

Exercises	xxxiii
Preface to the Seventh Edition	xxxvii
Preface to the First Edition	xxxix
Chapter 1 An Introduction to Civil Procedure	1
A. What Students Should Know from the Start	2
1. Why Procedure Matters	2
2. What Is “Civil Procedure”?	3
3. Meeting the Players and the Institutions	4
a. Parties	4
b. Jurisdiction	5
c. Remedies	5
4. Sources for the Rules and Doctrines	5
5. How to Approach Studying	7
6. About This Book	8
7. Law as Theater	9
<i>Milner S. Ball, The Promise of American Law: A Theological, Humanistic View of Legal Process</i>	10
B. An Opening Case: The Power and Limits of Courts	11
1. Reading a Federal Rule of Civil Procedure	11
Reading a Federal Rule	12
2. Interpreting Rules and Statutes	13
3. Background of <i>United States v. Hall</i>	14
Reading the Case	16
4. The Opinion	17
<i>United States v. Hall</i>	17
Review Questions	22
5. The Significance of <i>Hall</i> Today	22
Want to Know More?	23
C. The Right to Be Heard: Elements and History of Due Process	24
1. Introductory Case: <i>Goldberg v. Kelly</i>	25

a.	Background of <i>Goldberg v. Kelly</i>	25
b.	The Supreme Court's Opinion	26
	<i>Goldberg v. Kelly</i>	26
	Review Questions	32
	Questions to Ponder	33
c.	Context: History of Welfare Reform After <i>Goldberg v. Kelly</i>	33
2.	Due Process Values	34
	Frank L. Michelman, <i>The Supreme Court and Litigation Access Fees: The Right to Protect One's Rights</i>	34
	Tom R. Tyler and E. Allan Lind, <i>A Relational Model of Authority in Groups</i>	36
3.	Costs of Notice and a Hearing	38
a.	The Cost of Process	38
	<i>Mathews, Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare v. Eldridge</i>	38
	Review Questions	44
	Questions to Ponder	45
b.	Process Values, Costs, and Benefits	45
	Jerry L. Mashaw, <i>The Supreme Court's Due Process Calculus for Administrative Adjudication: Three Factors in Search of a Theory of Value</i>	45
	Louis Kaplow, <i>The Value of Accuracy in Adjudication: An Economic Analysis</i>	52
c.	The Cost of Notice	57
	<i>Jones v. Flowers</i>	58
	Review Questions	66
	Want to Know More?	66
4.	Enemy Combatants and Due Process	67
	<i>Hamdi v. Rumsfeld</i>	67
	Review Questions	75
	Want to Know More?	75
D.	Access to Lawyers and to the Legal System	76
1.	Access to Court	76
	<i>Boddie v. Connecticut</i>	76
	Review Questions	81
	Questions to Ponder	82
2.	Access to a Lawyer	83
	<i>Lassiter v. Department of Social Services of Durham County, North Carolina</i>	83
	Review Questions	94
	Questions to Ponder	94

Contents	xiii
3. Unbundling Legal Services	96
4. Donated Legal Services	96
5. The Comparative Perspective	97
Practice Exercise No. 1: Applying the <i>Mathews</i> Test	97
Chapter 2 Remedies and Stakes	99
A. Introduction	99
B. Provisional Relief	100
1. Securing the Judgment: Attachments, Garnishments, and Sequestration	100
a. Development of the Law of Prejudgment Remedies	101
<i>Fuentes v. Shevin</i>	102
Review Questions	107
b. Litigating Prejudgment Remedies After <i>Fuentes</i>	108
2. Preserving the Status Quo	109
a. The Standard for Issuing a Preliminary Injunction	109
<i>Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.</i>	109
Review Questions	119
b. The Scope of an Injunction	119
<i>Department of Homeland Security et al., v. New York</i>	120
Practice Exercise No. 2: Motion for a Preliminary Injunction	122
C. Final Relief	124
1. Equitable Relief	124
a. The Standard for a Permanent Injunction	124
<i>Walgreen Co. v. Sara Creek Property Co.</i>	125
Review Questions	127
Questions to Ponder	128
b. Types of Permanent Injunctions	128
c. Equitable Relief and the Judicial Role	130
<i>Brown v. Plata</i>	130
Questions to Ponder	143
d. Theories of Judicial Power Applied to Injunctive Relief	143
Lon Fuller, <i>The Forms and Limits of Adjudication</i>	144
Abram Chayes, <i>The Role of the Judge in Public Law Litigation</i>	146
Practice Exercise No. 3: Equitable Relief	151
e. Enforcement of Equitable Relief	152
2. Declaratory Relief	153
3. Damages	154

