

---

# PREFACE

---

## THE CONTENT AND ORGANIZATION OF THIS BOOK

---

As this book enters its seventh decade, we pause to reflect on the remarkable metamorphosis of health care law from a subspecialty of tort law, to a mushrooming academic and practice field whose tentacles reach into myriad scholarly disciplines and areas of substantive law. This book's nine prior editions reflect important stages in this evolutionary growth. Health care law originated as a separate field of professional practice and academic inquiry during the 1960s, when this book was first published. Under the somewhat grandiose label of "medical jurisprudence," the primary focus at first was on medical proof in all kinds of criminal and civil litigation, on medical malpractice actions against physicians, and on public health regulation. The principal concern was how traditional bodies of legal doctrine and practice—such as criminal, tort, and evidence law—should apply in medical settings.

During the 1970s, bioethics became a major additional area of concern as a consequence of the right to die movement spawned by the *Quinlan* case, and the focus on individual autonomy contained in the informed consent doctrine and the landmark decision on reproductive rights in *Roe v. Wade*. Law courses during this and earlier periods were taught under the heading of "law and medicine."

In the 1980s, economic and regulatory topics formed the third component of health care law, as exemplified by the increasing application of antitrust laws to the health care industry and the growing body of legal disputes under Medicare and Medicaid. This newer dimension accelerated its growth into the 1990s with the spread of HMOs and other managed care organizations, which propelled various corporate and contractual restructurings. These newer topics found their way into courses described as "health law."

Early twenty-first century developments presented continuing challenges to each of these areas of health care law and ethics. Principally, the Affordable Care Act, whose importance reverberates throughout the field, ignited an explosion of interest in health care public policy, including issues of justice and equity. Biotechnology, consumer-driven health care, the opioid epidemic, gender identification, and bioterrorism are other examples of emergent issues that received increased attention in recent editions. As we approach this century's second quarter, legal and health policy repercussions from the catastrophic COVID-19 epidemic continue to loom large, and the Supreme Court's *Dobbs* decision reversing *Roe v. Wade* challenges a range of previous assumptions about foundational reproductive rights. At that same time, evolving social understandings regarding matters such as gender identification and structural racism, and fast-paced technical developments, such as artificial intelligence, pose new issues or call for reexamination of existing legal and policy norms.

This path of development has resulted in an academic discipline defined more by an accretion of topics drawn from historical events than by a systematic conceptual organization of issues. Each of the field's four major branches—malpractice, bioethics, public health, and financing/regulation—stands apart from the others and is thought to be dominated by a distinct theme. The principal concern of malpractice law is quality of care; bioethics is concerned with individual autonomy, but increasingly also social justice; public health poses the rights

of patients against the state; and the primary focus of financing and regulatory law is access to care and the cost of care. As a consequence, health care law has yet to become a truly integrated and cohesive discipline.<sup>1</sup> It is too much the creature of history and not of systematic and conceptual organization.

Throughout various editions, our major ambition in this book has been to improve this state of disarray. This field has reached a stage of maturity that calls for stepping back and rethinking how all of its parts best fit together as a conceptual whole. In our view that conceptual whole is best organized according to the fundamental structural relationships that give rise to health care law. These relationships are:

1. The patient/physician relationship, which encompasses the duty to treat, confidentiality, informed consent, and malpractice
2. State oversight of doctors and patients, which encompasses the right to die, reproductive rights, physician licensure, and public health
3. The institutions that surround the treatment relationship, encompassing public and private insurance, hospitals and HMOs, and more complex transactions and organizational forms

We develop the traditional themes of quality, ethics/justice, access, and cost throughout each of these three divisions. We also address cutting-edge and controversial topics such as health care reform, genetics, managed care, and rationing, but not as discrete topics; instead, we integrate these developments within a more permanent, overarching organizational structure, which is capable of absorbing unanticipated new developments as they occur.

