

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	<i>xxxi</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxxv</i>
<i>Authors' Note</i>	<i>xxxvii</i>

1 INTRODUCTION TO CONTRACTS	1
A. An Introduction to the Study of Contract Law	1
1. The Legal Definition of Contract	1
2. The Sources, Nature, and Traditions of Contract Law	2
3. The Doctrine of Precedent and Case Analysis	6
4. The Role of Scholarly Commentary	11
5. Theoretical Perspectives on Contract Law	13
6. Transnational Perspectives on Contract Law	18
B. What Makes an Agreement into a Contract	19
C. The Enforcement of Contracts: An Introduction to Remedies	21
1. Damages or Specific Performance: An Introduction to the Distinction Between Law and Equity	21
<i>Kakaes v. George Washington University</i>	23
Questions	27
Problem 1.1	28
Problem 1.2	28
2. Expectation Damages	29
Questions	29
Problem 1.3	30
Problem 1.4	31
3. The Method of Enforcing an Award of Damages	31
2 SALES OF GOODS	33
A. An Introduction to Article 2	33
1. The Creation of the Uniform Commercial Code	33
2. The UCC Provisions Covered in This Course: Articles 1 and 2	34
3. Revisions of the UCC	35

4. The Style and Jurisprudence of the UCC	35
UCC 1-103(a). Construction of [Uniform Commercial Code] to Promote Its Purposes and Policies . . .	36
5. Working with Article 2	36
6. The Organization of Article 2 and the Citation of Code Sections	37
7. The Official Comments to the UCC	38
B. The Scope of Article 2	38
1. The Scope of Article 2 Generally	38
2. The Scope of Article 2 in Hybrid Transactions	39
<i>Suntrust Bank v. Venable</i>	40
Questions	44
Problem 2.1	44
3. The Applicability of Article 2 to Sales of Intangible Rights, Especially Software and Other Intellectual Property	45
<i>Pain Center of SE Indiana LLC v. Origin Healthcare Solutions LLC</i>	47
Questions	51
Problem 2.2	52
C. Merchants and Article 2	52
UCC 2-104(1). Definitions: “Merchant” . . .	52
<i>Zaretsky v. William Goldberg Diamond Corp.</i>	54
Problem 2.3	57
D. International Sales of Goods and the CISG	58
3 CONTRACTUAL ASSENT AND THE OBJECTIVE TEST	61
A. The Objective Standard for Determining Assent and the Reasonable Person Construct	61
1. The Objective Test of Assent	61
<i>Morales v. Sun Constructors, Inc.</i>	63
2. The Relevance of Subjective Evidence Under the Objective Test	65
<i>SR International Business Insurance Co. v. World Trade Center Properties, LLC</i>	66
Problem 3.1	67
3. The Determination of Objective Meaning by the Reasonable Person Construct	68
Problem 3.2	68
B. The Duty to Read	69
1. The Duty to Read Standard Terms	69
<i>James v. McDonald’s Corp.</i>	69
Questions	72

2. Arbitration and Forum Selection Provisions in Standard Contracts	73
3. Boxtop and Shrinkwrap Terms	76
Problem 3.3	76
Problem 3.4	77
4. The Duty to Read Standard Terms in a Web-Based Transaction: Clickwrap, Browsewrap, and Hybrid (Sign-in) Terms	78
<i>Nguyen v. Barnes & Noble Inc.</i>	79
Questions	83
<i>Feldman v. Google, Inc.</i>	84
Problem 3.5	85
<i>Meyer v. Uber Technologies, Inc.</i>	86
C. Manifestations of Apparent Intent Not Meant Seriously — Bluffs, Hyperbole, and Jokes	90
<i>Lucy v. Zehmer</i>	91
<i>Leonard v. PepsiCo, Inc.</i>	94
<i>Kolodziej v. Mason</i>	98
Question	104
4 THE OFFER	105
A. The Process of Contract Formation	105
1. The Offer and Acceptance Model	105
2. An Overview of the Process of Contract Formation	106
B. The Nature and Qualities of an Offer	107
1. The Definition of “Offer” at Common Law	107
2. Offers Under UCC Article 2	107
UCC 1-103(b). . . Applicability of Supplemental Principles of Law	107
UCC 2-204. Formation in General	108
3. Interpreting the Intent of a Communication to Determine Whether It Is an Offer	108
<i>Fletcher-Harlee Corp. v. Pote Concrete Contractors, Inc.</i>	109
<i>Babcock & Wilcox Co. v. Hitachi America, Ltd.</i>	111
Questions	117
Problem 4.1	118
Problem 4.2	118
C. Is an Advertisement an Offer or a Solicitation?	118
<i>Sateriale v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.</i>	121
Problem 4.3	125
D. The Remedies Illustrated by the Cases in Section C	126
Question	128

