

Table of Contents

Preface xxv
Acknowledgments xxvii
About the Author xxix
Introduction xxxi

PART **1** **RELEVANCE AND ITS LIMITS 1**

Chapter One: Logical Relevance	3
A. Defining Relevance	3
B. The Probative Element	4
C. Using Logic to Analyze Questions of Relevance	5
D. Applying the Rule	5
<i>United States v. Maravilla</i>	6
<i>United States v. Abel</i>	8
Chapter Summary	11
Logical Relevance: Applying the Concepts	11
Chapter Two: Legal Relevance	15
A. Materiality Defined	15
B. Materiality — Elements of the Crime/Claim/Defense	16
C. Materiality — Motive	18
<i>State v. Lawson</i>	18
D. Materiality — Witness Credibility/Reliability	21
1. Lack of Capacity	22
2. Bias	22
E. Materiality — Flight Evidence/Consciousness of Guilt	23
<i>United States v. Obi</i>	24
<i>Commonwealth v. Warren</i>	27

Chapter Summary	31
Legal Relevance: Applying the Concepts	31
Chapter Three: Conditional Relevance	33
A. The Rule and Its Meaning	33
B. Authenticity	35
C. Authenticity in the Digital Age	36
<i>United States v. Browne</i>	36
Chapter Summary	44
Conditional Relevance: Applying the Concepts	44
Chapter Four: Balancing Probative Value and Unfair Prejudice	47
A. Understanding Rule 403	48
B. Exclusion Under Rule 403	49
<i>Commonwealth v. Denton</i>	49
C. Modification Under Rule 403	53
<i>United States v. Almadaoji</i>	53
D. Forced Stipulation Under Rule 403	58
<i>Old Chief v. United States</i>	59
Chapter Summary	70
Rule 403: Applying the Concepts	70
Chapter Five: Special Rules of Relevance	73
A. Rule 407: Subsequent Remedial Measures	74
<i>Fogarty v. Whole Foods Mkt. Grp., Inc.</i>	76
<i>Bogosian v. Mercedes-Benz of N. Am.</i>	80
B. Rule 408: Settlement Offers and Discussions	84
<i>United States v. Davis</i>	85
C. Rule 409: Offers to Pay Medical Expenses	90
D. Rule 410: Plea Proceedings and Negotiations	90
<i>Doe No. 1 v. United States</i>	92
E. Rule 411: Insurance Evidence	96
<i>Harris-Lewis v. Mudge</i>	97
Chapter Summary	103
Special Rules of Relevance: Applying the Concepts	103



CHARACTER EVIDENCE 105

Chapter Six: Character Evidence as Direct Proof of Guilt/Liability	107
A. Rule 404(a): General Rule of Exclusion	107
<i>People v. Zackowitz</i>	108
B. Limited Exceptions in Criminal Cases	112
<i>Michelson v. United States</i>	113
<i>United States v. Angelini</i>	117
<i>United States v. Keiser (Part 1)</i>	119
C. Rule 405: Permitted Methods Where Exceptions Apply	122
<i>United States v. Kellogg</i>	124
<i>United States v. Keiser (Part 2)</i>	127
D. Rule 406: Habit Evidence	129
<i>Reyes v. Missouri Pacific Railroad Co.</i>	130
Chapter Summary	132
Rules 404-406: Applying the Concepts	133
Chapter Seven: Permitted Uses of Character Evidence Offered for a Noncharacter Purpose	135
A. Prior Bad Acts in General	135
B. Rule 404(b): Motive	136
<i>Commonwealth v. Philbook</i>	137
C. Rule 404(b): Plan/Preparation/Common Scheme	140
<i>United States v. DeCicco</i>	141
<i>United States v. Warfield</i>	144
D. Rule 404(b): Opportunity	147
E. Rule 404(b): <i>Modus Operandi</i> /Identity	147
<i>United States v. Trenkler</i>	148
<i>United States v. Carroll</i>	156
<i>United States v. Edwards</i>	158
F. Rule 404(b): Knowledge/Skill	162
<i>United States v. Munday</i>	162
<i>United States v. Duggan</i>	166
G. Rule 404(b): Intent/Absence of Mistake/Lack of Accident	168
<i>Commonwealth v. Dorazio</i>	169

