

---

## CONTENTS

<b>Preface</b>	<b>xxxvii</b>
<b>Author's Note on Research and Editing Process</b>	<b>xli</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>xliii</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>PART I AI GOVERNANCE IN CONTEXT</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Chapter 2 Definitions</b>	<b>9</b>
A. Case Studies in Defining AI in Law and Standards	9
1. AI Definitions in Federal and State Law	10
a. Advancing American AI Act	10
b. John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019	11
c. Colorado AI Consumer Protection Act	11
d. California Government Code	12
e. California AI Training Data Transparency Act	12
2. AI Definitions in Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) AI Principles	13
a. Original OECD Definition of AI	13
Original AI Definition Recommended by the OECD Council on AI (2019)	13
b. Revised OECD Definition to Encompass General Purpose AI (GPAI) and Generative AI	14
Revised AI Definition by OECD to Accommodate Generative AI (2023)	14
c. Revised EU AI Act Definition to Encompass General Purpose AI (GPAI) and Generative AI	16
B. AI Components and Terms	17
1. Datasets and Training Models	17
a. Protecting Americans' Data from Foreign Adversaries Act of 2024	18
2. Algorithms	20
a. Use and Disclosure of Predictive Modeling in Medicare Programs	22
	<b>xi</b>

3. Reasoning and Problem Solving	23
a. Mississippi Computer Science and Cyber Education Equality Act	23
4. Planning and Scheduling	23
a. NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, ASPEN (Automated Scheduling and Planning Environment)	24
5. Learning	24
a. National Artificial Intelligence Initiative Act of 2020 [Definitions]	25
6. Processing and Sensors	25
a. Virginia Hospital and Nursing Home Licensure and Inspection	25
C. AI Fields, Domains, and Subdomains	26
1. AI Systems and Taxonomy	26
2. Machine Learning	28
3. Natural Language Processing	28
4. Data Science, Big Data, and Data Analytics	29
5. Perception and Robotics	30
D. Representative Functionalities of AI	31
1. Narrow AI, Reactive AI, and Predictive AI	31
2. Limited Memory AI and Generative AI	32
3. Theory of Mind AI	34
4. Sentient AI and Artificial General Intelligence as Theoretical AI	34
E. AI Lifecycles	34
<b>Chapter 3     Conceptualizing AI Governance</b>	<b>37</b>
A. Introduction to Oversight Mechanisms	37
1. Hard Law v. Soft Law	38
2. Horizontal Oversight v. Vertical Oversight	40
3. Internal Governance and Self-Governance v. External Governance	41
4. Multistakeholder Governance and Cooperative Governance	41
a. White House Voluntary Commitments with Leading AI Companies	42
Fact Sheet: Biden-Harris Administration Secures Voluntary Commitments from Leading Artificial Intelligence Companies to Manage the Risks Posed by AI	42
Voluntary AI Commitments	42
b. EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), Article 50 [International Cooperation for the Protection of Personal Data]	43
c. EU AI Act, Article 40 [Harmonised Standards and Standardisation Deliverables]	43
B. Case Studies in Risk Elimination and Risk Mitigation Approaches	43
1. Rights-Based Framework	44
a. White House Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) Blueprint for an AI Bill of Rights	44
Blueprint for an AI Bill of Rights	47

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xiii</b>
2. Risk-Based Framework	50
a. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) AI Risk Management Framework	51
NIST AI Risk Management Framework (AI RMF 1.0)	51
C. Case Study in Hybrid Framework: Rights- and Risk-Based Model	66
1. EU AI Act: Rights-Based Approach	67
a. EU AI Act, Article 27 [Rights Impact Assessment]	67
b. EU AI Act, Recital 1 [Regulation Purpose]	67
2. EU AI Act: Risk-Based Approach	68
a. EU AI Act, Article 6 [High-Risk AI System Classifications]	68
b. EU AI Act, Annex III [High-Risk AI Systems]	68
D. Case Studies in Evolutionary Governance Through Statutory Reform and Litigation	70
1. Testing Developer and Deployer Liability in Litigation	70
a. <i>Rodgers v. Christie</i>	71
<i>Rodgers v. Christie</i>	71
2. Treatment of Developers and Deployers in AI Statutes	72
a. Colorado AI Consumer Protection Act, Definitions	72
b. California AI Training Data Transparency Act	74
 <b>Chapter 4     Algorithmic Discrimination and Disparate Impact</b>	 <b>77</b>
A. Algorithmic Discrimination and Bias Harms	77
1. Algorithmic Discrimination Protections	78
a. Blueprint for an AI Bill of Rights	78
Blueprint for an AI Bill of Rights	78
2. Managing AI Bias	79
a. NIST AI Risk Management Framework	79
NIST AI Risk Management Framework	79
3. EU AI Act and General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)	80
a. EU AI Act, Article 3 [Definition of Profiling]	82
b. EU AI Act, Article 7 [Amendments to Annex III (High-Risk AI Systems)]	82
c. EU AI Act, Article 14 [Human Oversight]	83
4. Colorado AI Consumer Protection Act	84
a. Colo. Rev. Stat. Sec. 6-1-1701(1), (9). Definitions—Algorithmic Discrimination; High-Risk Artificial Intelligence System	84
b. Colo. Rev. Stat. Sec. 6-1-1702. Developer Duty to Avoid Algorithmic Discrimination—Required Documentation	85

