Table of Continuing NotesxxiiiTable of ProblemsxxvPrefacexxviiAcknowledgmentsxxix

Chapter 1. Introduction to the Torts Process: Liability for Harmful and Offensive Battery 1

A. Some General Observations 1 The Lawyer as Part of the Legal Profession 1 A Bird's-Eye View of the Law of Torts 2 B. A Preliminary Look at the Adjudicative Process 3 The Investigation 3 The Pleadings 5 The Trial 6 The Appeal 8 Mechanisms for Resolving Disputes: Adjudication 9 C. The Substantive Law Governing Liability for Battery 10 1. The Prima Facie Case 12 a. Intent 12 (1) What Consequences Must the Defendant Intend to Commit a Battery? 13 Vosburg v. Putney 13 The Law-Fact Distinction: In General 16 Note: Tort Liability of Minors and Their Parents 18 (2) Which Mental States Constitute Intent to Cause a Harmful or Offensive Contact? 19 Garratt v. Dailey 20 The Law-Fact Distinction: Trials Without Juries 26 Problem 1 27 Mechanisms for Resolving Disputes: Judges vs. Juries as Triers of Fact 28 b. Contact 30 (1) What Constitutes Contact? 30 (2) Which Intended Contacts Are Wrongful? 31 Fisher v. Carrousel Motor Hotel 32 Leichtman v. WLW Jacor Communications, Inc. 34 Note: Returning (Briefly) to the Element of Intent 36 Law and Policy: Preliminary Considerations 36 2. Privileges 42 a. Consent 42 O'Brien v. Cunard Steamship Co. 43 The Law-Fact Distinction: Sufficiency of the Evidence, Directed Verdicts, and Judgments Non Obstante Veredicto 44

xi

	Problem 2 45
	Barton v. Bee Line, Inc. 47
	The Lawyer's Professional Responsibility: Keeping
	Confidences 48
	Note: Minors as Parties to Litigation 51
	Note: The Effect of Criminal Statutes on Consent51
	Problem 3 54
	Bang v. Charles T. Miller Hospital 56
	Kennedy v. Parrott 58
	Problem 4 61
	The Relationship Between Tort and Contract: Medical Malpractice 61
	Hackbart v. Cincinnati Bengals, Inc. 67
	Note: Consent Procured by Fraud or Duress 70
	Note: Patients' Consent to Lifesaving Medical
	Treatment 71
b.	Pulling Some Strands Together: Intent, Consent, and Liability
0.	Insurance 74
	State Farm Fire & Casualty Co. v. S.S. & G.W. 75
с.	Self-Defense 80
С.	Note: Rules vs. Standards as Means of Guiding and
	Judging Behavior 81
	Problem 5 82
	The Lawyer's Professional Responsibility: Playing to the Prejudices of the Jury 87
	Problem 6 89
	Law and Policy: Why All This Reliance on
	Reasonableness? 91
d.	Defense of Others 93
e.	Defense of Property 93
	Katko v. Briney 94
	Law and Policy: Why Should We Care About Sneak
	Thieves? 100
f.	Necessity 102
	Ploof v. Putnam 102
	Vincent v. Lake Erie Transportation Co. 104
	Problem 7 107
g.	Miscellaneous Privileges 108
	Law and Behavior: Does the One Affect the
	Other? 110
	Law and Policy: Taking Stock 113

