CONTENTS

| Preface Acknowledgments | xix xxi |
|---|------------|
| CHAPTER 1 Why a Course in Professional Responsibility? | 1 |
| A. Introduction to the Subject Matter | 2 |
| Richard B. Schmitt: Lawyers Pressed to Report Fraud | 2 |
| Under New Law | 3 |
| B. The Law of Lawyering | 5 |
| 1. The ABA Model Codes | 6 |
| 2. The Restatement of the Law Governing Lawyers and "Other Law" | |
| 3. Researching the Law of Lawyering | 8 |
| 4. The Underdeveloped State of the Law of Lawyering | 9 |
| C. The Ethics of Lawyering | 10 |
| D. Why Professional Responsibility for Business Lawyers? | 13 |
| PART I | |
| CORE CONCEPTS | 15 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 2 | |
| Formation, Scope, and Termination of the Attorney- | |
| Client Relationship | 17 |
| A. Forming the Attorney-Client Relationship | 17 |
| Sin Ho Nam v. Quichocho | 18 |
| B. Scope of the Representation | 23 |
| Model Rules 1.2, 1.4, 1.5 | 23 |
| Genesis Merchant Partners, L.P. v. Gilbride, Tusa, Last & | |
| Spellane, LLC | 23 |
| SCB Diversified Municipal Portfolio v. Crews & Associates | 26 |
| C. Terminating the Attorney-Client Relationship | 31 |
| Model Rule 1.16 | 31 |
| Manoir-Electro Alloys Corp. v. Amalloy Corp | 31 |
| D. Should I Represent This Client? Model Rules 1.2, 1.16 | 35 35 |
| Review Problems | 38 |
| VENTEM 1 IONICIUS | 30 |

PFFB_2022_FM_PP4.indd 11 02/12/21 9:29 PM

| | mpetence and Diligence; Control and | |
|-------------|--|----|
| Co | mmunication; Fees | 41 |
| Α. (| Competence | 41 |
| | Model Rule 1.1 | 41 |
| | State v. Orr | 42 |
| B. 1 | Diligence | 46 |
| | Model Rule 1.3 | 46 |
| C. (| Control | 47 |
|] | Model Rules 1.2, 1.4, 1.16 | 47 |
| | Olfe v. Gordon | 48 |
| D. (| Communication | 53 |
|] | Model Rule 1.4 | 53 |
| E. 1 | Legal Fees | 54 |
|] | Model Rules 1.5, 1.15, 6.1 | 54 |
| | 1. The Reasonableness Standard Generally | 54 |
| 2 | 2. Different Types of Fee Arrangements | 56 |
| | 3. Modifying the Fee Agreement | 60 |
| | 4. Pro Bono Public Service | 60 |
|] | Review Problems | 63 |
| CHA | APTER 4 | |
| Pri | vilege and Confidentiality | 67 |
|] | Model Rules 1.6, 1.8(b), 1.9(c), 1.18(b) | 67 |
| | Distinguishing Between Attorney-Client Privilege and the Rule of | |
| | Confidentiality | 67 |
| | Purcell v. District Attorney for the Suffolk District | 68 |
| B. 1 | Prima Facie Protection of Client Information | 70 |
| | 1. Attorney-Client Privilege | 70 |
| | a. Communications | 71 |
| | b. Between Privileged Persons | 71 |
| | c. In Confidence | 72 |
| | d. For the Purpose of Obtaining or Providing Legal Assistance | 73 |
| 2 | 2. Professional Duty of Confidentiality | 74 |
| C. <i>1</i> | Applicable Exceptions | 75 |
| | 1. Attorney-Client Privilege | 75 |
| | a. Crime-Fraud Exception | 75 |
| | Purcell v. District Attorney for the Suffolk District | 75 |
| | b. Joint Clients in Action Between Them | 80 |
| | c. Consent and Waiver | 81 |
| 2 | 2. Professional Duty of Confidentiality | 82 |
| | a. Informed Consent | 82 |
| | b. Implied Authority | 83 |

