

Contents

PART I INTRODUCTION TO FEDERAL COURTS 1

Chapter 1 Strategy for Studying Federal Courts and Jurisdiction 3

- A. Eye on the Bottom Line 3
- B. Governmental Theory 5
- C. Ulterior Motive: What Else Is Up Their Sleeve? 8
- D. Understanding the Basic Structure of the Federal Judiciary 9
 - 1. What the Constitution Says 9
 - 2. The Court System Layout 10

PART II AUTHORITY OF FEDERAL COURTS TO ADJUDICATE 11

Chapter 2 Federal Question Jurisdiction in Lower Federal Courts 13

- A. The Meaning of “Arising Under” in the Constitution 13
- B. The Meaning of “Arising Under” in 28 U.S.C. §1331 15
 - 1. The Requirement That the Case Present a Necessary, Disputed, and Substantial Federal Element Under §1331 16
 - 2. The Federal-State Balance Element 25
 - 3. The “Well-Pleaded Complaint” Requirement 29
 - a. Declaratory Judgment Cases 31
 - i. *Skelly Oil* Recognizes the Declaratory Judgment Wrinkle 31
 - ii. *Franchise Tax Board* Complicates the Wrinkle 35
 - iii. The Alignment Question Remains 36
 - iv. Synthesizing the Declaratory Judgment Case Law 38
 - b. Complete Preemption 42
 - c. Federal Arbitration Act Cases 45
 - 4. Administrative Review Procedures 46

Contents

Chapter 3	Diversity of Citizenship Jurisdiction in Lower Federal Courts	49
A.	Defining “Different States”: The Complete Diversity Rule	50
B.	Determining Citizenship	52
1.	Citizenship Rules for Individuals	52
2.	Citizenship Rules for Corporations	54
3.	Citizenship Rules for Unincorporated Associations	57
4.	Citizenship Rules for Class Actions	58
a.	Basic Rule	58
b.	Discretionary Exception to the Basic Rule: §1332(d)(3)	60
c.	Mandatory Exceptions to the Basic Rule in §1332(d)	64
C.	Calculating Amount in Controversy	66
1.	Timing and Standard for Calculation	66
2.	Aggregation Rules	68
a.	Aggregation by One Plaintiff	68
b.	Aggregation by Multiple Plaintiffs	69
c.	Aggregation in Class Actions	71
D.	Identifying Forbidden Subject Areas	74
1.	Domestic Relations	74
2.	Probate Matters	76
Chapter 4	Supplemental Jurisdiction in Lower Federal Courts	79
A.	Supplemental Jurisdiction Is Used Only When Necessary	80
B.	Constitutional Underpinnings of Supplemental Jurisdiction	81
C.	The Supplemental Jurisdiction Statute	84
1.	Section 1367(a): Congress Giveth	84
a.	Codifying Gibbs	84
b.	Joining Additional Parties	85
2.	Section 1367(b): Congress Taketh Away	86
a.	Integrating Supplemental Jurisdiction with the Complete Diversity Requirement	87
b.	Limiting Supplemental Jurisdiction with §1367(b)	89
c.	Using §1367(a) to Meet the “Amount in Controversy” Requirement in Diversity Cases	92
3.	Section 1367(c): Congress Delegates	95
4.	Section 1367(d)	97
5.	Putting It All Together: A Summary Example	98

