Table of Contents

Preface xxiii Acknowledgments xxv



INTRODUCTION TO CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 1

Ch	napter One: The Criminal Justice Process	3
A.	The Difference Between Substantive Criminal Law and Criminal Procedure	4
	1. How Substantive Criminal Law Differs from Criminal Procedure	4
	2. Overlap Between Substantive Criminal Law and Criminal Procedure	5
В.	The Difference Between Investigative and Adjudicative Criminal Procedure	7
C.	The Life of a Criminal Case	8
	1. The Investigatory Phase	8
	a. Step 1. How an Investigation Begins	8
	b. Step 2. Law Enforcement's Investigative Practices (How Law Enforcement Goes About Investigating the Commission of a Crime)	9
	2. The Adjudicatory Phase	10
	a. Step 3. The Filing of a Complaint	11
	b. Step 4. The Probable Cause (Gerstein) Hearing	11
	c. Step 5. Information or Grand Jury Indictment	12
	d. Step 6. Arraignment	13
	e. Step 7. Pretrial Motions	14
	f. Step 8. Plea Bargaining	14
	g. Step 9. The Trial	15
	h. Step 10. Sentencing	16
	i. Step 11. Postconviction Relief	17
D.	Competing Values in Criminal Procedure	19
E.	Race, Gender, and Economic Class in Criminal Procedure	22

xii	Table of Contents
AII	Table of Contents

1. Race	22
2. Gender	24
3. Economic Class	25
Chapter Summary	26
Chapter Two: Sources of the Law	31
A. The U.S. Constitution	32
1. The Bill of Rights	32
2. The Incorporation Debate	35
3. The Supreme Court's Expansive Interpretation of Individual Guarantees	36
4. Free-Standing Due Process Protections	37
B. State Constitutions	39
C. Statutory Regulations in Criminal Procedure	41
D. Court's Supervisory Authority	42
Chapter Summary	44
Applying the Rules	45
Criminal Procedure in Practice	45
INVESTIGATIVE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE	47
Chapter Three: Triggering the Fourth Amendment: Searches, Seizures, and Other Prerequisites	49
A. Defining a Search and Seizure	51
Searches Under the Fourth Amendment	51
 a. Defining a "Search" Exclusively as a "Trespass" (the Pre-1967 Analysis) 	51
b. Defining a "Search" Based on a "Reasonable Expectation of Privacy (the Post-1967 Analysis)	
·	53
Katz v. United States	53 54
c. Applying Fourth Amendment Search Analysis to Specific Investigative Techniques	
c. Applying Fourth Amendment Search Analysis to Specific	54
c. Applying Fourth Amendment Search Analysis to Specific Investigative Techniques	54 66

c. Ground Tracking Devices	88
3. The Third-Party Doctrine (from Conventional Business Records to	
Modern Technologies)	90
a. Bank Records	91
b. Pen Registers	91
c. The "Mosaic Theory" and the Third-Party Doctrine in the	0.0
Digital Age	93
Carpenter v. United States	97
4 Applying the Third-Party Doctrine to Internet Surveillance	110
a. Internet Search History and Email to/from Addresses	110 112
b. Searching the Content of Emails Stored on Third-Party Servers	
c. Statutory Protections Regulating Digital Surveillance5. (Property) Seizures Under the Fourth Amendment	113 119
United States v. Karo	120
B. Which "People" Are Protected by the Fourth Amendment?	125
C. The State Action Requirement	123
1. Private Parties	128
Non-Law Enforcement Government Employees	129
D. Standing	130
Rakas v. Illinois	132
1. Nonowner Driver's Standing to Challenge the Search of a Car	140
2. Standing to Challenge a Search of Another Person's House	141
3. Standing to Contest a Search When Claiming a Possessory	
Interest in the Item Seized	144
4. Standing to Challenge a Fourth Amendment Seizure	145
5. Standing and the Right Against Self-Incrimination	146
Chapter Summary	148
Applying the Rules	151
Criminal Procedure in Practice	156
Chapter Four: Probable Cause and Warrants	161
A. Probable Cause	162
1. Defining Probable Cause	162
a. Probable Cause Is Required at the Time of the Search or	
Seizure (With or Without a Warrant)	163
b. Probable Cause Can Grow Stale	164
c. Probable Cause to Arrest and to Search Require the Same	
Quantum of Evidence	166
d. The Individualized Nature of Probable Cause	167