Chapter 2. Actual Causation 115

A.	Did the Defendant Cause the Plaintiff's Harm?	117
	Hoyt v. Jeffers 117	
	Smith v. Rapid Transit Inc.	119

xii

The Law-Fact Distinction: Sufficiency of the Evidence and Circumstantial Proof of Causation 122 Restatement (Third) of Torts: Liability for Physical and Emotional Harm (2010) 127 Problem 8 129 The Lawyer's Professional Responsibility: The Partisan Expert Witness, Frivolous Lawsuits, and Perjury 131 B. When One of Several Defendants Did It, But We Can't Tell Which One: Alternative Liability 132 Summers v. Tice 132 Ybarra v. Spangard 135 Note: Joint and Several Liability 137 C. Market Share Liability 139 Suffolk County Water Authority v. Dow Chemical Co. 139 State v. Exxon Mobil Corp. 143 Problem 9 145 The Lawyer's Professional Responsibility: Interviewing Witnesses 150 Problem 10 151 D. When Two or More Causal Agents Would, Independent of Each Other, Have Caused Plaintiff's Harm: Concurrent and Successive Causation 152 Dillon v. Twin State Gas & Electric Co. 153 Kingston v. Chicago & Northwest Railway 153 Problem 11 158 E. Relationship Between Actual Causation and Vicarious Liability 158 1. Masters, Servants, and Independent Contractors-Respondeat Superior 159 a. General Principles 159 b. Distinguishing Servants from Independent Contractors 160 c. Relationship Between the Servant's Conduct and the Scope of Employment 161 Problem 12 162 d. Exceptions to the General Rule of Nonliability of Independent Contractors 166 e. The Master's Right of Indemnity Against the Servant 167 Law and Policy: Why Should Masters Be Liable for the Employment-Related Torts of Their Servants? 167 Problem 13 169 2. Other Forms of Vicarious Liability 170 a. Joint Enterprise 170 b. The Family Purpose Doctrine 171 c. Aiding and Encouraging 172 Chapter 3. Negligence: Duty of Care and Proof of Breach 173

A. The Origins and Early Development of the Negligence Concept 173 Brown v. Kendall 174 xiii

Note: Legal Formalism vs. Legal Realism 177 Law and Behavior: Empirical Investigations of Legal Decisionmaking 179 B. The General Standard 184 United States v. Carroll Towing Co. 186 Problem 14 190 The Law-Fact Distinction: The Negligence Issue 191 193 Washington v. Louisiana Power and Light Co. Rodriguez v. Del Sol Shopping Center Associates, L.P. 195 199 Note: The Duty Issue in Negligence Law Bassett v. Lamantia 203 Law and Policy: The Values Reflected in the Negligence Concept 206 C. Special Rules Governing the Proof of Negligence 210 1. Violation of Statutory and Regulatory Safety Standards 210 Martin v. Herzog 210 Tedla v. Ellman 212 Brown v. Shyne 215 The Law-Fact Distinction: The Effects of Safety Statute Violations upon the Division of Functions Between 220 Judge and Jury Problem 15 221 2. Custom 222 The T. J. Hooper 222 225 Problem 16 Mechanisms for Resolving Disputes: Special Verdicts, General Verdicts, and Instructions to the Jury 226 Law and Behavior: What We Think About When We Think About Tort Law 228 Helling v. Carey 231 Warren v. Dinter 234 The Relationship Between Tort and Contract: Breach of Promise to Cure as a Basis of Medical Malpractice Liability 240 3. Res Ipsa Loquitur 242 Boyer v. Iowa High School Athletic Association 244 Shutt v. Kaufman's, Inc. 247 City of Louisville v. Humphrey 250 Problem 17 252 Escola v. Coca Cola Bottling Co. 253 D. Modification of the General Standard Arising Out of Special Relationships Between the Parties 256 1. Responsibility of Possessors of Land for the Safety of Entrants 256 Invitees and Licensees 257 a. 259 b. Trespassers Problem 18 262 262 Problem 19 Rowland v. Christian 263

xiv

- 2. Responsibility of Common Carriers for the Safety of Their Passengers 269
- 3. Responsibility of Operators of Motor Vehicles for the Safety of Their Passengers 270
- E. The Absence of a General Duty to Rescue 271

Erie Railroad Co. v. Stewart 271 275 Tubbs v. Argus Problem 20 279 Model Rules of Professional Conduct 280 The Lawyer's Professional Responsibility: The Relevance of Moral Considerations 282 Tarasoff v. Regents of University of California 284 Problem 21 293 Law and Policy: A Legal Duty to Rescue? 294 Law and Behavior: The Effect of Legal Rules upon Individual Conduct 298

Chapter 4.Negligence: Proximate Cause, Special Instances of Nonliability,
and Defenses303

