

---

# Contents

---

<i>Preface to the Eighth Edition</i>	xxvii
<i>Preface to the First Edition</i>	xxix
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxxiii

<b>Chapter 1. The Setting for Antitrust Analysis</b>	<b>1</b>
¶100 Prologue	1
¶101 Organization; caveat	1
¶102 Bibliographic note	2
<i>Antitrust Study, Generally</i>	<b>3</b>
¶103 Economic power	3
¶104 The antitrust laws:	3
(a) Nature of the statutes	3
(b) Legislative history; congressional role	3
¶105 Economic theory, uncertainty, and the judicial role	4
<b>1A. The Role of Competition: Analytic Model and Useful Tendency</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>The Value of Perfect Competition</i>	<b>4</b>
¶106 Perfect competition defined	4
¶107 Competition and efficiency	5
¶108 The dynamic adjustment process	5
¶109 Consumer decisions as the basis for market choice:	6
(a) Consumer preferences weighted by wealth	6
(b) Consumer choice “mistaken”	7
¶110 Market failures:	7
(a) Market power	7
(b) Externalities	8
(c) Public goods	8
(d) Imperfect information	9
¶111 The value of perfect competition and the role of antitrust policy	9
<i>Perfect and Imperfect Competition Compared</i>	<b>10</b>
¶112 Price and output decisions:	10
(a) Monopoly	10
(b) Perfect competition compared	12
(c) Oligopoly	13
¶113 Allocative efficiency	14
¶114 Barriers to entry	15
¶115 Cost minimization	17
¶116 Promotion and product differentiation:	18
(a) Product identification	18
(b) Product differentiation	19
¶117 Other values of competition:	19
(a) Income distribution	19
(b) The distribution of opportunities	21
(c) The control of unchecked power	21
(d) Fairness in economic behavior	21
(e) Economic stabilization	22

<i>Possible Grounds for Deemphasizing Competition</i>	22
¶118 The example of public restraints	22
¶119 Economies of scale	23
¶120 Invention and innovation:	24
(a) The argument	24
(b) Empirical evidence	25
¶121 Adjustment pains	26
¶122 Social responsibility	26
¶123 Countervailing power	27
¶124 The theory of second best	27
<i>Workable Competition</i>	28
¶125 The problem	28
¶126 Criteria	29
¶127 Summary	31
<b>1B. Enacting the Antitrust Laws</b>	<b>31</b>
¶128 Common-law background:	31
(a) Government-granted monopoly	31
(b) Restraints of trade	32
(c) Limiting corporate powers	32
¶129 Political background:	32
(a) Reform sentiment	32
(b) Political manifestations	33
¶130 Enactment of the Sherman Act: legislative history and contemporary political understanding:	34
(a) Relationship of Sherman Act and common law	34
(b) <i>Senator Sherman</i>	36
(c) <i>H. Thorelli</i>	36
(d) <i>R. Hofstadter</i>	38
(e) Antitrust policy goals in light of legislative history	39
¶131 Sherman Act: procedure and early cases:	41
(a) Procedure	41
(b) Early cases	41
¶132 The 1914 legislation	41
¶133 Later statutes and guidelines	43
<b>1C. Procedures for Enforcing the Antitrust Laws</b>	<b>43</b>
¶134 Sanctions generally	43
<i>Criminal Punishment</i>	<b>44</b>
¶135 Which statutes?	44
¶136 Government practice	44
¶137 Differentiating criminal offenses	45
<i>Civil Enforcement</i>	<b>46</b>
¶138 Introduction	46
¶139 DOJ enforcement	47
¶140 FTC enforcement	48
¶141 Federal enforcement in practice:	50
(a) Agency independence	50
(b) Intragovernmental coordination	51
(c) Litigating the big case	52
¶142 State enforcement	52
<i>Private Actions</i>	<b>53</b>
¶143 Injunctive relief	53
¶144 Treble damages:	53
(a) Generally	53
(b) Proving damages	54
(c) Suits by states	55
(d) Joint and several liability; contribution	55
¶145 Directness of injury:	56
(a) Introduction	56