xiv Table of Contents

	e. Probable Cause Is Examined from an Objective Point of View	168
	f. Pretextual Stops and Objective Probable Cause	169
	g. Probable Cause as a Percentage	171
	2. Proving Probable Cause	173
	a. Types of Information Used to Establish Probable Cause	173
	b. How Courts Make Probable Cause Determinations (from <i>Aguilar-Spinelli</i> to the <i>Gates</i> Totality of the Circumstances Test)	175
	Illinois v. Gates	179
	c. Challenging Probable Cause	189
B.	Warrants	197
	1. The Elements of a Valid Search Warrant	198
	Lo-Ji Sales, Inc. v. New York	199
	a. The Particularity Requirement in More Detail	203
	b. The "Neutral and Detached" Magistrate Further Defined	207
	John E. Taylor—Using Suppression Hearing Testimony to Prove Good Faith Under United States v. Leon	209
	2. Executing the Search Warrant	212
	a. Scope of the Search	212
	b. Means of Entry (The Knock-and-Announce Rule)	214
	c. Searching and Seizing Persons During Execution of a Search Warrant	217
	3. Arrest Warrants	220
	a. The Remedy for Failure to Obtain an Arrest Warrant	220
	b. Arrests in Public Places	220
	c. Arrests in the Home	223
	Payton v. New York	22 4
Ch	apter Summary	235
Ap	plying the Rules	239
_	iminal Procedure in Practice	242
Cł	napter Five: Warrantless Searches and Seizures	247
A.	The Warrant Requirement and "Reasonableness" as the "Touchstone" of Fourth Amendment Analysis	247
R	Warrant Exceptions	249
υ,	Exigent Circumstances	250
	a. General Principles	250
	b. Exigent Circumstances Categorized	252
	Kentucky v. King	266
	/ 0	

	2.	Search Incident to Lawful Arrest (SILA)	276
		a. The Rule and Its Twin Rationales	277
		b. When SILA Applies	278
		c. The Right to Search Incident to a Lawful Arrest Is Automatic	280
		d. The Permissible Scope of a Search Incident to Arrest	281
		Riley v. California	285
		e. Applying SILA to Automobiles	293
		Arizona v. Gant	297
	3.	Consent	310
		a. Rationale for the Consent Exception	310
		b. Elements of a Valid Consent to Search	311
	4.	Automobiles	325
		a. Justifying the Automobile Exception	326
		b. Searching Containers Within a Vehicle	329
		c. Does the Automobile Exception Extend to the Search of Persons in Cars?	332
		d. Where the Automobile Exception Applies (the Scene of the Search)	332
	5.	Plain View	335
		a. The Elements of the Plain View Doctrine	336
		b. Inadvertent Discovery Not Required	340
		c. Extending the Plain View Doctrine to Other Senses	341
	6.	"Special Needs" Searches	343
		a. Types of Special Needs Searches and Seizures	345
		City of Indianapolis v. Edmond	359
	7.	Stops and Frisks (the Case of Terry v. Ohio)	385
		Terry v. Ohio	386
		a. Three Levels of Police-Citizen Encounters	398
Ch	apt	er Summary	434
Ap	plyi	ing the Rules	436
Cri	mir	nal Procedure in Practice	440
Cł	nap	oter Six: The Exclusionary Rule	443
A.		e Exclusionary Rule as a General Remedy for Constitutional	
_		olations	444
В.		e Fourth Amendment Exclusionary Rule	444
		The Historic Evolution of the Exclusionary Rule	445
	2.	The Exclusionary Rule's Underlying Rationale	447

