
CONTENTS

Preface	xxi
Acknowledgments	xxiii
The Constitution of the United States	xxv
Chapter 1 Introduction to Criminal Procedure	1
A. The Participants in the Criminal Justice System	1
1. Defendants	1
2. Defense Counsel	2
3. Prosecutors	2
4. Victims	3
5. Police and Other Law Enforcement Officers	3
6. Magistrates and Judges	3
7. Jurors	4
8. Corrections Officials	4
9. Public	4
10. Media	5
B. Stages of the Criminal Justice Process	5
1. Step 1: Pre-Arrest Investigation	5
2. Step 2: Arrest	6
3. Step 3: Filing the Complaint	6
4. Step 4: <i>Gerstein</i> Review	6
5. Step 5: First Appearance/Arraignment on Complaint	7
6. Step 6: Grand Jury or Preliminary Hearing	7
7. Step 7: Arraignment on Indictment or Information	8
8. Step 8: Discovery	8
9. Step 9: Pretrial Motions	8
10. Step 10: Plea Bargaining and Guilty Pleas	9
11. Step 11: Trial	9
12. Step 12: Sentencing	10
13. Step 13: Appeals and Habeas Corpus	10

C. The Purpose of Procedural Rules	11
<i>Powell v. Alabama</i>	12
<i>Patterson v. Former Chicago Police Lt. Jon Burge</i>	15
D. Key Provisions of the Bill of Rights	17
E. The Application of the Bill of Rights to the States	18
1. The Provisions of the Bill of Rights and the Idea of “Incorporation”	18
2. The Debate over Incorporation	20
3. The Current Law as to What’s Incorporated	22
<i>Duncan v. Louisiana</i>	22
<i>Timbs v. Indiana</i>	24
4. The Content of Incorporated Rights	28
F. Retroactivity	29
Chapter 2 Searches and Seizures	31
A. Introduction	31
B. What Is a Search?	32
<i>Katz v. United States</i>	33
<i>United States v. Jones</i>	38
1. Open Fields	48
<i>Oliver v. United States</i>	49
<i>United States v. Dunn</i>	54
2. Aerial Searches	57
<i>California v. Ciraolo</i>	58
<i>Florida v. Riley</i>	61
3. Thermal Imaging of Homes	67
<i>Kyllo v. United States</i>	67
4. Searches of Trash	73
<i>California v. Greenwood</i>	73
5. Observation and Monitoring of Public Behavior	77
<i>United States v. Knotts</i>	77
<i>Smith v. Maryland</i>	81
<i>Carpenter v. United States</i>	85
6. Use of Dogs to Sniff for Contraband	94
<i>Illinois v. Caballes</i>	96
<i>Rodriguez v. United States</i>	99
<i>Florida v. Jardines</i>	103
<i>Florida v. Harris</i>	108
C. The Requirement for Probable Cause	112

Contents	xiii
1. What Is Sufficient Belief to Meet the Standard for Probable Cause?	112
<i>Illinois v. Gates</i>	113
<i>Maryland v. Pringle</i>	120
2. Is It an Objective or a Subjective Standard?	122
<i>Whren v. United States</i>	122
3. What If the Police Make a Mistake as to the Law?	126
<i>Heien v. North Carolina</i>	126
D. The Warrant Requirement	131
1. What Information Must Be Included in the Application for a Warrant?	132
2. What Form Must the Warrant Take?	133
<i>Andresen v. Maryland</i>	133
<i>Groh v. Ramirez</i>	137
3. What Are the Requirements in Executing Warrants?	141
a. How May Police Treat Those Who Are Present When a Warrant Is Being Executed?	142
<i>Muehler v. Mena</i>	142
b. Do Police Have to Knock and Announce Before Searching a Dwelling?	146
<i>Wilson v. Arkansas</i>	146
<i>Richards v. Wisconsin</i>	149
c. What If There Are Unforeseen Circumstances or Mistakes While Executing a Warrant?	152
<i>Maryland v. Garrison</i>	152
<i>Los Angeles County, California v. Rettele</i>	155
E. Exceptions to the Warrant Requirement	158
1. Exigent Circumstances	159
a. Hot Pursuit	159
<i>Warden, Maryland Penitentiary v. Hayden</i>	159
<i>Payton v. New York</i>	160
<i>Lange v. California</i>	163
b. Safety	166
<i>Brigham City, Utah v. Stuart</i>	167
c. Preventing Destruction of Evidence	168
<i>Kentucky v. King</i>	169
d. Limits on Exigent Circumstances	175
<i>Missouri v. McNeely</i>	176
<i>Birchfield v. North Dakota</i>	183
<i>Mitchell v. Wisconsin</i>	193
<i>Caniglia v. Strom</i>	200