E. Offer and Acceptance Through Electronic Media, Especially by Automated Means (Electronic Agents)	128
F. Offers Under the UNIDROIT Principles and CISG	130
5 ACCEPTANCE	131
A. General Principles of Acceptance	131
Restatement, Second §30. Form of Acceptance Invited	131
UCC 2-206(1)(a). Offer and Acceptance in Formation of Contract	132
B. Communication of Acceptance, the Effective Date of Acceptance, and the Mailbox Rule	133
<i>Trinity Homes, LLC v. Fang</i>	134
C. The Acceptance Must Be in Compliance with Any Instructions in the Offer Relating to the Manner and Method of Acceptance	136
D. The Acceptance Must Not Vary the Terms of the Contract Proposed in the Offer	138
<i>Roth v. Malson</i>	139
Questions	143
Problem 5.1	143
E. The Acceptance Must Occur While the Offer Is Still in Effect: Lapse or Revocation of an Offer	144
1. Lapse of an Offer	144
Problem 5.2	145
2. Revocation of an Offer	146
<i>Hendricks v. Behee</i>	147
Problem 5.3	148
<i>Dickinson v. Dodds</i>	149
Questions	151
Problem 5.4	151
F. Inadvertent Manifestation of Acceptance	152
<i>Anderson v. Douglas & Lomason Co.</i>	153
Questions	156
G. Silence or Inaction as Acceptance	156
<i>Pride v. Lewis</i>	157
<i>Gupta v. Morgan Stanley Smith Barney, LLC</i>	161
H. Acceptance by Performance: Unilateral Contracts	165
1. The Distinction Between Unilateral and Bilateral Contracts	165
Problem 5.5	167

<i>Carlill v. Carbolic Smoke Ball Co.</i>	168
<i>Leonard v. PepsiCo, Inc.</i>	169
Question	171
Problem 5.6	171
Problem 5.7	172
<i>Wayment v. Schneider Automotive Group LLC</i>	172
Question	175
Problem 5.8	176
Problem 5.9	176
2. Performance as an Exclusive or Permissive Method of Acceptance	176
Problem 5.10	177
3. Shipment as Acceptance of an Offer to Buy Goods	177
UCC 2-206(1)(b). Offer and Acceptance in Formation of Contract	177
Problem 5.11	178
4. Communication of Acceptance by Performance	179
5. Acceptance of an Offer by an Act That Cannot Be Accomplished Instantaneously	179
Restatement, Second §45. Option Contract Created by Part Performance or Tender	180
Restatement, Second §62. Effect of Performance by Offeree Where Offer Invites Either Performance or Promise	180
Problem 5.12	181
I. The Offer and Acceptance Model in Perspective	181
J. Acceptance Under the UNIDROIT Principles and the CISG	182
6 CONFLICTING STANDARD TERMS, THE BATTLE OF THE FORMS, AND LATE NOTICE OF STANDARD TERMS	183
A. The Common Law Approach to Conflicting Standard Terms in the Parties' Communications	183
B. The UCC's Approach to Conflicting Standard Terms in the Parties' Communications: The Battle of the Forms Under UCC 2-207	185
1. The Rationale and Aim of UCC 2-207	185
UCC 2-207. Additional Terms in Acceptance or Confirmation	187
2. Some General Observations on Dealing with Offer and Acceptance Under UCC 2-207	187
3. UCC 2-207(1): The Determination of Whether the Response Is or Is Not an Acceptance	189
Problem 6.1	190
Problem 6.2	190
Problem 6.3	191