303 A. Proximate Cause 1. Liability Linked Logically to Defendant's Negligence and Limited to Foreseeable Consequences 304 a. Some Preliminary Considerations 304 CSX Transportation, Inc. v. McBride 305 b. But for the Wrongful Quality of Defendant's Conduct, Would the Plaintiff Have Suffered the Same Harm? 308 Ford v. Trident Fisheries Co. 309 Aegis Insurance Services, Inc. v. 7 World Trade Co., L.P. 309 Problem 22 315 Cahoon v. Cummings 315 c. Was Any Harm at All to the Plaintiff Foreseeable When the Defendant Acted? 319 Palsgraf v. Long Island Railroad 319 d. Were the Nature and Circumstances of the Plaintiff's Particular Harm Foreseeable? 327 Marshall v. Nugent 327 Herrera v. Quality Pontiac 333 Stahlecker v. Ford Motor Co. 336 Problem 23 342 Gorris v. Scott 345 Problem 24 347 2. The Restatements' Approaches to the Proximate Cause Issue 348 B. Special Instances of Nonliability for Harmful Consequences that Are Both Foreseeable and of the Sort that Made Defendant's Conduct Negligent 350 1. Mental and Emotional Upset 350

The Impact and Zone of Danger Rules 350 a. Waube v. Warrington 351 Note: The Impact and Zone of Danger Rules in the Age of Toxics 354 b. Liability to Bystanders 355 355 Dillon v. Legg Thing v. La Chusa 360 Problem 25 367 c. Liability to Direct Victims 367 Burgess v. Superior Court 367 2. Injury to Personal Relationships 373 374 Feliciano v. Rosemar Silver Co. Borer v. American Airlines, Inc. 375 3. Liability for Prenatal Harm 381 a. Actions by Parents for Their Own Harm 381 Werling v. Sandy 381 Fassoulas v. Ramey 386 b. Actions on Behalf of Children for Their Own Harm 390 Turpin v. Sortini 390 397 4. Purely Consequential Economic Loss Barber Lines A/S v. M/V Donau Maru 397 Southern California Gas Leak Cases 404 Problem 26 411 C. Contributory Fault 411 1. Contributory Negligence 411 Butterfield v. Forrester 411 Davies v. Mann 413 415 2. Assumption of the Risk Meistrich v. Casino Arena Attractions, Inc. 415 Problem 27 417 The Relationship Between Tort and Contract: Exculpatory Clauses 417 Stelluti v. Casapenn Enterprises, LLC 419 3. Comparative Negligence 424 Problem 28 429 Uniform Comparative Fault Act 430 Problem 29 433 Knight v. Jewett 433 D. Immunities 436 1. Governmental Immunity 436 2. Charitable Immunity 439 3. Intrafamily Immunities 440 4. Statutory Immunities 444 447 Chapter 5. **Trespass to Land and Nuisance** A. Trespass to Land 447 449 Nuisance B. C. Judicial Applications of the Substantive Law 458

Peters v. Archambault 458

xvi

Davis v. Georgia-Pacific Corp. 462 Johnson v. Paynesville Farmers Union 463 Cooperative Oil Co. 470 Waschak v. Moffat Jost v. Dairyland Power Cooperative 473 Boomer v. Atlantic Cement Co. 475 Spur Industries, Inc. v. Del E. Webb Development Co. 482 Problem 30 486

Chapter 6. Strict Liability 491

A. Maintaining Custody of Animals 492

B. Abnormally Dangerous Activities 496 Fletcher v. Rylands 496 499 Rylands v. Fletcher Turner v. Big Lake Oil Co. 499 Siegler v. Kuhlman 502 PSI Energy, Inc. v. Roberts 509 Law and Policy: Why Strict Liability? 512 Toms v. Calvary Assembly of God, Inc. 517 Foster v. Preston Mill Co. 520 Problem 31 522

