

# CONTENTS

<i>Preface to Sixth Edition</i>	xxiii
<i>Preface to First Edition</i>	xxv

## PART I FOUNDATIONS AND PURPOSES OF TRADEMARK AND UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW 1

<b>I</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION TO TRADEMARK AND UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW</b>	<b>3</b>
	A. Sources and Nature of Trademark Rights	3
	<i>The Trade-Mark Cases</i>	3
	<i>Hanover Star Milling Co. v. Metcalf</i>	7
	<i>Mishawaka Rubber &amp; Woolen Mfg. Co. v. S.S. Kresge Co.</i>	8
	<i>Yale Electric Corp. v. Robertson</i>	8
	<i>Prestonettes, Inc. v. Coty</i>	8
	Notes and Questions	9
	Note: Trademarks . . . and Copyrights and Patents	11
	B. The Nature of Unfair Competition Law	12
	<i>International News Service v. Associated Press</i>	12
	<i>Dastar Corp. v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp.</i>	14
	Notes and Questions	14
	C. Purposes of Trademark Law	16
	Daniel M. McClure, Trademarks and Competition: The Recent History	16
	Notes and Questions	18
	D. Modern Marketing and Trademark Law	18
	Jerre B. Swann, Dilution Redefined for the Year 2002	19
	Alex Kozinski, Trademarks Unplugged	22
	Notes and Questions	23
	Graeme B. Dinwoodie, (National) Trademark Laws and the (Non-National) Domain Name System	25
	Notes and Questions	28
	E. An Illustration	28
	<i>Perry v. H. J. Heinz Company Brands, L.L.C.</i>	28
	Notes and Questions	34
	Figure 1-1: A Trademark Timeline	36
	Figure 1-2: A Comparison of Copyright, Patent, and Trademark	37
	Figure 1-3: Appeal Routes	39

<b>PART II</b>	<b>CREATION OF TRADEMARK RIGHTS</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>DISTINCTIVENESS</b>	<b>43</b>
	Restatement (Third) of Unfair Competition Section 9	43
	Lanham Act Section 45	43
	Notes and Questions	44
A.	The Spectrum of Distinctiveness	45
	<i>Abercrombie &amp; Fitch Co. v. Hunting World, Inc.</i>	45
	Restatement (Third) of Unfair Competition Section 13	48
	Notes and Questions	48
B.	Descriptiveness and Secondary Meaning	51
	<i>Zatarain's, Inc. v. Oak Grove Smokehouse, Inc.</i>	51
	Notes and Questions	56
	Restatement (Third) of Unfair Competition	
	Section 13, Comment (e)	61
	Notes and Questions	62
C.	Generic Terms	67
	<i>Filipino Yellow Pages, Inc. v. Asian Journal Pubs., Inc.</i>	67
	<i>Mil-Mar Shoe Co., Inc. v. Shonac Corp.</i>	70
	<i>Haughton Elevator Co. v. Seeberger</i>	72
	<i>Murphy Door Bed Co., Inc. v. Interior Sleep Sys., Inc.</i>	73
	<i>Blinded Veterans Ass'n v. Blinded American</i>	
	<i>Veterans Found.</i>	76
	Notes and Questions	80
	<i>United States Patent &amp; Trademark Office v.</i>	
	<i>Booking.com B.V.</i>	86
	Notes and Questions	97
	Problem 2-1: Preventing Genericide	98
	Problem 2-2: Word Mark Distinctiveness	100
D.	Distinctiveness of Nonverbal Identifiers: Logos, Packages, Product Design, and Colors	101
	1. Different Tests, Different Standards?	101
	<i>In Re Lululemon Athletica Canada, Inc.</i>	102
	Notes and Questions	107
	<i>Star Indus., Inc. v. Bacardi &amp; Co. Ltd.</i>	109
	<i>Amazing Spaces, Inc. v. Metro Mini Storage</i>	112
	<i>In Re Vox Populi Registry Ltd.</i>	120
	Notes and Questions	123
	2. Expanding the Types of Nonverbal Marks	124
	<i>Two Pesos, Inc. v. Taco Cabana, Inc.</i>	125
	Notes and Questions	131
	<i>Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Products Co., Inc.</i>	131
	Notes and Questions	137
	3. The Design/Packaging Distinction	137
	a. Post- <i>Two Pesos</i> Circuit Split in the Test of Inherent Distinctiveness of Trade Dress	137
	b. The Protection of Packaging Trade Dress After <i>Two Pesos</i> and <i>Qualitex</i>	138

