

# Contents

## Chapter 1

### Why and How We Have Rules of Evidence

Key Terms 1

Chapter Overview 2

What Evidence Is Important in a Criminal Case? *Louisiana v. Bright* 2

*Louisiana v. Bright* 2

What Is Evidence? 6

Types of Evidence 6

Direct and Circumstantial Evidence 7

What Are the Rules of Evidence? 9

Where Are the Rules of Evidence Found? 10

Why Do We Have Rules of Evidence? 10

Why Not Eliminate the Rules of Evidence? 11

A Brief History of the Rules of Evidence 12

Ancient Systems of Evidence 12

Trial by Ordeal and Trial by Battle 13

The Development of the English Common Law

Evidentiary System 14

Significant Developments in English and U.S. Law 18

The Magna Carta 18

The Writ of Habeas Corpus 19

The American Revolution 19

The Bill of Rights and the Fourteenth Amendment 20

The Right to a Grand Jury	21
The Protection Against Double Jeopardy	21
The Protection Against Self-Incrimination	21
Deprived of Life or Liberty Without Due Process	22
Speedy Trial	22
Public Trial	22
Impartial Jury	23
The Right to Be Informed of the Charges	23
The Right to Confront Witnesses	24
The Subpoena Power for Favorable Witnesses	24
The Right to Counsel	25
The Presumption of Innocence	25
<b>Comparing Our Rules of Evidence to Other Legal Systems in the World</b>	<b>26</b>
<i>The Trial of Amanda Knox</i>	27
<b>The Common Law System</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>The Civil Law System</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>The Communist Legal System</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Islamic or Sharia Law</b>	<b>31</b>

## Chapter 2

# The Stages of a Criminal Case and How to Read the Legal Documents Associated with a Criminal Case

Key Terms	36
Chapter Overview	36
Initiating Charges Against a Defendant	36
Jurisdiction and Venue	37
The Setting of Bail	40
Evidence Gathering	41
Exculpatory Evidence	41

Police Reports	42
Witness Statements	43
Medical Reports	44
Photos, Videos, and Audio Recordings	45
Lineups, Photo Arrays, and Showups	45
Physical Evidence That Has Been Booked	46
Plea Bargaining and Types of Pleas	48
Pretrial Motions and Challenges to the Admissibility of Evidence	50
The Stages of Trial	51
Jury Selection	51
Preliminary Jury Instructions	53
Opening Statements by the Prosecution and the Defense	53
Prosecution Case in Chief	54
Defense Case in Chief	55
Prosecution's Rebuttal	55
Closing Arguments	55
Jury Instructions	56
Jury Deliberation and Verdict	56
Trial Transcript	58
<i>The People of the State of California v. James Johnson</i>	58
Appellate Review and the Record	64
Appellate Cases: Reading a Court Opinion and Briefing a Case	66
Case Citation	66
The Court and Judges	69
The History of Case	69
Facts	70
Issue	70
Holding	70
Rules and Analysis	70
Result	71

**A Briefed Case: *Thornburgh v. Abbott*, 490 U.S. 401 (1989)** 71

**Applying What You've Learned—An Opportunity to Brief a U.S. Supreme Court Case: *Gideon v. Wainwright*, 372 U.S. 335 (1963)** 72

*Gideon v. Wainwright* 72

## Chapter 3

# Burden of Proof and Alternatives to Formal Proof: Judicial Notice, Presumptions, Inferences, and Stipulations

**Key Terms** 77

**Chapter Overview** 78

**Burdens of Proof** 78

**Reasonable Suspicion** 78

**Probable Cause** 79

**Preponderance of the Evidence** 79

**Clear and Convincing** 80

**Beyond All Reasonable Doubt** 81

*In re Winship* 81

**The Perplexity of the Beyond a Reasonable Doubt Standard** 82

**The Duty of the Prosecution to Prove All Elements** 85

**Shifting the Burden: Affirmative Defenses** 86

*Martin v. Ohio* 86

**Alternatives to Formal Proof** 90

**Judicial Notice** 90

Mandatory Versus Discretionary 91

Matters of General Knowledge 91

Matters Capable of Accurate Determination 92

**Judicial Notice Versus Personal Knowledge of the Judge** 93

*State v. Vejvoda* 94

<b>Presumptions and Inferences</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>Mandatory Versus Permissive Presumptions</b>	<b>99</b>
<i>County Court of Ulster County v. Allen</i>	<b>100</b>
<b>Rebuttable Presumptions</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>The Presumption of Innocence</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>The Presumption of Sanity</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>Children Under Age Cannot Commit Crimes</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>Knowledge of the Law</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>Suicide</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>Flight or Concealment</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>Regularity of Official Acts</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>Stipulations</b>	<b>108</b>