(b) Indirect purchaser rule	56
(c) Standing	57
¶146 Antitrust injury:	58
(a) <i>Brunswick</i>	58
(b) <i>Cargill</i>	58
(c) <i>Atlantic Richfield (ARCO)</i>	59
<b>Procedural Issues</b>	<b>60</b>
¶147 Starting a case:	60
(a) Statutes of limitation	60
(b) Right to a jury	60
(c) Class actions	60
¶148 Ending a case: dispositive motions	61
¶149 Other issues:	62
(a) Res judicata	62
(b) Collateral estoppel	62
(c) In pari delicto	63
(d) Unclean hands	63
<b>1D. The Reach of the Antitrust Laws</b>	<b>64</b>
¶150 Introduction	64
<b>Exemptions</b>	<b>64</b>
¶151 Regulated industries	64
¶152 Labor unions	66
¶153 Industry-specific exemptions:	67
(a) Agriculture	67
(b) Airlines	67
(c) Banks	67
(d) Higher education	68
(e) Professional sports	68
<b>State Law and State Action</b>	<b>68</b>
¶154 Invalid state laws:	68
(a) Constitutional problems	68
(b) Preemption by federal patent law	69
(c) Preemption by federal antitrust law	69
(d) State antitrust laws	70
¶155 Antitrust immunity for state action:	70
(a) Basic rule	70
(b) Clear articulation	71
(c) Active supervision	71
(d) Insurance exemption	72
<b>Foreign Commerce</b>	<b>72</b>
¶156 Extraterritorial reach:	72
(a) Statutory development	72
(b) Comity	74
(c) Act of state	74
(d) Sovereign immunity	75
(e) OPEC	75
¶157 Restraints affecting exports	76
<b>1E. The Patent System</b>	<b>76</b>
¶158 Prologue:	76
(a) Relevance of patent laws to antitrust	76
(b) Introduction to patents	77
(c) Trade secret and copyright protections	77
<b>Premises of the Patent System</b>	<b>77</b>
¶159 Rationale	77
¶160 Costs of a patent system	78
¶161 Patents as incentives:	79
(a) Generally	79
(b) Need for protection	79

(c) Nonpatent incentives	80
(d) Development incentives	80
<b>Operation of the Patent System</b>	<b>80</b>
¶162 Patentability:	80
(a) Patentable subject matter	80
(b) Novelty	81
(c) Nonobviousness	81
(d) Utility	81
¶163 Obtaining a patent	82
¶164 Patent validity	82
¶165 Probabilistic patents	84
<b>Overlap with Antitrust Law</b>	<b>84</b>
¶166 Antitrust remedies	84
¶167 Patent misuse	85
<b>Chapter 2. Horizontal Restraints: Collaboration</b>	
<b>Among Competitors</b>	<b>87</b>
¶200 Prologue	87
<b>2A. Development of the Rule of Reason and the Per Se Illegality of Price Fixing</b>	<b>88</b>
¶201 Anticompetitive effect of cartels:	88
(a) The cartel problem	88
(b) Cheating in cartels	88
(c) Cartel justifications	89
(d) Preventing cutthroat competition	90
(e) Financing desirable activities	91
(f) Countervailing power	91
(g) Assessment	92
(h) Preview	92
<i>Sherman Act §1</i>	93
¶202 <i>Trans-Missouri</i>	93
<i>Addyston Pipe &amp; Steel</i>	94
¶203 <i>Joint Traffic</i>	96
¶204 <i>Standard Oil</i>	97
¶205	98
<i>Chicago Board of Trade</i>	99
¶206	102
<i>Trenton Potteries</i>	103
¶207	104
¶208 <i>Appalachian Coals</i>	104
<i>Socony-Vacuum Oil</i>	107
¶209	113
¶210	113
¶211	114
¶212 Per se rules:	114
(a) Rationale	114
(b) Meaning: scope of inquiry	114
(c) Meaning: coverage of category	115
<b>2B. Determining Which Restraints Are Reasonable</b>	<b>115</b>
¶213 Introduction	115
¶214 Cartel variations:	116
(a) Allocating markets	116
(b) Other limits on price competition	117
<i>Topco Associates</i>	117
¶215	119
¶216 <i>Palmer</i>	120
<i>Broadcast Music (BMI)</i>	121
¶217	130