c.	The Supreme Court Enters the Fray: Product Design Trade Dress	140
	<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Samara Brothers, Inc.</i>	140
	Notes and Questions	144
4.	Trade Dress Protection After <i>Wal-Mart</i>	145
	<i>In re Slokevage</i>	145
	<i>In re Forney Industries, Inc.</i>	148
	Notes and Questions	152
	<i>Yankee Candle Company, Inc. v. Bridgewater Candle Company, LLC</i>	153
	Notes and Questions	158
	Problem 2-3: Cheerios	161
E.	The Edge of Trademark Protection: Subject-Matter Exclusions?	164
1.	Exotic Source-Identifiers	165
	<i>In re Clarke</i>	165
	Notes and Questions	167
2.	Subject Matter Protected by Copyright	168
	<i>Oliveira v. Frito-Lay, Inc.</i>	168
	Notes and Questions	172
	<i>Dastar Corp. v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp.</i>	174
	Notes and Questions	180
	Problem 2-4: <i>Dastar</i> Karaoke	183

3

	<b>FUNCTIONALITY</b>	<b>185</b>
A.	An Introduction to the Concept of Functionality	185
	<i>In re Morton-Norwich Products, Inc.</i>	186
	Notes and Questions	194
B.	The Scope of the Functionality Doctrine	195
	<i>Wallace Int'l Silversmiths, Inc. v. Godinger Silver Art Co., Inc.</i>	195
	<i>Brunswick Corp. v. British Seagull Ltd.</i>	200
	Notes and Questions	201
C.	The Supreme Court's Approach to Functionality	202
	<i>Kellogg Co. v. National Biscuit Co.</i>	203
	<i>Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Prods. Co., Inc.</i>	206
	<i>TrafFix Devices, Inc. v. Marketing Displays, Inc.</i>	208
	Notes and Questions	213
D.	Post- <i>TrafFix</i> Applications of the Functionality Doctrine	216
1.	Utilitarian Features	216
	<i>Valu Engineering, Inc. v. Rexnord Corp.</i>	216
	<i>Eppendorf-Netheler-Hinz GmbH v. Ritter GmbH</i>	221
	<i>Jay Franco &amp; Sons, Inc. v. Franek</i>	222
	<i>Bodum USA, Inc. v. A Top New Casting Inc.</i>	226
	<i>Ezaki Glico Kabushiki Kaisha v. Lotte Int'l Am. Corp.</i>	231
	Notes and Questions	237
	The Evidentiary Inference: Further Notes and Questions	239

2. Aesthetic Features	242
<i>Abercrombie &amp; Fitch Stores, Inc. v. American Eagle Outfitters, Inc.</i>	242
<i>Leapers, Inc. v. SMTS, LLC</i>	246
<i>Christian Louboutin S.A. v. Yves Saint Laurent America, Inc.</i>	249
<i>Au-Tomotive Gold, Inc. v. Volkswagen of America, Inc.</i>	256
Notes and Questions	260
Problem 3-1: The Hershey Bar	263

## 4

<b>USE</b>	<b>265</b>
A. “Use” as a Jurisdictional Prerequisite	265
B. Actual “Use” as a Basis for Establishing Rights	266
1. Establishing Actual Use	267
Lanham Act Section 1	267
Lanham Act Section 45	268
Notes and Questions	268
Problem 4-1: Use in Connection with Goods	270
<i>Aycock Engineering, Inc. v. Airflite, Inc.</i>	270
Notes and Questions	275
<i>Planetary Motion, Inc. v. Techsplosion, Inc.</i>	276
Notes and Questions	280
Problem 4-2: Illegal Uses	282
Proving Use in Registration Practice	283
2. Priority of Use	288
Lanham Act Section 2(d)	289
Notes and Questions	289
Problem 4-3: The Use Requirement and Merely Descriptive Marks	291
Problem 4-4: Tacking	291
C. Constructive Use as a Basis for Establishing Rights	294
S. Rep. No. 100-515	295
1. Establishing Intent to Use	296
Lanham Act Section 1	296
<i>M.Z. Berger &amp; Co., Inc. v. Swatch AG</i>	297
Notes and Questions	302
2. Constructive Use Priority	303
Lanham Act Section 7(c)	303
Notes and Questions	304
D. Foreign Activity as a Basis for Establishing Rights	305
Lanham Act Section 44(e)	305
<i>In re Rath</i>	306
Notes and Questions	312
Lanham Act Section 44(d)	313
Notes and Questions	313
Lanham Act Section 66	314
Lanham Act Section 67	314
<i>Lodestar Anstalt v. Bacardi &amp; Co. Ltd.</i>	314