PART III	LIMITATIONS ON FEDERAL COURT ADJUDICATION	105
Chapter 5	Justiciability Doctrines	107
A.	Values Served by Justiciability Doctrines	107
B.	Overview of the Justiciability Doctrines	108
1.	The Doctrines Restricting <i>What</i> Matters a Court Can Handle	108
a.	Prohibition Against Advisory Opinions	108
b.	Political Question Doctrine	111
2.	The Doctrines Restricting <i>When</i> a Court Can Adjudicate	114
3.	The Doctrine Restricting <i>Who</i> May Bring a Lawsuit	119
C.	More Details About Standing	122
1.	Constitutional Requisites	122
a.	Injury in Fact	123
i.	Types of Injuries Sufficient for the Injury Requirement	124
ii.	Taxpayer Standing	128
iii.	Standing to Seek Particular Remedies	130
b.	Causation and Redressability	135
2.	Prudential Considerations	137
a.	Third-Party Claims	138
b.	Generalized Grievances	142
c.	Zone of Interest	143
Chapter 6	Congressional Control over Jurisdiction	147
A.	Congressional Power to Limit Supreme Court Jurisdiction	148
1.	The Debate's Parameters	148
2.	History	151
3.	Case Law	153
B.	Congressional Power to Limit Lower Court Jurisdiction	155
1.	What Is a Lower Federal Court?	156
2.	Congress's Latitude Under Article III	158
3.	Due Process Limitations	164
4.	Habeas Corpus	167
C.	Congressional Power to Control the Manner, Substance, and Result of Judicial Decisionmaking: Separation of Powers Challenges	169
1.	Review of Federal Court Decisions	169
2.	Mandating the Substance of Judicial Decisions	170

Contents

3. Reopening Final Judgments	173
4. Controlling Court Authority to Issue Remedies	175
5. Putting It All Together: A Summary Example Exploring Separation of Powers Issues	176
D. Congressional Power to Create Non–Article III Courts	179
1. Legislative Courts: We Don’t Want Congress Taking over the World	181
2. Military Tribunals: The Executive Does Not Possess a “Blank Check”	192
Chapter 7 The Anti-Injunction Act	197
A. The General Prohibition: No Injunctions of State Proceedings	198
1. Ongoing State Court Proceedings Only	198
2. Declaratory Judgments Too?	199
B. Exception 1: Injunctions Expressly Authorized by Statute	200
C. Exception 2: Injunctions Necessary in Aid of Jurisdiction	202
D. Exception 3: Injunctions to Protect or Effectuate Judgments	205
Chapter 8 Abstention Doctrines	217
A. Unclear State Law Doctrines: <i>Pullman</i> , <i>Thibodaux</i> , and <i>Burford</i>	218
1. <i>Pullman</i> Abstention: Avoiding Unnecessary Constitutional Issues	218
a. Prerequisites for <i>Pullman</i> Abstention	219
b. Procedure for <i>Pullman</i> Abstention	223
c. Discretionary Elements of <i>Pullman</i> Abstention	225
2. <i>Thibodaux</i> Abstention: Unclear State Law on an Important Matter	228
3. <i>Burford</i> Abstention: Avoiding Interference with State Administrative Process	229
a. Initial Articulation of the Doctrine	229
b. Refinement of the Doctrine: Prerequisites	230
c. Limiting the Doctrine in Damages Actions	234
B. Duplicative Proceeding Doctrines: <i>Younger</i> and <i>Colorado River</i>	235
1. <i>Younger</i> Abstention: Avoiding Interference with Ongoing State Proceedings	236
a. <i>Enjoin</i> : How Far Does <i>Younger</i> Apply Beyond Injunction Requests?	238
b. <i>Ongoing</i> : What Is an Ongoing Proceeding for <i>Younger</i> Purposes?	240
i. <i>Steffel v. Thompson</i>	240
ii. <i>Hicks v. Miranda</i>	242
iii. <i>Doran v. Salem Inn, Inc.</i>	245

Contents

iv. Permanent Injunctive Relief	247
c. Criminal Proceedings: When Does <i>Younger</i> Apply Outside the Criminal Context?	248
d. Exceptions	251
i. Bad Faith or Harassment	252
ii. Patently Unconstitutional State Law	253
iii. Other Extraordinary Circumstances	253
e. Relation with Anti-Injunction Act	255
f. Procedure	257
2. Colorado River Abstention: Avoiding Piecemeal Litigation	257
a. Basic Elements	258
b. Procedure	262
c. Declaratory Judgment Actions Exempted	263
C. Statutory and Judicially Created Restrictions Operating in Tandem: The Anti-Injunction Act and the Abstention Doctrines Considered Together	264
<hr/>	
PART IV THE ROLE OF STATE COURTS IN THE FEDERALIST SYSTEM	269
Chapter 9 State Court Authority to Enforce Federal Law	271
A. The Presumption of Concurrent Jurisdiction: Effect on State Courts	272
B. The Presumption of Concurrent Jurisdiction: Effect on Federal Courts	274
C. Remedial Limitations for State Court Suits Against Federal Officers	275
Chapter 10 State Court Responsibility to Enforce Federal Law	279
A. State Court Obligation to Hear a Federal Cause of Action	280
B. Constraints on Congress's Authority over State Courts	284
<hr/>	
PART V FEDERAL COURTS AS SUPERVISORS OF STATE COURTS	291
Chapter 11 Role of the United States Supreme Court	293
A. Constitutional and Statutory Grants	294