In deciding which topics to present in each section and in what depth, our basic guide has been to focus on the essential attributes of the medical enterprise that make it uniquely important or difficult in the legal domain. Health care law is about the delivery of an extremely important, very expensive, and highly specialized professional service. If it were otherwise, this book would likely not exist. Some lawyers and scholars maintain that there is no unifying concept or set of ideas for health care law; instead, it is merely a disparate collection of legal doctrines and public policy responses, connected only by the happenstance that they involve doctors and hospitals in some way—much as if one had a course on the law of green things or the law of Tuesdays. It would be far more satisfying to find one or more organizing principles that explain not only what makes the disparate parts of health care law cohere, but also why that coherence distinguishes health care law from other bodies of integrated legal thought and professional practice.

We believe those organizing principles can, in part, be found in the phenomenology of what it is to be ill and to be a healer of illness. These two human realities are permanent and essential features that distinguish this field from all other commercial and social arenas. They permeate all parts of health care law, giving it its distinctive quality and altering how generic legal doctrine and conventional theories of government respond to its problems and issues. Health care law might still be worth studying even without these unique attributes of medical encounters, but it is much more engaging and coherent because of them. It is these attributes that give rise to an interrelated set of principles that justify classifying health care law as a coherent and integrated academic and professional discipline. Elaborating this perspective, see

---

1. This disarray is reflected by the ongoing confusion over competing names for the field. Although “law and medicine” and “health care law” appear to signify the same topic, the first term is understood to mean older style malpractice and patient care subject matter, and the second term is used to refer to newer economic, regulatory, and social issues. Paradoxically, whereas “health care law” and “health law” might be thought to signify somewhat different fields—with the latter not restricted to medical treatment and therefore encompassing public health issues—often these similar terms are taken to mean essentially the same thing.

Mark A. Hall, *The History and Future of Health Care Law: An Essentialist View*, 41 *Wake Forest L. Rev.* 347 (2006).<sup>2</sup>

Accordingly, we stress the essential attributes of medical encounters throughout these materials by incorporating insights from other academic disciplines and theoretical perspectives. Behavioral disciplines such as psychology, sociology, and anthropology help to illuminate the nature of medical knowledge and the lived experience of illness, dependency, and trust as they occur in real-life medical encounters. Findings from health services research published in the health policy literature create a stronger empirical and theoretical base for exploring health care law, one that better exposes its broad social impact. Analytical disciplines, such as economics and moral and political theory, create the foundation for understanding developments in financing, regulation, and bioethics. And, the perspectives of feminist, communitarian, and critical race theory demonstrate the limitations of conventional analytical models and help us understand how health care law must evolve to accommodate viewpoints and concerns that have been excluded in the past.

## Course Coverage

Clearly, it is not possible (or, if possible, not desirable) to cover the entirety of this capacious field in a single course. The course coverage offered at different schools varies widely according to the curricular structure at each school and each teacher's interest and expertise. Accordingly, we have organized this book and its companion softbound break-out volumes to facilitate both overview coverage and compartmentalization for use in a number of different courses, taught both in law schools and in schools of medicine, public health, and health care administration. In using this primary casebook, the following are the most common groupings.<sup>3</sup> Many courses contain a combination of several of these:

Malpractice	Chapters 2, 3, and 4
Bioethics	Chapters 3, 5, and 6
Public Health	Chapter 7, and portions of Chapters 3 and 6
Health Care Finance and Regulation	Chapters 2, 8, and 9

In the first chapter, we have collected background and introductory readings that are relevant in a number of places throughout the book.

## Authorship and Bibliography

Primary responsibility for editing each chapter is divided as follows: Hall—Chapters 1 and 9; Bobinski—Chapters 3, 6, and 7; Orentlicher—Chapters 2 and 5; Bagley—Chapter 8; Cohen—portions of 2, 3, 5, 6, and 7; and Sawicki—Chapter 4. However, we each contributed important portions to the others' materials and have worked collectively on the overall organization and thematic plan. And, the presence of Bill Curran, the original author of this casebook, is felt throughout these materials through the inspiration of his mentoring, his friendship, and his vast body of foundational work.