<i>Maricopa County Medical Society</i>	132
¶218	137
<i>National Society of Professional Engineers</i>	138
¶219	143
¶220	144
<i>National Collegiate Athletic Association</i>	145
¶221	152
<i>California Dental</i>	154
¶222	161
¶223 Joint ventures—introduction	162
¶224 Government health care enforcement policy statements	163
¶225	163
¶226 Statutory protection for some joint ventures	164
¶227	165
¶228 Guidelines for Collaborations Among Competitors	165
¶229	166
<b>2C. When Does an Agreement Exist?</b>	<b>167</b>
¶230 Introduction	167
<i>Oligopoly Theory</i>	<b>167</b>
¶231 The oligopoly or shared monopoly problem	167
¶232 Oligopoly—basic model and theory:	168
(a) Simple case	168
(b) Elements of successful oligopoly	169
(c) Cartels compared	170
(d) Relevance of number of firms	170
(e) Oligopoly pricing in the absence of cooperative behavior	171
¶233 Factors affecting likelihood of oligopolistic coordination:	171
(a) Introduction	171
(b) Divergent interests	172
(c) Available channels of verbal and nonverbal communication	172
(d) Price comparability of products	174
(e) Nonprice competition	174
¶234 Factors affecting the potential gains from successful coordination, express or tacit:	175
(a) Fringe expansion	175
(b) Substitute products or new entry	175
¶235 Evidence on oligopoly pricing in the economy:	175
(a) Measuring economic performance and concentration	176
(b) The empirical evidence on concentration and oligopoly pricing	177
<i>Inferred Express Agreements and Tacit Agreements</i>	<b>178</b>
¶236 What constitutes an agreement?	178
¶237 <i>Eastern States</i>	181
<i>Interstate Circuit</i>	181
<i>Theatre Enterprises</i>	185
<i>American Tobacco</i>	187
¶238	188
¶239	189
¶240 Later cases:	190
(a) <i>Matsushita Electric</i>	190
(b) <i>Brooke Group</i>	191
(c) <i>Twombly</i>	192
¶241 Plus factors:	194
(a) Introduction	194
(b) Indications of express collusion	195
(c) Motive to conspire	196
(d) Acts against self-interest	196
(e) Plus factors and express coordination	198

<i>Text Messaging</i>	198
¶242	200
<b>Single Entity Doctrine</b>	<b>201</b>
¶243 Introduction	201
<i>Copperweld</i>	202
¶244	209
¶245 Additional issues	210
<i>American Needle</i>	211
¶246	217
¶247 <i>Texaco</i>	217
<b>2D. Facilitating Practices</b>	<b>219</b>
¶248 Introduction	219
¶249	220
¶250 Information exchange	220
<i>American Column &amp; Lumber</i>	221
<i>Maple Flooring</i>	225
¶251	228
<i>Container Corp.</i>	229
¶252	233
¶253	234
¶254 <i>General Motors</i>	235
¶255 Basing point pricing:	237
(a) Description	237
(b) Economic effects	238
(c) Collusion or competition?	238
(d) Legal issues	240
<i>Cement Institute</i>	240
¶256	244
¶257	244
¶258 Remedies for basing point pricing	245
¶259 <i>du Pont v. Federal Trade Commission</i>	245
¶260	249
<b>2E. Concerted Refusals to Deal</b>	<b>250</b>
¶261 Introduction	250
¶262 Early cases:	251
(a) <i>Eastern States</i>	251
(b) <i>Cement Manufacturers</i>	251
(c) <i>Paramount Famous Lasky</i>	252
(d) <i>McCann</i>	252
<i>Fashion Originators' Guild (FOGA)</i>	253
¶263	256
¶264	257
<i>Klor's</i>	258
¶265	259
¶266	259
(a) <i>American Medical</i>	259
(b) <i>Molinas</i>	260
(c) Proposition	261
¶267	261
<i>Associated Press (AP)</i>	261
¶268	265
¶269	265
<i>Northwest Wholesale Stationers</i>	266
¶270	271
¶271	272
<i>Indiana Federation of Dentists</i>	272
¶272	277
¶273 <i>NYNEX</i>	277
¶274 Additional varieties of concerted refusals to deal	277