c. Colo. Rev. Stat. Sec. 6-1-1703. Deployer Duty to Avoid Algorithmic Discrimination — Risk Management Policy and Program	86
B. Case Studies in Algorithmic Bias and Disparate Impact	86
1. Risk Assessment Automation as Case Study	86
a. State v. Loomis	86
<i>State v. Loomis</i>	87
2. Biometrics and Facial Recognition Technology as Case Study	93
a. ACLU v. ClearviewAI (Consent Order)	93
<i>ACLU v. Clearview AI (Consent Order)</i>	94
b. FTC v. Rite Aid (Complaint)	95
<i>FTC v. Rite Aid (Complaint)</i>	95
C. Case Studies in Federal Responses	96
1. White House Executive Orders and Federal Interagency Coordination as Case Studies	97
a. White House Executive Order on Removing Barriers to American Leadership in Artificial Intelligence	97
White House Executive Order 14179: Removing Barriers to American Leadership in Artificial Intelligence	97
b. White House Executive Order on the Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of AI (Rescinded)	98
White House Executive Order 14110 (Rescinded): Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of Artificial Intelligence	98
c. Federal Interagency Public Statement: Joint Statement on Enforcement Efforts Against Discrimination and Bias in Automated Systems	101
Joint Statement on Enforcement Efforts Against Discrimination and Bias in Automated Systems	102
2. AI and Federal Procurement and Contract Compliance Policy as Case Studies	105
a. White House Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Memorandum: Advancing Governance, Innovation, and Risk Management in Federal Agencies' Use of Artificial Intelligence (Rescinded)	105
Advancing Governance, Innovation, and Risk Management for Agency Use of Artificial Intelligence (Rescinded)	105
b. U.S. Department of Labor, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs: AI Guidance for Federal Contractors (Guidance Removed)	110
Artificial Intelligence and Equal Employment Opportunity for Federal Contractors (Guidance Removed)	111
c. Advancing American AI Act	112

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Chapter 5 Transparency and Explanation</b>	<b>115</b>
A. Transparency, Explanation, and Notice and Disclosure	115
1. Case Studies of Transparency Requirements	115
a. EU AI Act, Articles 13 and 50 [Principle of Transparency]	116
b. GDPR, Articles 12 and 15 [Principle of Transparency]	118
2. Case Studies of Explanation and Interpretability	120
a. EU AI Act, Article 86 [Principle of Right to Explanation]	120
b. EU AI Act, Article 14(4) [Principle of Human Oversight]	120
3. Case Studies of Notice and Disclosure	121
a. GDPR, Articles 13 and 14 [Right to Notice and to be Informed]	121
b. Colo. Rev. Stat. Sec. 6-1-1704—Disclosure of an Artificial Intelligence System to Consumer	124
B. Attempts to Assert Black Box Accountability	124
1. Illinois Biometric Information Privacy Act as Case Study	125
a. Deyerler v. HireVue, Inc. (Order)	125
<i>Deyerler v. HireVue, Inc. (Order)</i>	125
b. HireVue’s Notice and AI Explanation Statement	131
HireVue AI Short-Form Explainability Statement	131
2. Facebook Papers from Whistleblower Frances Haugen	136
a. Algorithmic Accountability Act (Proposed)	137
3. FTC Enforcement as Case Study	142
a. FTC v. TransUnion Rental Screening Solutions (Complaint)	142
<i>FTC v. TransUnion Rental Screening Solutions (Complaint)</i>	142
<b>Chapter 6 Data Privacy and Autonomy</b>	<b>147</b>
A. Data Privacy and Informational Privacy	147
1. Right to Privacy and User-Centric Data Control	147
a. California Constitution, Art. I, Sec. I	148
b. In re Google Assistant Privacy Litigation (Complaint)	148
<i>In re Google Assistant Privacy Litigation (Fourth Amended Complaint)</i>	148
2. Human Rights and Right to Privacy, Informational Privacy, and Data Protection	150
a. UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Article 12	150
b. European Convention on Human Rights, Articles 8 and 10	151
c. European Charter of Fundamental Rights, Articles 7 and 8	151
3. Protecting Data Privacy as a Fundamental Right in AI Systems	152
a. League of Human Rights v. Council of Ministers [PNR Case]	153
<i>League of Human Rights v. Council of Ministers [PNR Case]</i>	
<i>[Ligue des Droits Humains ASBL v Conseil des Ministres]</i>	153

