Imagine for a Moment That (A Preface) Acknowledgments A Word About Case Editing	
Chapter I The What, Who, How, When, and Why	1
of "Legal Ethics"	1
A. Does Legal Ethics Have a Theory?	2
B. Professional Identity, Honor, and Authenticity	6
C. Does Legal Ethics Have a Politics?	7
D. Normative and Behavioral Arguments	8
E. Judges vs. Lawmakers	8
F. Congress	10
G. Rules of Professional Conduct	10
A Very Brief History of Lawyer Ethics Rules: 1908 to Today	11
H. Ethics Rules vs. the Borderless Market for Legal Services:	
A Paradox	14
I. Ethics Rules as Authority	15
J. Other Sources	16
K. Behavioral Legal Ethics	16
L. Real Ethics	17
M. What Is Professionalism?	17
Part One	
THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT RELATIONSHIP	19
Chapter II Defining the Attorney-Client Relationship	21
A. Clients, Prospective Clients, and Everyone Else	22
Is There a Client Here?	22
B. What Do Lawyers Owe Clients?	25
1. Competence	25
Office of Disciplinary Counsel v. Baldwin	25
Components of Competence	29
Four Other Competence Requirements	31

	2.	Confidentiality and Privilege	33
		The Case of the Innocent Lifer	33
		Mora Spark's Dilemma	35
		a. Civil Liability	36
		Perez v. Kirk & Carrigan	36
		b. Discipline	40
		Disciplinary Counsel v. Holmes & Kerr	40
		Privileged Communications and Confidential	
		Information: What Is the Difference?	43
		Will a Lawyer's Permissive Disclosure of	
		a Client's Confidential Information Waive	
		the Privilege for the Information?	44
		Further Reflections on Privilege and Confidentiality	45
		Privilege and Confidentiality When the Client	
		Is an Organization	50
		Slip and Fall (Part I)	50
		Upjohn Co. v. United States	51
		Should There Even Be an Attorney-Client	
		Privilege for Companies?	55
		Exceptions to Privilege and Confidentiality	56
		How Does <i>Your Garden</i> <sup>™</sup> Grow?	56
		Self-Defense and Legal Claims	57
		Waiver (or Consent)	59
		The Crime-Fraud Exception to the Privilege and	
		Work Product	60
		The Crime-Fraud Privilege Exception as Applied	
		to Pru v. Dru	62
		The Confidentiality Exceptions for Crimes and	20
		Frauds and to Prevent Death and Bodily Harm	62
		Who Decides If an Exception to Privilege	2.2
		or Confidentiality Applies?	63
		Lawyers and Social Media: Risks, Benefits,	2.4
	0	and Best Practices	64
	3.	Lawyers Are Agents	66 67
		Taylor v. Illinois	67 70
		Yeschick v. Minetta	70
	4	Vicarious Admissions	71
	4.	Lawyers Are Fiduciaries	72
	5. c	Loyalty and Diligence	73
	6.	The Duty to Inform and Advise Nichols v. Keller	$74 \\ 74$
			$74 \\ 75$
		The Client's Right to Know	75 77
C	۸	In a Box	
C.		tonomy of Attorneys and Clients	78 78
	1.	Criminal Cases	78

xii

## 4th proof

12/01/24 2:56 PM

Lesser Included Offenses	78
"Long Black Veil"	80
Jones v. Barnes	81
Case Note: McCoy v. Louisiana	84
2. Civil Cases	87
"The Other Woman"	87
"You Be My Junkyard Dog"	89
Olfe v. Gordon	90
The Scope of the Client's Autonomy	91
D. Terminating the Relationship	91
1. Termination by the Client	91
2. Termination by the Lawyer	92
3. Termination by Drift	93
4. Episodic Clients	93
Self-Assessment Questions	94
Chapter III Protecting the Attorney-Client Relationship	
Against Outside Interference	97
0	
A. Communicating with Another Lawyer's Clients	97
1. Civil Matters	100
Case Note: Niesig v. Team I	101
Slip and Fall (Part II)	103
How Large Is the Circle of Secrecy?	103
What If the Government Is the "Represented Person"?	104
Testers: Pretending You're Someone Else	105
"We Only Want to Know What Reach	
Tekkno Is Saying"	105
2. Criminal Matters	109
The Case of the Cooperating Target	109
United States v. Carona	111
B. Improper or Accidental Acquisition of Confidential	
Information	115
"It's the Discovery Wellmost Suppressed"	115
Inadvertent Disclosure and Metadata	117
Self-Assessment Questions	119
Chapter IV Lawyers, Money, and Legal Fees	121
A. The Role of the Market	124
Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison v. Telex Corp.	124
The Market vs. Ethics Rules as a Check on	
the Cost of Lawyers	127
Time or Value? Or Does Value = Time?	128