a. Nominal Damages	154
<i>Carey v. Piphus</i>	154
Review Questions	160
Questions to Ponder	160
b. Punitive Damages	161
Questions to Ponder	164
Practice Exercise No. 4: Damages	164
c. Enforcement of Damages	165
D. Settlement	166
Steven Shavell, <i>Foundations of Economic Analysis of Law</i>	166
Want to Know More?	169
E. Financing Litigation	171
1. The “American Rule”	171
2. Fee-Shifting Statutes	172
3. The Effect of Rule 68 Settlement Offers on Attorneys’ Fees	173
<i>Marek v. Chesny</i>	173
Review Questions	181
Questions to Ponder	181
Practice Exercise No. 5: Revising Fed. R. Civ. P. 68	184
a. Conditional Offer of Settlement	184
Questions to Ponder	185
b. Frivolous Claims	185
4. Assessing the Value of Legal Services	186
<i>City of Riverside v. Rivera</i>	186
Review Questions	191
Questions to Ponder	191
5. The Contingency Fee	192
6. Third-Party Litigation Financing	194
Jonathan T. Molot, <i>Litigation Finance: A Market Solution to a Procedural Problem</i>	194
Questions to Ponder	197
Practice Exercise No. 6: Fee Arrangements	197
F. Contempt	197
David Luban, <i>Legal Modernism</i>	198
<i>Walker v. City of Birmingham</i>	200
Review Questions	206
Questions to Ponder	207
Martin Luther King, Jr., <i>Letter from Birmingham Jail</i>	208
Questions to Ponder	216

Chapter 3	Thinking Like a Trial Lawyer, Pleadings, and Joinder	219
A.	Introduction	219
B.	The Stages and Essential Concepts of a Civil Litigation	219
C.	Claims, Causes of Action, and Elements	228
	Practice Exercise No. 7: Initial Strategy Session in <i>Carpenter</i>	231
D.	Pleadings and Amendments	231
1.	The Purpose and Doctrine of Complaints	233
	<i>Conley v. Gibson</i>	234
	a. The Lower Courts' Response to Notice Pleading	236
	b. A New Pleading Standard: Plausibility	237
	<i>Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly</i>	238
	Review Questions	252
	c. The Aftermath of Twombly	252
	<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i>	253
	Review Questions	262
	d. What Happened Next?	262
	e. Plausibility Pleading in Action	262
	Want to Know More?	265
	f. Abrogating the Forms	265
	g. Frivolous Litigation	265
	h. Congressional Legislation and Pleading	266
	Questions to Ponder	267
	i. Winning a 12(b)(6) Motion	267
	<i>Bower v. Weisman</i>	267
	Review Questions	272
	j. The Four-Corners Rule	273
	Want to Know More?	273
	Practice Exercise No. 8: Analyzing 12(b)(6) and 12(e) Motions in <i>Carpenter</i>	274
	Practice Exercise No. 9: Conclusory Allegations	274
	2. Strategy Considerations	275
	3. Technical Pleading Requirements	276
	4. Anonymous Plaintiffs	277
	<i>Freedom from Religion Foundation, Inc. v. Emanuel County School System</i>	278
	Review Question	282
	Questions to Ponder	282
	<i>Distinguishing Anonymous Plaintiffs from "John Doe" Defendants</i>	283

5. Answers, Motions, and Affirmative Defenses	283
a. Preliminary Motions	283
b. Motions to Dismiss	284
c. Answers	286
d. Admissions and Denials	287
e. Affirmative Defenses	289
Practice Exercise No. 10: Analyzing the Answer in <i>Carpenter</i>	290
Review Exercise No. 1	291
6. Amendments	292
<i>Singletary v. Pennsylvania Department of Corrections</i>	296
Review Questions	303
<i>Krupski v. Costa Crociere S.p.A.</i>	304
Review Questions	309
a. State Procedural Rules	310
b. Calculating the Statute of Limitations Period	310
Practice Exercise No. 11: Argument on Plaintiff's Motion to Amend in <i>Carpenter</i>	311
c. Tips on Arguing Motions	313
E. The Historical Background of Civil Procedure	314
1. The First Era: Common Law and Equity	314
a. Common Law Procedure	315
Want to Know More?	316
b. Equity Procedure	317
c. Coming to America	318
Questions to Ponder	319
2. The Second Era: The Field Code	319
David Dudley Field, <i>What Shall Be Done with the Practice of the Courts?</i>	320
Review Question	322
a. The Field Family	322
b. The Politics of Procedure	322
Question to Ponder	323
c. Transnational Civil Procedure	323
3. The Third Era: Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	323
a. The Rules of Decision Act and the Process and Conformity Acts	323
b. The Rules Enabling Act and the Drafting of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	325
Want to Know More?	328
Questions to Ponder	328

