

---

## CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	<i>xxix</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxxix</i>
<i>The Constitution of the United States</i>	<i>xxxiii</i>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction to Criminal Procedure</b>	<b>1</b>
A. The Participants in the Criminal Justice System	1
1. Defendants	1
2. Defense Counsel	2
3. Prosecutors	2
4. Victims	3
5. Police and Other Law Enforcement Officers	3
6. Magistrates and Judges	3
7. Jurors	4
8. Corrections Officials	4
9. Public	4
10. Media	5
B. Stages of the Criminal Justice Process	5
1. Step 1: Pre-Arrest Investigation	5
2. Step 2: Arrest	6
3. Step 3: Filing the Complaint	6
4. Step 4: <i>Gerstein</i> Review	6
5. Step 5: First Appearance/Arraignment on Complaint	7
6. Step 6: Grand Jury or Preliminary Hearing	7
7. Step 7: Arraignment on Indictment or Information	8
8. Step 8: Discovery	8
9. Step 9: Pretrial Motions	8
10. Step 10: Plea Bargaining and Guilty Pleas	9
11. Step 11: Trial	9
12. Step 12: Sentencing	10
13. Step 13: Appeals and Habeas Corpus	10

C. The Purpose of Procedural Rules	11
<i>Powell v. Alabama</i>	11
<i>Patterson v. Former Chicago Police Lt. Jon Burge</i>	14
D. Key Provisions of the Bill of Rights	17
E. The Application of the Bill of Rights to the States	18
1. The Provisions of the Bill of Rights and the Idea of “Incorporation”	18
2. The Debate over Incorporation	20
3. The Current Law as to What’s Incorporated	22
<i>Duncan v. Louisiana</i>	22
<i>Timbs v. Indiana</i>	24
4. The Content of Incorporated Rights	28
F. Retroactivity	29
<b>Chapter 2 Searches and Seizures</b>	<b>31</b>
A. Introduction	31
B. What Is a Search?	32
<i>Katz v. United States</i>	32
<i>United States v. Jones</i>	37
1. Open Fields	47
<i>Oliver v. United States</i>	48
<i>United States v. Dunn</i>	53
2. Aerial Searches	56
<i>California v. Ciraolo</i>	57
<i>Florida v. Riley</i>	60
3. Thermal Imaging of Homes	66
<i>Kyllo v. United States</i>	66
4. Searches of Trash	72
<i>California v. Greenwood</i>	72
5. Observation and Monitoring of Public Behavior	76
<i>United States v. Knotts</i>	76
<i>Smith v. Maryland</i>	80
<i>Carpenter v. United States</i>	84
6. Use of Dogs to Sniff for Contraband	93
<i>Illinois v. Caballes</i>	95
<i>Rodriguez v. United States</i>	98
<i>Florida v. Jardines</i>	102
<i>Florida v. Harris</i>	107
C. The Requirement for Probable Cause	111

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xiii</b>
1. What Is Sufficient Belief to Meet the Standard for Probable Cause?	111
<i>Illinois v. Gates</i>	112
<i>Maryland v. Pringle</i>	119
2. Is It an Objective or a Subjective Standard?	121
<i>Whren v. United States</i>	121
3. What If the Police Make a Mistake as to the Law?	125
<i>Heien v. North Carolina</i>	125
D. The Warrant Requirement	130
1. What Information Must Be Included in the Application for a Warrant?	131
2. What Form Must the Warrant Take?	132
<i>Andresen v. Maryland</i>	132
<i>Groh v. Ramirez</i>	136
3. What Are the Requirements in Executing Warrants?	140
a. How May Police Treat Those Who Are Present When a Warrant Is Being Executed?	141
<i>Muehler v. Mena</i>	141
b. Do Police Have to Knock and Announce Before Searching a Dwelling?	145
<i>Wilson v. Arkansas</i>	145
<i>Richards v. Wisconsin</i>	148
c. What If There Are Unforeseen Circumstances or Mistakes While Executing a Warrant?	151
<i>Maryland v. Garrison</i>	151
<i>Los Angeles County, California v. Rettele</i>	154
E. Exceptions to the Warrant Requirement	157
1. Exigent Circumstances	158
a. Hot Pursuit	158
<i>Warden, Maryland Penitentiary v. Hayden</i>	158
<i>Payton v. New York</i>	159
<i>Lange v. California</i>	162
b. Safety	165
<i>Brigham City, Utah v. Stuart</i>	166
c. Preventing Destruction of Evidence	167
<i>Kentucky v. King</i>	168
d. Limits on Exigent Circumstances	174
<i>Missouri v. McNeely</i>	175
<i>Birchfield v. North Dakota</i>	182
<i>Mitchell v. Wisconsin</i>	192
<i>Caniglia v. Strom</i>	199