B. Case Studies of Informational Self-Determination and Autonomy	159
1. NSA Disclosures of Whistleblower Edward Snowden	159
a. Maximillian Schrems v. Data Protection Commissioner	160
<i>Maximillian Schrems v. Data Protection Commissioner</i>	160
b. Klayman v. Obama (Vacated and Remanded)	162
<i>Klayman v. Obama (Vacated and Remanded)</i>	162
2. Cambridge Analytica Disclosures from Whistleblower Christopher Wylie	177
a. David Carroll v. Cambridge Analytica et al. (Complaint)	178
<i>David Carroll v. Cambridge Analytica et al. (Complaint)</i>	178
3. FTC Enforcement as Case Study	179
a. United States v. Facebook (FTC Settlement)	179
b. In the Matter of Cambridge Analytica, LLC (FTC Opinion)	180
<i>In the Matter of Cambridge Analytica, LLC (FTC Opinion)</i>	180
<b>Chapter 7     Personhood and Citizenship</b>	<b>183</b>
A. AI and Personhood	183
1. Legal Personhood as Legal Recognition	184
a. Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission	184
<i>Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission</i>	184
2. Right to Publicity and Digital Identity	190
a. Lehrman v. LOVO, Inc. (Complaint)	190
<i>Lehrman v. LOVO, Inc. (Complaint)</i>	190
B. Case Studies of Human Personhood as Legal Requirement	191
1. Human Invention Requirement for AI Inventorship in Patents	192
a. Thaler v. Vidal	193
<i>Thaler v. Vidal</i>	193
b. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Inventorship Guidance for AI-Assisted Inventions	197
Inventorship Guidance for AI-Assisted Inventions	197
2. Human Authorship Requirement for Copyright Protection	199
a. Thaler v. Perlmutter	199
<i>Thaler v. Perlmutter</i>	199
b. U.S. Copyright Office Policy Statement on Registering AI-Generated Output and Application of the Guidance to Applications	208
Copyright Registration Guidance: Works Containing Material Generated by Artificial Intelligence	208
C. Case Studies of Androids/Humanoids and Citizenship	213
1. Granting State Citizenship to Android/Humanoid Robot	213
a. Sophia (AI-Driven Robot) and Saudi Arabian Citizenship	213

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xvii</b>
2. Scientific Community Response	214
a. Open Letter to the European Commission on Granting Legal Status or Citizenship Status to AI-Driven Robots	214
Open Letter to the European Commission on Granting Legal Status or Citizenship Status to AI-Driven Robots	214
D. Case Studies of AI Virtual Assistants	216
a. <i>Moffatt v. Air Canada</i>	217
<i>Moffatt v. Air Canada</i>	217
 <b>Chapter 8     Human Oversight and Generative AI</b>	 <b>221</b>
A. Human Oversight	221
1. International	221
a. EU AI Act, Article 14(1)-(3) [Human Oversight]	221
b. UNESCO Ethical Impact Assessment	222
Ethical Impact Assessment: A Tool of the Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence	222
2. Federal	223
a. Artificial Intelligence Ethics Framework for the Intelligence Community	223
Artificial Intelligence Ethics Framework for the Intelligence Community	223
b. White House Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Memorandum: Advancing Governance, Innovation, and Risk Management in Federal Agencies' Use of Artificial Intelligence (Rescinded)	224
Advancing Governance, Innovation, and Risk Management for Agency Use of Artificial Intelligence (Rescinded)	224
3. Corporate	225
a. Microsoft Responsible AI Standard v2	225
Microsoft Responsible AI Standard, v2	225
B. Challenges of Generative AI Oversight	226
1. Challenges of Regulation of AI Foundation Models	226
a. The Regulation of Foundation Models in the EU AI Act	227
The Regulation of Foundation Models in the EU AI Act	227
2. Challenges of AI Regulation v. Generative AI Regulation	230
a. California AI Training Data Transparency Act	231
b. A Report from the EU Europol Innovation Lab: Revised Definition of AI to Accommodate Generative AI	232
EU Europol Innovation Lab: ChatGPT — The Impact of Large Language Models on Law Enforcement	233
c. NIST AI Risk Management Framework	235
NIST AI Risk Management Framework (AI RMF 1.0)	235

C. Case Studies of Evolving Liabilities and Projected Risks	237
a. NIST AI Risk Management Framework: Generative AI Profile	237
NIST AI 600-1, Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework: Generative Artificial Intelligence Profile	237
b. New York Times v. Microsoft, OpenAI, et al. (Complaint)	240
<i>New York Times v. Microsoft, OpenAI, et al. (Complaint)</i>	241
<b>PART II      STATUTORY AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS</b>	<b>249</b>
<b>Chapter 9    Selected European Union (EU) Frameworks</b>	<b>251</b>
A. EU AI Act	251
1. Summaries of EU AI Act	252
a. European Commission	253
European Artificial Intelligence Act Comes Into Force	253
AI Act: Shaping Europe's Digital Future: Policies	254
b. European Parliament	257
Artificial Intelligence Act: Deal on Comprehensive Rules for Trustworthy AI	257
c. Council of the European Union	259
Artificial Intelligence (AI) Act: Council Gives Final Green Light to the First Worldwide Rules on AI (Press Release)	259
2. Representative Provisions of the <i>EU AI Act</i>	261
a. EU AI Act, Article 3 [Definitions]	261
b. EU AI Act, Article 16 [Obligations of Providers of High-Risk AI Systems]	262
c. EU AI Act, Article 99 [Penalties]	263
B. Other Representative Related EU Laws	263
1. Representative Provisions of the EU General Data Protection Regulation	264
a. GDPR, Article 5 [Principles Relating to Processing of Personal Data]	264
b. GDPR, Article 13 [Information to Be Provided Where Personal Data Are Collected from the Data Subject]	265
c. GDPR, Article 14 [Information to Be Provided Where Personal Data Have Not Been Obtained from the Data Subject]	267
d. GDPR, Article 17 [Right to Erasure ("Right to Be Forgotten")]	267
e. GDPR, Article 22 [Automated Individual Decision-making, Including Profiling]	268
2. Representative Provisions of the EU Digital Services Act	269
a. DSA, Article 3 [Definitions]	270
b. DSA, Article 27 [Recommender System Transparency]	271