We intend that this book will continue to serve as both a teaching tool and an ongoing resource for conducting research in health care law. To that end, in addition to the

2. This casebook's website, [health-law.org](http://health-law.org), provides cites to additional discussion of the overall content of health care law and approaches to teaching and understanding it.

3. The companion softbound break-out volumes follow these same groupings but provide somewhat more detailed or extended coverage of certain areas.

bibliographic notes in each section, bibliographic references that relate to parts of health care law more broadly, such as malpractice, bioethics, public health, or financing and regulation, can be found at pages 00-00, and 00-00. Also, we have created a dedicated website to serve this book: [health-law.org](http://health-law.org). It provides more extensive bibliographic sources, including a bibliography of resources and readings that relate to research in health care law generally. This website also extends the book's content with interesting background materials, updates of important events since publication, additional relevant topics that were excluded due to space constraints, and links to other internet resources.

## Acknowledgments

This manuscript could not have been prepared without the diligent help of those students and staff who assisted us over the past few years (Adithi Ayer, Nicolas Pena Brown, Elizabeth Bryan, Grace Connelly, Hayden Cresson, Alex Kremer, Ryan Mayhan, Connor Morgan, Maya Smith, Liv Staff, Lisa Walters, Andrew Thompson, and Tyler Yoo), and without the superhuman patience of our families (who, curiously, wish to remain anonymous). We also thank the authors and publishers, listed on the casebook website, [health-law.org](http://health-law.org), who granted permission to use each of the excerpts of copyrighted material in these readings.

“Right of Corporation to Practice Medicine.” *The Yale Law Journal*, vol. 48, no. 2, December 1938. Reprinted with permission.

American Bar Association. Restatement of Torts, Third: Products Liability. Copyright ©1998 by the American Bar Association. Reprinted with permission. All rights reserved. This information or any portion thereof may not be copied or disseminated in any form or by any means or stored in an electronic database or retrieval system without the express written consent of the American Bar Association.

American Health Lawyers Association Public Interest Committee. *A Public Policy Discussion: Taking the Measure of the Stark Law*. Copyright © 2009 American Health Lawyers Association. Reprinted by permission. All rights reserved.

Anders, George. *Health against Wealth: HMOs and the Breakdown of Medical Trust*. Houghton Mifflin, 1996.

Bagenstos, Samuel R. “Who Gets the Ventilator? Disability Discrimination in COVID-19 Medical-Rationing Protocols.” *Yale Law Journal*, vol. 130, 27 May 2020. The Yale Law Journal Company, Inc. Reprinted with permission from Samuel R. Bagenstos.

Bagley, Nicholas. “Bedside Bureaucrats: Why Medicare Reform Hasn’t Worked.” *Georgetown Law Journal*, vol. 101, no. 3, 2013. Georgetown University Law Center.

Bagley, Nicholas. “Federalism and the End of Obamacare.” *Yale Law Journal*, vol. 127, 2017. The Yale Law Journal Company, Inc.

Bagley, Nicholas. “Medicine as a Public Calling.” *Michigan Law Review*, vol. 114, no. 2, 2015. University of Michigan Law School.

Bagley, Nicholas. “Constitutional Culture, Partisan Politics, and the Failed Campaign to Topple the Affordable Care Act.” American Constitution Society, 27 October 2021, [www.acslaw.org/analysis/acs-journal/2020-2021-acs-supreme-court-review/constitutional-culture-partisan-politics-and-the-failed-campaign-to-topple-the-affordable-care-act/](http://www.acslaw.org/analysis/acs-journal/2020-2021-acs-supreme-court-review/constitutional-culture-partisan-politics-and-the-failed-campaign-to-topple-the-affordable-care-act/).

Baker, Tom. *The Medical Malpractice Myth*. University of Chicago Press, 2005.

Beauchamp, Tom L., and James F. Childress. “The Right to a Decent Minimum of Health Care.” *Principles of Biomedical Ethics*, by Tom L. Beauchamp and James F. Childress. Copyright © 1994 Oxford University Press.