2. Plain View	201
<i>Coolidge v. New Hampshire</i>	201
<i>Minnesota v. Dickerson</i>	204
3. The Automobile Exception	206
a. The Exception and Its Rationale	206
<i>California v. Carney</i>	207
<i>Collins v. Virginia</i>	210
b. Searches of Containers in Automobiles	215
<i>California v. Acevedo</i>	216
c. Searching Automobiles Incident to Arrest	220
4. Searches Incident to Arrest	220
<i>Chimel v. California</i>	221
<i>Knowles v. Iowa</i>	224
<i>Riley v. California</i>	226
<i>Arizona v. Gant</i>	235
5. Inventory Searches	243
<i>South Dakota v. Opperman</i>	244
<i>Illinois v. Lafayette</i>	247
6. Protective Sweeps	249
<i>Maryland v. Buie</i>	250
7. Consent	253
<i>Schneekloth v. Bustamonte</i>	253
<i>Georgia v. Randolph</i>	260
<i>Fernandez v. California</i>	263
8. Searches When There Are “Special Needs”	270
a. Administrative Searches	271
<i>Camara v. Municipal Court of City and County of San Francisco</i>	271
<i>New York v. Burger</i>	275
<i>City of Los Angeles v. Patel</i>	279
b. Border Crossing	286
<i>United States v. Flores-Montano</i>	286
<i>United States v. Ramsey</i>	289
<i>United States v. Montoya-Hernandez</i>	291
c. Checkpoints	296
<i>Michigan Department of State Police v. Sitz</i>	296
<i>City of Indianapolis v. Edmond</i>	298
d. Schools	303
<i>Safford Unified School District #1 v. Redding</i>	304

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xv</b>
e. The Government Employment Context	312
<i>City of Ontario v. Quon</i>	312
f. Drug Testing	318
<i>Vernonia School District 47J v. Acton</i>	319
<i>Board of Education of Independent School District No. 92 of Pottawatomie County v. Earls</i>	326
<i>Ferguson v. City of Charleston</i>	333
g. Searches in Jails and Prisons	338
<i>Florence v. Board of Chosen Freeholders of the County of Burlington</i>	338
h. DNA Testing of Those Arrested	345
<i>Maryland v. King</i>	345
9. Searches of Those on Probation and Parole	356
<i>United States v. Knights</i>	357
<i>Samson v. California</i>	359
F. Seizures and Arrests	362
1. Is a Warrant Needed for Arrests?	362
<i>United States v. Watson</i>	362
2. When Is a Person Seized?	366
<i>United States v. Mendenhall</i>	367
<i>California v. Hodari D.</i>	373
<i>Torres v. Madrid</i>	377
3. For What Crimes May a Person Be Arrested?	382
<i>Atwater v. City of Lago Vista</i>	382
G. Stop and Frisk	391
1. The Authority for Police to Stop and Frisk	391
<i>Terry v. Ohio</i>	391
2. The Distinction Between Stops and Arrests	400
3. What May Police Do When They Stop an Individual?	401
<i>Hübel v. Sixth Judicial District Court of Nevada</i>	401
4. What Is Sufficient for Reasonable Suspicion?	405
a. Reasonable Suspicion: General Principles	405
<i>United States v. Arvizu</i>	405
<i>Kansas v. Glover</i>	408
b. Reasonable Suspicion Based on Informants' Tips	414
<i>Alabama v. White</i>	414
<i>Florida v. J.L.</i>	417
<i>Navarette v. California</i>	419