	Notes and Questions	324
E.	“Surrogate” Uses	326
1.	Uses by Affiliates	327
	Problem 4-5: Truth in Rock	329
2.	Public as a “Surrogate” User	330
	<i>Coca-Cola Co. v. Busch</i>	330
	Notes and Questions	331
	Problem 4-6: Krusty Krab	334
F.	Loss of Rights Through Non-Use or Uncontrolled Uses	335
1.	Abandonment Through Non-Use	335
	<i>Emergency One, Inc. v. American FireEagle, Ltd.</i>	335
	Notes and Questions	339
	Problem 4-7: Residual Goodwill	343
	Problem 4-8: Trademark Maintenance Programs	345
	Problem 4-9: Trademarks and the Relocation of Sports Franchises	346
2.	Abandonment Through Failure to Control Use	348
	Restatement (Third) of Unfair Competition Section 33, Comments A-C	348
	<i>Lawn Managers, Inc. v. Progressive Lawn Managers, Inc.</i>	350
	Notes and Questions	357
	Problem 4-10: Quality Control and Differentiated Product Lines	360
	<i>University Book Store v. University of Wisconsin Board     of Regents</i>	360
	Notes and Questions	366
	<i>E. &amp; J. Gallo Winery v. Gallo Cattle Company</i>	368
	Notes and Questions	371

5

	<b>REGISTRATION</b>	<b>375</b>
A.	The Registration Process, Post-Registration Actions, and Incontestability	375
1.	Overview of Relevant Provisions	375
	Figure 5-1	375
2.	Post-Registration Actions	385
3.	Incontestability	391
	<i>Park 'N Fly, Inc. v. Dollar Park &amp; Fly, Inc.</i>	393
	Notes and Questions	398
B.	Exclusions from Registration	400
1.	Overview	400
2.	Scandalous, Disparaging, Deceptive, and Deceptively Misdescriptive Marks Under Section 2(a) and 2(e)(1)	401
	<i>Matal v. Tam</i>	402
	<i>Iancu v. Brunetti</i>	412
	Notes and Questions	419
	<i>In re Budge Mfg. Co., Inc.</i>	421
	Notes and Questions	422
	Problem 5-1: Section 2(a) Deceptiveness Versus Section 2(e)(1) Deceptive Misdescriptiveness	423

	Problem 5-2: Temporary Deception and the Section 2(a) Deceptiveness Inquiry	423
	Problem 5-3: Government Symbols	424
3.	Geographic Marks	425
	<i>In re The Newbridge Cutlery Co.</i>	426
	Notes and Questions	432
	<i>In re California Innovations, Inc.</i>	435
	Notes and Questions	439
4.	Name Marks	442
	<i>In re United Distillers, Plc</i>	442
	Notes and Questions	443
	<i>In re Sauer</i>	445
	Notes and Questions	446
	Problem 5-4: Celebrity Names	449
	Problem 5-5: Review Exercises—Applying Section 2	449
 <b>PART III SCOPE AND ENFORCEMENT OF TRADEMARK RIGHTS</b>		 <b>451</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>GEOGRAPHIC LIMITS ON TRADEMARK RIGHTS</b>	<b>453</b>
A.	Geographic Limits on Common Law Rights: <i>Tea Rose–Rectanus</i> Doctrine	453
	<i>United Drug Co. v. Theodore Rectanus Co.</i>	453
	Notes and Questions	456
B.	Geographic Limits and Registered Rights	459
	<i>Dawn Donut Co., Inc. v. Hart’s Food Stores, Inc.</i>	459
	<i>National Ass’n for Healthcare Communications, Inc. v. Central Arkansas Area Agency on Aging, Inc.</i>	462
	<i>Guthrie Healthcare System v. ContextMedia, Inc.</i>	467
	Notes and Questions	476
C.	The Territorial Nature of U.S. Trademark Rights	479
	<i>Person’s Co., Ltd. v. Christman</i>	479
	<i>Grupo Gigante v. Dallo &amp; Co., Inc.</i>	482
	<i>ITC Limited v. Punchgini, Inc.</i>	489
	<i>Belmora LLC v. Bayer Consumer Care AG</i>	498
	Notes and Questions	507
	<i>International Bancorp LLC v. Societe des Bains de Mer et du Cercle des Etrangers a Monaco</i>	511
	Notes and Questions	517
	Problem 6-1: Scottish Beer Sales	518
D.	The Extraterritorial Enforcement of U.S. Trademark Rights	518
	<i>Steele v. Bulova Watch Co.</i>	518
	<i>Vanity Fair Mills, Inc. v. T. Eaton Co., Ltd.</i>	521
	<i>Sterling Drug, Inc. v. Bayer AG</i>	525
	<i>McBee v. Delica Co.</i>	527
	<i>Trader Joe’s Co. v. Hallatt</i>	534
	<i>Hetronic International, Inc. v. Hetronic Germany GmbH</i>	542
	Notes and Questions	548