PFFB_2022_FM_PP4.indd 12 02/12/21 9:29 PM

| c. Rule 1.6(b) Exceptions | 83 |
|--|-----|
| (1) Preventing Physical Harm | 84 |
| (2) Preventing Economic Harm | 84 |
| The Saga of Singer Hutner and O.P.M. | 85 |
| (3) Past Crimes or Frauds | 89 |
| (4) Lawyer Securing Legal Advice | 89 |
| (5) Self-Defense and Asserting Claims Against the Client | 90 |
| Meyerhofer v. Empire Fire and Marine Insurance Co. | 91 |
| (6) Complying with Other Law | 96 |
| (7) Detecting Conflicts of Interest | 97 |
| D. Acting Competently to Protect Confidentiality | 98 |
| E. Work Product Immunity | 98 |
| Review Problems | 99 |
| CHAPTER 5 | |
| Conflicts of Interest: Current Clients | 101 |
| Model Rules 1.7, 1.8, 1.10 | 101 |
| A. Conflicts Among Current Clients | 103 |
| 1. Material Limitation Conflicts | 103 |
| a. Material Limitation Conflicts in Transactions | 103 |
| Simpson v. James | 104 |
| b. Material Limitation Conflicts in Litigation | 112 |
| Kramer v. Ciba-Geigy Corp. | 113 |
| 2. Directly Adverse Conflicts | 120 |
| a. Directly Adverse Conflicts in Litigation | 120 |
| Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, LLP v. J-M | |
| Manufacturing Co | 123 |
| b. Directly Adverse Conflicts in Transactions | 133 |
| 3. Imputation of Conflicts Among Associated Lawyers | 135 |
| B. Conflicts Between Lawyer and Client | 136 |
| 1. Personal Interest Conflicts | 136 |
| 2. Business and Other Financial Transactions with a Client | 137 |
| Passante v. McWilliam | 138 |
| 3. Other Specific Conflicts Rules | 142 |
| C. Conflicts in Criminal Cases: The Constitutional Standards | 143 |
| Cuyler v. Sullivan | 143 |
| Review Problems | 148 |
| CHAPTER 6 | |
| Conflicts of Interest: Former Clients, Prospective Clients, | |
| and Government Lawyers | 151 |
| Model Rules 1.9, 1.10, 1.11, 1.18 | 151 |
| A. Former Client Conflicts | 152 |
| The Individual Private Lawyer in a Stable Firm | 152 |
| 1. The marriadal fire Larry of the outle fills | 104 |

PFFB_2022_FM_PP4.indd 13 02/12/21 9:29 PM

| | Analytica, Inc. v. NPD Research, Inc. | 152 |
|----|--|------|
| | 2. The Individual Private Lawyer Who Migrates to Another Firm | 161 |
| | Silver Chrysler Plymouth, Inc. v. Chrysler Motors Corp. | 161 |
| | 3. The New Law Firm of the Individual Migratory Lawyer: | |
| | Rebuttable or Irrebuttable Presumption? | 166 |
| | Schiessle v. Stephens | 166 |
| В. | Prospective Client Conflicts | 172 |
| | Eileen Libby: www.warning.law: Websites May Trigger | |
| | Unforeseen Ethics Obligations to Prospective Clients | 173 |
| C. | Former Government Lawyers | 176 |
| | Review Problems | 178 |
| CF | HAPTER 7 | |
| Li | mits of Zealous Representation I: Litigation | 181 |
| | Model Rules 3.1, 3.3, 3.4 | 181 |
| A. | The Anglo-American Adversary System of Justice | 182 |
| | Edward F. Barrett: The Adversary System and the Ethics | |
| | of Advocacy | 182 |
| | Marvin E. Frankel: Partisan Justice | 183 |
| В. | Limits on Adversary Zeal | 188 |
| | 1. Pleadings in Civil and Criminal Cases | 188 |
| | 2. Lawyer Misrepresentation to a Court or Other Tribunal | 191 |
| | 3. Failure to Disclose Adverse Law or Facts | 194 |
| | Tyler v. State | 194 |
| | 4. Client and Other Witness Perjury | 201 |
| | Nix v. Whiteside | 202 |
| | 5. Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel | 214 |
| | Review Problems | 215 |
| | HAPTER 8 | |
| Li | mits of Zealous Representation II: Transactions | 217 |
| | Model Rules 1.2(d), 1.6, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 | 217 |
| A. | Lawyer Discipline: Knowingly Counseling or Assisting a Client's | |
| | Crime or Fraud | 218 |
| | 1. Active Counseling or Assisting | 218 |
| | 2. Failure to Disclose | 221 |
| | 3. Knowingly Counseling or Assisting Other Wrongful Client Acts | 223 |
| В. | Lawyer Discipline: Lawyer Interactions with Persons Other Than | |
| | Clients | 224 |
| | 1. Truthfulness in Statements to Others | 224 |
| | The Florida Bar v. Joy | 224 |
| | 2. Communication with Person Represented by Counsel | 229 |
| | Neil J. Wertlieb & Nancy T. Avedissian: <i>Ex Parte</i> Communications in a Transactional Practice | 230 |
| | COMMUNICATIONS IN A TRANSACTIONAL PRACTICE | / 31 |