<b>Contents</b>	<b>xix</b>
c. DSA, Article 28 [Online Protection of Minors]	271
d. DSA, Article 35 [Mitigation of Risks]	271
e. DSA, Article 38 [Recommender Systems]	272
f. DSA, Article 45 [Codes of Conduct]	272
 <b>Chapter 10 Selected International Frameworks</b>	 <b>275</b>
A. People's Republic of China (PRC)	275
1. Generative AI Regulations	276
a. PRC Interim Measures for the Management of Generative AI Services	276
2. Regulation of Algorithms	278
a. Algorithmic Recommendation Management Provisions	278
3. Deepfake and AI Deep Synthesis Regulation	284
a. PRC Deep Synthesis Management Provisions	284
4. AI Ethics and AI Guidelines	285
a. PRC Scientific and Technological Ethics Regulation	286
b. PRC AI Guidelines: AI Safety Governance Framework	289
5. Social Credit System	290
a. Law of the PRC on the Establishment of the Social Credit System (Draft for Comment)	291
B. Other Representative International AI Developments	297
1. Examples of International Omnibus AI Laws and Governance Frameworks	297
a. South Korea, The Framework Act on the Development of Artificial Intelligence and Establishment of Trust Foundation ("AI Basic Act")	298
b. Singapore, Model AI Governance Framework for Generative AI	299
2. Examples of Discrete AI Laws and Regulations Enacted Globally	301
a. Library of Congress Global AI Regulation Summary	301
Regulation of Artificial Intelligence Around the World	301
C. Case Studies in International AI Cooperation and Global Governance Developments	307
1. Selected Examples of International AI Developments in Cooperation and Governance	308
a. UK AI Safety Summit Bletchley Declaration on AI Safety	308
Bletchley Declaration on AI Safety	308
b. United Nations Resolution on AI	311

<b>Chapter 11 U.S. Federal and State Law Developments</b>	<b>317</b>
A. Case Studies of U.S. Federal Law Developments	317
1. Selected U.S. Federal Law Developments	318
a. Advancing American AI Act	318
b. National Artificial Intelligence Initiative Act of 2020	319
2. Selected U.S. Federal Executive Actions	323
a. White House Executive Order on the Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of AI (Rescinded)	323
White House Executive Order 14110 (Rescinded): Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of Artificial Intelligence	323
b. White House Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Memorandum: Advancing Governance, Innovation, and Risk Management in Federal Agencies' Use of Artificial Intelligence (Rescinded)	325
Advancing Governance, Innovation, and Risk Management for Agency Use of Artificial Intelligence (Rescinded)	326
B. Case Studies of U.S. State and Local Law Developments	330
1. Examples of Antidiscrimination Provisions	330
a. Colorado AI Consumer Protection Act	331
b. New York City Local Law 144: Automated Employment Decision Tools	331
2. Examples of Data Privacy and Transparency	333
a. California Personal Information and AI Systems Act	334
b. California AI Transparency Act	335
c. Minnesota Prohibiting Social Media Manipulation Act	337
3. Examples of Human Oversight and Automated Decisionmaking Provisions	338
a. Utah Artificial Intelligence Consumer Protection Amendments	338
 <b>Chapter 12 Overlapping Legal Regimes: International and Domestic, Public and Private</b>	 <b>343</b>
A. Platform Regulation and Internet Law in the U.S.	343
1. Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act as Case Study	344
a. Twitter v. Taamneh	345
<i>Twitter, Inc. v. Taamneh</i>	345
2. Platform Regulation and Federalism in the U.S. as Case Study	351
a. Moody v. NetChoice, LLC	351
<i>Moody v. NetChoice, LLC</i>	352
b. Murthy v. Missouri	362
<i>Murthy v. Missouri</i>	362
B. TikTok Ban Efforts in U.S. as Case Study	369
1. Selected Federal Actions and Cases	369

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. White House Executive Order Addressing the Threat Posed by TikTok 370 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>White House Executive Order 13942: Addressing the Threat Posed by TikTok, and Taking Additional Steps to Address the National Emergency With Respect to the Information and Communications Technology and Services Supply Chain 370</li> </ul> </li> <li>b. Federal TikTok Ban: Protecting Americans From Foreign Adversary Controlled Applications Act 372</li> <li>c. TikTok, Inc. v. Garland 374 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>TikTok, Inc. v. Garland (Petition)</i> 374</li> <li><i>TikTok, Inc. v. Garland</i> 376</li> </ul> </li> <li>2. Selected State Actions and Cases 380 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Alario v. Knudsen 380 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Alario v. Knudsen</i> 381</li> </ul> </li> <li>b. Virginia Executive Order 24 (Banning TikTok from State Government Technology) 385 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Executive Order No. 24 Banning the Use of Certain Applications 385</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<b>PART III CONSTITUTIONAL AND PUBLIC LAW</b>	<b>389</b>
<b>Chapter 13 Expressive Freedoms</b>	<b>391</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. First Amendment Challenges by Platforms and AI Companies 391 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Right to Erasure and Platform Rights 391 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Google v. CNIL 392 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Google v. CNIL</i> 392</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>2. First Amendment as a Defense in Privacy Cases 398 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. In re Clearview AI, Inc., Consumer Privacy Litigation 398 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>In re Clearview AI, Inc., Consumer Privacy Litigation</i> 398</li> </ul> </li> <li>b. American Civil Liberties Union v. Clearview AI, Inc. 401 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>American Civil Liberties Union v. Clearview AI, Inc.</i> 402</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>3. First Amendment as a Defense in Law Enforcement Case 409 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. In the Matter of the Search of an Apple iPhone Seized During the Execution of a Search Warrant (Motion to Vacate Order) 410 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>In the Matter of the Search of an Apple iPhone Seized During the Execution of a Search Warrant (Motion to Vacate Order)</i> 410</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>B. Case Studies in Filtering and Content Moderation 416 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. AI in Content Moderation 417 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Amerson v. Reddit (Complaint) 417 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Amerson v. Reddit (Second Amended Complaint)</i> 417</li> </ul> </li> <li>b. Elonis v. United States 420 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Elonis v. United States</i> 420</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