4. UCC 2-207(2): The Treatment of Additional and Different Terms in an Acceptance	191
<i>Ridgelawn Cemetery Ass'n v. Granite Resources Corp.</i>	194
Problem 6.4	197
5. UCC 2-207(3): Conduct Recognizing a Contract in the Absence of a Contract Formed By Communications	197
<i>Niagara Bottling, LLC v. Rite-Hite Co.</i>	198
Problem 6.5	200
Problem 6.6	201
6. Confirmation Distinguished from Acceptance in UCC 2-207	201
<i>Lively v. IJAM, Inc.</i>	202
Questions	205
Problem 6.7	205
C. Standard Terms Revealed After Goods or Services Are Ordered: Shrinkwrap Terms, Rolling Contracts, and Unilateral Postcontractual Amendments	206
1. Shrinkwrap Terms and Rolling Contracts	206
<i>ProCD, Inc. v. Zeidenberg</i>	207
<i>Dye v. TAMKO Building Products, Inc.</i>	211
Questions	217
2. Unilateral Postcontractual Amendments	218
D. The UNIDROIT Principles and CISG Provisions on the Battle of the Forms	219
7 PRELIMINARY, INCOMPLETE, AND INDEFINITE AGREEMENTS	221
A. The Scope of This Chapter	221
B. Binding and Nonbinding Preliminary Agreements and Agreements to Continue Bargaining in Good Faith	221
<i>Brown v. Cara</i>	222
Questions	229
Problem 7.1	230
C. Unenforceable Agreements to Agree: Deferred Agreement on Essential Contract Terms	230
<i>Alaska Fur Gallery, Inc. v. Tok Hwang</i>	231
Questions	234
Problem 7.2	235
D The Problem of Indefiniteness or Vagueness in an Agreement	235
<i>Baer v. Chase</i>	236
Questions	239
Problem 7.3	239
E. The Tort of Interference with Contract Relations: Liability for Enticing a Party to Breach a Contract or a Preliminary Agreement	241

8 THE STATUTE OF FRAUDS	243
A. The Basic Principle	243
B. The Requirements of the Statute	244
Restatement, Second §131. General Requisites of a Memorandum	245
1. Writing or Record	245
2. Signature	246
3. Content	247
4. The Consequences of Failure to Comply With the Statute	247
C. The Methodology of Applying the Statute	248
D. The Statute of Frauds Relating to Sales and Transfers of Land	249
Problem 8.1	249
<i>St. John's Holdings, LLC v. Two Electronics, LLC</i>	250
E. The Statute of Frauds Relating to Contracts Not Performable Within a Year of Execution	256
<i>Holloway v. Bucher</i>	257
Problem 8.2	262
F. The Statute of Frauds Relating to the Sale of Goods	262
UCC 2-201. Formal Requirements; Statute of Frauds	263
Problem 8.3	264
<i>Vanguard Energy Services, LLC v. Shihadeh</i>	264
Problem 8.4	269
Problem 8.5	269
G. The Approach of the CISG and UNIDROIT Principles to the Statute of Frauds	270
9 CONSIDERATION	271
A. Consideration — The Basic Doctrine	271
1. Introduction	271
<i>Hamer v. Sidway</i>	273
Questions	275
Restatement, Second §71. The Requirement of Exchange;	
Types of Exchange	277
Problem 9.1	278
2. The Distinction Between “Bargained for” Exchanges and Gratuitous Promises	278
<i>Congregation Kadimah Toras-Moshe v. DeLeo</i>	279
Questions	280

<i>Pennsy Supply v. American Ash Recycling Corp.</i>	281
Questions	286
<i>Carlisle v. T&R Excavating, Inc.</i>	286
Questions	292
Problem 9.2	292
B. What Suffices as Consideration	292
1. Adequacy of Consideration	293
<i>Kessler v. National Presto Industries</i>	294
2. Preexisting Duties	297
Restatement, Second §73. Performance of Legal Duty	298
<i>White v. Village of Homewood</i>	298
Questions	300
Problem 9.3	301
Problem 9.4	301
Problem 9.5	303
3. Agreements to Settle Disputed Claims or Defenses	304
<i>Holt v. Holt</i>	305
Questions	309
Problem 9.6	310
Problem 9.7	310
C. The Doctrine of Mutuality of Obligation and Its Application to Illusory Promises	311
1. Performance as Consideration	311
Problem 9.8	312
2. Promises as Consideration	313
<i>National Federation of the Blind v. Container Store, Inc.</i>	314
<i>Wood v. Lucy, Lady Duff-Gordon</i>	321
Questions	323
D. Consideration in the International Context	323
10 PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL	325
A. An Overview of Promissory Estoppel	325
1. The Origins and Nature of Promissory Estoppel as a Theory of Recovery	325
Restatement, Second §90. Promise Reasonably Inducing Action or Forbearance	328
Problem 10.1	328
2. The Theoretical Context of Promissory Estoppel and Why It Matters	329
<i>Cohen v. Cowles Media Co.</i>	331
Questions	335