PFFB_2022_FM_PP4.indd 14 02/12/21 9:29 PM

Contents

| C. | 3. Communication with an Unrepresented Person Lawyers' Liability for Aiding and Abetting a Client's Crime or | 236 |
|----|---|-----|
| | Fraud or Other Tortious Conduct | 238 |
| | Chem-Age Industries, Inc. v. Glover | 239 |
| D. | Lawyers' Liability to Nonclients for Negligence | 245 |
| | Greycas, Inc. v. Proud | 246 |
| | Review Problems | 251 |
| | RT II EPRESENTING ENTITIES | 255 |
| | | |
| | ho's My Client? | 257 |
| | Model Rule 1.13 | 257 |
| Α. | Client Identification | 258 |
| | Large, Publicly Held Corporations | 258 |
| | E.F. Hutton & Company v. Brown | 259 |
| | 2. Closely Held Corporations | 264 |
| | Bobbitt v. Victorian House, Inc. | 264 |
| | Rosman v. Shapiro | 267 |
| | 3. General and Limited Partnerships, Limited Liability Companies | 272 |
| | 4. Other Formal and Informal Associations | 273 |
| В. | Control | 274 |
| | Legal Ethics Committee of the D.C. Bar: Representation of Closely Held Corporation in Action Against Corporate | |
| | Shareholder | 274 |
| C. | Communication | 277 |
| D. | Contacting a Represented Entity | 279 |
| | Review Problems | 279 |
| | IAPTER 10 | 201 |
| ΓI | ivilege and Confidentiality Revisited | 281 |
| | Model Rules 1.6, 3.4(f), 4.2, cmt [7], 4.3, 4.4(a) | 281 |
| A. | Attorney-Client Privilege | 281 |
| | 1. Basic Doctrinal Approaches | 281 |
| | Consol. Coal Co. v. Bucyrus-Erie Co. | 282 |
| | Upjohn Co. v. United States | 285 |
| | 2. Application of Privilege to Third-Party Agents and Consultants | 292 |
| | Pearlstein v. Blackberry Ltd. | 293 |
| | In Re Copper Market Antitrust Litigation | 294 |
| | 3. Application of Privilege After Changes in Entity Control | 300 |
| | Tekni-Plex, Inc. v. Meyner and Landis | 300 |
| | Great Hill Equity Partners IV, LP v. SIG Growth Equity Fund I, LLLP | 307 |
| В | Professional Rule of Confidentiality | 312 |
| υ. | i ioressional Rule of Confidentiality | 312 |

PFFB_2022_FM_PP4.indd 15 02/12/21 9:29 PM

| C. | Contacting a Represented Entity Professional Ethics Committee of the State Bar of Wisconsin: Contact With Current and Former | 313 |
|---------------|--|------------|
| | Constituents of a Represented Organization | 313 |
| | Review Problems | 319 |
| СН | IAPTER 11 | |
| Co | onflicts of Interest in Entity Representation | 321 |
| | Model Rules 1.7, 1.9, Rule 1.13(g) | 321 |
| A. | Current Clients: Simultaneously Representing an Entity and a | 224 |
| | Constituent | 321 |
| | United States v. Nicholas | 322 |
| D | Musheno v. Gensemer | 330 |
| D. | Current Clients: Corporate Family Conflicts | 337 |
| \mathcal{C} | GSI Commerce Solutions, Inc. v. BabyCenter, L.L.C. Former Clients: The Accommodation Client | 338 |
| C. | Douglas R. Richmond: Accommodation Clients | 346 346 |
| | Review Problems | 352 |
| | | 332 |
| | IAPTER 12 | 252 |
| C | orporate Wrongdoing | 353 |
| | Model Rules 1.2(d), 1.6, 4.1, 1.13, 5.2 | 353 |
| A. | Reporting Up | 353 |
| | Complaint: SEC v. Isselmann | 354 |
| | Outline of SEC's "Reporting Up" Regulations | 358 |
| В. | Reporting Out | 361 |
| _ | Outline of SEC's "Reporting Out" Regulations | 362 |
| C. | Lawyer Liability | 366 |
| | 1. Liability to the Client Entity | 366 |
| | Peterson v. Winston & Strawn LLP | 367 |
| | Peterson v. Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP | 369 |
| | 2. Liability to Others for Violating Securities Laws | 374 |
| | Pacific Inv. Management Co. LLC v. Mayer Brown LLP | 374 |
| | Lorenzo v. SEC | 384 |
| | Review Problems | 390 |
| | IAPTER 13 | |
| ln | -House Counsel | 391 |
| | Model Rules 1.6, 1.7, 1.8, 1.13, 1.16, 1.18, 2.1 | 391 |
| A. | The Evolving Role of In-House Counsel | 391 |
| | 1. The Emergence of the Modern In-House Counsel Mary C. Daly: The Cultural, Ethical, and Legal Challenges | 391 |
| | in Lawyering for a Global Organization: The Role of General Counsel | 391 |

