

CONTENTS

Preface	xxi
Acknowledgments	xxv

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION TO SCIENCE AND THE LEGAL PROCESS	1
I. The Judge as Arbiter of Science	2
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i>	2
Notes and Questions	6
II. How Scientists Think About Science	7
A. The Philosophy of Science	7
David Goodstein, How Science Works	7
Notes and Questions	12
B. The Scientific Ideal in Practice	14
David W. Peterson and John M. Conley, Of Cherries, Fudge, and Onions: Science and Its Courtroom Perversion	14
Notes and Questions	17

xii	Contents
III. How the Courts Understand Science: Irreconcilable Differences?	18
<i>Moore v. Ashland Chemical, Inc.</i>	19
<i>McCleskey v. Kemp</i>	23
Notes and Questions	25
CHAPTER 2. OPINION EVIDENCE: RULES AND CASES	29
I. FRE 701 and 702	29
A. Distinguishing Between Lay and Expert Opinions:	
FRE 701 and Cases	29
<i>United States v. Martinez-Figueroa</i>	31
Note and Questions	33
B. Distinguishing Lay from Expert Opinion: The Business-Owner	
Exception	36
<i>James River Insurance Company v. Rapid Funding, LLC</i>	36
Note and Question	45
C. Rule 702, Testimony By Experts	45
1. Specialized Knowledge and Helpfulness	45
<i>Kopf v. Skyrm</i>	46
Notes and Questions	51
<i>State v. Anderson</i>	51
Notes and Questions	54
2. Expert Qualifications	58
<i>Ralston v. Smith & Nephew Richards, Inc.</i>	59
Notes and Questions	63
<i>McCulloch v. H.B. Fuller Co.</i>	64
Notes and Questions	67
II. Standards of Admissibility	69
A. The “General Acceptance” Standard	70
<i>Frye v. United States</i>	70
Notes and Questions	71
<i>Grady v. Frito-Lay, Inc.</i>	71
Notes and Questions	76
1. The Post- <i>Frye</i> Developments	77
<i>United States v. Downing</i>	77

Contents	xiii
B. The Reliability Standard—The Supreme Court Trilogy on Expert Evidence	81
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i>	81
Notes and Questions	92
<i>General Electric Co. v. Joiner</i>	98
Notes and Questions	102
<i>Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael</i>	105
Notes and Questions	112
C. Rule 702 and the Daubert Trilogy: Putting It All Together	114
<i>Murray v. Southern Route Maritime SA</i>	115
Note and Question	122
III. Additional Rules and Considerations	123
A. Basis of Knowledge for an Expert’s Opinion: FRE 703 and Cases	123
<i>Ferrara & DiMercurio v. St. Paul Mercury Insurance Company</i>	123
Notes and Questions	127
B. Ultimate Issue Testimony: FRE 704	128
1. FRE 704(a)	128
<i>United States v. Noel, 581 F.3d 490</i>	129
Note and Question	133
2. FRE 704(b)	133
C. Disclosure of Facts or Data Underlying Expert Opinion: FRE 705	134
D. Rule 706. Court Appointed Expert Witnesses	134
CHAPTER 3. STATISTICAL INFERENCE	137
I. Collecting Data: Populations and Samples	140
<i>United States ex rel. Free v. Peters</i>	141
<i>Amstar Corp. v. Domino’s Pizza, Inc.</i>	142
Notes and Questions	144
II. Analyzing Samples: Confidence Intervals	145
<i>United States ex rel. Free v. Peters</i>	147
Notes and Questions	148
III. Statistical Significance: Standard Deviations and P-Values	150
<i>Castenada v. Partida</i>	151
Notes and Questions	152