Bennett, Amanda. “End-of-Life Warning at \$618,616 Makes Me Wonder Was It Worth It.” [www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2010-03-04/end-of-life-warning-at-618-616-makes-me-wonder-was-it-worth-it](http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2010-03-04/end-of-life-warning-at-618-616-makes-me-wonder-was-it-worth-it). Reprinted with permission from Amanda Bennett.

- Berenson, Robert A., and Rachel A. Burton. "Accountable Care Organizations in Medicare and the Private Sector: A Status Update." 2 November 2011. Urban Institute. Reprinted with permission.
- Black, Bernard S., et al. *Medical Malpractice Litigation: How It Works, Why Tort Reform Hasn't Helped*. Washington, DC, Cato Institute, 2021. Reprinted with permission.
- Bobinski, Mary Anne. "Autonomy and Privacy: Protecting Patients from Their Physicians." *University of Pittsburgh Law Review*, vol. 55, no. 2, 1994. The University of Pittsburgh School of Law.
- Bodenheimer, Thomas. "Reimbursing Physicians and Hospitals." *JAMA*, vol. 272, no. 12, 28 September 1994. American Medical Association.
- Buckman, Robert & Karl Sabbagh. *Magic or Medicine? An Investigation of Healing and Healers*. Rowman & Littlefield, 1995.
- Cohen, I. Glenn. "The Constitution and the Rights Not to Procreate." *Stanford Law Review*, vol. 60, no. 4, 1 February 2008. Stanford Law School.
- Crosson, Francis J., and Laura A. Tollen. *Partners in Health*. John Wiley & Sons, 2010. Reprinted with permission.
- Dworkin, Roger B. "Medical Law and Ethics in the Post-Autonomy Age." *Indiana Law Journal*, vol. 68, no. 3, January 1993. Maurer School of Law: Indiana University Bloomington.
- Dworkin, Ronald. "Will Clinton's Plan Be Fair?" *The New York Review of Books*, 13 January 1994, [www.nybooks.com/articles/1994/01/13/will-clintons-plan-be-fair/](http://www.nybooks.com/articles/1994/01/13/will-clintons-plan-be-fair/). Reprinted with permission.
- Eddy, David M. *Clinical Decision Making from Theory to Practice: A Collection of Essays from the Journal of the American Medical Association*. Jones & Bartlett Learning, LLC, 1996. Reprinted with permission from David M. Eddy.
- Edwards, Miles J. "Disconnecting a Ventilator at the Request of a Patient Who Knows He Will Then Die: The Doctor's Anguish." *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 117, no. 3, 1 August 1992. American College of Physicians.
- Ehrenreich, Nancy. "The Colonization of the Womb." *Duke Law Journal*, vol. 43, no. 3, December 1993. Duke University School of Law. Reprinted with permission.
- Elhauge, Einer. "Allocating Health Care Morally." *California Law Review*, vol. 82, no. 6, December 1994. California Law Review, Inc.
- Enthoven, Alain C. *Health Plan: The Only Practical Solution to the Soaring Cost of Medical Care*. Addison Wesley Publishing Company, 1980. Reprinted with permission from Alain C. Enthoven.
- Epstein, Wendy Netter. "Revisiting Incentive-Based Contracts." *Yale Journal of Health Policy, Law, and Ethics*, vol. 17, no. 1, 2017. Reprinted with permission from Wendy Netter Epstein.
- Fitzgerald, Faith T. "The Tyranny of Health." *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 331, no. 3, 21 July 1994. Massachusetts Medical Society.
- Frankford, David. "The Complexity of Medicare's Hospital Reimbursement System Paradoxes of Averaging." *Iowa Law Review*, vol. 78, 1993. The University of Iowa College of Law.
- Gawande, Atul. *Complications: A Surgeon's Note on an Imperfect Science*. Copyright © 2002 Picador.
- Geier, Peter. "Emerging Med-Mal Strategy: 'I'm Sorry.'" *National Law Journal*, 24 July 2006, [www.law.com/nationallawjournal/almID/900005457977/](http://www.law.com/nationallawjournal/almID/900005457977/). ALM Global, LLC.
- Goodman, John C., and Gerald L. Musgrave. *Patient Power*. Cato Institute, 1992.
- Gostin, Lawrence O., and Devi Sridhar. "Global Health and the Law." *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 370, no. 18, May 2014. American Medical Association.
- Gostin, Lawrence O., et al. "The Law and the Public's Health: A Study of Infectious Disease Law in the United States." *Columbia Law Review*, vol. 99, no. 1, Jan. 1999. Columbia Law School. Reprinted with permission.