¶275 Summary	279
<b>2F. Influencing Government Action</b>	<b>279</b>
¶276 Introduction	279
<i>Noerr Motor Freight</i>	280
¶277	284
<i>California Motor Transport</i>	285
¶278	287
<i>Professional Real Estate</i>	288
¶279	292
<i>Omni Outdoor Advertising</i>	292
¶280	298
<i>Allied Tube</i>	299
¶281	305
¶282 Missouri v. National Organization for Women	305
<i>Superior Court Trial Lawyers Association (SCTLA)</i>	306
¶283	315
<b>2G. Intellectual Property Licensing and Settlements</b>	<b>316</b>
¶284 Introduction	316
<i>Price-Restricted Licenses and the IP–Antitrust Tension Generally</i>	<b>317</b>
<i>General Electric Co.</i>	317
¶285 The IP–antitrust conflict:	319
(a) Is there a conflict?	319
(b) Formalistic resolutions	319
(c) Resolving the conflict	320
¶286 Use, assignment, or licensing	321
¶287 Price and related restrictions in IP licenses:	323
(a) Reasons for refusing to license without a price restriction	323
(b) Output limitations	324
(c) Territorial limitations	324
(d) Exclusive licenses	325
(e) IP licenses and vertical restraints	326
¶288 Suppression; compulsory licensing:	327
(a) Nonuse explained	327
(b) Precedent	327
(c) De facto compulsory licensing	328
(d) Compulsory licensing	328
¶289	330
¶290	330
¶291 Scope of the <i>GE</i> rule:	331
(a) Multiple patentees	331
(b) Multiple licenses	332
(c) Unpatented product or nonmanufacturing patentee	332
<b><i>Use Restrictions</i></b>	<b>333</b>
¶292 Introduction	333
¶293 Price discrimination, patent exploitation, and use restrictions:	333
(a) Discrimination’s nature and prerequisites	333
(b) Discrimination’s consequences	335
(c) Discrimination and patent exploitation	336
(d) Use restrictions and discriminatory royalties	337
¶294 The legality of use restrictions	338
(a) <i>General Talking Pictures</i>	338
(b) Limiting use of purchased patented product	338
¶295	339
<b><i>IP Settlements</i></b>	<b>339</b>
¶296 The problem:	339
(a) Private benefits of IP settlement	339
(b) Social benefits of settlement	340
¶297 The legality of IP settlements	340
¶298	341
<i>Actavis</i>	342
¶299	350