Problem 6-2: Italian Online Magazine Sales	549
Problem 6-3: Irish Furniture Sales	549

7

<b>CONFUSION-BASED TRADEMARK LIABILITY THEORIES</b>	<b>551</b>
A. Evolution of the Confusion Standard	552
<i>Borden Ice Cream Co. v. Borden's Condensed Milk Co.</i>	552
<i>Fleischmann Distilling Corp. v. Maier Brewing Co.</i>	555
Notes and Questions	558
B. The Actionable "Use" Prerequisite	561
<i>Holiday Inns, Inc. v. 800 Reservations, Inc.</i>	562
Notes and Questions	566
<i>Rescuecom Corp. v. Google Inc.</i>	567
Notes and Questions	579
C. The Factors Analysis for Likelihood of Confusion	581
1. Overview; Discussion Questions	581
Figure 7-1: Factor Tests in Likelihood-of-Confusion Analysis	583
2. Applying the Factors Analysis	589
<i>Virgin Enterprises Ltd. v. Nawab</i>	589
<i>McDonald's Corp. v. Druck and Gerner, D.D.S., P.C., d/b/a McDental</i>	595
<i>Libman Company v. Vining Industries, Inc.</i>	600
Introduction to Notes and Questions on the Factors Analysis	605
Notes and Questions: Similarity of Marks Factor	605
Problem 7-1: Pickle Problem	611
Problem 7-2: Similarity Factor for Foreign Language Word Marks	612
Problem 7-3: Similarity Analysis for Nonverbal Marks	613
Notes and Questions: Strength Factor	615
Notes and Questions: Intent Factor	616
Notes and Questions: Buyer Sophistication Factor; Reasonably Prudent Purchaser	618
Notes and Questions: Actual Confusion Factor	620
Notes and Questions: Relatedness of Goods/Channels of Trade/Bridging the Gap	623
Problem 7-4: Related or Unrelated? Confusing or Not?	627
3. The Factors Analysis Applied to Private-Label Goods	628
Problem 7-5: Likelihood of Confusion in Private-Label Goods Cases	628
4. The Factors Analysis Applied to Promotional Goods	630
<i>Boston Professional Hockey Association, Inc. v. Dallas Cap &amp; Emblem Mfg., Inc.</i>	630
Notes and Questions	632
5. The Factors Analysis Applied Under Section 2(d) Lanham Act, Section 2(d)	636
Lanham Act, Section 2(d)	636

	Problem 7-6: Effect of PTO Section 2(d) Determinations in Subsequent Litigation	639
	Problem 7-7: Effect of Litigation Determinations in Subsequent PTO Proceedings	641
D.	Confusion Away from the Point of Sale	641
1.	Post-Sale Confusion	642
	<i>Ferrari S.P.A., Esercizio v. Roberts</i>	642
	Notes and Questions	646
2.	Initial Interest Confusion	649
	<i>Multi Time Machine, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i>	650
	Notes and Questions	661
E.	Reverse Confusion	664
	<i>A &amp; H Sportswear, Inc. v. Victoria's Secret Stores, Inc.</i>	665
	Notes and Questions	671
F.	Indirect and Vicarious Theories of Infringement Liability	675
	<i>Inwood Laboratories, Inc. v. Ives Laboratories, Inc.</i>	675
	<i>Tiffany (NJ) Inc. v. eBay Inc.</i>	679
	Notes and Questions	685
	Problem 7-8: Brother Billy and the Baptists' Bathrooms	692
	Problem 7-9: Trade "Disparagement"?	692