B. Unethical Fees and Billing Practices	129
"Sparrow Owes Robin a Refund" (Part I)	130
"Sparrow Owes Robin a Refund" (Part II)	130
Are Fordham and Brobeck Compatible?	132
Inflating Bills and Other Abuses	132
Case Note: In re Zankowski	132
Should a Lawyer Be Required to Put Fee	
Agreements in Writing?	135
C. Contingent Fees	135
A Lawyer's Advantage When Negotiating	
a Contingent Fee	136
Contingent Fees and Conflicts of Interest	137
Prohibitions on Contingent Fees in Criminal	
and Matrimonial Cases	138
D. Minimum Fee Schedules	140
Goldfarb v. Virginia State Bar	140
Case Note: North Carolina State Board	
of Dental Examiners v. Federal Trade Commission	143
E. Court-Awarded Fees	145
Case Note: Evans v. Jeff D.	145
The Effect of Evans v. Jeff D. on Civil Rights	
Class Actions	149
Questions and Comments About <i>Jeff D</i> .	150
F. Mandatory Pro Bono Plans and the Lack of Affordable	
Legal Services	152
Deborah Rhode, Cultures of Commitment:	
Pro Bono for Lawyers and Law Students	154
Jonathan Macey, Mandatory Pro Bono:	
Comfort for the Poor or Welfare for the Rich?	156
Should We Adopt Mandatory Pro Bono?	160
Self-Assessment Questions	161

#### Part Two

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST		163
Chapter V	Concurrent Conflicts of Interest	165
	Conflicts of Interest: Definition and Context	165
A. C	lient-Lawyer Conflicts	171
	A Lawyer's Business and Financial Interests	171
	"I Have a Sideline in Web Design"	171
	Case Note: In re Spencer	171
	A Lawyer's Business Transactions and Financial Interests	173
	Deals with Clients (Rule 1.8(a))	174

xiv

		Interests Adverse to Clients (Rule $1.7(a)(2)$ )	174
	2.	Media Rights	177
	3.	Financial Assistance	178
		"She'd Get By with a Little Help from Her Lawyer"	178
	4.	Fee-Payer Interests	181
	5.	Can a Lawyer's Gender, Religion, or Race	
		Create a Conflict?	182
		Implicit Bias in the Jury Room	183
		How Roger Baldwin Picked a Lawyer	187
		The Schempp Case	187
B.	Cl	ent-Client Conflicts	188
	1.	Criminal Cases (Defense Lawyers)	188
		Murder One, Murder Two	188
		Did Officer Schwarz Get the Effective Assistance	
		of Counsel?	190
		Cuyler v. Sullivan	192
		Turning Conflicts into Sixth Amendment	
		Violations After Cuyler v. Sullivan	196
		Holloway Error	197
		Why Do We Have Two Ineffectiveness Tests?	198
		Case Note: Commonwealth v. Dew	199
		Disqualification of Defense Counsel	202
		Murder at the Ballgame	202
		Wheat v. United States	204
		Wheat's Harvest	209
		Criminal Case Disqualification and the	
		"Automatic Reversal" Debate	210
		Can a Conflicted Lawyer Advise a Current Client	
		on Whether to Waive Her Conflict?	211
	2.	Criminal Cases (Prosecutors)	213
		Forfeiture Plus Prosecution	213
		Case Note: Young v. United States ex rel. Vuitton	
		et Fils S.A.	213
		People v. Adams	215
		Using Private Lawyers to Enforce a State's Civil	
		Claims for Big Fines	217
	3.	Civil Cases	219
		"Will You Represent Us Both?"	219
		"My Birthday Poodle"	219
		Celgard v. LG Chem	220
		Fiandaca v. Cunningham	221
		The Two Parts of Rule 1.7(a)	224
		Imputed Conflicts	225
		Standing to Object	227

xv

Can a Lawyer Act Adversely to a Current Client If th	e
Matter Is Unrelated to the Representation of That Cli	ent? 227
Confidentiality and Privilege in Multiple Client	
Representations	229
Appealability of Civil Disqualification Orders	233
Malpractice and Fee Forfeiture Based on Conflicts	233
Simpson v. James	234
What Did Oliver and James Do Wrong?	238
Consent and Waiver	239
C. The Advocate-Witness Rule	240
Self-Assessment Questions	241
Chapter VI Successive Conflicts of Interest	243
A. Private Practice	244
Divorce and Default	244
"What Do I Owe Haywood Tallman?"	245
"The Rule Protects Facts Only"	246
Case Note: T.C. Theatre Corp. v. Warner Bros. Picture	es 246
Case Note: Analytica, Inc. v. NPD Research	247
The Substantial Relationship Test	249
What Is <i>Playbook</i> ? Can It Create a Substantial	
Relationship?	251
The Loyalty Duty to Former Clients (or It's Not Only	7
About Confidences)	252
"Like a Hot Potato": Is the Client Former or Curren	t? 253
The Appearance of Impropriety	254
Conflicts in Class Actions	255
B. Imputed Disqualification and Migratory Lawyers	256
"You Don't Know Anything"	256
"Can We Hire Taylor Monk?"	256
A Conflicts Bouquet	257
Ms. Imputed, Mr. Personally, and Ms. Executive:	
The Three Kinds of Lateral Lawyers and	
Their Conflicts	258
Case Note: Silicon Graphics, Inc. v. ATI Technologies,	Inc. 259
Presumptions in Imputed Disqualification	261
A Criminal Defense Lawyer Becomes a Prosecutor.	
Now What?	264
Removing Conflicts from a Former Firm	264
C. Government Service	265
Investigating Landlords	265
Case Note: Armstrong v. McAlpin	266
The Revolving Door in the Model Rules	268
Self-Assessment Questions	270
-	

