

Preface	xxvii
Acknowledgments	xxix
Special Notice on Citations	xxxii
Chapter 1 Due Process of Law	1
A. Notice and the Opportunity to Be Heard	1
Problem Case: The Due Process Game	1
1. The Process Due: Of Context and Subtext	1
<i>Sniadach v. Family Finance Corp.</i>	2
Note: Due Process as Notice and a Chance to Be Heard	7
Note: Private Actors and Due Process	11
Note: The <i>Mathews</i> Test	11
Note: Critiques of Due Process Balancing	14
Note: Due Process and Post-Judgment Remedies	17
<i>Jenkins v. The City of Jennings</i>	18
Note: <i>Jennings</i> , Ferguson, and Procedural Due Process	24
2. Notice: The Constitutional Dimension	26
Problem Case: The Elusive Defendant	26
<i>Greene v. Lindsey</i>	27
Notes and Questions	32
Note: <i>Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank and Tr. Co.</i>	33
Note: <i>Jones v. Flowers</i>	34
3. Notice: Constitutional Requirements Ritualized: Rule 4	36
<i>Mid-Continent Wood Products v. Harris</i>	40
Notes and Questions	45
Note: Seeing Justice Done in States of Emergency	46
Note: Serving and Being Served	48
4. Improper Conduct to Effect Service	49
<i>Wyman v. Newhouse</i>	49
Note: Impropriety and Immunity from Service of Process	50

B. What Kind of Hearing Does Due Process Require?	51
Problem Case: The Well-Meaning Legislator	51
Robert Kagan, <i>Adversarial Legalism: The American Way of Law</i> (2001)	53
Notes and Questions	58
Note: The Common Understanding of Due Process	58
Tom R. Tyler, <i>Why People Obey the Law</i>	58
Notes and Questions	60
<i>Lassiter v. Department of Social Services</i>	62
Note: Adding Lawyers: A Functional Approach	70
Note: Lawyers and Due Process Theory	73
1. Access to Lawyers: The Price of Advice	75
a. The Contingent Fee	75
b. Other Methods for Providing Legal Services	76
c. Access to Justice as a Fundamental Right	83
<i>Walters v. National Association of Radiation Survivors</i>	84
Note: The Second Act—Legislation	90
Note: Further <i>Walters</i> Proceedings in the Lower Courts	90
Note: The Right to Counsel in Civil Contempt Cases	92
Note: <i>Tennessee v. Lane</i>	93
C. Due Process and Jurisdiction: The Limits of State Power over Persons and Property	94
Problem Case: Tech Wreck	94
1. Introduction: State Boundaries and Jurisdiction	95
2. <i>Pennoyer v. Neff</i> : The Human Drama	96
Wendy Perdue, <i>Sin, Scandal, and Substantive Due Process: Personal Jurisdiction and Pennoyer Reconsidered</i>	96
3. <i>Pennoyer v. Neff</i> : The Legal Story	99
4. Minimum Contacts and Substantial Justice	102
Problem Case: Contact Without Commerce	102
<i>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</i>	102
Note: Why Does the Forum Matter?	106
Note: How Is Personal Jurisdiction Challenged?	108
Note: General and Specific Jurisdiction	109
Note: The World After <i>International Shoe</i>	110
5. The “Stream of Commerce” and Purposeful Availment	112
Problem Case: Battery Burnout	112
Note: Criticism of <i>Asahi</i>	119

<i>J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicaastro</i>	119
Notes and Questions	130
Note: Contract Formation and Performance as Purposeful Availment: The Burger King Whopper	133
Note: Personal Jurisdiction and Intentional Torts	138
6. Contacts “Arising Out of” or “Related to” the Case	141
<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Superior Court of California, San Francisco County</i>	142
Notes and Questions	146
<i>Ford Motor Co. v. Montana Eighth Judicial District Court</i>	152
Notes and Questions	157
7. Consent by Contract	160
Problem Case: A Hidden Forum Selection Clause?	160
<i>Carnival Cruise Lines v. Shute</i>	163
Notes and Questions	168
Note: Mandatory Versus Permissive Forum Selection Clauses	172
Note: Choice of Forum and Choice of Law	173
8. Persons, Property, and Being “Home” in the State	174
Problem Case: Just Passing Through	174
Notes and Questions	180
<i>Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown</i>	181
Notes and Questions	185
<i>Daimler AG v. Bauman</i>	185
Notes and Questions	194
9. Personal Jurisdiction in Cyberspace	199
Problem Case: The Ubiquitous Defendant	199
D. Due Process and the Dual Court System: A First Look at Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Venue	204
Problem Case: The Due Process Game (Part Two)	204
1. A Dual Court System	204
a. Legislative Authority—Federal Versus State	205
b. Federal Judicial Authority	205
2. Federal Diversity Jurisdiction	206
Problem Case: Down with Diversity! Viva Diversity!	206
a. Determining Diversity of Citizenship	206
Problems	207
<i>Mas v. Perry</i>	208