## 8

	<b>NON-CONFUSION-BASED TRADEMARK LIABILITY THEORIES</b>	<b>695</b>
A.	Dilution Protection	695
1.	The Concept of Dilution	695
	Notes and Questions	697
2.	Anatomy of the Federal Trademark Dilution Provisions	698
	Lanham Act Section 43(c)	698
	Notes and Questions	700
3.	The Forms of Dilution: Dilution by Tarnishment and Dilution by Blurring	703
a.	Tarnishment	703
	<i>Toys "R" Us, Inc. v. Akkaoui</i>	703
	<i>Toys "R" Us, Inc. v. Feinberg</i>	704
	<i>V Secret Catalogue, Inc. v. Moseley</i>	705
	Notes and Questions	712
	Problem 8-1: Dilution by Burnishing?	713
b.	Blurring	713
	<i>Starbucks Corp. v. Wolfe's Borough Coffee, Inc.</i>	714
	<i>Visa Int'l Service Assoc. v. JSL Corp.</i>	721
	Notes and Questions	724
4.	Dilution Under Lanham Act §2(f)	728
B.	Protection Against Cybersquatting	729
1.	Protection Under the Dilution Statute	729
	<i>Panavision International v. Toeppen</i>	729
	Notes and Questions	732
2.	Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act: Section 43(d) of the Lanham Act	733



	<i>Sporty's Farm L.L.C. v. Sportsman's Market, Inc.</i>	733
	<i>DSPT Int'l, Inc. v. Nahum</i>	738
	<i>Newport News Holding Corp. v. Virtual City Vision, Inc.</i>	742
	<i>Lamparello v. Falwell</i>	746
	Notes and Questions	749
	<i>Harrods Ltd. v. Sixty Internet Domain Names</i>	753
	Notes and Questions	762
3.	ICANN Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP)	765
	Final Report of the WIPO Internet Domain Name Process (Executive Summary, April 30, 1999)	765
	<i>World Wrestling Federation Entertainment, Inc. v. Bosman</i>	768
	<i>Telstra Corp. Ltd. v. Nuclear Marshmallows</i>	771
	Notes and Questions	773
	<i>Coach, Inc. v. Koko Island</i>	775
4.	The Relationship Between the UDRP and the ACPA	783
	<i>Barcelona.com, Inc. v. Excelentísimo Ayuntamiento de Barcelona</i>	783
	Notes and Questions	790

## 9

	<b>PERMISSIBLE USES OF ANOTHER'S TRADEMARKS</b>	<b>793</b>
A.	Fair Use of Another's Trademark	793
1.	Relationship Between Fair Use and Confusion	794
	<i>KP Permanent Make-Up, Inc. v. Lasting Impression I, Inc.</i>	794
	Notes and Questions	799
2.	Descriptive Fair Use	799
	<i>Fortune Dynamic, Inc. v. Victoria's Secret Stores Brand Management, Inc.</i>	800
	<i>Kelly-Brown v. Winfrey</i>	805
	<i>Marketquest Group, Inc. v. BIC Corp.</i>	813
	Notes and Questions	817
	Problem 9-1: Fair Use of Names	820
	Problem 9-2: Fair Use of Geographic Indicators	822
3.	Nominative Fair Use	822
	<i>R. G. Smith v. Chanel, Inc.</i>	823
	Notes and Questions	826
	<i>Century 21 Real Estate Corp. v. LendingTree, Inc.</i>	828
	<i>Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. v. Tabari</i>	835
	Notes and Questions	843
	Problem 9-3: Applying Nominative Fair Use Tests	845
	Problem 9-4: Nominative Fair Use in Movies and TV	846
B.	Use of Another's Trademark on Genuine Goods:	
	"First Sale" Doctrine	847
	Notes and Questions	849
	<i>Champion Spark Plug Co. v. Sanders</i>	854
	Notes and Questions	855