2. Plain View	202
<i>Coolidge v. New Hampshire</i>	202
<i>Minnesota v. Dickerson</i>	205
3. The Automobile Exception	207
a. The Exception and Its Rationale	207
<i>California v. Carney</i>	208
<i>Collins v. Virginia</i>	211
b. Searches of Containers in Automobiles	217
<i>California v. Acevedo</i>	217
c. Searching Automobiles Incident to Arrest	221
4. Searches Incident to Arrest	222
<i>Chimel v. California</i>	222
<i>Knowles v. Iowa</i>	225
<i>Riley v. California</i>	227
<i>Arizona v. Gant</i>	236
5. Inventory Searches	244
<i>South Dakota v. Opperman</i>	245
<i>Illinois v. Lafayette</i>	248
6. Protective Sweeps	250
<i>Maryland v. Buie</i>	251
7. Consent	254
<i>Schneckloth v. Bustamonte</i>	254
<i>Georgia v. Randolph</i>	261
<i>Fernandez v. California</i>	264
8. Searches When There Are “Special Needs”	271
a. Administrative Searches	271
<i>Camara v. Municipal Court of City and County of San Francisco</i>	271
<i>New York v. Burger</i>	276
<i>City of Los Angeles v. Patel</i>	280
b. Border Crossing	287
<i>United States v. Flores-Montano</i>	287
<i>United States v. Ramsey</i>	289
<i>United States v. Montoya-Hernandez</i>	292
c. Checkpoints	296
<i>Michigan Department of State Police v. Sitz</i>	297
<i>City of Indianapolis v. Edmond</i>	299
d. Schools	304
<i>Safford Unified School District #1 v. Redding</i>	305

Contents	xv
e. The Government Employment Context	313
<i>City of Ontario v. Quon</i>	313
f. Drug Testing	318
<i>Vernonia School District 47J v. Acton</i>	319
<i>Board of Education of Independent School District No. 92</i> <i>of Pottawatomie County v. Earls</i>	327
<i>Ferguson v. City of Charleston</i>	333
g. Searches in Jails and Prisons	338
<i>Florence v. Board of Chosen Freeholders of the County of Burlington</i>	338
h. DNA Testing of Those Arrested	346
<i>Maryland v. King</i>	346
9. Searches of Those on Probation and Parole	357
<i>United States v. Knights</i>	357
<i>Samson v. California</i>	360
F. Seizures and Arrests	362
1. Is a Warrant Needed for Arrests?	362
<i>United States v. Watson</i>	363
2. When Is a Person Seized?	367
<i>United States v. Mendenhall</i>	367
<i>California v. Hodari D.</i>	373
<i>Torres v. Madrid</i>	377
3. For What Crimes May a Person Be Arrested?	383
<i>Atwater v. City of Lago Vista</i>	383
G. Stop and Frisk	391
1. The Authority for Police to Stop and Frisk	391
<i>Terry v. Ohio</i>	391
2. The Distinction Between Stops and Arrests	400
3. What May Police Do When They Stop an Individual?	401
<i>Hübel v. Sixth Judicial District Court of Nevada</i>	402
4. What Is Sufficient for Reasonable Suspicion?	405
a. Reasonable Suspicion: General Principles	405
<i>United States v. Arvizu</i>	405
<i>Kansas v. Glover</i>	409
b. Reasonable Suspicion Based on Informants' Tips	414
<i>Alabama v. White</i>	414
<i>Florida v. J.L.</i>	417
<i>Navarette v. California</i>	419