<b>Chapter 14 Elections and Deepfakes</b>	<b>427</b>
A. Cognitive Security	427
1. Psychological Operations, Cyberconflict, and Foreign Influence Campaigns	427
a. United States v. Internet Research Agency LLC (Indictment)	428
<i>United States v. Internet Research Agency LLC (Indictment)</i>	428
b. United States v. Netyksho et al. [GRU Officers] (Indictment)	429
<i>United States v. Viktor Borisovich Netyksho, et al. [GRU Officers] (Indictment)</i>	429
2. Disinformation and Misinformation	430
a. House Select Committee to Investigate the January 6th Attack on the U.S. Capitol Report and Purple Report of Committee (Draft Report)	431
Social Media and the January 6th Attack on the U.S. Capitol	431
B. Regulating Deepfakes	440
1. Case Studies of International Action	440
a. India Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (Revised Advisory on Deepfakes)	440
India Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, Cyber Law and Data Governance Group (Revised Advisory on Deepfakes)	441
2. Case Studies of Federal Action	442
a. National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020 (Report on Deepfake Technology)	442
b. FTC Rule Prohibiting Government and Business Impersonation Schemes	444
3. Case Studies of State Action in Regulating Deepfakes and State Election Law	445
a. Virginia Code: Unlawful Dissemination or Sale of Images of Another Person	445
b. California Election Code: Deceptive Audio or Visual Media	446
c. California Defending Democracy from Deepfake Deception Act of 2024	449
d. Texas Election Advertisement Deepfake Law	452
C. AI and Robocalls	453
1. Case Studies in Regulating and Challenging Robocalls	453
a. FCC: In the Matter of Implications of Artificial Intelligence Technologies on Protecting Consumers from Unwanted Robocalls and Robotexts (Declaratory Ruling)	453
<i>In the Matter of Implications of Artificial Intelligence Technologies on Protecting Consumers from Unwanted Robocalls and Robotexts (Declaratory Ruling)</i>	453
b. League of Women Voters v. Kramer (Complaint)	454
<i>League of Women Voters v. Kramer (Complaint)</i>	454

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>Chapter 15 Due Process and Equal Protection</b>	<b>459</b>
A. Substantive Due Process and Information Privacy Rights Under the U.S. Constitution	459
1. Selected Case Studies in Constitutional Privacy	460
a. <i>Griswold v. Connecticut</i>	460
<i>Griswold v. Connecticut</i>	460
b. <i>Whalen v. Roe</i>	462
<i>Whalen v. Roe</i>	462
c. <i>NASA v. Nelson</i>	473
<i>NASA v. Nelson</i>	473
B. Procedural Due Process	485
1. Case Study in AI and Procedural Due Process	486
a. <i>Bauserman v. Unemployment Insurance Agency</i>	486
<i>Bauserman v. Unemployment Insurance Agency</i>	486
C. Equal Protection	492
1. Qualified Immunity Defense to Procedural Due Process and Equal Protection Challenges	492
a. <i>Cahoo v. SAS Analytics</i>	492
<i>Cahoo v. SAS Analytics</i>	493
2. “Equality” in Screening and Surveillance, and Disparate Impact	501
a. <i>FBI v. Fikre</i>	501
<i>FBI v. Fikre</i>	502
b. <i>Trump v. Hawaii</i>	507
<i>Trump v. Hawaii</i>	508
<b>Chapter 16 Criminal Procedure</b>	<b>523</b>
A. Criminal Procedure Challenges	523
1. Data Privacy and Cybersearch Protection under the Fourth Amendment	524
a. <i>Carpenter v. United States</i>	524
<i>Carpenter v. United States</i>	524
2. Due Process in Criminal Procedure	534
a. <i>State v. Loomis</i>	535
<i>State v. Loomis</i>	536
3. Self-Incrimination Protections and Fifth Amendment	540
a. In the Matter of the Search of a Residence in Oakland, California (Order)	541
<i>In the Matter of the Search of a Residence in Oakland, California (Order)</i>	541