## Chapter 4

# Relevance: Probative Value and Materiality, and Exclusion of Relevant Evidence

<b>Key Terms</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>Chapter Overview</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>Would You Date Chris?</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>Relevant Evidence Defined</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>Materiality</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>Probative Value</b>	<b>117</b>
<i>Commonwealth v. Zagranski</i>	<b>118</b>
<i>State v. Merrill</i>	<b>120</b>
<i>State v. Spears</i>	<b>123</b>
<i>United States v. McVeigh</i>	<b>125</b>
<b>Excluding Relevant Evidence under FRE 403</b>	<b>129</b>
<i>State of Maine v. Brandon Thongsavanh</i>	<b>129</b>
<b>Dissecting the Components of Rule 403</b>	<b>131</b>

<b>The Dangers of Rule 403</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>Unfair Prejudice</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>The Punishing/Rewarding Prejudice</b>	<b>135</b>
<i>United States v. Curtin</i>	<b>135</b>
<b>Bad Logic Prejudice</b>	<b>139</b>
<b>Confusion of the Issues</b>	<b>140</b>
<i>United States v. Noriega</i>	<b>140</b>
<b>Misleading the Jury</b>	<b>142</b>
<i>United States v. Call</i>	<b>142</b>
<b>Undue Delay, Waste of Time, and Needless Presentation of Cumulative Evidence</b>	<b>144</b>
<b>Evidentiary Alternatives</b>	<b>144</b>

## Chapter 5

# Constitutional Exclusion of Evidence

<b>Key Terms</b>	<b>149</b>
<b>Chapter Overview</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>The Fourth Amendment: Search and Seizure</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>The History of the Fourth Amendment</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>What Is a Search?</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>What Is a Seizure?</b>	<b>152</b>
<b>Warrants and the Need for Probable Cause</b>	<b>152</b>
<b>Arrest Warrants</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>Search Warrants</b>	<b>154</b>
<b>Exceptions to the Warrant Requirement</b>	<b>154</b>
<b>Warrantless Arrests</b>	<b>154</b>
<b>Warrantless Searches and Seizures</b>	<b>155</b>
<b>The Exclusionary Rule</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>Standing</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine</b>	<b>162</b>
<b>Exceptions to the Exclusionary Rule</b>	<b>163</b>
<b>The Independent Source Doctrine</b>	<b>163</b>

The Inevitable Discovery Doctrine	164
The Good Faith Exception	164
<i>United States v. Leon</i>	164
The Fifth Amendment: Self-Incrimination	170
Miranda Warnings: The Requirements of Custody and Interrogation	171
<i>Rhode Island v. Innis</i>	172
Voluntariness of Confessions	177
<i>Colorado v. Connelly</i>	177
The Sixth Amendment: Right to Counsel	182
The Pro Se Defendant	182
The Right to Counsel for an Indigent Defendant	182
The Stages of a Case Where the Right to Counsel Applies	183
The Stages of a Case Where the Right to Counsel Does Not Apply	184
The Massiah Doctrine	184

## Chapter 6

### Character Evidence

Key Terms	187
Chapter Overview	187
The Rule Excluding Character Evidence and Reasons for the Rule	188
Nonpropensity Uses of Character Evidence	189
Motive	190
Opportunity	191
Intent	192
Preparation	193
Common Plan	193
Identity	194
Knowledge	195
Absence of Mistake or Accident	195