<i>United States v. Gant</i>	172
H. Rule 404(b): Unlisted Permissible Purposes	176
<i>United States v. Varoudakis</i>	176
I. Rule 404(b): <i>Huddleston</i> Standard	181
<i>Huddleston v. United States</i>	181
J. Rule 404(b): Disguised Propensity Evidence	187
<i>United States v. Miller</i>	187
Chapter Summary	191
Rule 404(b)—Permitted Uses: Applying the Concepts	191
Chapter Eight: Impeachment and Rehabilitation	193
A. Impeachment in General	193
B. Rule 613: Impeachment by Prior Inconsistent Statement	194
<i>Commonwealth v. Parent</i>	196
<i>United States v. Foster</i>	199
C. Rule 608: Impeachment by Character Attack	202
<i>United States v. Rojas</i>	204
D. Rule 609: Impeachment by Prior Conviction	206
<i>“The Mis-Characterization of the Negro”: A Race Critique of</i>	
<i>Prior Conviction Impeachment Rule, Montre D. Carodine</i>	208
<i>United States v. Collier</i>	209
<i>United States v. Stoltz</i>	212
<i>Ridge v. Davis</i>	215
E. Rule 608: Rehabilitation After Character Attack	218
<i>United States v. Bonner</i>	218
F. Rules 613 and 803(5): Forgetful Witnesses	222
<i>United States v. Carey</i>	223
<i>United States v. Dazey</i>	224
Chapter Summary	228
Impeachment: Additional Problems	228
Chapter Nine: Special Rules for Sexual Assault Cases	231
A. The Common Law Rule	231
<i>Commonwealth v. Manning</i>	232
B. Rule 412: Character of the Victim	236

<i>From Chastity Requirement to Sexuality License: Sexual Consent and a New Rape Shield Law, Michelle J. Anderson</i>	237
<i>United States v. Knox</i>	238
<i>United States v. Russell</i>	241
<i>United States v. Anderson</i>	243
<i>Olden v. Kentucky</i>	247
C. Rules 413–415: Character of the Defendant	251
<i>United States v. Enjady</i>	253
<i>United States v. Rogers</i>	258
<i>United States v. Kelly</i>	263
<i>Johnson v. Elk Lake School District</i>	266
Chapter Summary	270
Character Evidence in Sexual Assault Cases: Applying the Rules	271



3

THE HEARSAY RULE AND ITS EXCEPTIONS 273

Chapter Ten: The Rule	275
A. Overview of Hearsay	275
B. Hearsay — The Rule	276
1. Declarant Defined	277
<i>Commonwealth v. Davis</i>	278
2. Out of Court Defined	280
3. Statement Defined	280
<i>Commonwealth v. Yang</i>	281
4. Offered for the Truth Defined	284
Chapter Summary	286
Hearsay: Applying the Rule	286
Chapter Eleven: Rule 801(d) Hearsay Exemptions	289
A. Overview of Exemptions	289
B. Prior Statements of Witnesses Under Specific Conditions	290
1. Prior Inconsistent Statements — Rule 801(d)(1)(A)	290
<i>United States v. Mornan</i>	290
2. Prior Consistent Statements — 801(d)(1)(B)	294

<i>Commonwealth v. Hatzigiannis</i>	295
3. Statements of Identification — 801(d)(1)(C)	300
<i>Commonwealth v. Weichell</i>	301
C. A Party’s Statements and Statements Fairly Attributable to the Party	311
1. A Party’s Own Statement — 801(d)(2)(A)	311
<i>Commonwealth v. Andrade</i>	312
2. A Statement with Which the Party Has Indicated It Agrees — 801(d)(2)(B)	315
<i>Transbay Auto Services v. Chevron USA, Inc.</i>	316
3. A Statement Made by the Party’s Authorized Representative — 801(d)(2)(C); and a Statement Made by the Party’s Agent or Employee — 801(d)(2)(D)	321
<i>United States v. Bonds</i>	321
4. A Statement Made by the Party’s Co-Conspirator — 801(d)(2)(E)	330
<i>Bourjaily v. United States</i>	330
Chapter Summary	335
Hearsay Exemptions: Applying the Rules	335
Chapter Twelve: Rule 804 Hearsay Exceptions — Declarant Unavailable	337
A. Purpose of Rule 804 Exceptions	337
B. Unavailability Defined	338
<i>United States v. Yida</i>	338
C. Rule 804(b)(1): Former Testimony	345
1. Similar Motive	345
<i>Volland-Golden v. City of Chicago</i>	346
2. Predecessor in Interest	350
<i>Rich v. Kaiser Gypsum Co., Inc.</i>	351
D. Rule 804(b)(2): Dying Declarations	356
<i>Shepard v. United States (Part 1)</i>	357
E. Rule 804(b)(3): Statements Against the Speaker’s Interests	361
<i>Williamson v. United States</i>	362
F. Forfeiture by Wrongdoing	367
<i>Commonwealth v. Valentin</i>	368
Chapter Summary	371
Rule 804: Applying the Rules	371