c. Reasonable Suspicion Based on a Person's Trying to Avoid a Police Officer	426
<i>Illinois v. Wardlow</i>	426
d. Reasonable Suspicion Based on Profiles	430
<i>United States v. Sokolow</i>	431
H. Electronic Surveillance	435
1. Is Electronic Eavesdropping a Search?	435
2. Statutory Requirements	438
3. Warrantless Eavesdropping	440
<i>United States v. United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan</i>	440
I. Excessive Police Force	448
<i>Tennessee v. Garner</i>	448
<i>Graham v. Connor</i>	454
<i>Barnes v. Felix</i>	457
Chapter 3 The Exclusionary Rule and Other Remedies	463
A. Is the Exclusionary Rule a Desirable Remedy for Unconstitutional Police Behavior?	463
<i>Hudson v. Michigan</i>	464
B. The Origins of the Exclusionary Rule	468
<i>Weeks v. United States</i>	468
<i>Mapp v. Ohio</i>	471
C. When Does the Exclusionary Rule Apply?	476
<i>Herring v. United States</i>	476
<i>Davis v. United States</i>	484
D. Who Can Object to the Introduction of Evidence and Raise the Exclusionary Rule?	489
<i>Rakas v. Illinois</i>	489
<i>Minnesota v. Carter</i>	496
<i>Brendlin v. California</i>	499
<i>Byrd v. United States</i>	503
E. Exceptions to the Exclusionary Rule	508
1. Independent Source	508
<i>Murray v. United States</i>	509
2. Inevitable Discovery	514
<i>Nix v. Williams</i>	514

Contents	xvii
3. Inadequate Causal Connection—Attenuation of the Taint	520
<i>Brown v. Illinois</i>	521
<i>Utah v. Strieff</i>	527
4. The Good Faith Exception to the Exclusionary Rule	535
<i>United States v. Leon</i>	535
5. The Exception for Violations of the Requirement for “Knocking and Announcing”	551
F. Suppression Hearings	552
G. Civil Remedies Against the Police	554
1. Suits for Injunctive Relief	554
<i>City of Los Angeles v. Lyons</i>	554
2. Suits for Money Damages	562
<i>Monell v. Department of Social Services</i>	562
<i>Harlow v. Fitzgerald</i>	571
Chapter 4 Police Interrogation and the Privilege Against Self-Incrimination	577
A. Due Process and the Requirement for Voluntariness	578
1. The Requirement for Voluntariness	578
<i>Brown v. Mississippi</i>	579
2. Determining Whether a Confession Is Voluntary	580
a. The Length of the Interrogation and Whether the Defendant Was Deprived of Basic Bodily Needs	581
b. The Use of Force and Threats of Force	581
<i>Arizona v. Fulminante</i>	581
c. Psychological Pressure Tactics	584
<i>Spano v. New York</i>	584
d. Deception	587
e. The Age, Level of Education, and Mental Condition of a Suspect	588
<i>Colorado v. Connelly</i>	588
3. Is the Voluntariness Test Desirable?	593
4. Coercive Questioning, Torture, and the War on Terrorism	593
B. Fifth Amendment Limits on Custodial Interrogation: <i>Miranda v. Arizona</i>	594
1. <i>Miranda v. Arizona</i> and Its Affirmation by the Supreme Court	594
<i>Miranda v. Arizona</i>	594
<i>Dickerson v. United States</i>	607
<i>Vega v. Tekoh</i>	611
2. Is <i>Miranda</i> Desirable?	620