xvi

#### **Part Three**

SPECIAL LAWYER ROLES	271
Chapter VII Ethics in Advocacy	273
A. Views of Adversary Justice and a Marvin Frankel and Simon R the Role of Truth in the Ad	ifkind: Two Views on
Two Former Federal Judge	
Marvin Frankel, Partisan	
Simon Rifkind, The Lawy	
Responsibility in Mode	rn Society 281
Lawyers and Actors, Lawyers	as Actors, Law
as Performance	284
Robert Post, On the Popu	lar Image of the Lawyer:
Reflections in a Dark G	
William Shakespeare, Ha	
The Advocate in Literature	288
Anthony Trollope, Orley	
Charles Dickens, Bleak H	
"Adversary Justice Is Good	
for Justice"	290
B. Are Lawyers Morally Accountable	
of Clients or What They Do for	
Professor Ronald Sullivan De	
Lawyers for Opponents of Ma	0 1 /
C. (Courtroom) Truth and Confide	
"Out Carousing with Mike	
Nix v. Whiteside	299
"Streets for Bikes Not Tru	
After Nix, Some Open Issues	308
"Maybe I Was Insane"	310
Robert Bennett's Letter to Ju	
Summary: Variables in Analy	
Witness Perjury and False	
D. Fostering Falsity or Advancing Tr	
1. Literal Truth	318
a. The Parable of Billy and th	
b. The Romance of Annie an	
c. In the Matter of William Je	
d. The Law of Perjury (and R	
Statements)	323
e. The Law of Contempt	328
Did You Talk to Cassie? (1	Part I) 328

	Did You Talk to Cassie? (Part II)	330
	2. Cross-Examining the Truthful Witness	331
	Daniel Kornstein, A Tragic Fire — A Great	
	Cross-Examination	331
	Ann Ruben & Emily Ruben, Letter to the Editor	333
	3. Appeals to Bias	335
	State v. Bagby	336
	4. The Boundaries of Proper Argument	342
	The Pocket Watch: A Visual Metaphor	344
	"I Hang a Key on a Nail"	344
	The Eyewitness (Part I)	345
	The Eyewitness (Part II)	345
	Zapata v. Vasquez	346
	5. The Subin-Mitchell Debate	349
	Harry Subin, The Criminal Lawyer's "Different	
	Mission": Reflections on the "Right" to Present	
	a False Case	351
	John Mitchell, Reasonable Doubts Are Where	
	You Find Them: A Response to Professor	
	Subin's Position on the Criminal Lawyer's	
	"Different Mission"	352
	Harry Subin, Is This Lie Necessary? Further	
	Reflections on the Right to Present a False Defense	354
	Questions	355
	"Maxwell's Silver-Handled .38"	357
	6. Spoliation	357
E.	Hardball and Incivility	359
	Mullaney v. Aude	362
	She Had to Explain "Slut Shaming"	365
F.	Misstating Facts, Precedent, or the Record	367
G.	The Obligation to Reveal Adverse Legal Authority	370
	Thul v. OneWest Bank, FSB	370
Se	lf-Assessment Questions	372
Chapte	er VIII Special Issues in Criminal Cases	375
А	Real Evidence	375
11.	The Client's Loaded .45 (Part I)	375
	Lawyers and Real Evidence: An Introduction	376
	1. Real Evidence and Legal Ethics	377
	In re Ryder	378
	Case Note: Commonwealth v. Tate	382
	2. Real Evidence and Criminal Law	383
	The Client's Loaded .45 (Part II)	383
	3. Real Evidence and the Attorney-Client Privilege	387
	indence and are recorder one recorder	