	<i>Jones v. City of Boston</i>	155
	Notes and Questions	159
	<i>Palmer v. Shultz</i>	161
	Notes and Questions	167
IV.	Correlation	169
	<i>Hamer v. Atlanta</i>	169
	Notes and Questions	173
V.	Regression Analysis	175
	<i>McReynolds v. Sodexo Marriott Servs.</i>	175
	Notes and Questions	178
CHAPTER 4.	DNA EVIDENCE	183
I.	The Scientific Background	184
A.	What Is DNA?	184
	John M. Conley and Roberte Makowski, Back to the Future: Rethinking the Product of Nature Doctrine as a Barrier to Biotechnology Patents	184
	David H. Kaye and George F. Sensabaugh, Jr., Reference Guide on DNA Evidence	185
	Note	187
B.	The Science of DNA Profiling	188
	<i>People v. Reeves</i>	188
	David H. Kaye and George F. Sensabaugh, Jr., Reference Guide on DNA Evidence	190
	Note	191
	David H. Kaye and George F. Sensabaugh, Jr., Reference Guide on DNA Evidence	195
II.	DNA in the Courtroom	197
	William C. Thompson and Dan E. Krane, DNA in the Courtroom	197
A.	Declaring a Match	198
	<i>People v. Soto</i>	198
	Notes and Questions	200
B.	The Probability of a Random Match—Compared to What?	201
	<i>People v. Soto</i>	201
	<i>Dayton v. State</i>	202
	Notes and Questions	204

Contents	xv
C. The Probability of a Random Match—The Statistics	207
<i>People v. Soto</i>	207
Notes and Questions	210
D. Problems with DNA Evidence	213
<i>People v. Reeves</i>	213
Notes and Questions	216
E. Mitochondrial DNA Evidence	219
William C. Thompson and Dan E. Krane, DNA in the Courtroom	219
<i>United States v. Beverly</i>	220
Notes and Questions	225
F. The Supreme Court Weighs In	225
<i>McDaniel v. Brown</i>	225
CHAPTER 5. SOCIAL SCIENCE, BEHAVIORAL SCIENCE, AND NEUROSCIENCE EXPERT EVIDENCE	233
I. Expert Testimony about Frameworks, Syndromes, and Profiles	234
A. Framework Evidence	234
Laurens Walker and John Monahan, Social Frameworks: A New Use of Social Science in Law	234
Notes and Questions	236
<i>State v. Guilbert</i>	239
Notes and Questions	247
<i>State v. Perea</i>	248
Notes and Questions	257
B. Syndrome Evidence	258
1. Child Sexual Abuse Evidence	258
<i>State v. J.L. G., a/k/a J.L.J.</i>	258
Note	268
2. Expert Testimony About Intimate Partner Violence (“Battering”)	268
<i>Arcoren v. United States</i>	269
Notes and Questions	274
C. Profile Testimony: Child Sexual Abuse	276
<i>United States v. Romero</i>	276
Notes and Questions	284

xvi	Contents
II. Behavioral Science	287
A. Expert Evidence on the Issue of Sanity	287
<i>United States v. Puerto</i>	288
Notes and Questions	294
III. Expert Testimony about Mental Competence	298
<i>United States v. Gigante</i>	298
Notes and Questions	306
IV. Predictions of Future Dangerousness	307
<i>Barefoot v. Estelle</i>	307
Notes and Questions	318
V. Expert Testimony about Neuroscience & Neuroimaging	320
Owen D. Jones, Joshua W. Buckholtz, Jeffrey D. Schall, and Rene Marois, Brain Imaging for Legal Thinkers: A Guide for the Perplexed	321
J.C. Moriarty, Flickering Admissibility: Neuroimaging Evidence in the U.S. Courts	326
<i>United States v. Mezwinsky</i>	327
Notes and Questions	331
CHAPTER 6. FORENSIC SCIENCE	335
I. Introduction and Explanations	335
II. Identification of Evidence	337
David H. Kaye, David E. Bernstein, and Jennifer L. Mnookin, The New Wigmore: A Treatise on Evidence: Expert Evidence	337
Jane Campbell Moriarty & Michael J. Saks, Forensic Science: Grand Goals, Tragic Flaws & Judicial Gatekeeping	337
III. Individualization/Feature Comparison Methods: Handwriting Comparison, Fingerprint Evidence, and Toolmarks	340
<i>United States v. Crisp</i>	341
Notes and Questions	349
IV. The NAS and PCAST Reports on Forensic Science: A New Era?	352
National Research Council of the National Academies, Strengthening Forensic Science in the United States: A Path Forward	352
Notes and Questions	354
National Research Council of the National Academies, Strengthening Forensic Science in the United States: A Path Forward	357