<b>Chapter 3. Monopoly</b>	<b>353</b>
¶300 Prologue	353
<i>Sherman Act §2</i>	353
¶301 Useful definitions	353
<b>3A. Monopolization</b>	<b>354</b>
<i>The Distinction Between Monopoly and Monopolization</i>	<i>354</i>
¶302 The bad conduct element	354
¶303 Early landmarks	354
(a) <i>Standard Oil</i>	355
(b) <i>American Tobacco</i>	356
(c) <i>American Can</i>	357
(d) <i>United States Steel</i>	357
¶304	358
<i>Alcoa</i>	358
¶305	365
¶306	365
¶307 <i>American Tobacco</i>	365
¶308 Remedies in <i>Alcoa</i>	366
¶309	368
¶310 No-fault monopoly and oligopoly	368
¶311 Monopoly and oligopoly compared	369
¶312 Sherman Act §2 coverage	370
<i>Leverage and the Single Monopoly Profit Theory</i>	<i>371</i>
¶313 Is leverage an act of monopolization or a way to enjoy monopoly profits?	371
<i>Griffith</i>	373
¶314	375
<i>Refining the Notion of Exclusionary Behavior</i>	<i>375</i>
<i>United Shoe Machinery Corp.</i>	375
¶315 The monopolization test: <i>Grinnell</i>	382
¶316	383
¶317	384
¶318	384
¶319	385
¶320	386
¶321	387
<i>Microsoft Corp.</i>	388
¶322	405
¶323	405
¶324 Remedies in <i>Microsoft</i>	406
<i>Vertical Integration and Dealing with Competitors</i>	<i>408</i>
¶325 Vertical integration in brief:	408
(a) Overview	408
(b) A second monopoly? Or is it “only” price discrimination?	408
(c) Avoiding successive monopoly	410
(d) Avoiding inefficient input substitution	410
(e) Long-run effects on market structure and performance	411
(f) Summary	411
¶326 <i>Otter Tail Power</i>	411
¶327 <i>Berkey Photo</i>	412
<i>Aspen Skiing Co.</i>	412
¶328	419
¶329	421
¶330 The AT&T breakup	422
<i>Trinko</i>	423
¶331	430
¶332	431



¶333	432
¶334	433
¶335 Proposals to rein in Big Tech	434
<b>Predatory Pricing</b>	<b>435</b>
<i>Barry Wright Corp.</i>	435
¶336	441
¶337	442
<i>Brooke Group Ltd.</i>	443
¶338	456
<i>AMR</i>	456
¶339	461
¶340	461
<i>Weyerhaeuser Co.</i>	462
¶341	467
¶342 <i>linkLine</i>	468
<b>Monopolization with Patents: Standards-Essential Patents and Patent Accumulation</b>	<b>469</b>
¶343 Standards-essential patents and patent accumulation:	469
(a) Standards-essential patents	469
(b) Patent accumulation: development and acquisition	471
¶344 The authorities on accumulation	472
¶345	473
¶346	474
<b>3B. Monopoly Power</b>	<b>475</b>
¶347 Introduction	475
¶348 Monopoly and market power:	476
(a) Defining monopoly in terms of market power	476
(b) The price of monopoly	477
(c) Market power as power over price	477
(d) Market power and the goals of the antitrust laws	477
¶349 Ways of measuring market power:	478
(a) Introduction	478
(b) Difficulty of direct measurement	479
(c) Unexploited power	480
(d) Prospective power	480
(e) Power inferred from conduct	480
¶350 Determinants of market power:	481
(a) Buyer responsiveness determines seller's power	481
(b) Price at which buyers' alternatives are assessed	482
(c) High margins under monopolistic competition	483
¶351 Market definition, the relevant market, and market share:	484
(a) The market definition concept	484
(b) Market share and market power	485
(c) Group power	486
(d) Later developments	486
¶352 More about product market substitution:	487
(a) Differentiated products, different brands	487
(b) Physically different products	487
(c) Elasticity versus cross-elasticity	488
¶353 Geographic market definition	488
¶354 Supply responses:	490
(a) Expansion by immediate competitors	490
(b) Supply substitution	491
(c) Entry	491
¶355 Summary of market power: unavoidable approximations	491
¶356 Market definition and measurement under the Horizontal Merger Guidelines	492

