

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	<i>xvii</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxiii</i>
1. SOCIAL IDENTITY, STATUS, AND PROPERTY LAW	1
1.1. Social Status as Property Object	2
<i>United States v. Bhagat Singh Thind</i> , 261 U.S. 204 (1923)	3
<i>Van Houten v. Morse</i> , 162 Mass. 414 (1894)	8
1.2. Political Classifications and Property Markers of Status	13
1.2.1 Citizen and Slave	13
<i>Dred Scott v. Sandford</i> , 60 U.S. 393 (1857)	14
1.3. Migrants, Property, and Citizenship	22
1.3.1 Migrant “Unfree” Labor, Property, Status, and Race	22
<i>An Act to Prohibit the “Coolie Trade” by American Citizens in American Vessels</i> , 12 Stat. 340, 37th Congress, Sess. II, Chap. 27 (1862)	24
1.3.2 Social Identity Barriers in the Transfer of Real Property	26
<i>Alien Land Law Act</i> , Cal. ch. 113 (1913)	27
<i>Alien Land Law Act</i> , Ariz. ch. 43 (1917)	27
<i>Oyama v. California</i> , 332 U.S. 633 (1948)	30
1.4. Citizenship, Territory, and Social Identity	36
1.4.1 Assimilating Different Property Regimes: Citizenship, Territory, and Dispossession	36
<i>Chicana/Chicano Land Tenure in the Agrarian Domain: On the Edge of a “Naked Knife,”</i> Guadalupe T. Luna, 4 Mich. J. Race & L. 39, 40-137 (1998)	36
<i>Labato v. Taylor</i> , 71 P.3d 938 (Colo. 2002)	39
1.4.2 “Native” Identity and the Problems of Propertied Status in the “Empire”	46
<i>Alfarara v. Fross</i> , 159 P.2d 14 (1945)	47
<i>Kahawaiolaa v. Norton</i> , 386 F.3d 1271 (9th Cir. 2004)	52
1.5. Property’s Terrain: The Use of Racially Restrictive Covenants to Maintain Boundaries Between Social Identities	63
	xi

1.5.1	Racially Restrictive Covenants Pre- <i>Shelley</i>	63
	<i>Parmalee v. Morris</i> , 188 N.W. 330 (Mich. 1922)	65
	<i>Porter v. Johnson</i> , 115 S.W.2d 529 (Mo. Ct. App. 1938)	68
1.5.2	<i>Shelley</i> and the Refusal to Enjoin Violations of Racially Restrictive Covenants	73
	<i>Shelley v. Kraemer</i> , 334 U.S. 1 (1948)	74
1.5.3	The Application of <i>Shelley</i> in a Different Context	78
	<i>Rice v. Sioux City Memorial Park Cemetery</i> , 60 N.W.2d 110 (Iowa 1953)	79
	<i>Spencer v. Flint Memorial Park Association</i> , 144 N.W.2d 622 (Mich. Ct. App. 1966)	81
2. DISPOSSESSION		85
2.1.	Dispossession at the Center	85
2.1.1	The Experience of Enslavement	86
	<i>The Antelope</i> , 23 U.S. 66 (1825)	87
2.2.	The Owner's Exercise of Dominion over Enslaved People	96
	<i>State v. Mann</i> , 13 N.C. 263 (1830)	97
2.3.	The Emancipation of Enslaved People	102
	<i>Hinds v. Brazealle</i> , 3 Miss. 837 (1838)	103
2.4.	Dispossession: Conquest and Native American Property Rights	105
	<i>Johnson v. M'Intosh</i> , 21 U.S. 543 (1823)	106
	<i>McGirt v. Oklahoma</i> , 140 S.Ct. 2452 (2020)	117
2.5.	Contemporary Dispossession Disputes Shaped by Conquest and Enslavement	125
	<i>United Daughters of the Confederacy v. Vanderbilt</i> , 174 S.W.3d 98 (Tenn. Ct. App. 2005)	125
	<i>Great Property Cases: Johnson v. M'Intosh and the South Dakota Fossil Cases</i> , Dana G. Jim, 46 St. Louis L.J. 791 (2002)	131
	<i>Black Hills Institute of Geological Research v. South Dakota School of Mines and Technology</i> , 12 F.3d 737 (8th Cir. 1993)	132
	<i>United States v. Platt</i> , 730 F.Supp. 318 (D. Ariz. 1990)	137
	<i>Public Access Shoreline Hawaii v. Hawaii County Planning Commission Nansay Hawaii</i> , 903 P.2d 1246 (Haw. 1995)	143
2.6.	Enslavement and Conquest Together: The Black Freedman Trials	150
	<i>Cherokee Nation v. Nash</i> , 267 F. Supp. 3d 86 (D.D.C. 2017)	151