B. Use of Promissory Estoppel to Enforce Promises in the Absence of a Clear Bargain	336
<i>Conrad v. Fields</i>	336
Questions	339
Problem 10.2	340
<i>In re Morton Shoe Co.</i>	342
Questions	344
<i>Thomas v. Archer</i>	345
Questions	351
C. Promissory Estoppel in the Broader Context of a Bargain	351
Problem 10.3	353
1. Promissory Estoppel in Commercial Negotiations	354
Problem 10.4	354
<i>Garwood Packaging, Inc. v. Allen & Co.</i>	356
Question	360
2. Promissory Estoppel and the Statute of Frauds	360
<i>DK Arena, Inc. v. EB Acquisitions I, LLC</i>	360
Questions	366
D. Remedies in Promissory Estoppel Actions	367
<i>Tour Costa Rica v. Country Walkers, Inc.</i>	368
Questions	374
Problem 10.5	374
11 OPTIONS AND FIRM OFFERS	377
A. Option Contracts	377
Problem 11.1	379
B. Promissory Estoppel and Offers	380
<i>LAHR Construction Corp. v. J. Kozel & Son, Inc.</i>	383
Questions	387
C. Firm Offers Under the UCC	387
UCC 2-205. Firm Offers	387
Problem 11.2	388
Problem 11.3	388
D. Provisions in the UNIDROIT Principles and CISG on the Revocability of Offers	389
Question	389

12 OBLIGATION BASED ON UNJUST ENRICHMENT AND MATERIAL BENEFIT	391
A. Unjust Enrichment	391
1. The Relationship Between Unjust Enrichment and Contract	391
2. The Elements of Unjust Enrichment	392
3. Terminology	396
4. The Distinction Between Factually and Legally Implied Contracts	396
<i>Martin v. Little, Brown & Co.</i>	398
Questions	400
Problem 12.1	401
Problem 12.2	401
<i>Feingold v. Pucello</i>	402
Questions	403
5. Volunteers and Intermeddlers	404
<i>Birchwood Land Co. v. Krizan</i>	405
Problem 12.3	408
B. The Application of Unjust Enrichment Principles to Promises for Past Benefits: The “Moral Obligation” and “Material Benefit” Doctrines	409
Restatement, Second §86. Promise for Benefit Received	411
Questions	412
Problem 12.4	412
13 IMPROPER BARGAINING	415
A. General Introduction to the Doctrines in This Chapter	415
B. Fraudulent Misrepresentation	416
1. The Nature of Misrepresentation and the General Principles and Elements of Fraud	416
Restatement, Second §162(1). When a Misrepresentation Is Fraudulent . . .	418
2. Affirmative Fraud	420
<i>Hodge v. Craig</i>	420
Questions	425
Problem 13.1	425
3. Silence as Fraud: Fraudulent Nondisclosure and the Duty to Speak	426
Problem 13.2	427
<i>Kaloti Enterprises, Inc. v. Kellogg Sales Co.</i>	427
<i>Milliken v. Jacono</i>	433
Questions	438
Problem 13.3	439