The Client's Loaded .45 (Part III)	387
People v. Meredith	388
The Turnover Duty	391
Does the Source Matter?	392
"The White Women on the Walls Have to Go"	393
The Rules Today (So Far as Can Be Known)	395
B. Some Issues Concerning Prosecutors	395
1. Constitutional and Ethical Disclosure Obligations	396
"We Can Make No Promises But "	397
Is the Rule 3.8(d) Turnover Duty Broader Than <i>Brady</i> ?	401
2. Ethical Issues in Making the Charging Decision	403
The People of the State of Montana v. Anita	
Winslow & Abel Mertz	404
Can a Prosecutor Refuse to Enforce a Law?	407
3. The Victim's Interest in How a Prosecutor Decides	408
Whose Reasonable Doubt?	408
Contributions to Justice	410
Self-Assessment Questions	412
Chapter IX Negotiation and Transactional Matters	413
A. Lawyers as Negotiators: An Introduction	414
B. Negotiation: The Risks to Lawyers	416
1. The "Bad Client" Problem	416
The Bad Builder's Good Lawyer	416
"Come to the Cabaret"	418
What Does "Material" Mean?	421
The Noisy Withdrawal	422
2. The Lawyer's Own Statements	424
Can Others Rely on a Lawyer's Representations of Fact?	424
Greycas, Inc. v. Proud	424
Lessons for Lawyers: Watch What You Say	427
True or False in Negotiation Land	430
Can Others Rely on a Lawyer's Legal Opinions?	431
Case Note: Hoyt Properties v. Production	
Resource Group	431
3. Exploiting an Opponent's Mistake	433
The Case of the Dead Witness	433
Negotiation Mistakes (Part I)	434
Negotiation Mistakes (Part II)	434
Negotiation Mistakes (Part III)	434
Mok v. 21 Mott St. Restaurant Corp.	435
What Does <i>Mok</i> Stand For?	436
Some Special Situations	437
Self-Assessment Questions	439

## 4th proof

12/01/24 2:56 PM

Chapte	r X	Lawyers for Companies and Other Organizations	441
		Introduction: "Who's Your Client?"	441
А.	Со	mpanies Behaving Badly: "Where Were the Lawyers?"	442
	1.	Companies Behaving Badly	442
	2.	Should We Forbid Lawyers to Agree to Secret	
		Settlements That Conceal Danger?	446
В.	Co	onflicts and Confidentiality in Entity Representation	447
	1.	Startups: Who Is the Client?	448
		Case Note: United States v. Holmes	448
	2.	Internal Investigations: Who Is the Client?	450
		"Just Find Out What Happened, Please"	450
		In re Grand Jury Subpoena: Under Seal	452
		"Who's Your Client?" (Reprise)	454
	_	What About Government Lawyers?	455
	3.	Change of Corporate Control	456
		"We're Still on the Same Side"	456
		Tekni-Plex, Inc. v. Meyner and Landis	458
	4.	Conflict and Privilege Issues in Representing	100
		a Member of a Corporate Family	463
		"We Have Never Done Work for the Parent"	463
		Corporate Family Conflicts	463
	F	Case Note: GSI Commerce Solutions v. BabyCenter, L.L.C.	464
	5.	0	465
		Murphy & Demory, Ltd. v. Admiral Daniel J.	466
C.	Sa	Murphy, U.S.N. (Ret.)	400
U.		rbanes-Oxley, Dodd-Frank, the Rule 1.13 Amendments, and Lawyers as Whistleblowers	468
	C	Cash for Confidences	400
Sel	f_As	sessment Questions	473
501	1-7 13	sessment Questions	175
Chapte	r X	I Judges	475
		Introduction to Judicial Ethics	476
		Ex Parte Communications	478
A.	Co	onflicts and Disqualification	479
		Abortion on Appeal	480
		"My Daughter Abby Is a Lawyer"	481
		"The City Gets the Money, Not the Judge"	481
		What Judicial Conflicts Violate the Due Process Clause?	482
		Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.	482
		John Roberts's 40 Socratic Questions and Andrew	
		Frey's Strategic Choice	487
		Williams v. Pennsylvania	488
		Campaign Contributions and (the Appearance of)	
		Partiality	489

Ethical and Statutory Disqualification	491
Case Note: Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp.	493
Who Decides?	498
A Judge's Personal Relationships or Interests	498
Source of Knowledge: The Extrajudicial Source	
Doctrine (or Factor)	499
Law Clerks	502
A Judge's Duty to Reveal	503
B. Expressions of Gender, Racial, and Other Bias	504
The Judge and the Boy Scouts	504
"Where You Live, Not Who You Are"	505
Courtroom Bias	507
Discriminatory Organizations	507
Self-Assessment Questions	510

#### **Part Four**

#### AVOIDING AND REDRESSING PROFESSIONAL FAILURE 513

# Chapter XII Control of Quality: Reducing the Likelihood of Professional Failure

А.	Admission to the Bar	517
	1. Geographical Exclusion	518
	2. Geographical Restriction	519
	3. Education and Examination	520
	4. Character Inquiries	520
	Borrowing from the Moot Court Account	520
	The Racist Bar Applicant	521
	In re Glass	523
	"With Whom Do You Agree?"	527
	Frequently Cited Grounds for Delaying or	
	Denying Admission to the Bar	528
	5. Admission in a Federal System	529
B.	Transient Lawyers and Multijurisdictional Firms:	
	Local Interests Confront a National Bar	529
	1. Admissions Pro Hac Vice	531
	Leis v. Flynt	531
	Justice and the Traveling Lawyer	534
	Remembering Richard Sobol	535
	2. Services Other Than Litigation	537
	Food Pantry Law	537
	Employment Law Professor	537
	Birbrower, Montalbano, Condon & Frank,	
	P.C. v. Superior Court	539