c. Reasonable Suspicion Based on a Person’s Trying to Avoid a Police Officer	426
<i>Illinois v. Wardlow</i>	426
d. Reasonable Suspicion Based on Profiles	431
<i>United States v. Sokolow</i>	431
H. Electronic Surveillance	435
1. Is Electronic Eavesdropping a Search?	435
2. Statutory Requirements	438
3. Warrantless Eavesdropping	440
<i>United States v. United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan</i>	441
I. Excessive Police Force	448
<i>Tennessee v. Garner</i>	448
<i>Graham v. Connor</i>	454
<b>Chapter 3   The Exclusionary Rule and Other Remedies</b>	<b>459</b>
A. Is the Exclusionary Rule a Desirable Remedy for Unconstitutional Police Behavior?	459
<i>Hudson v. Michigan</i>	460
B. The Origins of the Exclusionary Rule	464
<i>Weeks v. United States</i>	464
<i>Mapp v. Ohio</i>	467
C. When Does the Exclusionary Rule Apply?	472
<i>Herring v. United States</i>	472
<i>Davis v. United States</i>	480
D. Who Can Object to the Introduction of Evidence and Raise the Exclusionary Rule?	485
<i>Rakas v. Illinois</i>	485
<i>Minnesota v. Carter</i>	492
<i>Brendlin v. California</i>	495
<i>Byrd v. United States</i>	499
E. Exceptions to the Exclusionary Rule	504
1. Independent Source	505
<i>Murray v. United States</i>	505
2. Inevitable Discovery	510
<i>Nix v. Williams</i>	510
3. Inadequate Causal Connection—Attenuation of the Taint	516
<i>Brown v. Illinois</i>	517
<i>Utah v. Strieff</i>	523

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xvii</b>
4. The Good Faith Exception to the Exclusionary Rule	531
<i>United States v. Leon</i>	531
5. The Exception for Violations of the Requirement for “Knocking and Announcing”	547
F. Suppression Hearings	549
G. Civil Remedies Against the Police	550
1. Suits for Injunctive Relief	550
<i>City of Los Angeles v. Lyons</i>	550
2. Suits for Money Damages	559
<i>Monell v. Department of Social Services</i>	559
<i>Harlow v. Fitzgerald</i>	568
<b>Chapter 4 Police Interrogation and the Privilege Against Self-Incrimination</b>	<b>573</b>
A. Due Process and the Requirement for Voluntariness	574
1. The Requirement for Voluntariness	574
<i>Brown v. Mississippi</i>	575
2. Determining Whether a Confession Is Voluntary	577
a. The Length of the Interrogation and Whether the Defendant Was Deprived of Basic Bodily Needs	577
b. The Use of Force and Threats of Force	577
<i>Arizona v. Fulminante</i>	577
c. Psychological Pressure Tactics	580
<i>Spano v. New York</i>	580
d. Deception	583
e. The Age, Level of Education, and Mental Condition of a Suspect	584
<i>Colorado v. Connelly</i>	584
3. Is the Voluntariness Test Desirable?	589
4. Coercive Questioning, Torture, and the War on Terrorism	589
B. Fifth Amendment Limits on Custodial Interrogation: <i>Miranda v. Arizona</i>	590
1. <i>Miranda v. Arizona</i> and Its Affirmation by the Supreme Court	590
<i>Miranda v. Arizona</i>	590
<i>Dickerson v. United States</i>	603
2. Is <i>Miranda</i> Desirable?	607
3. What Are the Requirements for <i>Miranda</i> to Apply?	609
a. When Is a Person “in Custody”?	609
<i>Oregon v. Mathiason</i>	610
<i>J.D.B. v. North Carolina</i>	613
<i>Berkemer v. McCarty</i>	618