3. What Are the Requirements for <i>Miranda</i> to Apply?	621
a. When Is a Person “in Custody”?	621
<i>Oregon v. Mathiason</i>	622
<i>J.D.B. v. North Carolina</i>	625
<i>Berkemer v. McCarty</i>	630
b. What Is an “Interrogation”?	634
<i>Rhode Island v. Innis</i>	634
<i>Illinois v. Perkins</i>	641
c. What Is Required of the Police?	644
<i>California v. Prysock</i>	644
<i>Duckworth v. Eagan</i>	647
4. What Are the Consequences of a Violation of <i>Miranda</i> ?	651
<i>Oregon v. Elstad</i>	652
<i>Missouri v. Seibert</i>	657
<i>United States v. Patane</i>	663
5. Waiver of <i>Miranda</i> Rights	666
a. What Is Sufficient to Constitute a Waiver?	667
<i>North Carolina v. Butler</i>	667
<i>Berghuis v. Thompkins</i>	669
<i>Salinas v. Texas</i>	677
b. How Is a Waiver After the Assertion of Rights Treated?	682
<i>Michigan v. Mosley</i>	682
<i>Edwards v. Arizona</i>	685
<i>Minnick v. Mississippi</i>	687
<i>Maryland v. Shatzer</i>	692
<i>Davis v. United States</i>	698
6. What Are the Exceptions to <i>Miranda</i> ?	702
a. Impeachment	702
<i>Harris v. New York</i>	703
b. Emergencies	705
<i>New York v. Quarles</i>	705
c. Booking Exception	712
C. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel and Police Interrogations	712
1. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel During Interrogations	713
<i>Massiah v. United States</i>	713
<i>Brewer v. Williams</i>	718
2. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Is Offense Specific	723
<i>Texas v. Cobb</i>	724

Contents	xix
3. Waivers	728
<i>Montejo v. Louisiana</i>	728
4. What Is Impermissible Police Eliciting of Statements?	736
<i>United States v. Henry</i>	737
<i>Kuhlmann v. Wilson</i>	740
D. The Privilege Against Self-Incrimination in Other Contexts	744
1. What Are the Requirements for the Privilege Against Self-Incrimination to Apply?	744
a. Only Individuals May Invoke the Privilege	745
b. The Privilege Applies Only to That Which Is Testimonial	745
<i>Schmerber v. California</i>	745
c. There Must Be Compulsion	748
d. There Must Be the Possibility of Incrimination	749
2. When May the Government Require the Production of Documents and Other Things?	752
<i>Fisher v. United States</i>	752
3. May the Government Require Testimony If It Provides Immunity?	757
<i>Kastigar v. United States</i>	758
<i>United States v. Hubbell</i>	762
Chapter 5 Identification Procedures	767
A. The Right to Counsel	768
1. The Right to Counsel in Lineups	768
<i>United States v. Wade</i>	768
2. Limits on the Right to Counsel in Identification Procedures	778
<i>Kirby v. Illinois</i>	778
<i>United States v. Ash</i>	782
B. Due Process Protection for Identification Procedures	788
1. Unnecessarily Suggestive Identification Procedures by Police Violate Due Process	788
<i>Foster v. California</i>	790
2. Limits on the Ability of Courts to Find That Identification Procedures Violate Due Process	792
<i>Simmons v. United States</i>	793
<i>Neil v. Biggers</i>	795
<i>Manson v. Brathwaite</i>	799
3. Requirement That Police Be Involved in Creating the Suggestive Identification Procedure	805
<i>Perry v. New Hampshire</i>	805

Chapter 6	Right to Counsel	811
A.	Introduction	811
B.	Appointment of Counsel	812
	<i>Gideon v. Wainwright</i>	812
C.	When the Right to Counsel Applies	815
	<i>Argersinger v. Hamlin</i>	816
D.	Standard for “Effective Assistance” of Counsel	819
	<i>Strickland v. Washington</i>	819
	1. Conflicts of Interest	829
	2. Complete Denial of Counsel	831
	3. Strategic Decisions by Defense Counsel	831
	<i>Florida v. Nixon</i>	831
	<i>McCoy v. Louisiana</i>	835
	4. Right to Retain Counsel	840
	5. Right to Retain Experts	841
E.	Right of Self-Representation	842
	<i>Faretta v. California</i>	842
	<i>Indiana v. Edwards</i>	850
F.	Right of Counsel for Enemy Combatants	854
	Table of Cases	855
	Index	863