xvi

C.	The "Fruit of the Poisonous Tree" Doctrine	448
	Wong Sun v. United States	450
D.	Limiting the Exclusionary Rule	462
	1. Exceptions to the Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine	463
	a. The Independent Source Doctrine	463
	b. Inevitable Discovery	467
	Nix v. Williams (Williams II)	468
	c. The Attenuation Doctrine	478
	2. Exceptions to the Exclusionary Rule	482
	a. The Non-Criminal Proceeding Exception	482
	b. The Impeachment Exception	485
	c. The Good Faith Exception	487
	Davis v. United States	492
E.	Is the Exclusionary Rule Constitutionally Required?	50 3
F.	Should the Exclusionary Rule Be Abolished?	50 4
	1. The Exclusionary Rule Should Be Abolished	505
	2. The Exclusionary Rule Should Be Preserved	506
	3. What Are Your Views of the Exclusionary Rule?	508
Ch	apter Summary	510
Аp	plying the Rules	511
Cri	iminal Procedure in Practice	516
Cł	napter Seven: Police Interrogations and Confessions	519
A.	Due Process: The Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment Voluntariness	
	Requirement	520
	1. Due Process Rationales for Excluding Involuntary Confessions	521
	2. The Totality of the Circumstances Test	522
	 Use of Violence, Threats of Violence, and Deprivation of Bodily Needs 	524
	b. Psychological Manipulation	527
	Spano v. New York	529
	3. The State Action Requirement	541
	Colorado v. Connelly	54 3
	4. Involuntary Confessions and the Exclusionary Rule	552
В.	Police Interrogations and Fifth Amendment Right Against	332
٠.	Self-Incrimination (The Miranda Doctrine)	553
	1. Establishing <i>Miranda</i>	553
	Miranda v. Arizona	555