Note: The Domicile of Married Women	210
<i>Tanzymore v. Bethlehem Steel Corp.</i>	210
Note: The Citizenship of Corporations	215
<i>Hertz Corp. v. Friend</i>	215
Notes and Questions	222
b. Historical Backdrop and Current Controversies	230
c. Joinder and the Amount in Controversy	232
Note: Litigating Subject Matter Jurisdiction	233
3. Federal Question Jurisdiction: Statutory Requirements	234
Problem Case: Making a Federal Case	234
<i>Franchise Tax Board v. Construction Laborers Vacation Trust</i>	235
a. Some Easy Cases	236
b. The Well-Pleaded Complaint Requirement	237
<i>Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co. v. Mottley</i>	237
Note: The Aftermath of <i>Mottley</i>	238
c. Hard Cases: What Kinds of “Mixed” Claims Arise Under Federal Law?	240
Problem Case: More Than a Hidden Forum Selection Clause . . .	240
<i>Grable & Sons Metal Products, Inc. v. Darue Engineering & Mfg.</i>	242
Note: Reconciling <i>Grable</i> and <i>Merrell Dow</i>	248
4. Tribal Courts	254
<i>Williams v. Lee</i>	255
William C. Canby Jr., <i>American Indian Law</i>	258
5. Venue	262
Applying the Basic Federal Venue Statutes	262
Chapter 2 Constructing a Civil Lawsuit	265
Problem Case: The Due Process Game (Part Three)	265
A. A Brief History of Civil Procedure	266
1. Common Law Procedure	267
a. The Pleading Process	267
b. The Writ System	268
c. Methods of Proof	272
d. Equity	273
2. Code Procedure	274
3. Modern Procedure in Federal Courts	277

B. The Allegations: Pleading and Responding Under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	278
1. The Complaint	278
Problem Case: The Aggrieved Nurses	278
a. The Basic Standard: Rule 8	279
<i>Conley v. Gibson</i>	279
Notes and Questions	281
Problem Case: What You Don't Know Can Hurt You	285
<i>Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly</i>	286
Notes and Questions	303
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i>	305
Notes and Questions	317
<i>Swanson v. Citibank</i>	320
Notes and Questions	328
Note: Plausibility Versus Probability	331
Note: Trans-Substantivity, Information Asymmetry, and Discovery	333
Note: Public Debate and Empirics	334
Note: Filing Twice? Agency Review Before Filing a Complaint in Court	337
b. Special Pleading Requirements: Statutory and Rule-Imposed Burdens	338
Note: Rule 9(b) — The Securities Fraud Litigation Example	340
Note: Drawing Inferences, Plausible and Otherwise	345
c. Pleading in the Alternative: How Consistent Must the Plaintiff Be?	346
<i>McCormick v. Kopmann</i>	346
2. Responding to the Complaint	350
Problem Case: A Woman Partner	350
a. The Rules and Forms	351
b. The Pre-Answer Motion	352
c. The Answer	353
<i>Fuentes v. Tucker</i>	353
<i>Zielinski v. Philadelphia Piers, Inc.</i>	355
Notes and Questions	359
d. Affirmative Defenses	359
Problem Case: A Woman Partner (Part Two)	359
<i>Ingraham v. United States</i>	359
Notes and Questions	363
Note: A Favored Affirmative Defense?	365