b. What Is an “Interrogation”?	622
<i>Rhode Island v. Innis</i>	622
<i>Illinois v. Perkins</i>	629
c. What Is Required of the Police?	632
<i>California v. Prysock</i>	632
<i>Duckworth v. Eagan</i>	635
4. What Are the Consequences of a Violation of <i>Miranda</i> ?	639
<i>Oregon v. Elstad</i>	640
<i>Missouri v. Seibert</i>	645
<i>United States v. Patane</i>	651
5. Waiver of <i>Miranda</i> Rights	655
a. What Is Sufficient to Constitute a Waiver?	655
<i>North Carolina v. Butler</i>	655
<i>Berghuis v. Thompkins</i>	658
<i>Salinas v. Texas</i>	665
b. How Is a Waiver After the Assertion of Rights Treated?	670
<i>Michigan v. Mosley</i>	670
<i>Edwards v. Arizona</i>	673
<i>Minnick v. Mississippi</i>	676
<i>Maryland v. Shatzer</i>	680
<i>Davis v. United States</i>	686
6. What Are the Exceptions to <i>Miranda</i> ?	690
a. Impeachment	690
<i>Harris v. New York</i>	691
b. Emergencies	693
<i>New York v. Quarles</i>	693
c. Booking Exception	700
C. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel and Police Interrogations	701
1. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel During Interrogations	701
<i>Massiah v. United States</i>	701
<i>Brewer v. Williams</i>	706
2. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Is Offense Specific	711
<i>Texas v. Cobb</i>	712
3. Waivers	716
<i>Montejo v. Louisiana</i>	716
4. What Is Impermissible Police Eliciting of Statements?	725
<i>United States v. Henry</i>	725
<i>Kuhlmann v. Wilson</i>	729

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xix</b>
D. The Privilege Against Self-Incrimination in Other Contexts	732
1. What Are the Requirements for the Privilege Against Self-Incrimination to Apply?	733
a. Only Individuals May Invoke the Privilege	733
b. The Privilege Applies Only to That Which Is Testimonial	733
<i>Schmerber v. California</i>	733
c. There Must Be Compulsion	736
d. There Must Be the Possibility of Incrimination	737
2. When May the Government Require the Production of Documents and Other Things?	740
<i>Fisher v. United States</i>	741
3. May the Government Require Testimony If It Provides Immunity?	746
<i>Kastigar v. United States</i>	746
<i>United States v. Hubbell</i>	750
<b>Chapter 5 Identification Procedures</b>	<b>755</b>
A. The Right to Counsel	756
1. The Right to Counsel in Lineups	756
<i>United States v. Wade</i>	756
2. Limits on the Right to Counsel in Identification Procedures	766
<i>Kirby v. Illinois</i>	766
<i>United States v. Ash</i>	770
B. Due Process Protection for Identification Procedures	776
1. Unnecessarily Suggestive Identification Procedures by Police Violate Due Process	776
<i>Foster v. California</i>	778
2. Limits on the Ability of Courts to Find That Identification Procedures Violate Due Process	780
<i>Simmons v. United States</i>	781
<i>Neil v. Biggers</i>	783
<i>Manson v. Brathwaite</i>	787
3. Requirement That Police Be Involved in Creating the Suggestive Identification Procedure	793
<i>Perry v. New Hampshire</i>	793
<b>Chapter 6 Initiating Prosecution</b>	<b>799</b>
A. The Charging Decision	800
<i>People v. Robert Denny</i>	802
<i>Inmate Convicted of Indecent Exposure</i>	803

	<i>Mandatory 55-Year Sentence “Extreme”?</i>	803
	<i>Inmates of Attica Correctional Facility v. Rockefeller</i>	804
B.	Limits on Prosecutorial Discretion	805
1.	Statutory and Administrative Limits	805
2.	Ethical Limits	806
3.	Constitutional Limits	808
a.	Selective or Discriminatory Enforcement	809
	<i>Wayte v. United States</i>	809
	<i>United States v. Armstrong</i>	812
b.	Vindictive Prosecution	816
	<i>Blackledge v. Perry</i>	816
C.	Formal Charging Mechanisms	818
1.	The Grand Jury	818
a.	Operation of the Grand Jury	820
b.	Screening Function of the Grand Jury	821
	<i>Costello v. United States</i>	821
	<i>United States v. Williams</i>	823
c.	Grand Jury Reform	826
2.	Preliminary Hearing	827
D.	Severance and Joinder	828
1.	Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 8 and 14	829
2.	Irreconcilable Conflicts and <i>Bruton</i> Problems	829
a.	Conflicting Defenses	829
	<i>Zafiro v. United States</i>	829
b.	<i>Bruton</i> Problems	832
	<i>Bruton v. United States</i>	832
	<i>Richardson v. Marsh</i>	834
	<i>Gray v. Maryland</i>	836
E.	Amendments and Variances	839
 <b>Chapter 7 Bail and Pretrial Release</b>		<b>841</b>
A.	Introduction	841
B.	Preventive Detention	843
1.	Pretrial Detention	843
	<i>United States v. Salerno</i>	843
2.	Other Types of Preventive Detention	849