Contents

B. Principles Governing Review of State Court Decisions	296
1. Preservation Requirement	298
2. Adequate and Independent State Grounds	299
a. What Is an Adequate State Ground?	300
i. Logical Adequacy	301
ii. Procedural Adequacy	305
iii. Summing Up: Circumstances Under Which a State Ground Will Not Be Adequate	309
b. Is the State Ground Independent of Federal Law?	310
3. Finality	313
a. Basic Finality Principle	313
b. <i>Cox Broadcasting</i> : Summary of Categories Under the Pragmatic Approach to Finality	314
i. Preordained Cases	315
ii. “Separated Federal Issue” Cases	316
iii. “Seize It Now” Cases	316
iv. Important Federal Interest Cases	317
4. The Three Doctrines Considered Together	320
C. Principles Governing Review of Federal Decisions	324

Chapter 12 Role of Lower Federal Courts 327

A. Principles Governing Habeas Corpus Review	328
1. Constitutional, Statutory, and Historical Background	328
a. General Scope of the Writ	328
b. The Suspension Clause	331
c. Animating Theories and Values	334
2. Cognizable Claims: What Is the Substantive Scope of Inquiry on Habeas?	335
a. The Ends of the Spectrum: <i>Frank v. Mangum</i> and <i>Brown v. Allen</i>	336
b. Eliminating Fourth Amendment Claims: <i>Stone v. Powell</i>	338
c. Eliminating Claims That Amount to Harmless Error	344
d. Eliminating New Rules of Constitutional Law: <i>Teague v. Lane</i>	347
i. The Basics	347
ii. What Is a New Rule?	351
iii. Understanding <i>Teague</i> in Light of the Habeas Values	353
iv. <i>Teague</i> in State Courts	353
e. Eliminating Decisions Based on Clearly Established Federal Law	355

Contents

i. Dismantling and Reconstructing 28 U.S.C. §2254(d)(1)	355
ii. The Relationship Between <i>Teague v. Lane</i> and 28 U.S.C. §2254(d)(1)	360
3. Procedural Bar: Under What Circumstances Will the Federal Court Excuse State Procedural Default?	366
a. The Ends of the Spectrum: <i>Daniels v. Allen</i> and <i>Fay v. Noia</i>	366
b. Requiring Cause and Prejudice (or Actual Innocence): <i>Wainwright v. Sykes</i>	368
i. Defining Actual Innocence	369
ii. Defining Cause	371
iii. Defining Prejudice	374
iv. Procedural Default Under the AEDPA	375
4. Other Limitations on Habeas Review	375
a. Exhaustion Requirements	375
b. Limitations on Reexamining Factual Findings	377
c. Statutes of Limitations	380
d. Limitations on Successive Petitions	381
B. The <i>Rooker-Feldman</i> Doctrine: Protecting the Supreme Court's Review Power	382

PART VI FEDERAL COURTS AS SUPERVISORS OF STATE AND LOCAL OFFICIALS 387

Chapter 13 Eleventh Amendment Restrictions 389

A. History: The Language of Article III and <i>Chisholm v. Georgia</i>	390
B. "Any Suit . . . Against One of the United States by Citizens of Another State, or by Citizens or Subjects of Any Foreign States": <i>Hans v. Louisiana</i>	391
C. "Any Suit in Law or in Equity . . . Against One of the United States": <i>Ex Parte Young</i> and Its Progeny	396
1. The Logic Behind the <i>Ex Parte Young</i> Fiction	396
2. The Prospective/Retroactive Distinction	398
a. <i>Edelman v. Jordan</i> : The Basic Concept	398
b. Further Refinement of Retroactive Relief and Ancillary Remedies	401
i. Refining "Retroactive"	401
ii. Refining "Ancillary" Effects on the Treasury	403
3. <i>Ex Parte Young</i> for State Law Claims: The Fiction Breaks Down	405