<i>Ziglar v. Abbasi</i>	367
Note: Qualified Immunity and Police Violence	372
e. Amending the Pleadings	374
Problem Case: A Woman Partner (Part Three)	374
Note: Liberal Pleading and Liberal Amendments	374
<i>Krupski v. Costa Crociere S.p.A.</i>	377
Notes and Questions	383
<i>Barcume v. City of Flint</i>	384
Notes and Questions	389
3. Setting the Table: A Brief Introduction to the Rules of Claim and Party Joinder	391
C. Policing the Pleadings: Ethical Constraints, Frivolous Cases, and Creative Advocacy	393
Problem Case: The Worker with the “Bad” Accent	393
The History and Importance of Rule 11	398
1. “An Inquiry Reasonable Under the Circumstances”	403
<i>Kraemer v. Grant County</i>	404
Note: The Effect of Rule 11 on Lawyers’ Work	408
<i>Christian v. Mattel, Inc.</i>	410
Note: How Far Must One Go in Prefiling Investigations?	417
Note: “Later Advocating” Written Submissions	419
Note: The Standard of Review on Appeal	422
2. “Improper Purpose,” Frivolous Claims, and Arguments for Legal Change	424
<i>Saltany v. Reagan</i>	424
<i>Saltany v. Bush</i>	426
Note: Lawyers for Causes	428
Note: Rule 11 in the Wake of the Election Interference in 2020	430
Note: Post-Pleading Improper Purposes	431
Note: Nonfrivolous Arguments for Extension of the Law	432
Note: Factual Contentions Versus Inferences	432
Note: De-emphasizing Monetary Sanctions—Turning Down the Heat	433
3. The Inherent Power to Sanction	435
Note: The Contempt Power	441
Note: Contracting for Sanctions?	442
Chapter 3 Discovery of the Adversary’s Case	445
Problem Case: The Elusive Defendant (Part Two)	445
A. An Overview of the Discovery Tools and Their Deployment	445

Lawrence J. Zweifach, <i>Deposition Strategy in the Framework of an Overall Discovery Plan</i>	446
B. Discovery Reform Redux: How the Solution Became the Problem	450
Problem Case: The Secret Memo	455
<i>Chalick v. Cooper Hosp./Univ. Med. Ctr.</i>	456
Notes and Questions	461
C. The Scope of Discovery	465
1. “Relevant to Any Party’s Claim or Defense”	465
<i>Blank v. Sullivan & Cromwell</i>	465
Note: Relevance and Proportionality	467
Note: Unduly Burdensome or Expensive	471
Note: Penalties for Noncompliance with Discovery Orders	472
2. “Any Matter Not Privileged”	473
Problem Case: A Literary Law Student	473
<i>Hickman v. Taylor</i>	475
Note: The Necessities of Adversary Litigation	482
Note: The Scope of Work Product and Anticipating Litigation	483
Note: Opinion Work Product	486
<i>Upjohn Co. v. United States</i>	488
Note: The Power of Agencies to Compel Disclosures	493
Note: The Scope of Work-Product v. Attorney-Client Privilege	493
Note: Waiving the Privilege: Abuse and Voluntary Disclosure	494
Note: Administering a Claim of Privilege	497
Note: Privilege in a Corporate Context	501
Note: “Upjohn Warnings”	503
Note: The Role of Privileges in Assuring Accurate Outcomes	504
Note: Spoliation and the Duty to Preserve Evidence	505
Note: Contracting for Secrecy	508
3. Digital Data and the Problem of Electronic Discovery	509
<i>Qualcomm Inc. v. Broadcom Corp.</i>	510
Note: A Problem of Divided Responsibility?	517
Note: E-Discovery and Technology Assisted Review	521
Note: The Discovery of “Metadata” and Compressed Data	523
Note: Privilege Logs and Inadvertent Disclosure in E-Discovery	525
Note: Social Networking Sites, Discovery, and Privacy	526
4. The Adversary’s Experts	526
Problem Case: XRT and the SafeTeeTot	526
<i>Cordy v. The Sherwin-Williams Co.</i>	528