**515** 

Why Is <i>Birbrower</i> (Still) a Problem and What Is	
the Solution?	542
The View from Canada and the European Union	544
C. Unauthorized Practice of Law	545
"The Landlords' Lawyers Are a Mean Bunch"	545
Linder v. Insurance Claims Consultants	547
Three Limitations on State UPL Rules:	
The Supremacy Clause, the First Amendment,	
and Antitrust Laws	551
Self-Assessment Questions	553
Chapter XIII Control of Quality: Remedies for Professional	
Failure	557
A. Malpractice and Breach of Fiduciary Duty	557
"When Sally Left Ari"	558
Togstad v. Vesely, Otto, Miller & Keefe	560
Was Miller Just a Nice Guy?	564
What Is the Required Standard of Care?	565
Judgmental Immunity	565
"Do Nothing!"	566
Breach of Fiduciary Duty and Malpractice:	
What's the Difference?	567
B. Proving Lawyer Liability	568
1. Use of Ethics Rules and Expert Testimony	568
Smith v. Haynsworth, Marion, McKay & Geurard	569
Fee Forfeiture and Disgorgement	571
Case Note: Parkinson v. Bevis	571
2. Causation and Defenses	573
Viner v. Sweet	574
The "But For" Test in Malpractice and Fiduciary	
Duty Claims: Additional Issues	576
Causation in Criminal Cases	580
Arenns v. Dobbins	580
C. Lawyer Liability to Third Persons	582
Negligent Misrepresentation	582
Professional Negligence	583
Consumer Protection Laws	584
Criminal Law	584
D. Discipline	585
1. Question: Why Do Lawyers Violate the Rules and	
$\sim$ Risk Disbarment?	585
2. Purposes of Discipline	587
3. Sanctions	588