4. Confrontation in Criminal Procedure and the Sixth Amendment	547
a. <i>State v. Pickett</i>	548
<i>State v. Pickett</i>	548
B. AI-Enhanced Evidence Introduced in Criminal Proceeding	556
a. <i>State of Washington v. Everybodytalksabout</i> (Frye Hearing on Admissibility of Videos Enhanced by AI)	556
<i>State of Washington v. Joshua Puloka, aka Joshua Everybodytalksabout</i>	556
<b>Chapter 17 Worker Protections in Equal Employment and Disability Laws</b>	<b>561</b>
A. Antidiscrimination Law in Employment	561
1. EEOC Enforcement as Case Study	561
a. EEOC Press Release on EEOC v. iTutor Group	561
iTutorGroup to Pay \$365,000 to Settle EEOC Discriminatory Hiring Suit	562
b. EEOC v. iTutorGroup, Inc. (Consent Decree)	562
<i>EEOC v. iTutorGroup, Inc. et al. (Consent Decree)</i>	563
2. EEOC Technical Guidance as a Case Study	567
a. EEOC Guidance: Selecting Issues: Assessing Adverse Impact Software, Algorithms, and Artificial Intelligence Used in Employment Selection Procedures Under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964	567
Selecting Issues: Assessing Adverse Impact Software, Algorithms, and Artificial Intelligence Used in Employment Selection Procedures Under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964	567
B. Disability Law in Employment	569
1. EEOC Enforcement as a Case Study	569
a. <i>Mobley v. Workday, Inc.</i> (Granting Motion to Dismiss With Leave to Amend)	569
<i>Mobley v. Workday, Inc.</i>	570
2. EEOC Technical Guidance as a Case Study	575
a. EEOC Guidance: The Americans with Disabilities Act and the Use of Software, Algorithms, and Artificial Intelligence to Assess Job Applicants and Employees	576
The Americans with Disabilities Act and the Use of Software, Algorithms, and Artificial Intelligence to Assess Job Applicants and Employees	576
b. EEOC Guidance: Tips for Workers: The Americans with Disabilities Act and the Use of Software, Algorithms, and Artificial Intelligence	580
Tips for Workers: The Americans with Disabilities Act and the Use of Software, Algorithms, and Artificial Intelligence	580

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>Chapter 18 Consumer and Financial Protections</b>	<b>585</b>
A. Consumer Protection	585
1. AI Consumer Protection and Disclosure	585
a. Vermont v. Clearview AI, Inc. (Ruling on Motion for Partial Summary Judgment)	586
<i>State of Vermont v. Clearview AI, Inc. (Ruling on Motion for Partial Summary Judgment)</i>	586
b. Colorado AI Consumer Protection Act	592
2. FTC Enforcement and Actions as Case Study	593
a. FTC v. Automators, LLC (Complaint)	593
<i>FTC v. Automators LLC (Complaint)</i>	593
b. Proposed Amendments to Trade Regulation Rule on Impersonation of Government and Business	595
c. Resolution Directing Use of Compulsory Process in a Non-Public Investigation of AI Products and Services	597
Resolution Directing Use of Compulsory Process in a Non-Public Investigation of Products and Services That Use or Are Produced Using Artificial Intelligence That Purport to Detect the Use of Artificial Intelligence	597
3. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) Enforcement and Actions as a Case Study	598
a. In the Matter of Hello Digit (Consent Order)	598
<i>In the Matter of Hello Digit (Consent Order)</i>	599
b. CFPB v. Credit Acceptance Corp. (Complaint)	602
<i>CFPB v. Credit Acceptance Corp. (Complaint)</i>	603
c. Final Rule: Quality Control Standards for Automated Value Models	609
B. Consumer Protection for Children and Minors	610
1. Children's Online Privacy Protection Act (COPPA) and Parental Notification	610
a. U.S. v. Kurbo (Complaint)	611
<i>U.S. v. Kurbo, Inc. (Complaint)</i>	611
2. State Laws Restricting Social Media Access or Feeds to Minors	615
a. Florida Act Relating to Online Protection for Minors	615
b. New York Law, Ch. 120—Stop Addictive Feeds Exploitation (SAFE) for Kids Act	621
c. New York Child Data Protection Act	626
3. Case Studies of International Protections	631
a. Australia: Online Safety Amendment (Social Media Minimum Age) Act	631

b. Protection of Minors under EU AI Act and GDPR	635
c. UNICEF Policy Guidance on AI for Children	637
Policy Guidance on AI for Children 2.0	637
<b>PART IV     PRIVATE LAW AND OTHER RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES</b>	<b>641</b>
<b>Chapter 19   Overview of AI Product Liability and Negligence: Examining the Intersection of Tort and Contract Law</b>	<b>643</b>
A. Torts and Product Liability	644
1. Evolving Liability Guidelines	644
a. EU Defective Product Liability Directive	644
Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on Liability for Defective Products	644
b. EU AI Liability Directive (Proposal Withdrawn)	646
Directive of the European Parliament and the Council on Adapting Non-Contractual Civil Liability Rules to Artificial Intelligence (AI Liability Directive: Proposal Withdrawn)	646
2. Product Liability	651
a. Hsu v. Tesla Motors, Inc. (Complaint)	652
<i>Chia Wei Hsu v. Tesla Motors, Inc., et al. (Complaint)</i>	652
3. Negligence and Standard of Care	654
a. Nilsson v. General Motors (Complaint)	654
<i>Nilsson v. General Motors LLC (Complaint)</i>	654
B. Contract Liability	655
1. AI and Breach of Contract	656
a. Joanne Barrows & Susann Hagood v. Humana, Inc. (Complaint)	656
<i>Joanne Barrows &amp; Susan Hagood v. Humana, Inc. (Complaint)</i>	656
C. Medical Liability and Patient Protections	659
1. Medical Liability and Safety	660
a. Lowe v. Cerner Corp.	660
<i>Lowe v. Cerner Corp.</i>	660
b. White House Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Memorandum: Advancing Governance, Innovation, and Risk Management in Federal Agencies' Use of Artificial Intelligence (Rescinded)	667
Advancing Governance, Innovation, and Risk Management for Agency Use of Artificial Intelligence (Rescinded)	668
2. Patient Protection	671
a. White House Executive Order on the Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of AI (Rescinded)	671
White House Executive Order 14110 (Rescinded): Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of AI	671