- Greaney, Thomas L. "Whither Antitrust? The Uncertain Future of Competition Law in Health Care." *Health Affairs*, vol. 21, no. 2, March 2002. Academy Health.
- Greely, Henry T. "Direct Financial Incentives in Managed Care: Unanswered Questions." *Health Matrix*, vol. 6, no. 1, 1996. Case Western Reserve University School of Law.
- Hall, Mark A. *Making Medical Spending Decisions: The Law, Ethics, and Economics of Rationing Mechanisms*. New York, Oxford University Press, 1997.
- Havighurst, Clark C. "The Professional Paradigm of Medical Care: Obstacle to Decentralization." *Jurimetrics Journal*, vol. 30, no. 4, 1990. Reprinted with permission from the American Enterprise Institute.
- Heidt, Jeffrey. "Conversion of Status and Facility Closure." *Health Care Corporate Law: Facilities and Transactions*, edited by Mark A. Hall and William S. Brewbaker III, Little Brown & Co Law & Business, 1996.
- Jacobi, John V. "Medicaid Evolution for the 21st Century." *Kentucky Law Journal*, vol. 102, no. 2, 1 January 2014. University of Kentucky College of Law.
- Johnsson, J. "The Whys and Wherefores of Capitation." *American Medical News*, 6 December 1993. American Medical Association.
- Jonsen, Albert R, et al. *Clinical Ethics: A Practical Approach to Ethical Decisions in Clinical Medicine*. 8th ed., McGraw-Hill Education, 2015.
- Jost, Timothy Stoltzfus. "The Necessary and Proper Role of Regulation to Assure the Quality of Health Care." *Houston Law Review*, vol. 25, 1988. University of Houston Law Center. Reprinted with permission.
- Kaplan, Richard L. "Reflections on Medicare at 50: Breaking the Chains of Path Dependency for a New Era." *The Elder Law Journal*, vol. 23, July 2015. Reprinted with permission.
- Kuttner, Robert. "Columbia/HCA and the Resurgence of the For-Profit Hospital Business." *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 335, no. 5, August 1996. Massachusetts Medical Society. Reprinted with permission.
- Laine, Christine. "Patient-Centered Medicine." *JAMA*, vol. 275, no. 2, 10 January 1996. American Medical Association.
- Levinsky, Norman G. "The Doctor's Master." *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 311, no. 24, 13 December 1984. Massachusetts Medical Society.
- Luna, Zakiya, and Kristin Luker. "Reproductive Justice." *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, vol. 9, no. 1, 3 November 2013. Annual Reviews.
- Macklin, Ruth. *Enemies of Patients*. Oxford University Press, 1993.
- Maher, Brendan S. "Pro-Choice Plans." *George Washington Law Review*, vol. 91, no. 2, 25 July 2022. Texas A&M University School of Law. Reprinted with permission from Brendan S. Maher.
- Marmor, Theodore R., and Jonathan Oberlander. "The Health Bill Explained at Last." *The New York Review of Books*, 19 Aug. 2010, [www.nybooks.com/articles/2010/08/19/health-bill-explained-last/](http://www.nybooks.com/articles/2010/08/19/health-bill-explained-last/). Reprinted with permission.
- Mehlman, Maxwell J. "Why Physicians Are Fiduciaries for Their Patients." *Indiana Health Law Review*, vol. 12, no. 1, 31 May 2015. IU McKinney School of Law.
- Meier, Benjamin Mason, et al. "A Global Health Law Trilogy: Transformational Reforms to Strengthen Pandemic Prevention, Preparedness, and Response." *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*, vol. 50, Sept. 2022. American Society of Law, Medicine and Ethics. Reprinted with permission from the authors.
- Mello, Michelle M., Carly N. Kelly and Troyen A. Brennan. "Fostering Rational Regulation of Patient Safety." *Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law*, vol. 30. Copyright © 2005 Duke University Press.
- Menzel, Paul T. "Some Ethical Costs of Rationing." *Law, Medicine and Health Care*, vol. 20, no. 1-2, March 1992. American Society of Law and Medicine.