## 4th proof

12/01/24 2:56 PM

<ul> <li>c. Sex with a Client <i>Case Note: In re Hersh</i></li> <li>d. The Lawyer's "Private" Life and Conduct Unrelated to Practice</li> <li>e. Discrimination in Choice of Clients</li> <li>f. Racist and Sexist Conduct in Law Practice <i>In re Jordan Schiff</i></li> <li>The Surprising Debate over Rule 8.4(g)</li> <li>Four Questions</li> <li>g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct</li> <li>5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts</li> <li>E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I) "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II)</li> <li>Self-Assessment Questions</li> <li><b>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law</b> <b>Business (and Related Issues)</b></li> <li>A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries</li> <li>1. Public Interest Organizations <i>NAACP v. Button</i></li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did <i>Button</i> Decide? <i>In re Primus</i></li> <li>2. Labor Unions <i>United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan</i> The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ul>	589 589 589	
A Research Shortcut Case Note: In re Wade Can a Lawyer Lie to the Public? Case Notes: In re Ellis and In re Giuliani Deceit, Dishonesty, Et Cetera b. Neglect and Lack of Candor c. Sex with a Client Case Note: In re Hersh d. The Lawyer's "Private" Life and Conduct Unrelated to Practice e. Discrimination in Choice of Clients f. Racist and Sexist Conduct in Law Practice In re Jordan Schiff The Surprising Debate over Rule 8.4(g) Four Questions g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct 5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I) "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II) Self-Assessment Questions <b>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law</b> Business (and Related Issues) A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries 1. Public Interest Organizations NAACP v. Button Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did Button Decide? In re Primus 2. Labor Unions United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)	589	
Case Note: In re Wade Can a Lawyer Lie to the Public? Case Notes: In re Ellis and In re Giuliani Deceit, Dishonesty, Et Cetera b. Neglect and Lack of Candor c. Sex with a Client Case Note: In re Hersh d. The Lawyer's "Private" Life and Conduct Unrelated to Practice e. Discrimination in Choice of Clients f. Racist and Sexist Conduct in Law Practice In re Jordan Schiff The Surprising Debate over Rule 8.4(g) Four Questions g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct 5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I) "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II) Self-Assessment Questions Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues) A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries 1. Public Interest Organizations NAACP v. Button Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did Button Decide? In re Primus 2. Labor Unions United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)		
Can a Lawyer Lie to the Public? Case Notes: In re Ellis and In re Giuliani Deceit, Dishonesty, Et Cetera b. Neglect and Lack of Candor c. Sex with a Client Case Note: In re Hersh d. The Lawyer's "Private" Life and Conduct Unrelated to Practice e. Discrimination in Choice of Clients f. Racist and Sexist Conduct in Law Practice In re Jordan Schiff The Surprising Debate over Rule 8.4(g) Four Questions g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct 5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I) "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II) Self-Assessment Questions <b>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law</b> Business (and Related Issues) A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries 1. Public Interest Organizations NAACP v. Button Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did Button Decide? In re Primus 2. Labor Unions United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)	200	
<ul> <li>Case Notes: In re Ellis and In re Giuliani</li> <li>Deceit, Dishonesty, Et Cetera</li> <li>b. Neglect and Lack of Candor</li> <li>c. Sex with a Client</li> <li>Case Note: In re Hersh</li> <li>d. The Lawyer's "Private" Life and Conduct Unrelated to Practice</li> <li>e. Discrimination in Choice of Clients</li> <li>f. Racist and Sexist Conduct in Law Practice</li> <li>In re Jordan Schiff</li> <li>The Surprising Debate over Rule 8.4(g)</li> <li>Four Questions</li> <li>g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct</li> <li>5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts</li> <li>E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases         <ul> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I)</li> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II)</li> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Self-Assessment Questions</li> <li>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues)</li> <li>A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries         <ul> <li>Public Interest Organizations             <ul> <li>NAACP v. Button</li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change:</li> <li>What Did Button Decide?             <ul> <li>In ve Primus</li> <li>Labor Unions</li> <li>United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul></li></ul>	590	
<ul> <li>Deceit, Dishonesty, Et Cetera</li> <li>b. Neglect and Lack of Candor</li> <li>c. Sex with a Client</li> <li><i>Case Note: In re Hersh</i></li> <li>d. The Lawyer's "Private" Life and Conduct Unrelated to Practice</li> <li>e. Discrimination in Choice of Clients</li> <li>f. Racist and Sexist Conduct in Law Practice <ul> <li><i>In re Jordan Schiff</i></li> <li>The Surprising Debate over Rule 8.4(g)</li> <li>Four Questions</li> <li>g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct</li> </ul> </li> <li>5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts</li> <li>E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases <ul> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I)</li> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Self-Assessment Questions</li> </ul> <li>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues)</li> <li>A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries <ul> <li>Public Interest Organizations</li> <li><i>NAACP v. Button</i></li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did Button Decide? <ul> <li>In ve Primus</li> </ul> </li> <li>2. Labor Unions <ul> <li>United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li>	Public? 592	
<ul> <li>b. Neglect and Lack of Candor</li> <li>c. Sex with a Client</li> <li>Case Note: In re Hersh</li> <li>d. The Lawyer's "Private" Life and Conduct Unrelated to Practice</li> <li>e. Discrimination in Choice of Clients</li> <li>f. Racist and Sexist Conduct in Law Practice</li> <li>In re Jordan Schiff</li> <li>The Surprising Debate over Rule 8.4(g)</li> <li>Four Questions</li> <li>g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct</li> <li>5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts</li> <li>E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases</li> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I)</li> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II)</li> <li>Self-Assessment Questions</li> <li>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues)</li> <li>A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries</li> <li>1. Public Interest Organizations</li> <li>NAACP v. Button</li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did Button Decide?</li> <li>In re Primus</li> <li>2. Labor Unions</li> <li>United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>b. Neglect and Lack of Candor</li> <li>c. Sex with a Client</li> <li>Case Note: In re Hersh</li> <li>d. The Lawyer's "Private" Life and Conduct Unrelated to Practice</li> <li>e. Discrimination in Choice of Clients</li> <li>f. Racist and Sexist Conduct in Law Practice</li> <li>In re Jordan Schiff</li> <li>The Surprising Debate over Rule 8.4(g)</li> <li>Four Questions</li> <li>g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct</li> <li>5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts</li> <li>E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases</li> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I)</li> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II)</li> <li>Self-Assessment Questions</li> <li>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues)</li> <li>A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries</li> <li>1. Public Interest Organizations</li> <li>NAACP v. Button</li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did Button Decide?</li> <li>In re Primus</li> <li>2. Labor Unions</li> <li>United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ul>	tera 595	
<ul> <li>Case Note: In re Hersh</li> <li>d. The Lawyer's "Private" Life and Conduct Unrelated to Practice</li> <li>e. Discrimination in Choice of Clients</li> <li>f. Racist and Sexist Conduct in Law Practice <ul> <li>In re Jordan Schiff</li> <li>The Surprising Debate over Rule 8.4(g)</li> <li>Four Questions</li> <li>g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct</li> </ul> </li> <li>5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts</li> <li>E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases <ul> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I)</li> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Self-Assessment Questions</li> </ul> <li>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues)</li> <li>A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries <ul> <li>Public Interest Organizations</li> <li>NAACP v. Button</li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change:</li> <li>What Did Button Decide?</li> <li>In re Primus</li> </ul> </li> <li>2. Labor Unions <ul> <li>United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ul> </li>		
<ul> <li>d. The Lawyer's "Private" Life and Conduct Unrelated to Practice</li> <li>e. Discrimination in Choice of Clients</li> <li>f. Racist and Sexist Conduct in Law Practice <ul> <li>In re Jordan Schiff</li> </ul> </li> <li>The Surprising Debate over Rule 8.4(g)</li> <li>Four Questions <ul> <li>g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct</li> </ul> </li> <li>5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts</li> <li>E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases <ul> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I)</li> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Self-Assessment Questions</li> </ul> <li>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues)</li> <li>A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries <ul> <li>Public Interest Organizations</li> <li>NAACP v. Button</li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change:</li> <li>What Did Button Decide?</li> <li>In re Primus</li> </ul> </li> <li>Labor Unions <ul> <li>United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ul> </li>	597	