Chapter 7. Products Liability 525

А.	Lia	ability for Manufacturing Defects 525
	1.	The Plaintiff's Prima Facie Case: Doctrinal Theories of Liability 526
		a. Negligence 526
		MacPherson v. Buick Motor Co. 527
		b. Breach of Warranty 530
		Henningsen v. Bloomfield Motors, Inc. 532
		c. Strict Liability in Tort 538
		Vandermark v. Ford Motor Co. 539
		Note: Circumstantial Proof of Defect 543
		The Relationship Between Tort and Contract:
		Products Liability and Recovery for Pure
		Economic Loss 545
		Law and Policy: Policy Objectives Supporting Strict
		Liability in Tort for Defective Products 547
		James A. Henderson, Jr., Coping with the Time Dimension
		in Products Liability 547
		Problem 32 553
	2.	The Plaintiff's Prima Facie Case: Causation 554
		a. Actual Causation (Cause-in-Fact) 554
		b. Proximate (Legal) Causation 556
		Union Pump Co. v. Allbritton 556
		Onion 1 ump Co. v. Aubrilion 550
		Note: Postscript on Causation and <i>Daubert</i> 561
	3.	*

В.	Liability for Defectiv	ve Product Designs 570
	-	McCormack v. Hankscraft Co. 573
		Troja v. Black & Decker Manufacturing Co. 579
		Parish v. Jumpking, Inc. 585
		Problem 33 590
		Jae Kim v. Toyota Motor Corp. 592
		Note: Design Defect and <i>Daubert</i> 597
		Heaton v. Ford Motor Co. 598
		Soule v. General Motors Corp. 603
		Bifolck v. Philip Morris, Inc. 610
		Problem 34 616
C.	Liability for Failure	
0.		Sheckells v. AGV Corp. 617
		Air and Liquid Systems Corp. v. DeVries 622
		Note: The Causation Conundrum in Warning Defect
		Cases 627
		Problem 35 629
		Moore v. Ford Motor Co. 630
		Note: The Special Context of Prescription Drugs and
		Mode: The special Context of Prescription Drugs and Medical Devices 634
D.	Statutory Reform of	
D.	1. Reform in State	
		nited States Congress 641
	2. Kelolili lii ule Ul	inted States Congress 041
Chapte	er 8. Damages	643
_	_	
_	Compensatory Dama	ages 643
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury	ages 643 644
_	Compensatory Dama	ages 643 644 venses 644
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp	ages 643 644 Problem 36 649
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp b. Lost Earning	ages 643 644 penses 644 Problem 36 649 gs and Impairment of Earning Capacity 650
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp b. Lost Earning (1) The Basi	ages 643 644 Problem 36 649 gs and Impairment of Earning Capacity 650 ic Measure of Recovery 650
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp b. Lost Earning (1) The Basi	ages 643 644 Problem 36 649 rs and Impairment of Earning Capacity 650 ic Measure of Recovery 650 <i>Ruzzi v. Butler Petroleum Co.</i> 650
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp b. Lost Earning (1) The Basi	ages 643 644 Problem 36 649 gs and Impairment of Earning Capacity 650 ic Measure of Recovery 650 <i>Ruzzi v. Butler Petroleum Co.</i> 650 <i>Grayson v. Irvmar Realty Corp.</i> 657
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp b. Lost Earning (1) The Basi (2) Adjustm	ages 643 644 Problem 36 649 gs and Impairment of Earning Capacity 650 ic Measure of Recovery 650 <i>Ruzzi v. Butler Petroleum Co.</i> 650 <i>Grayson v. Irvmar Realty Corp.</i> 657 ents in Reaching the Final Recovery Figure 660
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp b. Lost Earning (1) The Basi (2) Adjustm	ages 643 644 Problem 36 649 gs and Impairment of Earning Capacity 650 ic Measure of Recovery 650 <i>Ruzzi v. Butler Petroleum Co.</i> 650 <i>Grayson v. Irvmar Realty Corp.</i> 657 ents in Reaching the Final Recovery Figure 660 Problem 37 663
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp b. Lost Earning (1) The Basi (2) Adjustm	ages 643 644 Problem 36 649 rs and Impairment of Earning Capacity 650 ic Measure of Recovery 650 <i>Ruzzi v. Butler Petroleum Co.</i> 650 <i>Grayson v. Irvmar Realty Corp.</i> 657 ents in Reaching the Final Recovery Figure 660 Problem 37 663 ng, and Other Intangible Elements 664
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp b. Lost Earning (1) The Basi (2) Adjustm	ages 643 644 Problem 36 649 gs and Impairment of Earning Capacity 650 ic Measure of Recovery 650 <i>Ruzzi v. Butler Petroleum Co.</i> 650 <i>Grayson v. Irvmar Realty Corp.</i> 657 ents in Reaching the Final Recovery Figure 660 Problem 37 663 ng, and Other Intangible Elements 664 <i>McDougald v. Garber</i> 666