<b>Defendant's Prior Bad Acts Do Not Need to Be Proven</b>	<b>196</b>
<b>Rule 403 and Its Impact on Rule 404(b)</b>	<b>196</b>
<i>State v. Willis</i>	<b>198</b>
<b>Habit</b>	<b>203</b>
<i>State v. Gardner</i>	<b>204</b>
<b>Introducing the Character of the Accused to Show His Good Character</b>	<b>206</b>
<b>Introducing the Character of the Alleged Victim</b>	<b>208</b>
<b>The Mechanics of Introducing Character Evidence</b>	<b>208</b>
<b>Character Is an Element of the Charge or Defense</b>	<b>210</b>
<b>Introducing the Sexual History of the Defendant or the Victim</b>	<b>212</b>
<b>Sexual History of the Defendant in Cases Involving Sexual Assault and Child Molestation</b>	<b>212</b>
<i>United States v. Dillon</i>	<b>214</b>
<b>The Prior Sex Acts of the Sex Assault Victim</b>	<b>219</b>
<i>Lockett v. Commonwealth</i>	<b>221</b>

## Chapter 7

### Privileges

<b>Key Terms</b>	<b>227</b>
<b>Chapter Overview</b>	<b>227</b>
<b>What Is a Privilege and Why Do We Allow Privileges?</b>	<b>228</b>
<b>Established Privileges: Who Is Generally Allowed a Privilege?</b>	<b>228</b>
<b>The Attorney-Client Privilege</b>	<b>229</b>
<b>The Spousal Privilege</b>	<b>230</b>
<i>United States v. Acker</i>	<b>231</b>
<b>The Physician-Patient Privilege</b>	<b>232</b>
<b>The Clergy-Penitent Privilege</b>	<b>233</b>
<i>People v. Thompson</i>	<b>235</b>
<b>The Governmental Privileges</b>	<b>238</b>
<i>United States v. Reynolds</i>	<b>239</b>



<b>The News-Media-Informant Privilege</b>	<b>242</b>
<i>In re Inquest Subpoena (WCAX)</i>	243
<b>Is There a Need for More Recognized Privileges?</b>	<b>246</b>
<b>Waiver of the Privilege</b>	<b>247</b>
<i>Tasby v. United States</i>	248
<b>What Is Privileged?</b>	<b>251</b>
<i>State v. Broussard</i>	251
<b>Confidential Communications</b>	<b>253</b>
<i>Clark v. State</i>	253
<b>When Are Confidential Communications Not Privileged?</b>	<b>257</b>
<i>People v. Burnidge</i>	259

## Chapter 8

# Witnesses and Competency

<b>Key Terms</b>	<b>265</b>
<b>Chapter Overview</b>	<b>265</b>
<b>The Common Law Approach to Competency</b>	<b>266</b>
<b>The Federal Rules Approach to Competency</b>	<b>266</b>
<b>The Four Corners of Competency</b>	<b>268</b>
<b>Original Perception/Personal Knowledge</b>	<b>268</b>
<i>Marks v. State of Arkansas</i>	269
<b>Remembering What Happened</b>	<b>271</b>
<i>State of Rhode Island v. Eric Ranieri</i>	272
Refreshed Memory	277
<b>Communicating What Happened</b>	<b>278</b>
<i>State of Illinois v. Anita White</i>	278
<b>Taking an Oath</b>	<b>280</b>
<i>United States v. Wallace Ward</i>	281
<i>Roy Torres v. State of Texas</i>	285
<i>State of Washington v. William Orr Swan, et al.</i>	286

<b>A Low Burden: Courts Should Err on Admitting Witness Testimony</b>	<b>287</b>
<i>United States v. Randy Lightly</i>	<b>287</b>
<b>Judges as Witnesses</b>	<b>288</b>
<i>United States v. Mark Nickl</i>	<b>290</b>
<b>Jurors as Witnesses</b>	<b>292</b>
<i>United States v. Kerry Dean Benally</i>	<b>293</b>
<i>United States v. Hemant Lakhani</i>	<b>298</b>