c. Trans-Substantive Procedure and Politics	328
d. Procedural Rulemaking	329
4. Are We Now in a Fourth Era?	329
Chief Justice Warren E. Burger, <i>Agenda for 2000 A.D. — A Need for Systematic Anticipation</i>	332
Simon H. Rifkind, <i>Are We Asking Too Much of Our Courts?</i>	333
Frank E.A. Sander, <i>Varieties of Dispute Processing</i>	335
Laura Nader, <i>Commentary</i>	336
a. The Role of Procedural Rules	337
F. Sanctions	339
<i>Chaplin v. DuPont Advance Fiber Systems</i>	340
Review Questions	344
1. Safe Harbor	345
2. “Bad Faith” Is Not a Necessary Condition	347
Question to Ponder	347
3. The Chilling Effect of Sanctions	347
4. Monetary and Nonmonetary Sanctions	348
5. State Procedure	348
Questions to Ponder	349
6. Frivolous Litigation	349
7. Other Sources of Authority to Sanction	350
Practice Exercise No. 12: Reviewing the <i>Carpenter</i> Complaint Under Rule 11	350
G. Simple Joinder	351
<i>A.D. v. LaQuinta Holdings, Inc.; Choice Hotels Intl., Inc.; Wyndham Hotels & Resorts, Inc.; et al.</i>	353
Review Questions	356
1. Joinder Is Only One Star in the Constellation of Procedure	357
2. The “Transaction or Occurrence” Test	358
3. Litigation Strategy	358
4. Judicial Discretion	359
Questions to Ponder	359
H. Counterclaims and Cross-Claims	360
<i>Podhorn v. Paragon Group, Inc.</i>	360
Review Questions	361
1. Revisiting Motions to Dismiss	362
Questions to Ponder	363
2. The “Transaction or Occurrence” Test, Again	363
3. Cross-claims	363

Practice Exercise No. 13: Considering Counterclaims, Cross-Claims, and Rule 13(h) Additional Parties in <i>Carpenter</i>	364
I. Third-Party Practice	364
<i>Gross v. Hanover Insurance Co.</i>	365
Review Question	369
Practice Exercise No. 14: Considering an Impleader in <i>Carpenter</i>	369
J. An Introduction to Necessary and Indispensable Parties	370
<i>Temple v. Synthes Corporation, Ltd.</i>	370
Review Questions	372
Review Questions	374
<i>Daynard v. Ness, Motley, Loadholt, Richardson & Poole, P.A.</i>	374
Review Questions	382
1. Considering Strategy	382
Questions to Ponder	383
K. Interpleader	383
L. Intervention	384
<i>United States v. Northern Indiana Public Service Co. et al.</i>	384
Review Questions	386
1. Statutory Authority to Intervene	387
Review Exercise No. 2	387
Review Exercise No. 3	388
Chapter 4 Discovery	391
A. Introduction	391
B. Discovery and Its Tensions	393
Wayne Brazil, <i>The Adversary Character of Civil Discovery</i>	393
Strategic Considerations in Discovery	395
Could We Eliminate Discovery?	396
Professional Ethics in Discovery	396
ABA Model Code of Professional Responsibility	397
ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct	398
C. The Mechanics of Discovery	402
1. Mandatory Initial Disclosures	403
2. Party-Controlled Discovery	404
a. Depositions	404
b. Written Interrogatories	406
c. Production of Documents (Including ESI) and Things	407
d. Physical and Mental Examinations	410