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp b. Lost Earning (1) The Basi (2) Adjustm	ages 643 644 Problem 36 649 gs and Impairment of Earning Capacity 650 ic Measure of Recovery 650 <i>Ruzzi v. Butler Petroleum Co.</i> 650 <i>Grayson v. Irvmar Realty Corp.</i> 657 ents in Reaching the Final Recovery Figure 660 Problem 37 663 ng, and Other Intangible Elements 664 <i>McDougald v. Garber</i> 666 Problem 38 672
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp b. Lost Earning (1) The Basi (2) Adjustm	ages 643 644 Problem 36 649 s and Impairment of Earning Capacity 650 ic Measure of Recovery 650 <i>Ruzzi v. Butler Petroleum Co.</i> 650 <i>Grayson v. Irvmar Realty Corp.</i> 657 ents in Reaching the Final Recovery Figure 660 Problem 37 663 ng, and Other Intangible Elements 664 <i>McDougald v. Garber</i> 666 Problem 38 672 Bailey, Back Injuries 678
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp b. Lost Earning (1) The Basi (2) Adjustm	ages643644Problem 36649gs and Impairment of Earning Capacity650ic Measure of Recovery650Ruzzi v. Butler Petroleum Co.650Grayson v. Irvmar Realty Corp.657ents in Reaching the Final Recovery Figure660Problem 37663ng, and Other Intangible Elements664McDougald v. Garber666Problem 38672Bailey, Back Injuries678Jones v. Harris680
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp b. Lost Earning (1) The Basi (2) Adjustm	ages643644Problem 36649gs and Impairment of Earning Capacity650ic Measure of Recovery650Ruzzi v. Butler Petroleum Co.650Grayson v. Irvmar Realty Corp.657ents in Reaching the Final Recovery Figure660Problem 37663ng, and Other Intangible Elements664McDougald v. Garber666Problem 38672Bailey, Back Injuries678Jones v. Harris680Mechanisms for Resolving Disputes: Settlement684
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp b. Lost Earning (1) The Basi (2) Adjustm	ages 643 644 Problem 36 649 s and Impairment of Earning Capacity 650 ic Measure of Recovery 650 <i>Ruzzi v. Butler Petroleum Co.</i> 650 <i>Grayson v. Irvmar Realty Corp.</i> 657 ents in Reaching the Final Recovery Figure 660 Problem 37 663 ng, and Other Intangible Elements 664 <i>McDougald v. Garber</i> 666 Problem 38 672 Bailey, Back Injuries 678 <i>Jones v. Harris</i> 680 Mechanisms for Resolving Disputes: Settlement 684 The Lawyer's Professional Responsibility: Problems of the
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp b. Lost Earning (1) The Basi (2) Adjustm c. Pain, Sufferi	ages643644Problem 36649gs and Impairment of Earning Capacity650ic Measure of Recovery650Ruzzi v. Butler Petroleum Co.650Grayson v. Irvmar Realty Corp.657ents in Reaching the Final Recovery Figure660Problem 37663ng, and Other Intangible Elements664McDougald v. Garber666Problem 38672Bailey, Back Injuries678Jones v. Harris680Mechanisms for Resolving Disputes: Settlement684The Lawyer's Professional Responsibility: Problems of the Plaintiff's Lawyer in the Settlement Process686
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp b. Lost Earning (1) The Bas (2) Adjustm c. Pain, Sufferi 2. Wrongful Death	ages643644Problem 36649gs and Impairment of Earning Capacity650ic Measure of Recovery650Ruzzi v. Butler Petroleum Co.650Grayson v. Irvmar Realty Corp.657ents in Reaching the Final Recovery Figure660Problem 37663ng, and Other Intangible Elements664McDougald v. Garber666Problem 38672Bailey, Back Injuries678Jones v. Harris680Mechanisms for Resolving Disputes: Settlement684The Lawyer's Professional Responsibility: Problems of the Plaintiff's Lawyer in the Settlement Process686
_	Compensatory Dama 1. Personal Injury a. Medical Exp b. Lost Earning (1) The Basi (2) Adjustm c. Pain, Sufferi	ages643644Problem 36649gs and Impairment of Earning Capacity650ic Measure of Recovery650Ruzzi v. Butler Petroleum Co.650Grayson v. Irvmar Realty Corp.657ents in Reaching the Final Recovery Figure660Problem 37663ng, and Other Intangible Elements664McDougald v. Garber666Problem 38672Bailey, Back Injuries678Jones v. Harris680Mechanisms for Resolving Disputes: Settlement684The Lawyer's Professional Responsibility: Problems of the Plaintiff's Lawyer in the Settlement Process686