<i>Horizontal Merger Guidelines</i>	492
¶357 Issues in determining the relevant market and monopoly power in practice:	500
(a) The hypothetical monopolist test	500
(b) Interpreting market shares	501
<i>Alcoa</i>	502
¶358	505
¶359	506
<i>du Pont (Cellophane)</i>	507
¶360 du Pont's profits	513
¶361	514
¶362	514
¶363	515
<i>Microsoft Corp.</i>	515
¶364	521
¶365	521
¶366 Market definition for two-sided platforms:	522
(a) Introduction	522
(b) <i>American Express Co.</i>	523
¶367	525
<b>3C. Attempt to Monopolize</b>	<b>526</b>
¶368	526
<i>Lorain Journal</i>	526
¶369	528
¶370	528
¶371 <i>American Airlines</i>	529
<i>Spectrum Sports</i>	530
¶372	533
<b>Chapter 4. Vertical Restraints</b>	<b>535</b>
¶400 Prologue	535
<b>4A. Restricted Distribution</b>	<b>536</b>
¶401 Introduction	536
<i>The Per Se Era</i>	<b>537</b>
¶402 Introduction	537
<i>Dr. Miles Medical Co.</i>	538
¶403	540
¶404 Fair trade laws	541
¶405 <i>Albrecht</i>	542
¶406 <i>Arnold, Schwinn</i>	542
<i>The Rule of Reason Revolution</i>	<b>543</b>
¶407 The basic differences between vertical relationships and horizontal ones	543
¶408 Why manufacturers sometimes limit dealer markups to increase sales	543
¶409 Higher distributor markups might purchase better distribution for a manufacturer:	544
(a) High markups may buy dealer salesmanship and marketing	545
(b) Absent RPM, territorial or other restraints, free riding could limit dealer efforts	545
(c) Markups may purchase market penetration	546
(d) What is good for the manufacturer is not necessarily good for consumers	546
¶410 Dealer-inspired restrictions	546
¶411 RPM could facilitate price coordination among manufacturers	547
<i>GTE Sylvania</i>	547
¶412	552
¶413	553
¶414	554
<i>Khan</i>	554
¶415	559

<i>Leegin</i>	560
¶416	570
<b>Agency and Similar Relationships</b>	<b>571</b>
¶417 Agency and partial vertical integration	571
¶418	571
<b>Refusal to Deal and Vertical Agreement</b>	<b>573</b>
¶419 The vertical agreement puzzle	573
<i>Colgate &amp; Co.</i>	573
¶420	574
¶421 <i>Parke, Davis</i>	575
<i>Monsanto Co.</i>	576
¶422	580
¶423	581
<b>4B. Tying Arrangements</b>	<b>582</b>
¶424 Introduction and variations	582
¶425 Business reasons for tying:	583
(a) Monopoly in the tied product	583
(b) Avoiding inefficient input substitution	584
(c) Price discrimination	585
(d) Disguising price	586
(e) Cost savings	586
(f) Quality control or improvement	586
(g) Summary	587
¶426 Development in patent cases:	587
(a) <i>Motion Picture Patents</i>	587
(b) Later elaboration	588
(c) Tying by patentees and the antitrust laws	588
¶427 Tying under the Clayton Act	588
<i>Clayton Act §3</i>	589
<i>International Salt Co.</i>	589
¶428	591
<i>Northern Pacific Railway Co.</i>	591
¶429	595
¶430	595
¶431 Mandatory package licensing of patents:	596
(a) Justifications for packages	596
(b) Findings of illegality	596
(c) Related patents	597
(d) Identifying a mandatory package	598
¶432 Patent royalty base	598
(a) Duration of payments	598
(b) Sale price of unpatented products	599
¶433 <i>International Business Machines</i>	599
<i>Jerrold Electronics Corp.</i>	600
¶434	603
¶435	604
¶436	605
¶437 <i>Fortner Enterprises</i>	607
¶438	608
¶439 Coping with noninjurious ties	608
<i>Jefferson Parish Hospital</i>	610
¶440	621
<i>Eastman Kodak Co.</i>	622
¶441	635
<i>Microsoft Corp.</i>	636
¶442	642
¶443 <i>Illinois Tool Works</i>	642