## Chapter 9

# Opinions, Experts, and Scientific Evidence

<b>Key Terms</b>	<b>301</b>
<b>Chapter Overview</b>	<b>302</b>
<b>Facts Versus Opinion Under Common Law</b>	<b>302</b>
<b>The Federal Rules Approach to Lay Opinion</b>	<b>302</b>
<b>Rationally Based on the Perception of the Witness</b>	<b>305</b>
<i>United States v. Gregory Cox</i>	<b>305</b>
<b>Helpful to a Clear Understanding of the Witness's Testimony or the Determination of a Fact in Issue</b>	<b>306</b>
<i>State of Missouri v. Adriano Clark</i>	<b>308</b>
<b>Not Based on Scientific, Technical, or Other Specialized Knowledge</b>	<b>309</b>
<b>Topics for Lay Opinion</b>	<b>310</b>
<i>State of Hawai'i v. Jenaro Torres</i>	<b>311</b>
<b>Expert Opinion</b>	<b>315</b>
<b>The Frye Test</b>	<b>315</b>
<b>Daubert/Kumho Tire/Joiner</b>	<b>316</b>
<b>Expert Testimony According to FRE 702</b>	<b>316</b>
<b>Knowledge Will Assist the Trier of Fact</b>	<b>317</b>
<i>Alexa Whedon v. State of Indiana</i>	<b>317</b>

<b>Proper Qualifications</b>	<b>319</b>
<i>United States v. Arturo Garcia Parra</i>	<b>320</b>
<b>Sufficient Facts</b>	<b>322</b>
<i>United States v. Day</i>	<b>322</b>
<b>Reliable Principles and Methods</b>	<b>324</b>
<i>United States v. Victor R. Vargas</i>	<b>325</b>
<b>The Effect of FRE 403 on FRE 702</b>	<b>329</b>
<b>FRE 703 and the Bases of Opinion Testimony by Experts</b>	<b>330</b>
<b>FRE 704 and Opinion on Ultimate Issue</b>	<b>332</b>
<i>United States v. Perkins</i>	<b>333</b>
<b>Rule 705 and Disclosure of Facts or Data Underlying Expert Opinion</b>	<b>336</b>

## Chapter 10

# Examination, Impeachment, and Rehabilitation of Witnesses

<b>Key Terms</b>	<b>339</b>
<b>Chapter Overview</b>	<b>340</b>
<b>The Mechanics of Examining a Witness: Direct Examination, Cross-Examination, and Redirect Examination</b>	<b>340</b>
<b>An Example of a Direct Examination and Cross-Examination</b>	<b>343</b>
Direct Examination	<b>344</b>
Cross-Examination	<b>345</b>
Redirect Examination	<b>345</b>
<b>The Role of the Judge and the Exclusion of Witnesses</b>	<b>346</b>
<b>Impeachment Generally: Who May Impeach and When Impeachment Is Prohibited</b>	<b>348</b>
<b>Who May Impeach</b>	<b>348</b>
<b>The Judge May Call Witnesses to the Stand and Question Them</b>	<b>348</b>
<b>A Prohibition on Impeachment: The Religious Views and Opinions of the Witness</b>	<b>349</b>
<i>Slagle v. Bagley</i>	<b>350</b>

**How to Impeach a Witness 354**

Incapacity 355

Bias, Motive, or Interest 355

*United States v. Abel* 355

Character for Dishonesty 358

Prior Inconsistent Statements 360

Specific Contradiction 361

Prior Criminal Convictions 361

The Impact of Rule 403 on Rule 609: Determining When Judges Should Exclude Prior Convictions Because of Their Prejudicial Effects 363

*United States v. Alexander* 363

Determining Which Prior Convictions Qualify as an Act of Dishonesty or False Statement by the Witness 366

**Rehabilitation of the Witness 367****Character for Truthfulness 367***United States v. Murray* 368**Prior Consistent Statements 372****Chapter 11****Hearsay and Hearsay Exceptions****Key Terms 378****Chapter Overview 378****Definitions Outlined in Rule 801 of the Federal Rules of Evidence 378****The Hearsay Rule and Reasons for the Rule 380****Statements That Are Not Hearsay: Statements Not Offered for the Truth of the Matter Asserted 381***Headley v. Tilghman* 382**Statements That Are Not Hearsay: Prior Statements by a Witness That Qualify Under Rule 801(d) 384****Prior Inconsistent Statements Under Rule 801(d)(1)(A) 384***State v. Smith* 385**Prior Consistent Statements Under Rule 801(d)(1)(B) 387**