<i>Coates v. AC & S, Inc.</i>	530
Notes and Questions	532
D. Depositions and the Adversarial Advocate	537
Problem Case: The Secret Memo (Part Two)	537
E. The Protective Order	542
<i>Rivera v. NIBCO</i>	542
Note: Substance and Procedure	550
F. Discovery in International Litigation	551
Notes and Questions	554
Note: The Appealability of Discovery Orders	557
Chapter 4 Dispositions and Adjudications	559
A. Ending Litigation Without Judgment: Settlements, Pretrial Conferences, and Other Maneuvers	564
Problem Case: Pressured to Settle	564
1. Settlement and the Rule 16 Pretrial Conference—Helpful Judicial Oversight or Unwelcome Coercion?	564
<i>Robert Zampano, Settlement Strategies for Trial Judges</i>	567
<i>In re Atlantic Pipe Corp.</i>	569
Note: Special Procedures to Encourage Settlement	576
Note: “Litigotiation”	578
Note: Motions in Limine	580
2. Settlement Incentives and Rule 68	580
<i>Marek v. Chesny</i>	580
Note: <i>Evans v. Jeff D.</i> , 475 U.S. 717 (1986)	587
Notes and Questions	589
B. Summary Judgment	596
Problem Case: A Literary Law Student (Part Two)	596
1. The Development of Modern Summary Judgment Doctrine	597
a. Piercing the Pleadings: Historical Perspectives	597
<i>Adickes v. S.H. Kress & Co.</i>	597
Notes and Questions	602
b. The Supreme Court Trilogy	603
<i>Celotex Corp. v. Catrett</i>	603
Notes and Questions	610
<i>Catrett, Administratrix of the Estate of Louis H. Catrett, Deceased</i> <i>v. Johns-Manville Sales Corp.</i>	610
Note: Burdens of Proof, Pleading, and Production	613
Notes and Questions	619

Contents	xix
Note: <i>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</i> , 477 U.S. 242 (1986)	619
Notes and Questions	622
c. A New Standard for Summary Judgment?	624
<i>Scott v. Harris</i>	626
Notes and Questions	636
2. Summary Judgment Problems	645
Chapter 5 Decision Makers and Decision Models	647
A. The Judge	647
Problem Case: Judicial Positioning	647
1. Judicial Selection: Appointment and Election	648
a. Selection of Federal Judges	648
b. Federal Magistrates and Special Masters	648
c. Selection of State Judges	651
Glenn Winters, <i>Selection of Judges—An Historical Introduction</i>	652
2. Judicial Qualifications	655
3. Disqualification of Judges in Individual Cases	658
Problem Case: The Prejudiced Judge	658
Statutes and Precedents	659
Note: <i>Liteky v. United States</i> , 510 U.S. 540 (1994)	662
Note: <i>Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.</i> , 556 U.S. 868 (2009)	665
Note: <i>The Reassignment of Floyd v. City of New York</i> , 736 F.3d 118 (2d Cir. 2013)	668
Problem Case: The Prejudiced Judge, Revisited	669
B. The Judge’s Powers	670
1. Injunctions and Contempt	670
<i>Walker v. City of Birmingham</i>	670
Notes and Questions	685
C. The Jury: The Seventh Amendment Right	689
Problem Case: The Harassed Student	689
1. The Jury Trial Advantage	690
2. Incidents of Jury Trial: Size and Unanimity	692
3. Interpreting the Seventh Amendment: The Historical Test	693
Note: Other Applications of the Historical Test	695
Note: Jury Trials and Civil Rights	697
4. Preserving the Right: The Order of Trial	698
<i>Beacon Theatres, Inc. v. Westover</i>	698
Note: What Facts a Judge May Decide	703

Note: <i>Markman</i> Hearings	704
Note: The Twenty-First Century Jury	706
D. Choosing a Jury	707
Problem Case: The Harassed Student (Part Two)	707
1. The Law of Jury Selection	708
Note: Reversal as the Remedy for Improper Jury Selection	711
<i>Edmonson v. Leesville Concrete Co.</i>	711
Note: The Right of the Individual Potential Juror	714
Barbara Allen Babcock, <i>A Place in the Palladium: Women's Rights and Jury Service</i>	715
E. Managing the Jury	718
Problem Case: The Bereaved Widow	718
1. Guiding Jury Deliberations: Instructions and the Form of the Verdict	719
<i>Gallick v. Baltimore & Ohio R.R. Co.</i>	719
Notes and Questions	725
2. Taking the Case Away: Judgment as a Matter of Law	728
<i>Galloway v. United States</i>	728
Notes and Questions	736
Note: The Procedure for Moving for JMOL	739
3. Starting Over: The New Trial Motion	741
<i>Sanders-El v. Wenciewicz</i>	741
Notes and Questions	744
4. Excessive Verdicts	750
a. Prejudice, Passion, and Punitive Damages	750
<i>Curtis Publishing Co. v. Butts</i>	750
b. Additur and Remittitur	753
c. The Role of the Appellate Court in Administering Remittitur	753
<i>Donovan v. Penn Shipping Co.</i>	753
d. Excessive Verdicts and Due Process	754
F. Alternative Decision Makers	761
Problem Case: A Daughter's Heartache	761
1. Arbitration	763
<i>Gilmer v. Interstate/Johnson Lane Corp.</i>	763
Notes and Questions	769
Note: Court-Annexed ADR	775
Note: The Rise of International Arbitration	777
2. Mediation	777
Problem Case: A Daughter's Heartache (Part Two)	777