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxi</b>
a. Detention of Material Witnesses	849
<i>United States v. Awadallah</i>	850
b. Preventive Detention of Sexual Predators	854
<i>Kansas v. Hendricks</i>	855
c. Preventive Detention for Immigration Detainees	860
d. Enemy Combatants	860
<b>Chapter 8   Discovery</b>	<b>863</b>
A. Introduction	863
B. Statutory and Rule Discovery: A Two-Way Street	865
<i>Williams v. Florida</i>	867
C. Constitutional Discovery: A One-Way Street	869
<i>Brady v. Maryland</i>	870
<i>Giglio v. United States</i>	871
<i>United States v. Bagley</i>	872
<i>Kyles v. Whitley</i>	881
<i>Banks v. Dretke</i>	889
D. Discovery for Guilty Pleas	891
E. Duty to Preserve Evidence	892
<i>Arizona v. Youngblood</i>	892
F. Final Note	896
<b>Chapter 9   Plea Bargaining and Guilty Pleas</b>	<b>897</b>
A. Introduction	897
B. Plea Bargaining	898
1. History of Plea Bargaining	898
2. The Pros and Cons of Plea Bargaining	899
a. Support for Plea Bargaining	899
b. Criticisms of Plea Bargaining	899
c. Evaluating a Plea Bargain	901
3. Bans on Plea Bargaining	901
4. The Legality of Plea Bargaining	902
<i>Brady v. United States</i>	902
5. Effective Assistance of Counsel for Plea Bargaining	910
<i>Missouri v. Frye</i>	910
<i>Lafler v. Cooper</i>	914

C. Guilty Pleas	917
<i>Boykin v. Alabama</i>	917
<i>Henderson v. Morgan</i>	919
<i>Hill v. Lockhart</i>	922
<i>Padilla v. Kentucky</i>	924
D. Rule 11 and the Procedural Requirements for Entering Guilty Pleas	926
E. Remedies for Violations of Plea Agreements	928
<i>Santobello v. New York</i>	928
<i>Ricketts v. Adamson</i>	930
F. Withdrawal of Guilty Pleas	933
<b>Chapter 10 Speedy Trial Rights</b>	<b>935</b>
A. Introduction	935
B. Why Speedy Trial Rights Matter	935
<i>People v. Kramer: Speedy Trial Rights and Justice Delayed</i>	936
<i>State Loses Appeal in Child-Rape Case</i>	936
<i>Judge Dismisses Molestation Case—Again</i>	937
1. Impact on the Defendant	937
2. Impact on the Prosecution and Witnesses	938
3. Impact on the Public	938
4. Covid-19 Pandemic and Unavoidable Delays	938
C. Due Process and Speedy Trial Rights	939
1. Pre-Charging Delay, Due Process Rights, and Statutes of Limitations	939
<i>United States v. Marion</i>	939
<i>Federal Statutes of Limitation</i>	943
<i>United States v. Lovasco</i>	944
2. Post-Charging Delay and Speedy Trial Rights	947
a. Statutory Protections	947
b. Constitutional Protection	948
<i>Barker v. Wingo</i>	948
<i>Doggett v. United States</i>	953
<i>Vermont v. Brillon</i>	956
c. Other Speedy Trial Rules and Laws	959
D. Remedies for Speedy Trial Violations	960
E. Speedy Trial Rights and Sentencing	960
<i>Betterman v. Montana</i>	960