4. Misrepresentation of Fact, Opinion, or Prediction	440
<i>Rodi v. Southern New England School of Law</i>	440
Problem 13.4	445
5. Misrepresentation of Intent	446
Problem 13.5	446
6. Disclaimers and Contracting Out of Fraud	447
<i>Psenicska v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp.</i>	448
Questions	452
7. Remedies for Fraud: Rescission or Damages	452
8. Fraud in the Inducement Distinguished from Fraud in the Factum	453
Question	454
C. Duress	454
1. The Elements of Duress	454
<i>Germantown Manufacturing Co. v. Rawlinson</i>	456
Questions	460
2. Distinguishing Hard Bargaining and External Pressures from Duress	461
<i>Zuckerman v. Metropolitan Museum of Art</i>	462
D. Duress and Bad Faith in Relation to Contract Modification	467
1. The Interaction of Consideration and Duress Doctrines	467
<i>City of Scottsbluff v. Waste Connections of Nebraska, Inc.</i>	469
2. Supervening Difficulties as a Basis for Upholding a Modification Without Consideration	472
3. Contract Modifications Under UCC Article 2	473
Problem 13.6	474
E. Undue Influence	475
<i>Moore v. Moore</i>	476
F. Unconscionability	481
1. The Derivation and Meaning of “Unconscionability”	481
UCC 2-302. Unconscionable Contract or Clause	482
Restatement, Second §208. Unconscionable Contract or Term	482
2. The Remedy Where a Contract or Term Is Found to Be Unconscionable	482
3. The Elements of Unconscionability	483
Problem 13.7	485
<i>Feldman v. Google, Inc.</i>	487
<i>Lhotka v. Geographic Expeditions, Inc.</i>	491
<i>Zuver v. Airtouch Communications, Inc.</i>	497
Questions	503
4. The Range of Unconscionability Doctrine	505
Problem 13.8	505
5. Unconscionability and the Prohibition of Class Actions	506
G. An International Perspective	507

14	ILLEGALITY, VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY, AND LACK OF CONTRACTUAL CAPACITY	509
	A. Introduction	509
	B. Illegality	511
	Problem 14.1	513
	Problem 14.2	514
	<i>Danzig v. Danzig</i>	515
	Questions	517
	C. Contracts in Violation of Public Policy	517
	<i>Brigance v. Vail Summit Resorts, Inc.</i>	518
	Problem 14.3	523
	Problem 14.4	523
	<i>Syncom Industries, Inc. v. Wood</i>	525
	Questions	529
	Problem 14.5	529
	D. Incapacity Based on Minority	530
	1. General Principles	530
	2. Disaffirmance and Ratification	531
	<i>I.B. v. Facebook, Inc.</i>	532
	Problem 14.6	535
	3. Misrepresentation	536
	<i>Topheavy Studios, Inc. v. Doe</i>	536
	4. Contracts Entered into by a Minor with Parental Assistance or Executed by a Parent on Behalf of a Minor	537
	<i>Woodman v. Kera LLC</i>	539
	5. Exceptions to a Minor's Lack of Capacity, Especially Contracts for Necessaries	542
	Problem 14.7	543
	6. Restitution on Disaffirmance	544
	E. Incapacity Based on Mental Illness or Defect	545
	<i>In re Seminole Walls & Ceilings Corp.</i>	547
	<i>Spangler v. Spangler</i>	549
	Question	554
15	CONTRACT INTERPRETATION AND CONSTRUCTION	555
	A. The Content of Contractual Obligations	555
	B. Interpretation	557

1. Sources of Contract Meaning	557
Problem 15.1	559
Problem 15.2	559
<i>Terry Barr Sales Agency, Inc. v. All-Lock Co.</i>	560
Questions	566
2. Interpretation of Written Agreements	566
<i>Right Field Rooftops, LLC v. Chicago Baseball Holdings, LLC</i>	570
Questions	575
Problem 15.3	576
<i>Pacific Gas & Electric Co. v. G.W. Thomas Drayage & Rigging Co.</i>	576
Questions	579
<i>White City Shopping Center, LP v. PR Restaurants, LLC</i>	579
Questions	582
Problem 15.4	582
3. Interpretation of Standard Contracts	582
<i>Atwater Creamery Co. v. Western National Mutual Insurance Co.</i>	583
Questions	588
<i>Hahn v. Geico Choice Insurance Co.</i>	589
C. Construction of Contract Obligations	593
1. Gap Fillers	594
UCC 2-311. Options and Cooperation Respecting Performance	595
<i>Family Snacks of North Carolina, Inc. v. Prepared Products Co.</i>	595
Questions	599
2. The Example of Exclusive Dealings and Output and Requirement Contracts	599
UCC 2-306. Output, Requirements and Exclusive Dealings	600
Problem 15.5	600
Problem 15.6	601
3. The General Obligations of Good Faith and Fair Dealing	602
<i>United Airlines, Inc. v. Good Taste, Inc.</i>	603
Questions	609
D. The Doctrine of Misunderstanding and Its Relation to Contract Interpretation and Construction	610
<i>Konic International Corp. v. Spokane Computer Services, Inc.</i>	611
Questions	614
16 THE PAROL EVIDENCE RULE	615
A. Introduction to the Parol Evidence Rule	615
B. Application of the Parol Evidence Rule	620
<i>Masterson v. Sine</i>	625
Questions	630
<i>Myskina v. Condé Nast Publications, Inc.</i>	631