e. Requests for Admissions	411
f. Informal Discovery	411
g. Experts	412
h. A Brief Introduction to the Rules of Evidence	413
Practice Exercise No. 15: Discovery Planning in <i>Carpenter</i>	417
3. The Scope of Discovery	417
<i>Oxbow Carbon & Minerals LLC v. Union Pacific R.R. Co.</i>	420
Review Questions	427
The Fact-Contingent Nature of Discovery Disputes	427
Question to Ponder	428
More on Fee-Shifting	428
The Proportionality Factors in <i>Oxbow</i>	428
Revisiting Relevance	429
<i>Alley v. MTD Products, Inc.</i>	429
30(b)(6) Depositions	433
Discovery on Discovery	433
The Proportionality Factors in <i>Alley</i>	433
Framing a Discovery Request	435
Seeking Discovery Produced in Other Lawsuits	435
Options for Responding to Overbroad or Objectionable Discovery Requests	435
Career Opportunities	435
Law Review Articles	436
<i>Freedom's Path at Dayton v. Dayton Metro. Hous. Auth.</i>	436
Review Question	449
The Proportionality Factors in <i>Freedom's Path</i>	449
Civility and Professionalism	449
Magistrate Judges	450
Discovery Abuse: The Avalanche and the Stonewall	451
Questions to Ponder	451
Practice Exercise No. 16: Ethical Issues in Discovery	452
Practice Exercise No. 17: Analyzing the Scope of Discovery	454
Practice Exercise No. 18: Analyzing the Scope of Third-Party Discovery	455
Practice Exercise No. 19: Analyzing Proportionality in Discovery	456
Practice Exercise No. 20: Finding an Expert (and Expertise) in <i>Carpenter</i>	457
<i>Hickman v. Taylor</i>	458
Review Questions	463

D. Procedural Rulemaking	464
Catherine T. Struve, <i>The Paradox of Delegation: Interpreting the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure</i>	464
Stephen B. Burbank, <i>Ignorance and Procedural Law Reform</i>	465
Laurens Walker, <i>Federal Civil Rulemaking</i>	466
Letter of Transmittal from Justice Rehnquist	467
Practice Exercise No. 21: Assessing the Rulemaking Process	470
Chapter 5 The Right to Jury Trial and Judicial Control of Results	471
A. Values and Historical Background	471
1. Introduction	471
2. The Debate over the American Civil Jury	472
Jerome T. Frank, <i>Courts on Trial</i>	474
Harry Kalven, <i>The Dignity of the Civil Jury</i>	475
Valerie P. Hans and Neil Vidmar, <i>Judging the Jury</i>	477
Punitive Damages	480
The Competence of Juries	482
Policy Arguments in Favor of Juries	482
Questions to Ponder	483
Three Current Debates about Juries	484
Reforming Juries	486
3. The Historical Background of the Modern American Civil Jury	487
B. The Right to Jury Trial in Civil Cases in Federal Court	488
<i>Chauffeurs, Teamsters and Helpers, Local No. 391 v. Terry</i>	489
Review Questions	497
<i>Markman</i> Hearings	497
Sequencing Jury and Judicial Determinations	497
Statutes and the Right to a Jury Trial	498
State Procedure	498
Practice Exercise No. 22: Legislative Exercise Regarding Right to Jury Trial	498
C. Jury Selection: Techniques and Purposes, Including Peremptory Challenges	499
1. Attorney Behavior	499
Valerie P. Hans and Neil Vidmar, <i>Judging the Jury</i>	501
Federal Jury Selection Statutes	502
Questions to Ponder	503
2. Peremptory Challenges and Discrimination	504
Should Peremptory Challenges Be Eliminated?	505
Question to Ponder	507

Extending <i>Batson</i>	509
Waiving the Jury Trial Right	510
Practice Exercise No. 23: Legislation Regarding Discrimination in Jury Service	510
D. Summary Judgment	511
1. An Extraordinary Remedy	513
<i>Adickes v. S.H. Kress & Co.</i>	514
Background of <i>Adickes v. S.H. Kress & Co.</i>	520
The Original Conception of Summary Judgment	524
Review Question	524
Questions to Ponder	524
More about the Case	524
2. A Focal Point of Litigation	525
<i>Celotex Corp. v. Catrett</i>	525
Review Question	532
The Trilogy of Cases	532
Summary Judgment as Terminus	535
Timing and FRCP 56(d)	536
Historical Context	536
Constitutionality	537
Genuine Disputes of Material Fact—and Video Evidence	537
Questions to Ponder	542
3. The Fact-Law Distinction	542
William Schwarzer, <i>Summary Judgment Under the Federal Rules: Defining Genuine Issues of Material Fact</i>	543
4. Strategic Considerations	544
Practice Exercise No. 24: Summary Judgment Motion Session in <i>Carpenter (McGill's Garage)</i>	545
Practice Exercise No. 25: Summary Judgment Planning in <i>Carpenter (Burrell)</i>	546
E. Dismissal, Directed Verdicts, Judgments Notwithstanding the Verdict, New Trial Motions, and Motions to Vacate Judgment	549
1. Voluntary Dismissal	550
2. Involuntary Dismissal	551
3. Directed Verdict (Judgment as a Matter of Law)	551
4. Judgment Notwithstanding the Verdict (JNOV/Renewed Judgment as a Matter of Law)	552
5. Motions for New Trial	554
6. Judgments as a Matter of Law and New Trial Motions in More Detail	557