Problem 9-5: Shoe “Customization”	859
<i>Gamut Trading Co. v. U.S.I.T.C.</i>	860
Notes and Questions	866
C. Expressive Use of Another’s Trademark	867
<i>Anheuser-Busch, Inc. v. Balducci Publications</i>	867
<i>Louis Vuitton Malletier S.A. v. Haute Diggity Dog, LLC</i>	872
<i>Mattel, Inc. v. MCA Records, Inc.</i>	881
Notes and Questions	886
<i>Twentieth Century Fox Television v. Empire Dist., Inc.</i>	890
Notes and Questions	893
<i>Gordon v. Drape Creative, Inc.</i>	896
Notes and Questions	905
Problem 9-6: Trademarks and Virtual Worlds	909
Problem 9-7: “Dumb” Starbucks	909

## 10

<b>FALSE ADVERTISING</b>	<b>911</b>
A. Introduction: The Evolution of Section 43(a) False Advertising Claims	911
Notes and Questions	913
B. Threshold Issues	914
Problem 10-1: Standing	914
Problem 10-2: Commercial “Advertising or Promotion”	917
C. Elements of the Section 43(a)(1)(B) False Advertising Claim	918
<i>United Industries Corp. v. Clorox Co.</i>	918
Notes and Questions	922
Problem 10-3: This Casebook Rocks	927
<i>Pizza Hut, Inc. v. Papa John’s International, Inc.</i>	928
Notes and Questions	932
<i>Cashmere &amp; Camel Hair Mfrs. Inst. v. Saks Fifth Avenue</i>	934
Notes and Questions	940
<i>Trafficschool.com, Inc. v. Edriver, Inc.</i>	945
Notes and Questions	947
Problem 10-4: “Ambush” Advertising	947
Problem 10-5: Lanham Act False Advertising Versus Other Federal Labeling Regulations	948

## 11

<b>TRADE IDENTITY RIGHTS IN ONE’S PERSONA: ENDORSEMENT, ATTRIBUTION, AND PUBLICITY</b>	<b>951</b>
A. Section 43(a) and the Protection of Personal Identity	952
1. False Over-Attribution	952
Notes and Questions	954
2. False Under-Attribution	956
Notes and Questions	959
Problem 11-1: <i>Dastar</i> and False Advertising Theories in Under-Attribution Cases . . .	
Featuring Kim Kardashian	962
B. Right of Publicity	963
1. Overview of Statutory and Common Law Regimes	963

Indiana Code Title 32 (Property)—Art. 36 (Publicity) Chap. 1 (Rights of Publicity)	965
Notes and Questions	969
Figure 11-1	973
Problem 11-2: Copyright and Trademark Preemption of the Right of Publicity	974
<i>Cheatham v. Paisano Publications, Inc.</i>	975
Notes and Questions	979
2. Protectable Aspects of Persona	979
<i>John W. Carson v. Here's Johnny Portable Toilets, Inc.</i>	980
<i>Vanna White v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc.</i>	982
<i>Vanna White v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc.</i>	985
Notes and Questions	989
Problem 11-3: The Right of Publicity and Portrayals of Fictional Characters	990
3. Limitations on the Right of Publicity	993
<i>Hart v. Electronic Arts, Inc.</i>	993
Notes and Questions	1006
<i>Daniels v. Fanduel, Inc.</i>	1010
Notes and Questions	1015
<i>Michael Jordan v. Jewel Food Stores, Inc.</i>	1016
Problem 11-4: The Scottish Elvis	1025
Problem 11-5: Sheriff Andy Griffith	1026
Problem 11-6: G.I. George	1026
Problem 11-7: The Soldier's Right of Publicity	1027
Problem 11-8: Guitar Hero	1028

12

<b>REMEDIES</b>	<b>1029</b>
A. Injunctive (and Other Non-Monetary) Relief	1029
<i>Goto.com, Inc. v. Walt Disney Co.</i>	1029
Notes and Questions	1032
The Effect of <i>eBay</i> and the Trademark Modernization Act of 2020	1038
Notes and Questions: Other Non-Monetary Relief	1039
B. Monetary Relief	1040
<i>Lindy Pen Co. v. Bic Pen Corp.</i>	1040
<i>Romag Fasteners, Inc. v. Fossil Group, Inc.</i>	1045
Notes and Questions	1048
<i>LHO Chicago River, L.L.C. v. Perillo</i>	1052
Notes and Questions	1054
C. Counterfeiting	1057
<i>K &amp; N Engineering, Inc. v. Bulat</i>	1057
Notes and Questions	1059

<i>Table of Cases</i>	1063
<i>Index</i>	1079