee | 251 |
| | Public Employee Records and Testimonial Statements | |
| | in Criminal Cases | 252 |
| | Absence of an Entry in Public Employee Records | 253 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Notable | |
| | Differences | 253 |
| Chapter 9 | Witness Testimony Foundations | 259 |
| | Witness Competency | 260 |
| | Truth-Telling | 261 |
| | Personal Knowledge | 262 |
| | Translators | 263 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Minor Differences | 264 |
| | Judges as Witnesses | 264 |
| | Jurors as Witnesses | 265 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence | |
| | Compared: Substantive Differences | 266 |
| | Questioning Witnesses: Direct, Cross-, and Redirect | |
| | Examinations | 266 |
| | The Types of Witness Examinations | 266 |
| | The Order of Witness Examinations | 267 |
| | Using Leading Questions in Witness Examinations | 268 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Minor Differences | 268 |
| | Impeaching and Supporting Credibility | 272 |
| | Civil Cases: CEC 786, 787, and 790 | 278 |
| | Criminal Cases: Proposition 8/The Truth in | |
| | Evidence Provision | 281 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence | |
| | Compared: Substantive Differences | 283 |

| | Limitations on Credibility Attacks: Religious Beliefs, | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | Sexual History, and Hypnosis | 285 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Some | |
| | Substantive Differences | 287 |
| Chapter 10 | Opinion Testimony: Lay and Expert | 293 |
| | Lay Opinions | 294 |
| | Opinion Rationally Based on the Witness's Perception | 295 |
| | Opinion Helpful to the Trier of Fact | 296 |
| | Expert Opinions | 297 |
| | The Basis for the Expert Opinion and the Reasonable | |
| | Reliance Test | 299 |
| | Using Hypothetical Questions with Experts | 301 |
| | Using Inadmissible Information with Experts | 301 |
| | The Confrontation Clause and Sanchez Error | 302 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Minor Differences | 303 |
| | Qualifying the Expert | 303 |
| | Cross-Examining the Expert | 304 |
| | Examining the Basis for the Expert Opinion | 306 |
| | Examining Bias and Other Interests | 307 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Some | |
| | Substantive Differences | 307 |
| | The Kelly Test for New Scientific Techniques and | |
| | Procedures: General Acceptance | 311 |
| | Examples of the Misleading Aura of Infallibility | 312 |
| | How to Satisfy Kelly | 313 |
| | Choosing the Right Test: Kelly or Reasonable Reliance? Federal Rules of Evidence | 313 |
| | Compared: Substantive Differences | 315 |
| | Expert Opinions Allowed on Ultimate Factual Issues | |
| | but Not Legal Issues | 316 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Minor Differences | 318 |
| | Court-Appointed Experts: CEC 730–733 | 318 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Minor Differences | 319 |
| | Expert Opinions on Specific Issues: CEC 810–824 | 319 |
| | The Intimate Partner Battering Exception: CEC 1107 | 320 |
| Chapter I I | The Burden of Proof, Presumptions, | |
| - | and Judicial Notice | 333 |
| | The Burden of Proof | 334 |
| | The Burden of Producing Evidence | 336 |
| | Presumptions and Inferences | 341 |
| | Conclusive Presumptions | 341 |
| | * | |

| | Rebuttable Presumptions | 342 |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Presumptions in Criminal Cases | 346 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence | |
| | Compared: Substantive Differences | 347 |
| | Judicial Notice: CEC 450–460 | 352 |
| | Mandatory Judicial Notice | 352 |
| | Permissive Judicial Notice | 353 |
| | Determining Whether Judicial Notice Is Appropriate | 353 |
| | Denying Judicial Notice | 354 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence | |
| | Compared: Substantive Differences | 355 |
| Chapter 12 | Authentication and the Secondary | |
| | Evidence Rule | 359 |
| | Authentication | 360 |
| | What Is a Writing? | 361 |
| | Methods of Authentication | 361 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Minor Differences | 366 |
| | The Secondary Evidence Rule | 370 |
| | Types of Secondary Evidence | 371 |
| | When the Secondary Evidence Rule Does Not Apply | 377 |
| | Presumptions for Proving Content | 377 |
| | Putting It All Together: Meeting the Authentication | |
| | and Secondary Evidence Requirements | 378 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: Minor Differences | 379 |
| | The Completeness Doctrine | 379 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence | |
| | Compared: Substantive Differences | 380 |
| Chapter 13 | Introduction to Privileges; Professional | |
| | Relationship Privileges | 385 |
| | The General Approach to Analyzing Privileges | 386 |
| | Ruling on Claims of Privilege | 389 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: No | |
| | Corresponding FRE | 390 |
| | The Attorney-Client Privilege: CEC 950–962 | 390 |
| | The Lawyer | 391 |
| | The Client | 391 |
| | Confidential Communications | 392 |
| | Communications in the Corporate Context | 394 |
| | Claiming the Privilege | 396 |

| | Waiver and Disclosures | 397 |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Exceptions to the Attorney-Client Privilege: | |
| | CEC 956–962 | 398 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: | |
| | Notable Differences | 401 |
| | The Physician-Patient Privilege: CEC 990–1007 | 412 |
| | Exceptions to the Physician-Patient Privilege Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: No | 414 |
| | Corresponding FRE | 415 |
| | The Psychotherapist-Patient Privilege: CEC 1010-1027 | 417 |
| | Exceptions to the Psychotherapist-Patient Privilege | 420 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: No | |
| | Corresponding FRE | 422 |
| Chapter 14 | Personal, Relationship, Counseling, | |
| - | and Other Privileges | 429 |
| | Privilege Against Self-Incrimination | 430 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: No Difference | 433 |
| | Spousal Testimony Privileges | 436 |
| | Privilege to Decline to Testify Against Your Spouse | 437 |
| | Privilege When Your Spouse Is a Party | 438 |
| | Waiving the Spousal Testimony Privileges | 439 |
| | Exceptions | 440 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: No | |
| | Corresponding FRE | 441 |
| | Confidential Marital Communications Privilege | 443 |
| | A Spouse Whether or Not a Party | 443 |
| | Has a Privilege During the Marital Relationship | |
| | and Afterward | 444 |
| | To Refuse to Disclose and to Prevent Another | |
| | from Disclosing | 444 |
| | A Communication Made in Confidence | 444 |
| | Between One Spouse and the Other Spouse | |
| | While They Were Legally Married | 444 |
| | Holder and Waiver | 445 |
| | Exceptions | 445 |
| | Federal Rules of Evidence Compared: No | |
| | Corresponding FRE | 447 |
| | The Clergy-Penitent Privileges | 452 |
| | Waiver | 453 |
| | Other Less Common Privileges | 457 |
| | Sexual Assault Victim-Counselor Privilege | 457 |
| | Domestic Violence Victim-Counselor Privilege | 458 |

| Human Trafficking Caseworker-Victim Privilege | 458 |
|---|------------|
| Official Information and Identity of Informer Privilege | 458 |
| Political Vote Privilege | 461 |
| Trade Secrets Privilege | 461 |
| Newspaper Immunity Privilege | 462 |
| Conclusion | 467 |
| Table of Hearsay Exceptions with Crossover to the Federal Rules of Evidence ndex | 469 473 |