State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. v. Campbell 692

xviii

C.	Damages in Context	702	
		B. B. v. County of Los Angeles	702

Chapter 9. The Role of Insurance in the Torts Process 713

A. The History and Functions of Insurance Generally 714 Kenneth S. Abraham & Daniel Schwarcz, Insurance Law and Regulation: Cases and Materials 714 B. Viewing the Tort System from a Loss Distribution Perspective 718 Kenneth S. Abraham, Twenty-First Century Insurance and Loss Distribution in Tort Law 718 Jane Stapleton, Tort, Insurance and Ideology 723 C. The Effects of Liability Insurance on the Torts Process 727 1. The Insurance Company as the Real Party in Interest 728 Shingleton v. Bussey 728 2. Conflicts of Interest in the Defense and Settlement of Tort Claims 731 Crisci v. Security Insurance Co. 732 Problem 39 736 The Lawyer's Professional Responsibility: Conflicts of Interest in Liability Insurance Cases 737

Chapter 10. Compensation Systems as Alternatives to the System of Tort Liability Based on Fault 739

A.	Workers' Compensation 740	
	Anderson v. Save-A-Lot, Ltd. 743	
	Problem 40 749	
	Gomez v. Crookham Co. 750	
В.	Compensation for Victims of Automobile Accidents 755	
	McKenzie v. Auto Club Insurance Association 757	
C.	No-Fault: Beyond Work- and Automobile-Related Accident Losses 763	
	•	64
	 Dealing with Disaster: Public and Private Compensation Funds as 	
	Alternatives to Tort Litigation 768	
	3. More Sweeping Compensation Systems: The New Zealand	
	Experience 773	
D	"Exit" and "Adversarialism" in Alternative Compensation Systems 776	
D.	Nora Freeman Engstrom, Exit, Adversarialism, and the	
	Stubborn Persistence of Tort 776	
Chante	an 11 Dignitary Wrongs and Intentional Infliction	
Chapte	er 11. Dignitary Wrongs and Intentional Infliction	
	of Emotional Distress 779	
^	Offensive Battery 779	
А.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Note: A Postscript on Intent—Must the Defendant	
р	Intend to Offend? 780	
В.	Assault 781	

Read v. Coker782Beach v. Hancock783

C.	False Imprisonment	784		
	-	Whittaker v. Sanford	784	
		Rougeau v. Firestone Tire	e & Rubber Co.	786
		Coblyn v. Kennedy's, Inc.	. 787	
		Sindle v. New York City T	ransit Authority	790
D.	Intentional Infliction	of Emotional Distress	792	
		William L. Prosser, Insul	t and Outrage	792
		Calvert Magruder, Menta	l and Emotional I	Disturbances
		in the Law of Torts	793	
		State Rubbish Collectors	Association v. Sili	iznoff 794
		Rich v. Fox News Networ	<i>k, LLC</i> 800	
		Ford v. Revlon, Inc.	809	
		Problem 41 815		
		Snyder v. Phelps 81	6	