Table of Contents	xvii
Table of Contents	AVII

b. Miranda Is Triggered by Custodial Interrogation c. Miranda's Aftermath d. Miranda Is Constitutionally Based 57 2. Applying Miranda a. Custody 57 b. Interrogation 58 Rhode Island v. Innis 58 c. Waiver of Miranda Rights 60 Berghuis v. Thompkins 61 d. Invocation 61 3. Scope of Miranda's Exclusionary Rule 63 a. Impeachment Using Statements Taken in Violation of Miranda b. Impeachment Using Post-Miranda Silence c. Miranda and the Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine 63 C. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Rule During Police Interrogations 63 2. When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 63 3. Deliberate Elicitation 64 a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? 64 b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 64 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 65 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule 65 6. Impeachment Evidence 65 65 66 66 67 68 68 69 69 69 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60			
c. Miranda's Aftermath d. Miranda Is Constitutionally Based 2. Applying Miranda a. Custody b. Interrogation Rhode Island v. Innis c. Waiver of Miranda Rights Berghuis v. Thompkins d. Invocation 3. Scope of Miranda's Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Using Statements Taken in Violation of Miranda b. Impeachment Using Post-Miranda Silence c. Miranda and the Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine 63. C. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel 1. The Massiah Case: The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Rule During Police Interrogations 2. When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 3. Deliberate Elicitation Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 4. Difference Between Deliberate Elicitation Standard and Interrogation a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment		a. The <i>Miranda</i> Rules(s)	571
d. Miranda Is Constitutionally Based 2. Applying Miranda a. Custody b. Interrogation Rhode Island v. Innis c. Waiver of Miranda Rights Berghuis v. Thompkins d. Invocation 3. Scope of Miranda's Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Using Statements Taken in Violation of Miranda b. Impeachment Using Post-Miranda Silence c. Miranda and the Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine C. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel 1. The Massiah Case: The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Rule During Police Interrogations 2. When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 3. Deliberate Elicitation Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 4. Difference Between Deliberate Elicitation Standard and Interrogation a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment		b. Miranda Is Triggered by Custodial Interrogation	572
2. Applying Miranda a. Custody b. Interrogation Rhode Island v. Innis c. Waiver of Miranda Rights Berghuis v. Thompkins d. Invocation 3. Scope of Miranda's Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Using Statements Taken in Violation of Miranda b. Impeachment Using Post-Miranda Silence c. Miranda and the Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine 63 C. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Rule During Police Interrogations 63 2. When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 63 3. Deliberate Elicitation Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 63 64 65 65 65 67 67 68 68 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69		c. Miranda's Aftermath	573
a. Custody b. Interrogation Rhode Island v. Innis c. Waiver of Miranda Rights Berghuis v. Thompkins d. Invocation 3. Scope of Miranda's Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Using Statements Taken in Violation of Miranda b. Impeachment Using Post-Miranda Silence c. Miranda and the Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine 63 C. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Rule During Police Interrogations 63 2. When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 63 3. Deliberate Elicitation Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 63 64 65 65 65 65 65 70. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 71. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Is Offense-Specific 75. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment Coundidate Size Amendment Counsed Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation Counsed Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation Counsed Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation Counsiderance Might Amendment Counsed Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation Counsiderance Counsed Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Cou		d. Miranda Is Constitutionally Based	574
b. Interrogation Rhode Island v. Innis c. Waiver of Miranda Rights Berghuis v. Thompkins d. Invocation 3. Scope of Miranda's Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Using Statements Taken in Violation of Miranda b. Impeachment Using Post-Miranda Silence c. Miranda and the Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine 63 63 63 63 63 64 65 65 65 65 65 65 7. The Passive Jail House Plant Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Right to Counsel formal Adversarial Proceedings) 63 64 65 65 66 67 68 69 69 69 60 60 60 60 60 60 60		2. Applying <i>Miranda</i>	576
Rhode Island v. Innis c. Waiver of Miranda Rights Berghuis v. Thompkins d. Invocation 3. Scope of Miranda's Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Using Statements Taken in Violation of Miranda b. Impeachment Using Post-Miranda Silence c. Miranda and the Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine 63 63 64 65 67 68 68 69 69 60 60 61 63 63 64 65 65 66 66 67 68 68 69 69 69 60 60 60 60 60 60 60		a. Custody	576
c. Waiver of Miranda Rights Berghuis v. Thompkins d. Invocation 3. Scope of Miranda's Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Using Statements Taken in Violation of Miranda b. Impeachment Using Post-Miranda Silence c. Miranda and the Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine 63 C. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Rule During Police Interrogations 63 C. When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 63 C. Deliberate Elicitation Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 63 C. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Right to Counsel Rule During Police Interrogations 63 C. When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 64 Counsel Rewer v. Williams (Williams I) 65 Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements 65 C. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present 65 C. Knowing Exploitation of the Right to Counsel 65 C. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel 65 C. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule 65 C. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66 C. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment		b. Interrogation	585
Berghuis v. Thompkins d. Invocation 3. Scope of Miranda's Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Using Statements Taken in Violation of Miranda b. Impeachment Using Post-Miranda Silence c. Miranda and the Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine 63. C. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Rule During Police Interrogations 63. When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 63. Deliberate Elicitation Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 64. Difference Between Deliberate Elicitation Standard and Interrogation a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? 64. C. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 65. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 65. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 75. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment 66.		Rhode Island v. Innis	585
d. Invocation 3. Scope of Miranda's Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Using Statements Taken in Violation of Miranda b. Impeachment Using Post-Miranda Silence c. Miranda and the Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine 6. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Rule During Police Interrogations 2. When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 3. Deliberate Elicitation Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 4. Difference Between Deliberate Elicitation Standard and Interrogation a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 63 64 65 66 67 68 68 69 69 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60		c. Waiver of <i>Miranda</i> Rights	600