<b>4C. Exclusive Dealing and Other Interbrand Restraints</b>	<b>643</b>
<i>Exclusive Dealing</i>	<i>643</i>
¶444 Introduction	643
¶445 Objects of exclusive dealing:	644
(a) Preempting outlets	644
(b) Assured markets or prices for sellers and buyers	644
(c) Promoting dealer loyalty and investment	645
(d) Other cost savings	646
<i>Standard Oil Co. (Standard Stations)</i>	646
¶446	651
¶447	651
¶448 <i>Motion Picture Advertising Service</i>	652
¶449	652
¶450 <i>Tampa Electric</i>	653
¶451	654
¶452	654
¶453	655
<i>Barry Wright Corp.</i>	655
¶454	659
<i>Bundled Discounts and Loyalty Discounts</i>	<b>659</b>
¶455 Similarities to tying and exclusive dealing	659
¶456 <i>Loew's</i>	660
¶457 <i>LePage's</i>	660
¶458 <i>PeaceHealth</i>	663
¶459	667
<i>Antisteering Agreements</i>	<b>667</b>
<i>American Express Co.</i>	667
¶460	686
<b>Chapter 5. Mergers: Horizontal, Vertical, and Conglomerate</b>	<b>687</b>
¶500 Prologue	687
<b>5A. Concentration Levels and Merger Motivations</b>	<b>688</b>
¶501 The extent of concentration	688
¶502 Merger motives:	690
(a) Anticompetitive reasons	690
(b) Easier expansion or entry	690
(c) Operating efficiencies and scale economies	691
(d) Financial gains without new efficiencies	691
(e) Financial gains with new efficiencies	691
(f) Management goals	692
(g) Substituting better management	692
(h) Defensive mergers	693
(i) Exit	693
(j) The net reckoning	693
<b>5B. Introduction to Merger Law</b>	<b>694</b>
¶503 Early Sherman Act cases:	694
(a) <i>Northern Securities</i>	694
(b) <i>Union Pacific</i>	694
(c) <i>United States Steel</i>	695
(d) <i>Columbia Steel</i>	695
<i>Clayton Act §7</i>	696
¶504 Legislative history of amended §7:	696
(a) <i>Brown Shoe Co.</i>	696
(b) D. Bok	698
¶505 Preliminary issues:	699
(a) Role of market definition	699
(b) Monopoly and merger compared	699
(c) Multiple markets	700
¶506 Modern merger practice	700

¶507 Agency settlements:	702
(a) Divestitures	702
(b) Behavioral remedies	703
¶508 Merger challenges beyond the agencies	704
<b>5C. Horizontal Mergers</b>	<b>706</b>
¶509	706
<i>Brown Shoe Co.</i>	707
¶510	711
¶511	712
<i>Philadelphia National Bank</i>	712
¶512	718
¶513	718
¶514	719
¶515 The <i>Philadelphia Bank</i> presumption	719
¶516 <i>Continental Can</i>	720
¶517 <i>Von's Grocery</i>	721
¶518 Reduced antagonism to mergers	722
<i>General Dynamics Corp.</i>	722
¶519	727
¶520 The failing company defense	728
¶521 Horizontal Merger Guidelines: introduction	729
<i>Horizontal Merger Guidelines</i>	730
<i>Staples</i>	743
¶522	756
¶523	756
¶524	757
¶525 Hospital mergers	758
<i>Butterworth Health Corp.</i>	758
¶526	767
¶527	768
¶528	769
¶529 Efficiencies:	769
(a) Introduction	769
(b) Economies of scale or of production allocation	770
(c) Economies outside the horizontal context	771
(d) Financing efficiencies	772
(e) Acquiring efficiencies through contract, not merger	772
¶530	772
¶531	773
¶532	773
¶533 <i>Oracle</i>	774
¶534 Critical loss analysis	775
<i>H&amp;R Block</i>	776
¶535	792
¶536 Eliminating potential competition	793
(a) Generally	793
(b) The relationship between present and potential future competition	794
¶537 <i>Procter &amp; Gamble Co. (Clorox)</i>	794
¶538 Potential competition case law:	796
(a) Perceived potential competition	796
(b) Actual potential competition	797
(c) The toehold doctrine	798
(d) Potential competition and joint ventures	798
¶539	799
¶540	800
¶541 Interlocking directorates	801