to Practice e. Discrimination in Choice of Clients f. Racist and Sexist Conduct in Law Practice In re Jordan Schiff The Surprising Debate over Rule 8.4(g) Four Questions g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct 5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I) "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II) Self-Assessment Questions Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues) A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries 1. Public Interest Organizations NAACP v. Button Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did Button Decide? In re Primus 2. Labor Unions United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)	597	
<ul> <li>e. Discrimination in Choice of Clients <ol> <li>Racist and Sexist Conduct in Law Practice </li> <li>In re Jordan Schiff</li> </ol> </li> <li>The Surprising Debate over Rule 8.4(g) <ul> <li>Four Questions</li> <li>g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct</li> </ul> </li> <li>5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts</li> <li>E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases <ul> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I)</li> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Self-Assessment Questions</li> </ul> <li>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues)</li> <li>A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries <ol> <li>Public Interest Organizations <ul> <li>NAACP v. Button</li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change:</li> <li>What Did Button Decide? <ul> <li>In re Primus</li> </ul> </li> <li>Labor Unions <ul> <li>United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ol></li>	Life and Conduct Unrelated	
<ul> <li>f. Racist and Sexist Conduct in Law Practice In re Jordan Schiff The Surprising Debate over Rule 8.4(g) Four Questions g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct</li> <li>5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts</li> <li>E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I) "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II)</li> <li>Self-Assessment Questions</li> </ul> Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues) A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries <ol> <li>Public Interest Organizations NAACP v. Button</li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did Button Decide? In re Primus</li> <li>Labor Unions United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li></ol>	599	
In v Jordan Schiff The Surprising Debate over Rule 8.4(g) Four Questions g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct 5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I) "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II) Self-Assessment Questions Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues) A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries 1. Public Interest Organizations NAACP v. Button Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did Button Decide? In ve Primus 2. Labor Unions United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)	ice of Clients 600	
<ul> <li>The Surprising Debate over Rule 8.4(g)</li> <li>Four Questions</li> <li>g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct</li> <li>5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts</li> <li>E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases <ul> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I)</li> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Self-Assessment Questions</li> </ul> <li>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues) <ul> <li>A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries</li> <li>1. Public Interest Organizations</li> <li>NAACP v. Button</li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did Button Decide?</li> <li>In re Primus</li> </ul> </li> <li>2. Labor Unions <ul> <li>United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ul> </li>	uct in Law Practice 601	
<ul> <li>Four Questions <ul> <li>g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct</li> <li>5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts</li> </ul> </li> <li>E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases <ul> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I)</li> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Self-Assessment Questions</li> </ul> <li>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues) <ul> <li>A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries</li> <li>1. Public Interest Organizations <ul> <li>NAACP v. Button</li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change:</li> <li>What Did Button Decide? <ul> <li>In re Primus</li> </ul> </li> <li>2. Labor Unions <ul> <li>United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul></li>	602	
<ul> <li>g. Failure to Report Another Lawyer's Misconduct</li> <li>5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts</li> <li>E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases     <ul> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I)</li> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Self-Assessment Questions</li> </ul> Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues) A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries <ol> <li>Public Interest Organizations     <ul> <li>NAACP v. Button</li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change:</li> <li>What Did Button Decide?</li> <li>In re Primus</li> </ul> 2. Labor Unions <ul> <li>United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ul></li></ol>	ver Rule 8.4(g) 606	
<ul> <li>5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts</li> <li>E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I) "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II) Self-Assessment Questions</li> <li>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues)</li> <li>A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries <ol> <li>Public Interest Organizations NAACP v. Button</li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did Button Decide? In re Primus</li> <li>Labor Unions United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	610	
<ul> <li>5. Defenses and Mitigating Facts</li> <li>E. Constitutional Protection in Criminal Cases "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I) "She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II) Self-Assessment Questions</li> <li>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues)</li> <li>A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries <ol> <li>Public Interest Organizations NAACP v. Button</li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did Button Decide? In re Primus</li> <li>Labor Unions United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	her Lawyer's Misconduct 611	
<ul> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part I)</li> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II)</li> <li>Self-Assessment Questions</li> </ul> Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues) A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries <ol> <li>Public Interest Organizations</li> <li>NAACP v. Button</li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change:</li> <li>What Did Button Decide?</li> <li>In re Primus</li> </ol> 2. Labor Unions <ul> <li>United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ul>		5
<ul> <li>"She Didn't Call My Alibi" (Part II) Self-Assessment Questions</li> <li>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues)</li> <li>A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries <ol> <li>Public Interest Organizations NAACP v. Button</li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did Button Decide? In re Primus</li> <li>Labor Unions United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Criminal Cases 612	E. (
<ul> <li>Self-Assessment Questions</li> <li>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues)</li> <li>A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries <ol> <li>Public Interest Organizations</li></ol></li></ul>	Alibi" (Part I) 612	
<ul> <li>Chapter XIV Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law Business (and Related Issues)</li> <li>A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries         <ol> <li>Public Interest Organizations                 <i>NAACP v. Button</i>                 Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change:                 What Did Button Decide?                 <i>In re Primus</i>                 2. Labor Unions                 <i>United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan</i>                 The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ol></li></ul>	Alibi" (Part II) 614	
Business (and Related Issues)         A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries         1. Public Interest Organizations         NAACP v. Button         Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change:         What Did Button Decide?         In re Primus         2. Labor Unions         United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan         The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)	617	Self-A
Business (and Related Issues)         A. Nonprofit Entities and Intermediaries         1. Public Interest Organizations         NAACP v. Button         Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change:         What Did Button Decide?         In re Primus         2. Labor Unions         United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan         The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)	onlawyers in the Law	Chapter 2
<ol> <li>Public Interest Organizations NAACP v. Button Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did Button Decide? In re Primus</li> <li>Labor Unions United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ol>		•
<ol> <li>Public Interest Organizations NAACP v. Button</li> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did Button Decide? In re Primus</li> <li>Labor Unions United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ol>	mediaries 621	A. N
<ul> <li>Maintenance, Barratry, Champerty, and Change: What Did Button Decide? In re Primus</li> <li>2. Labor Unions United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ul>	ions 621	1
<ul> <li>What Did Button Decide? In re Primus</li> <li>2. Labor Unions United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ul>	622	
<ul> <li>What Did Button Decide? In re Primus</li> <li>2. Labor Unions United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ul>	hamperty, and Change:	
<ol> <li>Labor Unions United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)</li> </ol>		
United Transportation Union v. State Bar of Michigan The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)	630	
The United Freelancers Association (TUFA)	635	2
	Union v. State Bar of Michigan 637	
	ers Association (TUFA) 640	
B. For-Profit Enterprises	641	B. F
"Can Viktor Be Our Partner?"		
"Can We Make This Deal?"	Deal?" 643	
AmazonLaw.com	644	
Nonlawyers at the Borders	rs 647	
Markenshing Duratest Free ding Durate and IIC	<i>Funding Partners LLC</i> 649	
Maslowski v. Prospect Funding Partners LLC	656	Self-A