<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxvii</b>
b. Coalition for Health: Blueprint for Trustworthy AI Implementation Guidance and Assurance for Healthcare	673
Blueprint for Trustworthy AI Implementation Guidance and Assurance for Healthcare	674
<b>Chapter 20 Privacy Torts and Defamation</b>	<b>681</b>
A. Privacy Torts	681
1. Intrusion Upon Seclusion	681
a. P.M. et al. v. OpenAI et al. (Complaint)	681
<i>P.M. et al. v. OpenAI LP et al. (Complaint)</i>	682
2. Public Disclosure of Private Facts	685
a. J.L. et al. v. Alphabet, Inc. et al. (Complaint)	685
<i>J.L. et al. v. Alphabet, Inc. et al. (Complaint)</i>	685
3. False Light and Invasion of Right of Publicity	687
a. Young v. NeoCortext, Inc. (Response to Motion to Dismiss)	687
<i>Young v. NeoCortext, Inc. (Response to a Motion to Dismiss)</i>	687
b. Tennessee ELVIS Act	689
4. Appropriation	691
a. Main Sequence, Ltd. v. Dudesy, LLC (Complaint)	691
<i>Main Sequence, Ltd. v. Dudesy, LLC (Complaint)</i>	691
B. Defamation	694
1. Defamation and Generative AI	694
a. Walters v. OpenAI LLC (Complaint)	694
<i>Walters v. OpenAI LLC (Complaint)</i>	694
<b>Chapter 21 Data Protection, Cybersecurity, and Web Scraping</b>	<b>697</b>
A. Data Privacy and Data Protection	697
1. Data Privacy: Impermissible Selling of Geolocation Data	697
a. FTC v. Kochava, Inc.	698
<i>FTC v. Kochava, Inc.</i>	698
2. Data Protection: GDPR and Cross-Border Processing of Data	704
a. European Data Protection Board, Binding Decision on Data Transfers by Meta Platforms Ireland Limited for Its Facebook Service (GDPR, Art. 65)	704
<i>Binding Decision 1/2023 on Data Transfers by Meta Platforms             Ireland Limited for Its Facebook Service (GDPR, Art. 65)</i>	704
B. Cybersecurity and Data Breach Risks	708
1. Sensitive Personal Data and Case Study of Data Breach Risks	708
a. Santana et al. v. 23andMe, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation (Complaint)	708
<i>Santana et al. v. 23andMe, Inc. (Complaint)</i>	708

C. Web Scraping	712
1. Case Studies of Challenges to Web Scraping	712
a. hiQ v. LinkedIn	712
<i>hiQ Labs, Inc., v. LinkedIn Corp.</i>	712
b. Meta Platforms v. Bright Data (Ruling on Motion for Partial Summary Judgment)	716
<i>Meta Platforms v. Bright Data (Ruling on Motion for Partial Summary Judgment)</i>	716
<b>Chapter 22 Intellectual Property</b>	<b>733</b>
A. Patentability of AI	733
1. AI and Patent Infringement Case Study	733
a. Hyper Search, LLC v. Facebook, Inc. (Report and Recommendation on Motion to Dismiss)	733
<i>Hyper Search, LLC v. Facebook, Inc.</i>	734
B. Copyright Infringement	742
1. Representative Complaints in Generative AI Copyright Cases	742
a. Silverman et al. v. OpenAI, Inc. (Authors) (Complaint)	742
<i>Silverman et al. v. OpenAI, Inc. (Complaint)</i>	742
b. Andersen v. Stability AI (Visual Artists) (Order on Motion to Dismiss)	746
<i>Sarah Andersen et al. v. Stability AI Ltd. et al. (Order on Motion to Dismiss)</i>	747
<i>Sarah Andersen et al. v. Stability AI Ltd. et al. (Order on Motion to Dismiss)</i>	749
c. Zhang v. Google (Visual Artists) (Complaint)	757
<i>Zhang v. Google (Complaint)</i>	757
d. Concord Music Group v. Anthropic (Music Labels: Lyrics) (Complaint)	759
<i>Concord Music Group v. Anthropic (Complaint)</i>	759
e. UMG Recordings v. Uncharted Labs (Recording Studios: Music) (Complaint)	761
<i>UMG Recordings v. Uncharted Labs (Complaint)</i>	761
f. Lehrman v. LOVO, Inc. (Voice Actors) (Complaint)	765
<i>Lehrman v. LOVO, Inc. (Complaint)</i>	765
g. The Intercept v. OpenAI (News Organization) (Complaint)	766
<i>The Intercept Media v. OpenAI (Complaint)</i>	766
2. Copyrightability of AI-Generated Works	767
a. Zarya of the Dawn (Copyright Rejection)	768
C. Trademark Infringement	778
1. Representative Complaint of AI Infringement of Trademarks	778
a. Getty Images (U.S.), Inc. v. Stability AI, Ltd. (Complaint)	778
<i>Getty Images (U.S.), Inc. v. Stability AI, Ltd. (Amended Complaint)</i>	779