- Nodzinski, Thaddeus J. "A Critical Analysis of the Self-Governing Medical Staff." *Oklahoma Law Review*, vol. 43, 1990. University of Oklahoma College of Law.
- O'Shea, John. "As MACRA Implementation Proceeds, Changes Are Needed." Health Affairs Blog, 21 April 2017, [www.healthaffairs.org/content/forefront/macra-implementation-proceeds-changes-needed](http://www.healthaffairs.org/content/forefront/macra-implementation-proceeds-changes-needed). Project HOPE: The People-to-People Health Foundation, Inc.
- Oberlander, Jonathan. "Repeal, Replace, Repair, Retreat — Republicans' Health Care Quagmire." *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 377, no. 11, 14 September 2017. American Medical Association.
- Orentlicher, David, et al. "The Changing Legal Climate for Physician Aid in Dying." *JAMA*, vol. 311, no. 19, 21 May 2014. American Medical Association.
- Orentlicher, David. "Presumed Consent to Organ Donation." *Nudging Health: Health Law and Behavioral Economics*, edited by Glenn Cohen et al. Johns Hopkins University Press, 2016.
- Orentlicher, David. "The Legalization of Physician-Assisted Suicide: A Very Modest Revolution." *Boston College Law Review*, vol. 38, no. 3, 31 December 1997. Boston College Law School.
- Peters, Philip G. "Health Care Rationing and Disability Rights." *Indiana Law Journal*, vol. 70, no. 2, 1995. Indiana University Maurer School of Law.
- Peters, Philip G., Jr. "The Quiet Demise of Deference to Custom: Malpractice Law at the Millennium." *Washington and Lee Law Review*, vol. 57, 2000. *Washington and Lee University School of Law*. Reprinted with permission from Philip G. Peters, Jr.
- Peters, Philip G., Jr. "The Role of the Jury in Modern Malpractice Law." *Iowa Law Review*, vol. 87, 2002. The University of Iowa College of Law. Reprinted with permission.
- Reindardt, Uwe. "Uncompensated Hospital Care." *Uncompensated Hospital Care: Rights and Responsibilities*, edited by Frank A Sloan et al. Johns Hopkins University Press, 1986.
- Rhodes, Robert P. *Health Care Politics, Policy, and Distributive Justice*. SUNY Press, 1992. Reprinted with permission.
- Robertson, John A. "Assisted Reproductive Technology and the Family." *Hastings Law Journal*, vol. 47, no. 4, 1 April 1996. University of California College of the Law, San Francisco. Reprinted with permission.
- Robinson, James C. "Theory and Practice in the Design of Physician Payment Incentives." *The Milbank Quarterly*, vol. 79, no. 2, June 2001. John Wiley & Sons.
- Rosenthal, Elisabeth. "Yes, It Matters That People Are Jumping the Vaccine Line." *The New York Times*, 28 January 2021, [www.nytimes.com/2021/01/28/opinion/covid-vaccine-line.html](http://www.nytimes.com/2021/01/28/opinion/covid-vaccine-line.html). Reprinted with permission from Elisabeth Rosenthal.
- Rosenthal, Elisabeth. *An American Sickness: How Healthcare Became Big Business and How You Can Take It Back*. Copyright © 2017 Penguin Press.
- Sanders, Sheva, et al. "Applying Allina to the World of Escobar: Avoiding 'Traps, Zaps, and Zingers' in Medicare False Claims Actions." *Health Matrix: The Journal of Law-Medicine*, vol. 31, no. 1, January 2021. Case Western Reserve University School of Law.
- Sawicki, Nadia N. "Character, Competence, and the Principles of Medical Discipline." *Journal of Health Care Law and Policy*, vol. 13, no. 2, 2010. University of Maryland Francis King Carey School of Law.
- Sawicki, Nadia N. "Ethical Malpractice." *Houston Law Review*, vol. 59, no. 5, 23 May 2022. University of Houston Law Center.
- Schneider, Carl E. "Bioethics with a Human Face." *Indiana Law Journal*, vol. 69, no. 4, 1994. Maurer School of Law: Indiana University Bloomington.
- Schneider, Carl. *The Practice of Autonomy: Patients, Doctors, and Medical Decisions*. Copyright © 1998 Oxford University Press.