<b>Statements That Are Not Hearsay: Admissions by a Party Opponent</b>	<b>388</b>
<b>Statements That Are Not Hearsay: Identifications Under Rule 801(d)(1)(c)</b>	<b>389</b>
<b>The Effect of a Hearsay Objection and the Consequences of Failing to Object</b>	<b>389</b>
<b>Hearsay Exceptions: Generally</b>	<b>390</b>
<b>Hearsay Exceptions When the Availability of Declarant Is Immaterial</b>	<b>390</b>
<b>Present Sense Impression</b>	<b>390</b>
<i>State v. Jones</i>	<b>391</b>
<b>Excited Utterance</b>	<b>395</b>
<b>Then Existing Mental, Emotional, or Physical Condition</b>	<b>395</b>
<b>Statements for Purposes of Medical Diagnosis or Treatment</b>	<b>396</b>
<b>Past Recollection Recorded</b>	<b>396</b>
<b>Business Records</b>	<b>398</b>
<b>Public Records and Reports</b>	<b>398</b>
<b>Various Records, Statements, Reports, and Miscellaneous Exceptions</b>	<b>399</b>
<b>Hearsay Exceptions When the Unavailability of the Declarant Required</b>	<b>399</b>
<b>Former Testimony</b>	<b>400</b>
<b>Dying Declaration</b>	<b>400</b>
<i>Wilson v. State</i>	<b>403</b>
<b>Statement Against Interest</b>	<b>405</b>
<b>Forfeiture by Wrongdoing</b>	<b>407</b>
<b>Hearsay Within Hearsay</b>	<b>407</b>
<b>Attacking and Supporting the Credibility of Declarant</b>	<b>408</b>
<b>The “Catchall”</b>	<b>408</b>
<b>Hearsay and the Constitution</b>	<b>408</b>
<b>Meeting a Hearsay Exception Does Not Guarantee Admissibility</b>	<b>411</b>

**Chapter 12****Authentication, Identification, Exhibits, and the Best Evidence Rule**

<b>Key Terms</b>	<b>415</b>
<b>Chapter Overview</b>	<b>415</b>
<b>Real and Demonstrative Evidence Defined</b>	<b>416</b>
<b>The Requirement of Authentication</b>	<b>417</b>
<b>Laying a Foundation</b>	<b>418</b>
<b>An Example of an Attorney Laying a Foundation</b>	<b>418</b>
<b>Methods of Authentication</b>	<b>419</b>
<b>A Witness Identifies the Evidence</b>	<b>419</b>
<i>State of North Dakota v. Jennifer Thompson</i>	<b>420</b>
<b>Chain of Custody</b>	<b>424</b>
<i>State of Tennessee v. Cannon</i>	<b>425</b>
<b>Demonstrative Evidence: Does the Object Fairly and Accurately Portray What It Depicts?</b>	<b>429</b>
<i>United States v. Robert Gaskell</i>	<b>430</b>
<b>Authenticating Photos, Audio Recordings, and Video Recordings</b>	<b>433</b>
<i>Rory Washington v. Maryland</i>	<b>435</b>
<i>State of Maine v. James Berke</i>	<b>440</b>
<i>United States v. Mitchell</i>	<b>441</b>
<b>Other Methods of Authentication According to Rule 901(b)</b>	<b>442</b>
<b>Self-Authenticating Documents</b>	<b>442</b>
<b>The Best Evidence Rule</b>	<b>443</b>
<i>Munford v. State of Georgia</i>	<b>444</b>
<i>United States v. Gerald Jackson</i>	<b>446</b>
<i>Lumley v. State of Georgia</i>	<b>449</b>
<i>Commonwealth of Pennsylvania v. Dennis Lewis</i>	<b>450</b>
<b>GLOSSARY</b>	<b>455</b>
<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>463</b>
<b>TABLE OF CASES</b>	<b>509</b>
<b>INDEX</b>	<b>511</b>