<i>Galloway v. United States</i>	557
Review Questions	570
Strategic Approaches	571
The Role of Evidentiary Rules	572
Directed Verdict Motions by Plaintiffs	572
A Question of Law (About the Sufficiency of Evidence/Facts!)	572
JNOV Motions and New Trial Motions	573
Review Exercise No. 4	574
7. Motion to Vacate Judgment	576
<i>Brandon v. Chicago Board of Education</i>	577
F. Types of Verdicts, Bifurcation, Trifurcation, Instructions, Remittitur, and Additur	580
1. Types of Verdicts	580
2. Bifurcation and Trifurcation	581
3. Jury Instructions	583
Practice Exercise No. 26: Jury Instructions in <i>Carpenter</i>	586
4. Remittitur and Additur	586
Review Questions	588
Questions to Ponder	588
Jury Controls	588
Questions to Ponder	588
Practice Exercise No. 27: Motions to Challenge a Jury Verdict	588
G. Closing Arguments	589
Lloyd Paul Stryker, <i>The Art of Advocacy</i>	589
Practice Exercise No. 28: Closing Argument in <i>Carpenter</i>	592
Tips on Making a Closing Argument	593
H. Appeals	594
Review Exercise No. 5	597
Chapter 6 Alternative Dispute Resolution	601
A. Negotiation	603
Hal Abramson, <i>Fashioning an Effective Negotiation Style</i>	606
Questions to Ponder	611
B. Mediation	611
Sarah R. Cole, Craig A. McEwen, et al., <i>Judicial Power to Compel Mediation</i>	613
Questions to Ponder	618
Want to Know More?	618
Practice Exercise No. 29: Mediation in <i>Carpenter</i>	618

C. Arbitration	620
1. Enforcement of Mandatory Arbitration Clauses	622
2. Preemption	625
<i>Kindred Nursing Centers Limited Partnership v. Clark</i>	626
Review Questions	629
Question to Ponder	630
3. Recent Developments	631
Practice Exercise No. 30: Reviewing an Arbitration Clause	631
D. The Broader Debate over ADR	640
Review Exercise No. 6: Alternative Dispute Resolution Under Local Rule	642
Chapter 7 The Choice of an Appropriate Court: Personal Jurisdiction, Notice, and Venue	647
A. Introduction and Overview	647
B. Jurisdiction over the Person or Property of the Defendant	648
C. The Traditional Conception of Personal Jurisdiction	649
A Glossary of Terms	649
<i>Pennoyer v. Neff</i>	650
Your Takeaway	655
Review Questions	655
Questions to Ponder	656
<i>Harris v. Balk</i>	659
Review Questions	661
Questions to Ponder	661
<i>Hess v. Pawloski</i>	661
Review Questions	663
D. The Modern Conception of Personal Jurisdiction	664
<i>International Shoe Co. v. State of Washington</i>	664
Your Takeaway	667
Review Questions	667
Questions to Ponder	669
Fun Facts	670
E. Note on Long-Arm Statutes	670
The Nexus Requirement	671
Long-Arm Statute Analysis	672
More to Ponder	672
F. Note on Specific as Compared to General Jurisdiction	673

Questions to Ponder	674
G. Note on Personal Jurisdiction in Federal Court	674
Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(k)(2) — The “Federal Long-Arm Statute” and Jurisdiction over Foreign Corporations	675
More to Ponder	676
H. Minimum-Contacts Analysis in Operation	677
<i>World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson</i>	678
Your Takeaway	686
Review Questions	686
Questions to Ponder	688
<i>Calder v. Jones</i>	689
Your Takeaway	691
Review Questions	691
Matters to Ponder	691
<i>Walden v. Fiore</i>	693
Review Questions	697
<i>Asahi Metal Industry Co., Ltd. v. Superior Court of California, Solano County</i>	697
Your Takeaway	703
Review Questions	704
Questions to Ponder	705
<i>J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicastro</i>	706
Your Takeaway	719
Review Questions	719
Questions to Ponder	722
Practice Exercise No. 31: Applying a Long-Arm Statute in <i>Carpenter v. Dee</i>	723
<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Superior Court of California</i>	725
Your Takeaway	734
Review Notes & Questions	734
Questions to Ponder	736
<i>Ford Motor Co. v. Montana Eighth Judicial Dist. Ct.</i>	737
Review Notes & Questions	753
<i>Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz</i>	755
Your Takeaway	764
Review Questions	764
Questions to Ponder	766
Practice Exercise No. 32: Ruling on a Rule 12(b)(2) Motion to Dismiss in a Contract Action	766