D		4	Firm
г	ar	ι	Five

FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF LAWYERS AND JUDICIAL CANDIDATES 657				
Chapter XV	Free Speech Rights of Lawyers and Judicial			
	Candidates	659		
A. Publi	c Comment About Pending Cases	659		
	Case Note: Gentile v. State Bar of Nevada	661		
	Questions and Comments About Gentile	665		
	People v. Harvey Weinstein	667		
Iı	mmunity for Allegedly Defamatory Statements			
	Incident to Litigation	668		
B. Publi	ic Comment About Judges	668		
	"#SourOnHoney"	668		
	In re Holtzman	670		
	Questions and Comments About Holtzman	672		
C. Judic	ial Campaign Speech	674		
	"Vote for Shelley Maroon. Vote Pro-Life!"	674		
	Republican Party of Minnesota v. White	676		
	Gray Areas Post-White	681		
	Iow About Supreme Court Nominees?	684		
Self-Asses	ssment Questions	685		
Chapter XVI	Marketing Legal Services	687		
	"The Top Ten"	687		
Ν	Iarketing Legal Services: An Introduction	688		
A. Defir	ning the Borders: Bates and Ohralik	689		
	Ohralik v. Ohio State Bar Ass'n	692		
А	Prophylactic Rule	695		
B. Defir	ning the Center: Zauderer and Shapero	696		
1. T	argeted Advertisements	696		
	Zauderer v. Office of Disciplinary Counsel	696		
	argeted Mail	700		
C. Defir	ning the Methodology	701		
	low Does the Court Know Things?	702		
	rofessionalism and Money	702		
Self-Asses	ssment Question	704		
Answers to Self	f-Assessment Questions	705		
Table of Cases		725		
	s, Rules, and Restatement Provisions	733		
Index		737		