Questions	635
Problem 16.1	638
C. Application of the Parol Evidence Rule Under the UCC	639
UCC 2-202. Final Written Expression: Parol or Extrinsic Evidence	639
<i>Segal Wholesale, Inc. v. United Drug Service</i>	640
Questions	644
Problem 16.2	644
D. The Scope of the Parol Evidence Rule	645
Problem 16.3	646
<i>Sound Techniques, Inc. v. Hoffman</i>	649
Problem 16.4	653
E. Contract Interpretation and Parol Evidence Under the UNIDROIT Principles and the CISG	653
Problem 16.5	654
17 MISTAKE AND EXCUSE DUE TO CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCES	655
A. Mistake	656
1. Mutual Mistake	657
<i>SCI Minnesota Funeral Services, Inc. v. Washburn-McReavy Funeral Corp.</i>	657
Questions	663
Problem 17.1	665
Problem 17.2	666
Restatement, Second §154. When a Party Bears the Risk of a Mistake	667
<i>Estate of Nelson v. Rice</i>	667
Problem 17.3	670
<i>Cherry v. McCall</i>	671
Questions	673
2. Unilateral Mistake	673
<i>Bert Allen Toyota, Inc. v. Grasz</i>	674
Problem 17.4	677
3. Mistake in Expression and Reformation	678
B. Excuse Due to Changed Circumstances	679
1. Impracticability	680
<i>CNA International Reinsurance Co. v. Phoenix</i>	681
Questions	683
UCC 2-615. Excuse by Failure of Presupposed Conditions	684
<i>Clark v. Wallace County Cooperative Equity Exchange</i>	684
Questions	686
Problem 17.5	686

2. Frustration of Purpose	687
<i>Lindner v. Meadow Gold Dairies, Inc.</i>	688
Questions	693
<i>1600 Walnut Corp. v. Cole Haan Company Store</i>	693
Questions	695

18 CONDITIONS AND PROMISES 697

A. The Components of a Contract: Conditions and Promises	697
1. Identification of Promises and Conditions	698
2. The Requirement That the Event Must Be Uncertain	700
3. The Reasons for Including Conditions in a Contract	701
4. The Sequencing of Performance: Conditions Precedent and Concurrent Conditions	702
5. The Arcane Distinction Between Conditions Precedent and Conditions Subsequent	702
6. The Distinction Among Express Conditions, Implied Conditions, and Construed Conditions	703
<i>Medical Recovery Services, LLC v. Neumeier</i>	704
7. Promises, Conditions, and Terms That Are Both Conditions and Promises (Promissory Conditions)	708
8. Problems on the Classification and Function of Conditions and Promises	710
Problem 18.1	710
Problem 18.2	710
Problem 18.3	711
Problem 18.4	711
Problem 18.5	712
Problem 18.6	712
9. Interpretation to Determine if an Event Referred to in a Contract Is Intended as a Condition	712
Problem 18.7	713
B. A Promise to Take Action to Try to Satisfy a Condition	713
Problem 18.8	714
Problem 18.9	715
C. Strict Compliance with Express Conditions and the Substantial Compliance Doctrine Where a Condition Is Construed	716
Problem 18.10	717
<i>Jacob & Youngs, Inc. v. Kent</i>	718
Questions	723
D. Conditions of Satisfaction	724
Problem 18.11	725