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>Chapter 11 Right to Counsel</b>	<b>963</b>
A. Introduction	963
B. Appointment of Counsel	964
<i>Gideon v. Wainwright</i>	964
C. When the Right to Counsel Applies	967
<i>Argersinger v. Hamlin</i>	968
D. Standard for “Effective Assistance” of Counsel	971
<i>Strickland v. Washington</i>	971
1. Conflicts of Interest	982
2. Complete Denial of Counsel	983
3. Strategic Decisions by Defense Counsel	983
<i>Florida v. Nixon</i>	984
<i>McCoy v. Louisiana</i>	987
4. Right to Retain Counsel	992
5. Right to Retain Experts	993
E. Right of Self-Representation	994
<i>Faretta v. California</i>	994
<i>Indiana v. Edwards</i>	1002
F. Right of Counsel for Enemy Combatants	1006
<b>Chapter 12 Trial</b>	<b>1007</b>
A. Trial by Jury	1007
1. Role of the Jury	1007
<i>Duncan v. Louisiana</i>	1008
2. When Is There a Right to a Jury Trial?	1011
3. Composition of the Jury	1012
a. Number of Jurors	1012
<i>Williams v. Florida</i>	1012
<i>Ballew v. Georgia</i>	1016
b. Unanimity	1019
<i>Ramos v. Louisiana</i>	1019
B. Jury Composition and Selection	1024
1. Selecting the Jury Venire	1024
<i>Taylor v. Louisiana</i>	1024
2. Selecting the Petit Jury	1028
<i>Batson v. Kentucky</i>	1029
3. Applying <i>Batson</i>	1039
a. Standing to Raise <i>Batson</i> Challenges	1039
b. <i>Batson</i> Challenges in Civil Cases	1040

c. Discriminatory Use of Peremptory Challenges by the Defense	1041
d. <i>Batson</i> Challenges to Other Types of Discrimination	1042
e. The Mechanics of Bringing <i>Batson</i> Challenges	1044
<i>Snyder v. Louisiana</i>	1045
<i>Rivera v. Illinois</i>	1049
C. Pretrial Publicity and the Right to a Fair Trial	1052
1. When Does Pretrial Publicity Interfere with a Defendant's Right to a Fair Trial?	1052
<i>Irvin v. Dowd</i>	1053
<i>Skilling v. United States</i>	1056
2. Remedies for Prejudicial Pretrial Publicity	1061
a. Closure of Courtrooms	1061
b. Other Remedies	1064
<i>Sheppard v. Maxwell</i>	1064
c. Ethical Limitations on Lawyers' Extrajudicial Comments	1068
<i>Gentile v. State Bar of Nevada</i>	1069
<i>United States v. Cutler</i>	1071
d. Prior Restraints	1073
<i>Nebraska Press Association v. Stuart</i>	1074
3. Cameras in the Courtroom	1079
<i>Chandler v. Florida</i>	1079
D. Trial Rights: Due Process, Right of Confrontation, and Privilege Against Self-Incrimination	1083
1. Right of Confrontation	1084
a. Right to Be Present at Trial	1084
<i>Illinois v. Allen</i>	1084
<i>Deck v. Missouri</i>	1086
b. Right to Confront Witnesses	1088
<i>Maryland v. Craig</i>	1088
<i>Crawford v. Washington</i>	1091
<i>Michigan v. Bryant</i>	1095
<i>Ohio v. Clark</i>	1100
2. Privilege Against Self-Incrimination and Improper Closing Arguments	1106
<i>Griffin v. California</i>	1106
<i>Darden v. Wainwright</i>	1109
E. Defendant's Right to Present a Defense	1111
<i>Chambers v. Mississippi</i>	1111
<i>Holmes v. South Carolina</i>	1113