I. Personal Jurisdiction in Cyberspace	767
<i>Rao v. Era Alaska Airlines</i>	767
Your Takeaway	773
Review Questions	773
More on Internet Jurisdiction Today	774
Defamation over the Internet	775
More to Ponder	777
Questions to Ponder: Third-Party Intermediaries	777
Practice Exercise No. 33: Electronic Contacts and Virtual Presence	784
Practice Exercise No. 34: A Twenty-First-Century Fish Story	784
J. Jurisdiction Based on the Presence of Defendant's Property	786
<i>Shaffer v. Heitner</i>	786
Review Questions	795
Questions to Ponder	796
K. Jurisdiction Based Solely on Personal Service Within the Forum State	798
<i>Burnham v. Superior Court of California</i>	798
Review Questions	806
Questions to Ponder	807
L. General Jurisdiction	808
<i>Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S. A., et al. v. Brown et ux., Co-Administrators of the Estate of Brown, et al.</i>	809
Your Takeaway	813
Review Questions	814
Questions to Ponder	815
<i>Daimler AG v. Bauman</i>	816
Your Takeaway	825
Review Questions	825
Questions to Ponder	826
<i>BNSF Railway Co. v. Tyrrell</i>	828
Your Takeaway	832
Review Questions	832
Questions to Ponder	833
Note on <i>Mallory v. Norfolk Southern Ry. Co.</i>	834
M. Consent	835
<i>Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc. v. Shute</i>	836
Your Takeaway	840
Review Questions	840
Questions to Ponder	844

N. Notice	845
<i>Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co.</i>	845
Your Takeaway	849
Review Questions	849
Note on Fed. R. Civ. P. 4	850
O. Venue and <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i>	851
<i>Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno</i>	852
Your Takeaway	857
Review Questions	857
Practice Exercise No. 35: Location, Location, Location	860
Review Exercise No. 7	860
P. Note on Personal Jurisdiction Under International Law	861
Chapter 8 The Choice of an Appropriate Court: Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Removal	863
A. Introduction to Subject Matter Jurisdiction	863
Sources of the Federal Courts' Subject Matter Jurisdiction	864
B. Federal Question Jurisdiction	866
<i>Louisville & Nashville Railroad Co. v. Mottley</i>	867
Review Questions	869
1. What Happened Next?	870
2. Differentiating Between Article III and § 1331	871
3. Declaratory Judgments	871
4. Counterclaims	872
5. Exceptions to the Well-Pleaded Complaint Rule	872
<i>Gunn v. Minton</i>	874
Review Questions	880
Questions to Ponder	880
C. Diversity Jurisdiction	881
The History of Diversity Jurisdiction	881
The Modern Debate Over Diversity Jurisdiction	882
1. Complete Diversity	883
Corporations	883
Unincorporated Associations	884
Natural Persons	884
<i>Ceglia v. Zuckerberg</i>	884
Exceptions to the Complete Diversity Requirement	887
Alienage Jurisdiction	887
Citizens Without a Domicile	888
Review Questions	889

Contents	xxvii
2. Amount in Controversy	890
<i>Hicks v. Leslie Feely Fine Arts, LLC and John Doe</i>	891
Review Questions	895
Questions to Ponder	895
Review Exercise No. 8	896
D. Supplemental Jurisdiction	897
<i>United Mine Workers of America v. Gibbs</i>	898
Review Questions	900
More to Ponder	901
<i>Owen Equipment and Erection Co. v. Kroger</i>	902
Review Questions	906
<i>Finley v. United States</i>	907
The Supplemental Jurisdiction Statute: 28 U.S.C. §1367	910
House Report No. 101-734	911
Review Questions	913
<i>Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Allapattah Services, Inc.</i>	914
Review Questions	921
Questions to Ponder	921
Practice Exercise No. 36: Challenging Subject Matter Jurisdiction in <i>Carpenter</i>	921
E. Removal	922
Strategic Considerations by Plaintiffs	924
Strategic Considerations by Defendants	924
Federal Preemption	924
Class Actions	925
Review Questions	926
Review Exercise No. 9	926
Chapter 9 Choice of Federal or State Law—The Erie Doctrine	929
<i>Erie Railroad Co. v. Tompkins</i>	930
Your Takeaway	934
Review Questions	934
More to Ponder	937
<i>Guaranty Trust Co. v. York</i>	940
Your Takeaway	942
Review Questions	942
<i>Byrd v. Blue Ridge Rural Electric Cooperative, Inc.</i>	944
Your Takeaway	946
Review Questions	946