President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology, Report to the President, Forensic Science in Criminal Courts: Ensuring Scientific Validity of Feature-Comparison Methods	359
<i>United States v. Bonds (2017)</i>	362
<i>United States v. Bonds (2019)</i>	366
Notes and Questions	368
A. Toolmark Evidence	369
<i>United States v. Smallwood</i>	369
Notes and Questions	375
B. The Confrontation Clause and Forensic Science Evidence	375
<i>Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts</i>	375
Notes and Questions	379
V. Determinations of the Cause and Manner of Death	380
<i>Baraka v. Commonwealth</i>	383
<i>State v. Guthrie</i>	389
Notes and Questions	396
CHAPTER 7. MEDICAL CAUSATION	399
I. How do you Prove that an Exposure Caused an Outcome? Epidemiology, Toxicology, and Differential Diagnosis	400
<i>Turpin v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i>	401
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i>	401
II. Proving General Causation: Epidemiology Michael D. Green, D. Michal Freedman, and Leon Gordis, Reference Guide on Epidemiology	402
<i>In re "Agent Orange" Product Liability Litigation</i>	409
Notes and Questions	411
III. Moving From General to Specific Causation <i>In re Hanford Nuclear Reservation Litigation</i>	413
A. Using Enhanced Evidence of General Causation to Prove Specific Causation	415
David A. Freedman and Philip B. Stark, The Swine Flu Vaccine and Guillain-Barré Syndrome: A Case Study in Relative Risk and Specific Causation	415
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i>	416
Notes and Questions	418

xviii	Contents
B. The Role of Toxicology	420
Bernard D. Goldstein and Mary Sue Henifin, Reference Guide on Toxicology	420
<i>General Electric Company v. Joiner</i>	424
Notes and Questions	428
C. Proving Specific Causation Through Differential Diagnosis	432
<i>Easum v. Miller</i>	432
<i>Moore v. Ashland Chemical, Inc.</i>	437
Notes and Questions	443
IV. Injuries Allegedly Caused by Doctors and Medical Devices	446
<i>Riegel v. Medtronic, Inc.</i>	447
Notes and Questions	451
V. Review Exercise: The Breast Implant Controversy	454
Silicone Breast Implants in Relation to Connective Tissue Diseases and Immunologic Dysfunction	455
<i>Hall v. Baxter Healthcare Corp.</i>	458
CHAPTER 8. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF LIABILITY AND DAMAGES	473
I. The Value of a Working Life	474
<i>McCarrn v. United States Lines, Inc.</i>	475
Notes and Questions	479
<i>Har-Pen Truck Lines, Inc. v. Mills</i>	484
Notes and Questions	486
II. Valuing a Business	488
<i>In re Radiology Assoc., Inc.</i>	488
Notes and Questions	497
III. Modeling Markets in Antitrust Cases	498
<i>In re Industrial Silicon Antitrust Litigation</i>	498
Notes and Questions	502
CHAPTER 9. RECONSTRUCTING ACCIDENTS AND CRIME SCENES	507
I. Experiments, Videotapes, and Computer-Generated Evidence	508
Richard K. Sherwin, Neal Feigenson, and Christina Spiesel, Law in the Digital Age: How Visual Communication Technologies Are Transforming the Practice, Theory, and Teaching of Law	508

Fred Galves, Where the Not-So-Wild Things Are: Computers in the Courtroom, the Federal Rules of Evidence, and the Need for Institutional Reform and More Judicial Acceptance	510
Carrie Leonetti and Jeremy Bailenson, High-Tech Views: The Immersive Virtual Environments in Jury Trials	512
<i>Kudlacek v. Fiat S.p.A.</i>	515
<i>Muth v. Ford Motor Company</i>	520
Notes and Questions	524
II. Essential Considerations for Accident Reconstruction: Foundation, Qualifications, and Speculation	526
<i>Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael</i>	526
Notes and Questions	532
<i>Brooks v. Outboard Marine Corporation</i>	532
Notes and Questions	535
A. Cause and Origin of Fire	537
John J. Lentini, Modern Scientific Evidence: The Law and Science of Expert Testimony	537
<i>Larsen v. 401 Main Street</i>	539
Notes and Questions	545
B. Accident Investigation and Reconstruction	548
<i>Scott v. Yates</i>	548
Notes and Questions	550
III. Crime Scene Reconstruction	551
<i>Parvin v. State</i>	552
<i>State v. Stevens</i>	559
Notes and Questions	566
Table of Cases	569
Index	575