Contents	xxi
<i>Woods v. Holy Cross Hospital</i>	779
Notes and Questions	783
3. Other Forms of ADR	784
4. Critical Perspectives on ADR	785
Chapter 6 More Complex Litigation	789
A. Subject Matter Jurisdiction in a Dual Court System: A Second Look	789
1. The Governing Law in a Diversity Case	789
Problem Case: Having It Whose Way?	789
a. From <i>Erie</i> to <i>Hanna</i>	789
Edward A. Purcell Jr., <i>Litigation and Inequality: Federal Diversity Jurisdiction in Industrial America, 1870-1958</i>	790
<i>Erie R.R. Co. v. Tompkins</i>	794
Note: The Personal and Political Aspects of <i>Erie</i>	799
b. Substance and Procedure—Illustrative Cases	799
i. <i>Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp.</i> , 337 U.S. 541 (1949)	800
ii. <i>Ragan v. Merch. Transfer & Warehouse Co.</i> , 337 U.S. 530 (1949)	800
iii. <i>Woods v. Interstate Realty Co.</i> , 337 U.S. 535 (1949)	801
iv. <i>Byrd v. Blue Ridge Rural Elec. Coop., Inc.</i> , 356 U.S. 525 (1958)	801
c. The <i>Hanna</i> Presumption	803
<i>Hanna v. Plumer</i>	803
Notes and Questions	809
d. Separating Substance from Procedure, Balancing State and Federal Interests, and Other Nagging Questions of <i>Erie-Hanna</i> Jurisprudence	812
<i>Shady Grove Orthopedic Assocs. P.A. v. Allstate Ins. Co.</i>	814
Notes and Questions	823
2. Supplemental Jurisdiction of the Federal Courts	826
Problem Case: Suing the HMO	826
a. Background to the Statute (28 U.S.C. § 1367)	826
i. The <i>Gibbs</i> Test	827
ii. Post- <i>Gibbs</i> Developments	828
b. The Modern Approach	829
c. Solving the Problem Case	830
d. Operation of § 1367 in the Class Action Context	831
<i>Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Allapattah Services, Inc.</i>	831
Note: Efficiency and Institutional Competence	844
Note: The Interaction of § 1367 and Rule 13	845

Note: The Class Action Fairness Act of 2005	846
e. Declining to Exercise Supplemental Jurisdiction	847
f. Tolling of Statutes of Limitation and Supplemental Jurisdiction	849
Note: Pendent Personal Jurisdiction	849
3. Federal Removal Jurisdiction	851
a. Introduction	851
b. Remand	851
<i>Caterpillar Inc. v. Lewis</i>	852
Notes and Questions	859
Note: “Snap” Removal	870
4. Venue Transfers Within the Federal Court System	871
5. Sua Sponte Transfer	873
<i>Williams v. Baldolf</i>	873
6. Forum Non Conveniens	875
<i>Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno</i>	875
Note: Placing Conditions on Dismissal	881
Note: The Degree of Deference to Plaintiff’s Choice	881
Note: Venue Transfers for Reasons Other Than Inconvenience	882
Review Problem: Choosing Systems in a More Complex World	883
B. Extending the Lawsuit: More on Joinder	883
1. Joinder of Multiple Parties	883
Problem Case: A Woman Partner (Once Again)	883
a. Real Party in Interest	885
b. Capacity to Sue or Be Sued	885
c. Constitutional Limitations—Standing	885
d. Fictitious Names	885
e. Anonymous Parties	886
2. The Rules of Party Joinder	887
a. Permissive Joinder (Rule 20)	887
<i>Mosley v. General Motors Corp.</i>	887
Note: Fraudulent Joinder	891
Note: Common Questions After Wal-Mart v. Dukes	892
b. Compulsory Joinder (Rule 19)	892
<i>Temple v. Synthes Corp.</i>	892
<i>Helzberg’s Diamond Shops, Inc. v. Valley West Des Moines Shopping Center, Inc.</i>	894
Notes and Questions	897
c. Impleader (Rule 14)	899