3. Scope of Miranda's Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Using Statements Taken in Violation of Miranda b. Impeachment Using Post-Miranda Silence c. Miranda and the Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine 63 C. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Rule During Police Interrogations 63 2. When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 63 3. Deliberate Elicitation Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 63 4. Difference Between Deliberate Elicitation Standard and Interrogation a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? 64 b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66		Berghuis v. Thompkins	608
a. Impeachment Using Statements Taken in Violation of Miranda b. Impeachment Using Post-Miranda Silence c. Miranda and the Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine 63 C. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel 1. The Massiah Case: The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Rule During Police Interrogations 63 2. When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 63 3. Deliberate Elicitation 63 Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 64 4. Difference Between Deliberate Elicitation Standard and Interrogation a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? 64 b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 65 6. The Passive Jail House Plant 66 67 68 69 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60		d. Invocation	619
b. Impeachment Using Post-Miranda Silence c. Miranda and the Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine 63 C. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel 1. The Massiah Case: The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Rule During Police Interrogations 63 2. When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 63 3. Deliberate Elicitation 63 Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 64 4. Difference Between Deliberate Elicitation Standard and Interrogation a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? 64 b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present 64 d. The Passive Jail House Plant 65 waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 65 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 63 63 63 64 65 65 66 67 67 68 68 68 69 69 69 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60		3. Scope of Miranda's Exclusionary Rule	630
c. Miranda and the Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine C. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel 1. The Massiah Case: The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Rule During Police Interrogations 2. When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 3. Deliberate Elicitation Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 4. Difference Between Deliberate Elicitation Standard and Interrogation a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 63 63 63 64 65 65 66 67 68 69 69 69 60 60 60 60 60 60 60		a. Impeachment Using Statements Taken in Violation of Miranda	630
C. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel 1. The Massiah Case: The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Rule During Police Interrogations 2. When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 3. Deliberate Elicitation Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 4. Difference Between Deliberate Elicitation Standard and Interrogation a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 63 63 63 64 65 65 66 67 68 69 69 69 69 69 60 60 60 60 60		b. Impeachment Using Post-Miranda Silence	631
1. The Massiah Case: The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Rule During Police Interrogations 63 2. When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 63 3. Deliberate Elicitation 63 Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 63 4. Difference Between Deliberate Elicitation Standard and Interrogation 64 a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? 64 b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements 64 c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present 64 d. The Passive Jail House Plant 64 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel 65 a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 65 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 65 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule 65 a. Impeachment Evidence 65 b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation 66 c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66		c. Miranda and the Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine	632
Rule During Police Interrogations 2. When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 3. Deliberate Elicitation Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 4. Difference Between Deliberate Elicitation Standard and Interrogation a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66	C.	The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel	636
 When the Right to Counsel Is Triggered (Formal Adversarial Proceedings) Deliberate Elicitation Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) Difference Between Deliberate Elicitation Standard and Interrogation a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present The Passive Jail House Plant Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule Impeachment Evidence Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(Formal Adversarial Proceedings) 3. Deliberate Elicitation Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 4. Difference Between Deliberate Elicitation Standard and Interrogation a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment			637
3. Deliberate Elicitation Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 4. Difference Between Deliberate Elicitation Standard and Interrogation a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66		e ee	(20
Brewer v. Williams (Williams I) 4. Difference Between Deliberate Elicitation Standard and Interrogation a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 65. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66			
 4. Difference Between Deliberate Elicitation Standard and Interrogation a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66 			
 a. Does Deliberate Elicitation Require Intent? b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 65 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66 			
b. Creating a Situation Likely to Induce Incriminating Statements c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 64 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 65 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66		-	
c. Knowing Exploitation of an Opportunity to Confront the Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 65. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66		•	
Accused Without Counsel Present d. The Passive Jail House Plant 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66			64/
d. The Passive Jail House Plant 5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66		0 1 11 ,	648
5. Waiver and Invocation of the Right to Counsel a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66			649
 a. Montejo v. Louisiana and Its Possible Implications 65 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66 			652
 6. The Sixth Amendment Is Offense-Specific 7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66 		C	653
7. The Scope of the Sixth Amendment Exclusionary Rule a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66		•	657
 a. Impeachment Evidence b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violation c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66 		•	659
b. Poisoned Fruit of a Sixth Amendment Violationc. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment66		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	659
c. Midstream Warnings and the Sixth Amendment 66		-	660
			660
2. 22 same and			661
		1 0	

__| |

xviii Table of Contents

Chapter Summary	663
Applying the Rules	667
Criminal Procedure in Practice	673
Chapter Eight: Eyewitness Identification	
A. Types of Eyewitness Identifications	678
1. Live In-Court Identification	678
2. The Show-Up	679
3. The Lineup	679
4. Photo Array	680
B. Constitutional Protections	681
1. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel	681
a. Post-indictment Corporeal Identification Procedures	683
United States v. Wade	684
b. Pre-indictment Corporeal Identification Procedures	695
c. Noncorporeal Identification Procedures	697
2. Due Process	698
a. Unnecessarily Suggestive	699
Stovall v. Denno	700
b. Likelihood of Mistaken Identification	705
Manson v. Brathwaite	706
C. The Fallibility of Eyewitness Identifications and Reform Measures Combating the Problem	718
The Fallibility of Eyewitness Identifications	718
a. Estimator Variables	719
b. System Variables	720
2. Proposed Reforms	720
a. State v. Henderson: A Model of Reform	721
Chapter Summary	724
Applying the Rules	72 6
The Testimony of Buck Gause	72 8
The Testimony of Eunice and Shirley Ann johnson	72 9
Criminal Procedure in Practice	72 9

Table of Cases 733 Index 741