<i>Hanna v. Plumer</i>	947
Your Takeaway	953
Review Questions	953
More to Ponder	954
<i>Walker v. Armco Steel Corp.</i>	955
Your Takeaway	959
Review Questions	960
<i>Gasperini v. Center for Humanities, Inc.</i>	962
Your Takeaway	968
Review Questions	969
The <i>Erie</i> Drama in Three Acts	970
The <i>Erie</i> Epilogue	970
<i>Shady Grove Orthopedic Associates v. Allstate Insurance Co.</i>	971
Your Takeaway	980
Review Questions	981
Practice Exercise No. 37: Analyzing Vertical Choice of Law Issues in <i>Carpenter</i>	982
Review Exercise No. 10	983
Note on “Reverse-Erie”	983
Chapter 10 Finality and Preclusion	985
A. Introduction	985
Restatement (Second) of Judgments	986
B. Claim Preclusion (<i>Res Judicata</i>) — “Speak Now or Forever Hold Your Peace”	987
1. What Constitutes the “Same Claim”?	988
Restatement (Second) of Judgments	988
<i>Car Carriers, Inc. v. Ford Motor Co.</i>	990
Your Takeaway	993
Review Questions	994
More to Ponder	996
2. What Constitutes a “Final Valid Judgment on the Merits”?	999
Restatement (Second) of Judgments	999
3. “The Parties Are the Same or Are in Privity”	1000
<i>Taylor v. Sturgell</i>	1000
Your Takeaway	1006
Review Questions	1006
More to Ponder	1007
Practice Exercise No. 38: Applying Claim Preclusion Doctrine in <i>Carpenter</i>	1008

Contents	xxix
C. Issue Preclusion (Collateral Estoppel)	1008
1. Defined	1008
2. In Operation	1010
<i>David P. Hoult v. Jennifer Hoult</i>	1010
Review Questions	1012
Requirements for Issue Preclusion Listed in <i>Hoult v. Hoult</i>	1013
3. “Essential to the Judgment”	1013
<i>Jarosz v. Palmer</i>	1013
Review Questions	1015
Issue preclusion and the Saga of O.J. Simpson	1016
Issue Preclusion in Criminal Cases	1017
Defensive vs. Offensive Issue Preclusion	1017
4. Nonmutual Issue Preclusion	1017
<i>Parklane Hosiery Co., Inc. v. Shore</i>	1018
Review Questions	1023
Questions to Ponder	1024
Practice Exercise No. 39: Applying Preclusion Doctrine	1025
5. Issue Preclusion in Mass Tort Litigation	1025
<i>Hardy v. Johns-Manville Sales Corp.</i>	1025
Review Questions	1029
6. Issue Preclusion in Trademark Law	1029
<i>B & B Hardware, Inc. v. Hargis Industries, Inc.</i>	1029
Review Questions	1035
More to Ponder	1036
D. The Counterweights to Finality	1036
<i>Federated Department Stores, Inc. v. Moitie</i>	1036
Review Questions	1042
Practice Exercise No. 40: Preclusion and New Precedent in <i>Carpenter</i>	1044
<i>Allen v. McCurry</i>	1045
Review Questions	1049
Note on Cross-Jurisdictional Preclusion	1050
Review Exercise No. 11	1051
Chapter 11 Aggregate Litigation: It All Comes Together	1053
A. Introduction	1053
The agent Principal Problem	1054
Fairness Between Plaintiffs	1055
Global Resolution for Defendants and the Judicial System	1055

B. Class Action Practice, Policy, and Context	1056
1. Introduction	1056
2. Policy Concerns in Class Actions (and Aggregate Litigation)	1057
Efficiency	1057
Deterrence	1058
Individual Rights	1058
3. History: Where Have We Been, Where Are We Going?	1059
C. Due Process Foundations	1060
1. Adequacy of Representation	1060
Allen R. Kamp, The History Behind <i>Hansberry v. Lee</i>	1060
<i>Hansberry v. Lee</i>	1065
Review Questions	1069
More to Ponder	1069
2. Participation	1070
<i>Phillips Petroleum Co. v. Shutts</i>	1070
Review Questions	1077
More to Ponder	1077
D. The Class Action Rule	1080
1. The Contours of the Federal Rule	1080
a. Threshold Requirements	1080
b. Types of Class Actions Under 23(b)	1081
c. Settlement Approval	1082
d. Interlocutory Appeal	1083
e. Appointing the Class Counsel	1083
f. Attorneys' Fees	1084
2. The Rule in Application	1084
<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes</i>	1084
Review Questions	1099
More to Ponder	1099
Practice Exercise No. 41	1100
E. Subject Matter Jurisdiction in Class Action and Other Complex Litigation	1102
1. Subject Matter Jurisdiction over Class Actions	1102
2. Subject Matter and Personal Jurisdiction in Mass Torts	1104
CAFA's "Mass Action" Provision	1104
The Multiparty, Multiforum Trial Jurisdiction Act of 2002	1105
Multidistrict Litigation	1106