<b>5D. Vertical Mergers</b>	<b>801</b>
¶542 Early development:	801
(a) Sherman Act cases	802
(b) <i>du Pont (General Motors)</i>	803
<i>Brown Shoe Co.</i>	804
¶543	809
¶544 Vertical Merger Guidelines	810
<i>Vertical Merger Guidelines</i>	811
¶545 Theories of harm	820
(a) Raising rivals' costs through increased bargaining leverage	820
(b) Access to competitively sensitive information	821
(c) Coordinated effects	821
¶546	822
¶547	822
<b>5E. Conglomerate Mergers</b>	<b>824</b>
¶548 The conglomerate problem	824
¶549 <i>Procter &amp; Gamble Co. (Clorox)</i>	824
¶550 Predation, pricing discipline, and the powerful firm:	826
(a) Predation	826
(b) Pricing discipline or leadership	827
¶551 Reciprocity:	827
(a) Generally	827
(b) <i>Consolidated Foods Corp.</i>	828
(c) Discussion	829
¶552 <i>International Telephone &amp; Telegraph</i>	829
<b>Chapter 6. Discrimination Under the Robinson-Patman Act</b>	<b>831</b>
¶600 Prologue	831
<i>Clayton Act §2(a) and §2(b)</i>	832
¶601 General requirements of §2(a)	833
¶602 The development of §2:	834
(a) The original provision	834
(b) Background of the 1936 legislation	834
<b>6A. Primary-Line Injury</b>	<b>835</b>
¶603	835
¶604 <i>Utah Pie</i>	836
¶605 <i>Brooke Group</i>	837
<b>6B. Secondary-Line Injury</b>	<b>838</b>
<i>Morton Salt Co.</i>	838
¶606	841
¶607	842
<i>Texaco</i>	842
¶608	849
¶609	849
¶610 <i>Borden</i>	850
¶611	851
<i>Volvo Trucks</i>	851
¶612	858
<b>6C. Affirmative Defenses Under §2(a) and §2(b)</b>	<b>858</b>
<i>Cost Justification</i>	<b>858</b>
¶613 Introduction:	858
(a) Scope of defense; burden of proof	858
(b) The relevant costs	859
<i>Borden</i>	860
¶614	862
<i>Meeting Competition in Good Faith</i>	<b>863</b>
¶615 Introduction	863
¶616 Good faith and knowledge of rivals' prices:	863
(a) <i>A.E. Staley Manufacturing</i>	863
(b) <i>United States Gypsum</i>	864
(c) <i>Great Atlantic &amp; Pacific Tea Co. (A&amp;P)</i>	866

¶617	867
¶618 Good faith and pricing systems:	868
(a) <i>A.E. Staley Manufacturing</i>	868
(b) <i>Falls City Industries</i>	868
¶619	870
¶620	870
<b>6D. Supplementary Provisions</b>	<b>870</b>
<i>Buyer Liability</i>	<b>870</b>
¶621 Congressional concern with buyers	870
¶622 <i>Automatic Canteen</i>	871
<i>Great Atlantic &amp; Pacific Tea Co. (A&amp;P)</i>	872
¶623	875
<i>Brokerage</i>	<b>875</b>
<i>Clayton Act §2(c)</i>	875
¶624 The brokerage prohibition:	875
(a) Statutory purpose as stated by the Supreme Court	875
(b) Requirements for violation and availability of defenses	876
(c) When brokerage is “for services rendered”	877
(d) Identifying “a commission, brokerage, or other compensation, or any allowance or discount in lieu thereof”	878
(e) Application to commercial bribery	879
(f) Buyer liability	880
<i>Discriminatory Allowances or Services</i>	<b>880</b>
<i>Clayton Act §2(d) and §2(e)</i>	880
¶625 The prohibition on discriminatory allowances or services:	880
(a) The statutory concern	880
(b) Requirements for violation and availability of defenses	880
(c) When is an allowance or service “available on proportionally equal terms”?	881
(d) The competing customer requirement	882
(e) Distinguishing price discrimination from promotional allowances	882
(f) Buyer liability	883
<b>Appendix. Selected Statutes</b>	<b>885</b>
<i>Sherman Act</i>	<b>885</b>
<i>Clayton Act</i>	<b>887</b>
<i>Federal Trade Commission Act</i>	<b>906</b>
<i>Table of Cases</i>	921
<i>Index</i>	931