Contents	xxiii
<i>Scott v. PPG Indus., Inc.</i>	899
Notes and Questions	902
d. Interpleader (Rule 22) and Statutory Interpleader: The Stakeholder's Remedy	903
<i>State Farm Fire & Casualty Co. v. Tashire</i>	903
e. Intervention (Rule 24)	908
<i>Kleissler v. U.S. Forest Service</i>	908
Notes and Questions	915
C. Multidistrict Litigation	919
Problem Case: A Medical Device That Harms Many	919
1. A Brief History and Basics of MDL Procedures	921
2. Managing and Settling MDLs	925
3. MDLs in the Modern Era	929
D. Class Actions—An Introduction to Rule 23	932
1. Introduction	932
2. Historical Backdrop	936
3. Operation of Rule 23	940
<i>In re Zurn Pex Plumbing Prod. Liab. Litig.</i>	940
Notes and Questions	950
<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes</i>	957
Notes and Questions	971
Note: The Mismatch Between Rule 23 and Mass Torts	975
4. Administering Class Actions	979
<i>Martin v. Wilks</i>	986
Notes and Questions	991
Note: Lawyer's Ethics and Class Actions	991
5. Current Controversies in Class Action Practice	994
6. Modern Structural Reform Class Actions	1001
<i>Floyd v. City of New York</i>	1002
Notes and Questions	1013
E. Retrenchment: Class-Banning Arbitration Clauses and the Politics of Dispute Resolution	1015
<i>AT&T Mobility v. Concepcion</i>	1016
Notes and Questions	1029
Chapter 7 Repose: Ending Disputes	1037
A. Direct Attacks on Judgments	1037
Problem Case: A Time to Reconsider	1037

1. Types of Direct Attack Under Rule 60(b)	1038
Notes and Questions	1043
B. Collateral Effects of Judgments—Claim and Issue Preclusion	1045
Note: Planned Parenthood v. Casey	1047
1. Claim Preclusion	1048
a. In General	1048
b. Conditions of Claim Preclusion	1049
Problem Case: A Woman Partner (Yet Again)	1049
<i>McConnell v. Travelers Indemnity Co.</i>	1050
<i>Consumers Union of United States, Inc. v. Consumer Product Safety Commission</i>	1052
Note: GTE Sylvania, Inc. v. Consumers Union	1056
<i>Federated Department Stores, Inc. v. Moitie</i>	1056
Note: Pleading and Preclusion	1060
Note: Are Defects in Subject Matter Jurisdiction Ever Res Judicata?	1061
Note: Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Remedies	1062
Note: Preclusion of Defenses	1063
c. Counterclaims and Cross-Claims	1064
Problem Case: A Woman Partner (One More Time)	1065
<i>Martino v. McDonald's System, Inc.</i>	1066
d. Sources of Preclusion Law	1070
<i>Restatement (Second) of Judgments</i>	1070
Notes and Questions	1075
2. Issue Preclusion	1077
Problem Case: Using a Friendly Decision	1077
a. In General	1078
Examples	1078
<i>Commissioner of Internal Revenue v. Sunnen</i>	1078
<i>Allen v. McCurry</i>	1081
b. Mutuality of Estoppel	1085
<i>Parklane Hosiery Co., Inc. v. Shore</i>	1085
Notes and Questions	1092
<i>Montana v. United States</i>	1096
Notes and Questions	1100
Notes and Questions	1105
3. Preclusion in a Federal System	1107
a. State Court Judgments in Federal Courts	1107

Contents	xxv
<i>Kremer v. Chemical Construction Corp.</i>	1107
Note: Effect of Res Judicata on Amount in Controversy	1113
<i>Baker v. General Motors Corporation</i>	1113
Note: Settlement, Voluntary Dismissal, and Claim Preclusion	1121
b. Federal Court Judgments in State Courts	1122
<i>Watkins v. Resorts International Hotel and Casino, Inc.</i>	1122
Notes and Questions	1130
Table of Cases	1133
Table of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	1159
Table of Judicial Code Citations—U.S.C.	1163
Index	1167