3. DISRUPTION AND REORDERING	159
3.1. Response to Large Scale Disruption	159
3.1.1 Response to Large Scale Change 1: Dismantling Feudalism	160
<i>Overbaugh v. Patrie</i> , 8 Barb. 28 (NY Sup. Ct. 1852)	161
3.1.2 Redistribution of Land: The Social Function Doctrine	165
Constituição Federal [Constitution], [C.F] art. 182-85 (Braz.)	166
3.2. Response to Large Scale Disruption: Resolving Racial, Ethnic, and Social Inequalities Through Land Allocation	168
The Saint-Domingue Constitution of 1801, Title 1, Art. III-Art. V	169
<i>Jones v. Mayer</i> , 392 U.S. 409 (1968)	171
3.2.1 Land Reform in South Africa: Restitution, Redistribution, and Land Tenure Reform	179
<i>The Minister of Land Affairs of the Republic of S. Africa v. Slamdien</i> , 1999 (1) BCLR 413, para 26 (LCC)	180
<i>Port Elizabeth Municipality v. Various Occupiers</i> , 2004 (12) BCLR 1268, 2006 SACLX LEXIS 25 (2004)	189
<i>Hickam v. Hickam</i> , 46 Mo. App. 496 (1891)	199
3.3. Property Re-Ordering: Small Scale Disruptions in Property Law	205
<i>Residential Segregation and Interracial Marriages</i> , Rose Cuison-Villazor, 86 Fordham L. Rev. 2717 (2018)	205
<i>Moore v. East Cleveland</i> , 431 U.S. 494 (1977)	213
<i>In Re Estate of Carter</i> , No. 1126 WDA 2016 (Sup. Ct. Penn. 2017)	223
4. SPACE, PLACE, AND SOCIAL IDENTITY	231
4.1. A Right to a Safe and Healthy Neighborhood?	232
4.1.1 A Basic Right to Community: The <i>Colonias</i> Experience in Texas	233
<i>Arturo de la Cruz v. Columbus P. Brown a/k/a C.P. Brown</i> , 109 S.W.3d 73 (Tex. App. 2003)	234
<i>Tex. Prop. Code Ann. §5.079 (Vernon 2009), §5.079. Title Transfer</i>	238
4.1.2 Neighborhood and the Revolution of Landlord–Tenant Law	240
<i>Chapman v. Silber</i> , 97 N.Y.2d 9 (2001)	241
4.1.3 Environmental Justice: A Right to a Healthy Community?	247
<i>South Camden Citizens in Action v. New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection</i> , 254 F.Supp.2d 486 (D.C.N.J. 2003)	248
4.2. Disputes over Space, Race, and Place in the Neighborhood	256
4.2.1 Nuisance Disputes	256