E. The Use of Conditions to Provide for Alternative Performances	726
Problem 18.12	726
F. The Use of Conditions to Sequence Performances Where the Performance of the Parties Will Not Be Instantaneous and Simultaneous	727
Problem 18.13	729
Problem 18.14	729
G. Excuse of Conditions	730
1. Waiver	730
Problem 18.15	732
2. Estoppel	733
Problem 18.16	733
3. Obstructive or Uncooperative Conduct	734
<i>Sullivan v. Bullock</i>	735
4. Unfair Forfeiture	737
<i>United Properties Ltd. v. Walgreen Properties, Inc.</i>	737
19 MATERIAL BREACH, SUBSTANTIAL PERFORMANCE, AND ANTICIPATORY REPUDIATION	743
A. Material Breach, Total Breach, and Cure	743
<i>Al Hirschfeld Foundation v. Margo Feiden Galleries Ltd.</i>	744
Questions	747
<i>Raymond Weil, S.A. v. Theron</i>	748
Questions	752
Problem 19.1	753
Problem 19.2	754
B. Substantial Performance and Its Consequences	754
1. The Distinction Between Material Breach and Substantial Performance	754
Problem 19.3	755
2. The Measure of Relief for Substantial Performance	755
<i>Landis v. William Fannin Builders, Inc.</i>	757
Problem 19.4	762
C. The Recovery of the Breaching Party: Unjust Enrichment or Recovery Under the Contract	763
<i>Menorah Chapels at Millburn v. Needle</i>	765
Questions	768
D. Breach and Substantial Performance Under UCC Article 2: The Perfect Tender Rule	769

1. Perfect Tender Under Article 2	769
UCC 2-601. Buyer's Rights on Improper Delivery	769
Problem 19.5	770
2. Limitations on the Perfect Tender Rule	770
Problem 19.6	771
E. Anticipatory Repudiation and Prospective Nonperformance	773
1. Anticipatory Repudiation	773
UCC 2-610. Anticipatory Repudiation	775
<i>Princes Point LLC v. Muss Development LLC</i>	775
Question	777
Problem 19.7	778
2. Retraction of Repudiation	778
3. Prospective Inability to Perform	779
UCC 2-609. Right to Adequate Assurance of Performance	779
Problem 19.8	780
Problem 19.9	781
20 INTRODUCTION TO CONTRACT DAMAGES AND THE "BENEFIT OF THE BARGAIN"	783
A. The Goal and Fundamental Principles of Contract Damages	783
1. The Distinctions Among the Expectation, Reliance, and Restitution Interests	784
Restatement, Second §344. Purposes of Remedies	785
Questions	786
Problem 20.1	786
Problem 20.2	787
2. Theoretical Perspectives on "Benefit of the Bargain" Damages	789
B. The "Benefit of the Bargain" at Common Law	792
1. Components of Expectation Damages	792
2. Introduction to Measurement of the Expectation Interest	794
Restatement, Second §347. Measure of Damages in General	794
3. Measurement of the Expectation Interest When Neither Party Performs	796
<i>Handicapped Children's Education Board v. Lukaszewski</i>	798
Questions	802
Problem 20.3	802
Problem 20.4	803
Problem 20.5	803
Problem 20.6	804
4. Measurement of the Expectation Interest When the Breaching Party Performs in Part	804
Problem 20.7	806

Problem 20.8	807
Problem 20.9	808
C. “Benefit of the Bargain” Damages Under the UCC	808
UCC 1-305(a). Remedies to be Liberally Administered	808
1. Buyer’s Damages Under the UCC	809
UCC 2-712. “Cover”; Buyer’s Procurement of Substitute Goods	810
UCC 2-713. Buyer’s Damages for Non-delivery or Repudiation	811
UCC 2-714. Buyer’s Damages for Breach in Regard to Accepted Goods	812
2. Seller’s Damages Under the UCC	812
UCC 2-706. Seller’s Resale Including Contract for Resale	814
UCC 2-708. Seller’s Damages for Non-acceptance or Repudiation	814
3. Some Problems Relating to “Benefit of the Bargain” Damages Under the UCC	817
Problem 20.10	817
Problem 20.11	818
Problem 20.12	818
Problem 20.13	818
Problem 20.14	819
Problem 20.15	819
D. Limitations on Recovery of “The Benefit of the Bargain”	819
1. Reasonable Certainty of Damages	820
<i>Rancho Pescado, Inc. v. Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Co.</i>	821
<i>Hollywood Fantasy Corp. v. Gabor</i>	825
Questions	830
2. Foreseeability of Damages	830
<i>Hadley v. Baxendale</i>	831
Problem 20.16	833
<i>McNaughton v. Charleston Charter School for Math & Science, Inc.</i>	835
Questions	840
3. The Mitigation Principle	840
<i>DeRosier v. Utility Systems of America, Inc.</i>	842
UCC 2-710. Seller’s Incidental Damages	848
UCC 2-715. Buyer’s Incidental and Consequential Damages	848
Problem 20.17	848