Chapter 12. Defamation 823

A. The Traditional Law 823 1. What Constitutes Defamation 824 a. The General Standard 824 b. Interpretation of the Statement 828 Chau v. Lewis 829 2. Remedies 835 a. Damages 835 (1) Special Damages 835 (2) General Damages 835 (3) Punitive Damages 836 b. Retraction 837 837 c. Injunctions The Libel/Slander Distinction 837 3. a. Slander 838 b. Libel 840 4. Publication 840 5. The Basis of Liability 843 6. Defenses 843 a. Privilege 843 b. Truth 849 7. Judge, Jury, and Burden of Proof 850 Problem 42 850 The Constitutional Issues 853 Β. 1. New York Times Co. v. Sullivan and Its Progeny: Protecting Defamatory Statements Relating to Public Officials 853 a. Actual Malice 854 Gilmore v. Jones 854 b. Public Officials, Public Figures, and Matters of Public Concern 865 Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc. 866

XX

Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co. and Its Progeny: Dist	tinguishing "Fact"
from "Opinion" 873	
Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co.	873
Problem 43 878	
C. Assessment and Criticism of Current Defamation Law	878
1. The Realities of Defamation Litigation 878	
2. Possible Legislative Reform 879	
Chapter 13. Invasion of Privacy 883	
-	

		William L. Prosser, Privacy 883	
А.	Intrusion 883		
		Lunsford v. Sterilite of Ohio, L.L.C.	887
		Shulman v. Group W Productions, Inc.	892
В.	Public Disclosure o	f Private Facts 899	
		Diaz v. Oakland Tribune, Inc. 899	
		The Florida Star v. B.J.F. 909	
C.	False Light 91	7	
		Godbehere v. Phoenix Newspapers, Inc.	917
D.	Appropriation	923	
		In re NCAA Student-Athlete Name & Lik	eness
		Licensing Litigation 925	

Chapter 14. Commercial Torts: Misrepresentation and Interference with Business Relations 933

А.	Mi	srepresentation 933
		The Nature of the Defendant's Representation 935
		Adams v. Gillig 935
		Vulcan Metals Co. v. Simmons Manufacturing Co. 938
		Swinton v. Whitinsville Savings Bank 940
	2.	Scienter, Negligence, and Strict Liability 943
		Derry v. Peek 943
		International Products Co. v. Erie Railroad 946
	3.	Reliance and Contributory Negligence 949
		Pelkey v. Norton 949
		Corva v. United Services Automobile Association 952
	4.	Liability to Third Persons 954
		Ultramares Corp. v. Touche 954
		California Public Employees' Retirement System v. Moody's
		Investors Service, Inc. 959
В.	Int	erference with Business Relations 964
	1.	Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations 964
		Janvrin v. Continental Resources, Inc. 964
	2.	Intentional Interference with Prospective Contracts 972
		Tuttle v. Buck 972
		Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Sturges 975
		Nostrame v. Santiago 979
		Baker v. Dennis Brown Realty, Inc. 984

xxi

Chapter 15. Global Dimensions of Tort Law 987

A. The Extraterritorial Reach of Domestic Law 988 Dow Chemical Co. v. Castro Alfaro 990 Β. The Alien Tort Statute 1004 Jesner v. Arab Bank, PLC 1009 C. Intergovernmental Liability in the Federal Context 1018 Missouri v. Illinois 1019 Illinois v. Milwaukee 1023 American Electric Power Co., Inc. v. Connecticut 1026 D. Intergovernmental Liability in the International Context 1030 Trail Smelter Arbitral Tribunal 1032 Nuclear Tests (New Zealand v. France) 1037 Problem 44 1040

Appendix: Introduction to Economic Analysis of Tort Law1043Table of Cases1051Index1069

xxii