Chapter Thirteen: Rule 803 Hearsay Exceptions— Declarant Available or Unavailable	373
A. Rule 803(1): Present Sense Impression/Rule 803(2): Excited Utterance	374
<i>United States v. Lovato</i>	375
B. Rule 803(3): Statements of Plan or Intent and Physical or Emotional Condition	380
<i>Mutual Life Ins. Co. of New York et al. v. Hillmon</i>	381
<i>Shepard v. United States (Part 2)</i>	385
C. Rule 803(4): Statement Made for Medical Diagnosis or Treatment	387
<i>Bouchie v. Murray</i>	388
<i>United States v. Bercier</i>	390
D. Rule 803(6): Records of a Private Entity/Rule 803(8): Records of a Public Agency	394
<i>Palmer v. Hoffman</i>	396
<i>Beech Aircraft Corporation v. Rainey</i>	398
Chapter Summary	403
Rule 803 Exceptions: Applying the Rules	403
Chapter Fourteen: Miscellaneous Hearsay Issues	405
A. Rule 807: Residual Exception	405
<i>United States v. Cunningham</i>	406
B. Rule 805: Double Hearsay	411
<i>Jordan v. Binns</i>	411
C. Rule 806: Attacking or Supporting the Declarant	419
Chapter Summary	419
Miscellaneous Hearsay: Applying the Rules	419



OPINION EVIDENCE 421

Chapter Fifteen: Overview of the Rules of Opinion Evidence	423
A. Introduction to Opinion Evidence	423
B. Rule 701: Lay Opinion	424
1. The Rule	424
2. Types of Lay Opinions	424
3. Rule 701 Elements Explained	425

4. Applying Rule 701	426
<i>Osbourn v. State</i>	426
<i>United States v. Yazzie</i>	428
C. Understanding Rule 702	430
D. How to Tell the Difference Between Lay and Expert Opinion (Rules 701 and 702)	432
<i>Distinguishing Lay from Expert Opinion: The Need to Focus on the Epistemological Differences Between the Reasoning Processes Used by Lay and Expert Witnesses [excerpt], Edward J. Imwinkelried</i>	433
Chapter Summary	436
Opinion Evidence: Applying the Rules	436
Chapter Sixteen: Rule 702 in Depth	437
A. Rule 702(a): Defining Expert Knowledge	438
<i>State v. Boothby</i>	438
B. When Does an Expert Opinion “Help” the Trier of Fact?	442
<i>Howard v. Cal Dive Int’l, Inc.</i>	442
<i>State v. Guilbert</i>	444
C. Standards for Assessing an Expert’s Qualifications	448
<i>Jinro Am., Inc. v. Secure Invs., Inc.</i>	449
D. Sufficient Facts or Data	455
<i>Commonwealth v. Wardsworth</i>	456
E. Reliability of Knowledge and Method	459
1. Reliability of the Underlying Science (Astronomy Rather Than Astrology)	459
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharms., Inc.</i>	460
2. Reliability of Method (Telescopes Rather Than Horoscopes)	466
<i>Commonwealth v. Hinds</i>	466
Chapter Summary	472
Rule 702 in Depth: Applying the Rules	472