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxv</b>
F. Role of the Jury and Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt	1116
<i>In re Winship</i>	1117
<b>Chapter 13 Sentencing</b>	<b>1121</b>
A. Introduction	1121
B. Indeterminate Versus Determinate Sentencing	1123
1. Indeterminate Sentencing	1123
2. Determinate Sentencing	1123
3. Mandatory Minimum Sentences	1125
4. <i>Apprendi</i> and Its Progeny	1126
<i>Apprendi v. New Jersey</i>	1126
<i>Blakely v. Washington</i>	1133
<i>United States v. Booker</i>	1140
C. Eighth Amendment: When Does a Sentence Constitute Cruel and Unusual Punishment?	1145
1. Determining When a Sentence Is Proportional	1146
<i>Solem v. Helm</i>	1146
2. Proportionality and Three Strikes Laws	1152
<i>Ewing v. California</i>	1152
3. Juveniles and Sentencing	1158
<i>Graham v. Florida</i>	1159
<i>Miller v. Alabama</i>	1164
4. Excessive Fines and Forfeitures	1168
<i>United States v. Bajakajian</i>	1168
D. The Death Penalty	1172
1. Is the Death Penalty Unconstitutional?	1173
<i>Furman v. Georgia</i>	1173
2. Standards for Constitutional Implementation of the Death Penalty	1182
3. Recent Limits on the Scope of the Death Penalty	1185
a. Prohibition of the Death Penalty for Mentally Retarded Defendants	1185
<i>Atkins v. Virginia</i>	1185
b. Prohibition of the Death Penalty for Crimes Committed by Minors	1194
<i>Roper v. Simmons</i>	1194
c. Prohibition of the Death Penalty for Non-Homicide Offenses	1203
<i>Kennedy v. Louisiana</i>	1203

d. Method of Execution	1205
<i>Baze v. Rees</i>	1205
<i>Glossip v. Gross</i>	1214
<b>Chapter 14 Double Jeopardy</b>	<b>1227</b>
A. Introduction	1228
<i>United States v. Scott</i>	1228
B. The Basics	1230
1. What Is a Criminal Offense?	1230
<i>Hudson v. United States</i>	1231
2. What Is the “Same Offense”?	1234
<i>Blockburger v. United States</i>	1234
3. When Does Jeopardy Attach?	1236
C. No Retrial Following Conviction or Acquittal	1236
1. No Retrial After Acquittal	1236
<i>Burks v. United States</i>	1237
2. No Retrial After Conviction	1240
D. Exceptions to the Double Jeopardy Rule	1240
1. Retrial After Mistrials	1240
a. Retrial After Mistrial for Hung Jury	1240
<i>United States v. Sanford</i>	1241
b. Retrials After Other Mistrials	1243
<i>United States v. Dinitz</i>	1243
<i>Oregon v. Kennedy</i>	1246
2. Dual Sovereignty	1250
<i>Barthkus v. Illinois</i>	1250
E. Multiple Charges and Cumulative Punishments	1253
<i>Rutledge v. United States</i>	1253
F. Collateral Estoppel	1256
<i>Ashe v. Swenson</i>	1256
<i>Yeager v. United States</i>	1260
<b>Chapter 15 Habeas Corpus (online)</b>	<b>1263</b>
A. Introduction	1263
B. The Issues That Must Be Addressed in Order for a Federal Court to Grant Habeas Corpus Relief	1265

**Contents****xxvii**

1. Is the Petition Time Barred?	1266
<i>Holland v. Florida</i>	1269
<i>McQuiggin v. Perkins</i>	1276
2. Is It a First or a Successive Habeas Corpus Petition?	1282
<i>Tyler v. Cain</i>	1284
<i>Magwood v. Patterson</i>	1289
3. Has There Been Exhaustion of All of the Claims Raised in the Habeas Petition?	1296
<i>Rose v. Lundy</i>	1299
4. Does the Petition Rely on Existing Rules or Seek Recognition of a New Rule of Constitutional Law?	1306
<i>Teague v. Lane</i>	1306
<i>Edwards v. Vannoy</i>	1312
5. Is It an Issue That Can Be Raised on Habeas Corpus?	1317
<i>Stone v. Powell</i>	1317
6. Has There Been a Procedural Default, and If So, Is There Either Cause and Prejudice or an Adequate Showing of Actual Innocence?	1330
<i>Wainwright v. Sykes</i>	1331
<i>Maples v. Thomas</i>	1341
<i>Herrera v. Collins</i>	1349
<i>House v. Bell</i>	1359
7. May the Federal Court Hold an Evidentiary Hearing?	1372
<i>Cullen v. Pinholster</i>	1373
8. May the Federal Court Grant the Habeas Corpus Petition?	1380
C. Statutes and Rules Governing Habeas Corpus	1385
D. Habeas Corpus and the War on Terrorism	1387
<i>Rasul v. Bush</i>	1387
<i>Boumediene v. Bush</i>	1393
<i>Table of Cases</i>	<i>TC-1</i>
<i>Index</i>	<i>I-1</i>