21 CONTRACT REMEDIES IN THE BROADER CONTEXT **849**

A. Noneconomic and Noncompensatory Damages	850
1. Damages for Pain, Suffering, and Emotional Distress	850
<i>Erlich v. Menezes</i>	851
<i>Lane v. Kindercare Learning Centers, Inc.</i>	857

Questions	859
Problem 21.1	859
2. Punitive Damages	860
Problem 21.2	861
B. Agreed Remedies	862
1. The Distinction between Unenforceable Penalties and Enforceable Liquidated Damages Clauses	863
<i>Kent State University v. Ford</i>	865
Problem 21.3	872
2. Contractual Limitations on and Modifications of Remedy	873
<i>Wedner v. Fidelity Security Systems, Inc.</i>	873
Questions	876
C. Specific Performance and Injunctions	876
1. The Common Law's Preference for Damages	876
2. Inadequacy of Damages	879
<i>Van Wagner Advertising Corp. v. S&M Enterprises</i>	880
Questions	883
Problem 21.4	884
Problem 21.5	884
Problem 21.6	885
3. The Discretionary Nature of the Remedy	885
<i>Campbell v. Carr</i>	886
Questions	888
Problem 21.7	889
4. Injunctive Relief as an Alternative to Specific Performance	889
<i>Systems & Software, Inc. v. Barnes</i>	891
Questions	895
Problem 21.8	895
D. Restitution as a Remedy upon Breach of Contract	895
<i>Worcester Heritage Society, Inc. v. Trussell</i>	896
Questions	898
Problem 21.9	900
22 THE RIGHTS OF NONPARTIES	903
A. Third-Party Beneficiaries	903
1. Intended and Incidental Beneficiaries: The Intent to Confer Power of Enforcement on the Third Party	903
Restatement, Second §302. Intended and Incidental Beneficiaries	904
Restatement, Second §304. Creation of Duty to Beneficiary	904
<i>Taylor v. Honda Motorcars, Inc.</i>	906
Question	909

<i>Oliverio v. Transdev Services, Inc.</i>	909
Question	914
Problem 22.1	914
2. Nonclients as Third-Party Beneficiaries of a Contract Between an Attorney and a Client	915
Problem 22.2	916
3. Vesting of Rights in the Beneficiary and the Power of the Original Parties to Modify the Contract	917
Restatement, Second §311. Variation of a Duty to a Beneficiary	917
Problem 22.3	917
4. Defenses Available to the Promisor Against the Beneficiary	918
Problem 22.4	918
5. The Promisee's Rights of Enforcement	919
B. Assignment and Delegation	920
1. The Transfer of Contract Rights and Duties	920
2. Assignment: General Principles	921
Restatement, Second §317. Assignment of a Right	923
UCC 2-210(2) . . . Assignment of Rights	925
Problem 22.5	926
3. Assignments That Violate Public Policy	927
<i>Gurski v. Rosenblum & Filan, LLC</i>	927
Questions	931
4. Anti-Assignment Clauses	931
Problem 22.6	932
5. The Effect of Assignment, Notice of Assignment, and the Obligor's Defenses Against the Assignee	932
Problem 22.7	933
6. The Delegation of Contractual Duties	934
Restatement, Second §318. Delegation of Performance of Duty	934
UCC 2-210(1) and (6). Delegation of Performance . . .	935
<i>Bakke v. Magi-Touch Carpet One Floor & Home, Inc.</i>	935
Problem 22.8	937
<i>Self-Assessment Questions</i>	939
<i>Table of Cases</i>	1049
<i>Table of Secondary Sources</i>	1053
<i>Table of Laws, Regulations, and Model Statutes</i>	1057
<i>Index</i>	1059