PFFB_2022_FM_PP4.indd 16 02/12/21 9:29 PM

| | 2. Questioning the "Independence" of Modern In-House Counsel | 396 |
|---------------|---|------------|
| | Pam Jenoff: Going Native: Incentive, Identity, and the | 207 |
| D | Inherent Ethical Problem of In-House Counsel | 396 |
| D. | Difficulties in Establishing the Attorney-Client Privilege | 402 |
| \mathcal{C} | Leazure v. Apria Healthcare Inc. | 402 |
| C. | Lawyers as Compliance Officers | 405 |
| Ъ | Jennifer M. Pacella: The Regulation of Lawyers in Compliance | |
| υ. | Wrongful Discharge Crews v. Buckman Laboratories International, Inc. | 411 412 |
| | Review Problems | 419 |
| PA | RT III | |
| R | EGULATING THE PROFESSION | 421 |
| Cŀ | HAPTER 14 | |
| A | dmission and Discipline | 423 |
| | Model Rules 8.1, 8.3, 8.4 | 423 |
| A. | Admission to Practice | 423 |
| | 1. Educational Requirements | 424 |
| | 2. Bar Examinations | 424 |
| | 3. Character and Fitness | 425 |
| | In Re Application of Burch | 426 |
| В. | Discipline | 432 |
| | 1. Common Grounds for Discipline | 433 |
| | a. Mishandling Client Funds | 433 |
| | b. Other Forms of Dishonesty | 433 |
| | c. Criminal Conduct | 434 |
| | 2. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Serious Misconduct | 435 |
| | 3. Bias, Prejudice, Harassment, and Discrimination | 436 |
| | The Florida Bar v. Martocci | 437 |
| | Review Problems | 443 |
| | HAPTER 15 | |
| | nauthorized Practice of Law and Multijurisdictional ractice | 445 |
| 11 | | |
| | Model Rules 5.5, 8.5 | 445 |
| | UPL by Nonlawyers | 445 |
| D. | UPL by Lawyers | 447 |
| | 1. The MJP Problem Pickness of Moutelhous Condon St French D.C. in Superior Count | 447 |
| | Birbrower, Montalbano, Condon & Frank, P.C. v. Superior Court 2. The ABA's "Safe Harbors" Solution | 448 |
| | | 456 457 |
| | a. Safe Harbors for Temporary Practice | |
| | In Re Charges of Unprofessional Conduct in Panel File No. 39302 b. Safe Harbors for Systematic Presence | 457 466 |

PFFB_2022_FM_PP4.indd 17 02/12/21 9:29 PM

| 3. Regulating Out-of-State Lawyers | 467 |
|---|--------|
| a. Authority to Discipline | 467 |
| b. Choice of Law Review Problems | 468 |
| Review Problems | 469 |
| CHAPTER 16 Nienlauwen Overneushin Multidiscipliname Practice and | |
| Nonlawyer Ownership, Multidisciplinary Practice, and Alternative Legal Service Providers | 471 |
| Model Rule 5.4 | 471 |
| A. UPL, Model Rule 5.4, and the Current Structure of Law Practice | 471 |
| B. Prohibiting Nonlawyer Ownership of Law Firms | 473 |
| Nonlawyers Actively Assisting in Client Representation | 473 |
| 2. Passive Investment in Law firms | 475 |
| Jacoby & Meyers, LLP v. Presiding Justices | 475 |
| C. Prohibiting Multidisciplinary Practices | 478 |
| 1. Interdisciplinary Service Providers | 479 |
| State Bar of Wisconsin: Lawyer Practicing in | |
| Interdisciplinary Organization | 479 |
| 2. Retail and Other Commercial Businesses Offering Legal Service D. Developments Outside the United States: Permitting Alternative | es 483 |
| Business Structures | 484 |
| Judith McMorrow, UK Alternative Business Structures for | |
| Legal Practice: Emerging Models and Lessons for the U | S 485 |
| E. Recent Developments in the United States | 491 |
| Andrew M. Perlman: Reflections on the Future of Legal | |
| Services | 494 |
| Review Problems | 497 |
| CHAPTER 17 | |
| Marketing Legal Services | 499 |
| Model Rules 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 8.4(c) | 499 |
| A. Restrictions on Lawyer Advertising | 501 |
| Alexander v. Cahill | 502 |
| B. Restrictions on Lawyer Solicitation | 511 |
| Ohralik v. Ohio State Bar Ass'n | 512 |
| C. Paying for Referrals | 519 |
| Review Problems | 522 |
| Table of Cases | 523 |
| Index | 527 |

PFFB_2022_FM_PP4.indd 18 02/12/21 9:29 PM