Contents

4. The “Official Capacity”/“Individual Capacity” Distinction	407
D. “The Judicial Power of the United States”: <i>Alden v. Maine</i> and Others	410
1. The United States Supreme Court Is Not Restricted	410
2. States Courts Are Restricted	412
3. Federal Administrative Agencies Are Restricted	413
E. Congress’s Power to Abrogate	414
1. Fourteenth Amendment	415
2. Commerce Clause	418
3. Interaction Between the Eleventh and Fourteenth Amendments: The <i>Boerne–Seminole Tribe</i> Squeeze	419
F. States’ Prerogative to Waive	425
G. Ten Ways into Federal Court: A Summary of Exceptions to or “Ways Around” the Eleventh Amendment	427

Chapter 14 Section 1983 429

A. Introduction and History	429
B. “Under Color of”: <i>Monroe v. Pape</i>	431
C. Exhaustion of State Remedies	437
D. “Person”	440
1. State Governments	441
2. Municipalities	442
a. Ascertaining Law, Custom, or Policies	443
b. Recognized Customs or Policies	444
c. Failure to Act	447
3. Individual Officers	450
a. State Officers	451
b. Municipal Officers	452
4. Immunity Doctrines	453
a. Absolute Immunity	454
b. Qualified Immunity	456
E. “Deprivation of Any Rights, Privileges, or Immunities Secured by the Constitution and Laws”	461
1. Federal Statutes	462
2. Constitutional Claims	467
a. Types of Constitutional Claims	467
b. Torts as Constitutional Violations	467
F. “[A]ction at Law, Suit in Equity, or Other Proper Proceeding for Redress”	470
G. The Parallel Cause of Action Against Federal Officers: <i>Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics</i>	475

PART VII	FEDERAL COURTS AS LAWMAKERS	477
Chapter 15	The <i>Erie</i> Mandate	481
A.	The Constitutional Scheme and the Rules of Decision Act	482
B.	The <i>Erie</i> Decision	484
C.	The Aftermath of <i>Erie</i> : Charting the Line Between Substance and Procedure	486
1.	The Impulse to Apply Federal Procedural Law	486
2.	<i>Guaranty Trust Co. v. York</i> : Outcome Determination	488
3.	<i>Byrd v. Blue Ridge Rural Electric Cooperative</i> : A Balancing Test?	488
4.	<i>Hanna v. Plumer</i> : Separating Different Types of Federal Law	489
D.	A Rules of Decision Act Case: The Choice Between State Law and Federal Court-Made Law	490
E.	A Rules Enabling Act Case: The Choice Between State Law and a Federal Rule of Civil Procedure	494
1.	<i>Hanna's</i> Rules Enabling Act Test	494
2.	Analysis of Conflict Between a State and Federal Rule	496
F.	A Statutory Case: The Choice Between State Law and a Federal Statute	498
G.	Choosing Among State Laws: <i>Klaxon v. Stentor</i>	501
Chapter 16	Federal Common Law	505
A.	Categories of Federal Common Lawmaking	506
B.	Federal Common Lawmaking Where Congress Has Acted	508
C.	Federal Common Lawmaking in Areas of Unique Federal Interest Where Congress Has Not Acted	510
1.	Cases Concerning Federal Proprietary Interests	511
a.	General Principles	511
b.	The Content of Federal Law	514
2.	Cases Between Private Parties Implicating Federal Interests	517
3.	Cases Where Necessity, Expediency, or Justice Require Federal Common Law	522
a.	Implied Rights of Action	523
b.	Customary International Law	525
	<i>Table of Cases</i>	527
	<i>Index</i>	535