Chapter Seventeen: Special Concerns Regarding Forensic Sciences	473
A. Problems Associated with Forensic Evidence	473
<i>Strengthening Forensic Science in the United States: A Path Forward</i> , Committee on Identifying the Needs of the Forensic Sciences Community National Research Council	474
B. Fingerprints	475
<i>United States v. Wright</i>	476
C. Bite Marks	482
<i>Howard v. State</i>	482
“Bite Marks,” Homophobia, and Bias: How Two Women Were Wrongly Convicted Because They Loved Each Other, Daniele Selby	486
D. Hair Samples	488
<i>Hair Tests: Unreliable and Discriminatory</i> , ACLU	488
<i>FBI Testimony on Microscopic Hair Analysis Contained Errors in at Least 90 Percent of Cases in Ongoing Review</i> , FBI Press Release	489
E. Ballistics	491
<i>United States v. McIntosh — Defendant’s Reply in Support of His Motion in Limine</i>	491
<i>United States v. McIntosh — Government’s Memorandum in Opposition to Defendant’s Pretrial Motions</i>	493
F. Shaken Baby Syndrome	494
<i>A Judge Finally Called BS on “Shaken Baby Syndrome”</i> , Elizabeth Weill-Greenberg	495
<i>State v. Nieves</i>	495
Chapter Summary	498
Problems in Forensic Science: Applying the Concepts	498
Chapter Eighteen: Limits of Expert Testimony	501
A. Rule 703: Permissible Facts and Data	501
B. Rule 704: Opinion on the Ultimate Issue	504
<i>United States v. Lipscomb</i>	505
C. Rule 705: Disclosing Facts or Data	510
<i>United States v. Gillis</i>	510
Chapter Summary	514
Limits of Expert Testimony: Applying the Rules	514



CONSTITUTIONAL EVIDENCE 517

Chapter Nineteen: <i>Crawford</i> and the Right to Confront Witnesses	519
A. The Confrontation Clause	519
B. The <i>Mattox</i> and <i>Roberts</i> Eras	520
<i>Ohio v. Roberts</i>	521
C. The <i>Crawford</i> Era	524
1. The <i>Crawford</i> Case	524
<i>Crawford v. Washington</i>	524
2. Applying <i>Crawford</i>	534
<i>Davis v. Washington/Hammon v. Indiana</i>	534
<i>Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts</i>	541
<i>Michigan v. Bryant</i>	545
<i>Bullcoming v. New Mexico</i>	555
<i>Williams v. Illinois</i>	560
3. Put It All Together	575
Chapter Summary	576
Confrontation: Applying the Concepts	576
Chapter Twenty: <i>Bruton</i> Doctrine	579
A. The <i>Bruton</i> Case	579
<i>Bruton v. United States</i>	580
B. Post- <i>Bruton</i> Decisions	582
<i>Gray v. Maryland</i>	583
<i>Samia v. United States</i>	586
Chapter Summary	593
The <i>Bruton</i> Doctrine: Applying the Concept	594
Chapter Twenty One: Compulsory Process/Due Process	595
A. The Right to Present a Defense	595
<i>Chambers v. Mississippi</i>	596

B. Other Due Process/Compulsory Process Cases	603
Chapter Summary	605
Compulsory/Due Process: Applying the Concepts	605



PART

6

PRIVILEGE 607

Chapter Twenty Two: Absolute Privileges **609**

A. Attorney–Client Privilege	609
1. Attorney–Client Communications	609
<i>Swidler & Berlin v. United States</i>	610
2. The “Work Product” Doctrine	612
<i>Pope v. State</i>	613
B. Psychotherapist–Patient Privilege	616
<i>Jaffee v. Redmond</i>	616
<i>United States v. Hayes</i>	620
C. Clergy–Congregant Privilege	629
<i>Commonwealth v. Vital</i>	629
D. Marital–Spousal Privilege	632
1. Spousal Privilege	632
<i>Trammel v. United States</i>	632
2. Marital Communications Privilege	637
<i>Commonwealth v. Garcia</i>	637
E. The Fifth Amendment Privilege Against Self-Incrimination	641
<i>Commonwealth v. Gelfgatt</i>	641
Chapter Summary	647
Absolute Privileges: Applying the Rules	647

Chapter Twenty Three: Qualified Privileges **649**

A. Executive Privilege	649
<i>Nixon v. United States</i>	650
B. Journalists’ Privilege	654
<i>New York Times v. Gonzales</i>	655
Chapter Summary	660
Qualified Privileges: Applying the Rules	661

Chapter Twenty Four: Defenses and Exceptions	663
A. The Crime-Fraud Exception	663
<i>United States v. Zolin</i>	664
B. Waiver of Privilege	670
<i>Williams v. District of Columbia</i>	671
<i>United States v. Kerik</i>	677
C. Presence of a Third Party	681
<i>Wesp v. Everson</i>	681
Chapter Summary	686
Privilege Defenses/Exceptions: Applying the Rules	687
<i>Table of Statutes</i>	<i>689</i>
<i>Table of Cases</i>	<i>691</i>
<i>Index</i>	<i>695</i>