Contents	xxxi
F. Revisiting the Judicial Role in Aggregate Settlements	1107
1. Background on Agent Orange	1107
2. Rethinking the Judicial Role and the Adversarial System	1108
Martha Minow, <i>Judge for the Situation: Judge Jack Weinstein, Creator of Temporary Administrative Agencies</i>	1108
Review Questions	1111
More to Ponder	1111
Practice Exercise No. 42: The Judicial Role in Aggregate Litigation	1112
Want to Know More?	1114
G. Preclusion in Class Actions	1114
<i>Martin v. Wilks</i>	1114
Civil Rights Act of 1991	1126
Review Questions	1126
Practice Exercise No. 43: The Supreme Court Addresses the Constitutionality of Preclusion Under the Civil Rights Act	1126
Case Files	1129
Carpenter v. Dee	1129
Table of Cases	1221
Table of Statutes and Rules	1241
Index	1251

PRACTICE EXERCISES

CHAPTER 1

No. 1: Applying the *Mathews* Test

CHAPTER 2

No. 2: Motion for a Preliminary Injunction

No. 3: Equitable Relief

No. 4: Damages

No. 5: Revising Fed. R. Civ. P. 68

No. 6: Fee Arrangements

CHAPTER 3

No. 7: Initial Strategy Session in *Carpenter*

No. 8: Analyzing 12(b)(6) and 12(e) Motions in *Carpenter*

No. 9: Conclusory Allegations

No. 10: Analyzing the Answer in *Carpenter*

No. 11: Argument on Plaintiff's Motion to Amend in *Carpenter*

No. 12: Reviewing the *Carpenter* Complaint Under Rule 11

No. 13: Considering Counterclaims, Cross-Claims, and Rule 13(h)
Additional Parties in *Carpenter*

No. 14: Considering an Impleader in *Carpenter*

CHAPTER 4

No. 15: Discovery Planning in *Carpenter*

No. 16: Ethical Issues in Discovery

No. 17: Analyzing the Scope of Discovery

No. 18: Analyzing the Scope of Third-Party Discovery

No. 19: Analyzing Proportionality in Discovery

No. 20: Finding an Expert (and Expertise) in *Carpenter*

No. 21: Assessing the Rulemaking Process

CHAPTER 5

No. 22: Legislative Exercise Regarding Right to Jury Trial

No. 23: Legislation Regarding Discrimination in Jury Service

- No. 24: Summary Judgment Motion Session in *Carpenter (McGill's Garage)*
- No. 25: Summary Judgment Planning in *Carpenter (Burrell)*
- No. 26: Jury Instructions in *Carpenter*
- No. 27: Motions to Challenge a Jury Verdict
- No. 28: Closing Argument in *Carpenter*

CHAPTER 6

- No. 29: Mediation in *Carpenter*
- No. 30: Reviewing an Arbitration Clause

CHAPTER 7

- No. 31: Applying a Long-Arm Statute in *Carpenter v. Dee*
- No. 32: Ruling on a Rule 12(b)(2) Motion to Dismiss in a Contract Action
- No. 33: Electronic Contacts and Virtual Presence
- No. 34: A Twenty-First-Century Fish Story
- No. 35: Location, Location, Location

CHAPTER 8

- No. 36: Challenging Subject Matter Jurisdiction in *Carpenter*

CHAPTER 9

- No. 37: Analyzing Vertical Choice of Law Issues in *Carpenter*

CHAPTER 10

- No. 38: Applying Claim Preclusion Doctrine in *Carpenter*
- No. 39: Applying Preclusion Doctrine
- No. 40: Preclusion and New Precedent in *Carpenter*

CHAPTER 11

- No. 41
- No. 42: The Judicial Role in Aggregate Litigation
- No. 43: The Supreme Court Addresses the Constitutionality of Preclusion Under the Civil Rights Act

REVIEW EXERCISES

CHAPTER 3

- No. 1
- No. 2
- No. 3

EXERCISES

xxxv

CHAPTER 5

- No. 4
- No. 5

CHAPTER 6

- No. 6

CHAPTER 7

- No. 7

CHAPTER 8

- No. 8
- No. 9

CHAPTER 9

- No. 10

CHAPTER 10

- No. 11