- Schuck, Peter H. "Rethinking Informed Consent." *The Yale Law Journal*, vol. 103, no. 4, January 1994. The Yale Law Journal Company, Inc. Reprinted with permission.
- Sections from the Uniform Partnership Act. Copyright © 2002, 2017 Uniform Law Commission. Reprinted with permission.
- Sepper, Elizabeth. "Conscientious Refusals of Care." *The Oxford Handbook of U.S. Health Law*, edited by I. Glenn Cohen et al., Oxford University Press, 2015.
- Silver, Charles, and David A. Hyman. "No, Medicare for All Won't Save Money." *Politico*, 25 Nov. 2019, [www.politico.com/news/agenda/2019/11/25/we-cant-afford-medicare-for-all-071563#:~:text=Only%20a%20person%20who%20is](http://www.politico.com/news/agenda/2019/11/25/we-cant-afford-medicare-for-all-071563#:~:text=Only%20a%20person%20who%20is). Reprinted with permission from the authors.
- Somers, Herman Miles, and Anne Ramsay Somers. *Doctors, Patients, and Health Insurance*. Brookings Institution Press, 1961. Reprinted with permission.
- Tanner, Michael D. "Bad Medicine: A Guide to the Real Costs and Consequences of the New Health Care Law." Cato Institute, 14 Feb. 2011, [www.cato.org/white-paper/bad-medicine-guide-real-costs-consequences-new-health-care-law](http://www.cato.org/white-paper/bad-medicine-guide-real-costs-consequences-new-health-care-law). Licensed under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 DEED, <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>.
- Thurrow, Lester C. "Learning to Say 'No.'" *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 311, no. 24, 13 December 1984. Massachusetts Medical Society.
- Weiner, Stephen M. "'Reasonable Cost' Reimbursement for Inpatient Hospital Services under Medicare and Medicaid: The Emergence of Public Control." *American Journal of Law & Medicine*, vol. 3, no. 1, 1977. Cambridge University Press.
- Wells, Robin, and Paul Krugman. "The Health Care Crisis and What to Do about It." *The New York Review of Books*, 23 March 2006, [www.nybooks.com/articles/2006/03/23/the-health-care-crisis-and-what-to-do-about-it/](http://www.nybooks.com/articles/2006/03/23/the-health-care-crisis-and-what-to-do-about-it/). Reprinted with permission.
- White, Joseph. *Competing Solutions*. Brookings Institution Press, 1995. Reprinted with permission.
- Wiley, Lindsay Freeman. "Medicaid for All? State-Level Single-Payer Health Care." *Ohio State Law Journal*, vol. 79, no. 4, 2018. The Ohio State University Moritz College of Law. Reprinted with permission from Lindsay F. Wiley.

Mark Hall  
 Mary Anne Bobinski  
 David Orentlicher  
 Nick Bagley  
 Glenn Cohen  
 Nadia Sawicki

January 2024