	<i>Trueheart v. Parker</i> , 257 S.W. 640 (Tex.Civ.App. 1923)	257
	<i>Morison v. Rawlinson</i> , 193 S.C. 25, 7 S.E.2d 635 (S.C. 1940)	261
	<i>Bixby v. Cravens</i> , 156 P. 1184 (Okla. 1916)	269
4.2.2	Zoning: Prescribing Uses by Race and Ethnicity	274
	<i>Buchanan v. Warley</i> , 245 U.S. 60 (1917)	274
4.3.	Reshaping the Law of Neighborhoods	280
4.3.1	Changing Transactional Markets in Neighborhoods	281
4.3.2	Fair Housing Reforms	282
	Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. §3604 (2022)	283
	<i>Whisby-Myers v. Kiekenapp</i> , 293 F.Supp.2d 845 (N.D. Ill. 2003)	284
	<i>Ragin v. Harry Macklowe Real Estate Co.</i> , 6 F.3d 898 (2nd Cir. 1993)	289
4.3.3	Fair Lending Reforms	296
	Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. §3605 (2018)	297
	<i>McGlawn v. Pennsylvania Human Relations Committee</i> , 891 A.2d 757 (2006)	298
	Community Reinvestment Act, 12 U.S.C. §2901 (2018)	310
	<i>M & T Mortgage Corp. v. Foy</i> , 858 N.Y.S.2d 567 (2008)	312
4.4.	Remaining Challenges: Space, Place, and Urban Design	314
	<i>Alanel Corp. v. Indianapolis Redevelopment Commission</i> , Supreme Court of Indiana (1958)	315
	5. REPAIR	329
5.1.	Rethinking Property Doctrines	330
5.1.1	Rethinking the Problem of Partition: Solving a Common Law Conundrum in Concurrent Estates	330
	<i>Chuck v. Gomes</i> , 532 P.2d 657 (Haw. 1975)	331
	<i>From Reconstruction to Deconstruction: Undermining Black Landownership, Political Independence, and Community Through Partition Sales of Tenancies in Common</i> , Thomas W. Mitchell, 95 Nw. U. L. Rev. 505 (2001)	335
	<i>McNeely v. Bone</i> , 698 S.W.2d 512 (Ark. 1985)	337
	VA. Code. Ann., §8.01-181	341
	VA. Code Ann., §8.01-81.1.	341
5.1.2	Rethinking Property Rights: Emerging New Property Rights in Traditional Knowledge, Cultural Expressions, and Genetic Resources	343
	<i>Bulun Bulun and Anor v. R & T Textiles Pty. Ltd.</i> , (1998) 157 A.L.R. 193 (Australia)	346
5.2.	Removal of Harm	354

5.2.1	Removal of Harm by Physical Removal of the Object	355
	<i>Taylor, et al. v. Northam, et al.</i> , 862 S.E.2d 458 (Va. 2021)	355
5.2.2	Removal of Harm by Striking out Language in Legal Document	370
	<i>Hermitage Methodist Homes of Virginia v. The Miller School of Albemarle County, Seven Hills School Inc., and Dominion Trust Co.</i> , 387 S.E. 2d 740 (Va. 1990)	370
	<i>In Re That Portion of Lots 1 & 2, Block 1, Comstock Park Second Addition</i> , 199 Wash.2d 389 (Wash. 2021)	375
5.3.	Restitution: Protecting the Property Rights in Post-Conflict Societies	383
5.3.1	Restitution Case Study 1: Bosnia-Herzegovina	384
	<i>Human Rights Chamber of Bosnia and Herzegovina, "M.J." v. Republicka Srpska</i> , Decision on Admissibility of Nov. 7, 1997, Case CH/96/28 (1997)	386
5.3.2	Restitution Case Study 2: Guatemala	396
	<i>Friendly Settlement Agreement, Community of San Vicente Los Cimientos v. Guatemala</i> , Case No. 11.197, Inter-Am C.H.R., Report No. 68/03, OEA/Ser.L/V/ II.1 18 doc. 70 rev. 2 ¶36 (2003)	397
5.4.	Reparations	400
	<i>In Re African American Slave Descendants</i> , 375 F. Supp. 2d 721 (N.D. Ill. 2005)	401
	<i>Pigford v. Glickman</i> , 185 F.R.D. 82 (D.D.C. 1999)	411
	<i>Table of Cases</i>	421
	<i>Table of Statutes</i>	000
	<i>Index</i>	000