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxix</b>
D. Disclosure of Trade Secrets	780
1. AI Trade Secret Misappropriation and Unauthorized Disclosure Case Study	781
a. NeuralMagic, Inc v. Meta Platforms, Inc.	781
<i>Neural Magic, Inc. v. Meta Platforms, Inc.</i>	781
E. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Guidance on AI-Based Tools	791
1. Representative USPTO Guidance on AI	791
a. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Inventorship Guidance for AI-Assisted Inventions	791
Inventorship Guidance for AI-Assisted Inventions	791
b. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Guidance on Use of AI-Based Tools in Practice	794
Guidance on Use of Artificial Intelligence-Based Tools in Practice Before the United States Patent and Trademark Office	794
<b>Chapter 23 Securities and Antitrust</b>	<b>797</b>
A. Regulating Conflicts of Interest in AI Use	797
1. SEC Enforcement Authority and Proposed Guidance on AI	797
a. U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Order: In the Matter of BlueCrest Capital Management Limited	797
<i>In the Matter of BlueCrest Capital Management Limited</i>	798
b. SEC Fact Sheet: Conflicts of Interest and Predictive Data Analytics (Withdrawn)	802
Conflicts of Interest and Predictive Data Analytics (Fact Sheet) (Withdrawn)	802
c. SEC Press Release: SEC Proposes New Requirements to Address AI Risks to Investors from Conflicts of Interest (Proposed Rule Withdrawn)	804
SEC Proposes New Requirements to Address Risks to Inventors from Conflicts of Interest Associated with the Use of Predictive Data Analytics by Broker-Dealers and Investment Advisors (Proposed Rule Withdrawn)	804
B. Case Studies of SEC Enforcement	805
1. AI and Investigations of False and Misleading Claims	805
a. In the Matter of Delphia (USA), Inc. (SEC Order)	805
<i>In the Matter of Delphia (USA), Inc. (SEC Order)</i>	806
b. In the Matter of Global Predictions, Inc. (SEC Order)	811
<i>In the Matter of Global Predictions, Inc. (SEC Order)</i>	811

<b>xxx</b>	<b>Contents</b>
C. Case Study of FTC Antitrust Enforcement	817
1. Investigation of Algorithmic Manipulation for Anticompetitive Practices	817
a. FTC v. Amazon, Inc. (Complaint)	817
<i>FTC v. Amazon, Inc. (Amended Complaint)</i>	817
D. Case Study of EU Digital Markets Act (DMA)	828
1. Case Studies of Antitrust Enforcement by EU	828
a. DMA Investigations of Alphabet, Apple, and Meta	828
Commission Opens Non-Compliance Investigations Against Alphabet, Apple and Meta Under the Digital Markets Act	828
b. DMA Apple Investigation: Preliminary Findings of European Commission	831
Commission Sends Preliminary Findings to Apple and Opens Additional Non-Compliance Investigation Against Apple Under the Digital Markets Act	831
<b>PART V      FUTURE OF AI LAW AND POLICY</b>	<b>835</b>
<b>Chapter 24    Impact on Legal Practice and Legal Systems</b>	<b>837</b>
A. AI Use in the Legal Profession and in Courts	837
1. AI and Legal Technologies	838
a. Sokolowski et al. v. Digital Currency Group, Inc. et al. (Complaint)	838
<i>Sokolowski et al. v. Digital Currency Group, Inc. et al. (Complaint)</i>	838
b. Thomson Reuters Enterprise Centre GMBH v. ROSS Intelligence, Inc.	839
<i>Thomson Reuters Enterprise Centre GMBH v. ROSS Intelligence, Inc.</i>	839
2. American Bar Association (ABA) Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility Guidance	841
a. American Bar Association (ABA) Guidance	841
b. Formal Opinion 512: Generative AI Intelligence Tools	841
Formal Opinion 512: Generative Artificial Intelligence Tools	841
3. Examples of ABA Resolutions on AI	842
a. ABA Resolution 112	842
b. ABA Resolution 604	842
4. Case Studies of State and Federal Court Guidance on AI Use	843
a. Maryland Judiciary Guidelines for Acceptable Use of AI Tools and Platforms	843
Guidelines for the Acceptable Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) Tools and Platforms	843
b. U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, Statement on Decision Not to Adopt Proposed Rule on Generative AI	845
Court Decision on Proposed Rule	845

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxxi</b>
<b>B. Sanctions and Other Consequences</b>	<b>845</b>
1. Sanctions	846
a. In re Neusom (Suspension)	846
<i>In re Thomas Grant Neusom (Report)</i>	846
b. Mata v. Avianca (Fine)	847
<i>Roberto Mata v. Avianca, Inc. (Order)</i>	847
c. Park v. Kim (Grievance Panel Referral)	858
<i>Park v. Kim</i>	858
2. Other Consequences	859
a. J.G. v. NYC Dept. of Education (Reduction in Attorney's Fees)	859
<i>J.G. v. New York City Department of Education</i>	860
b. Kruse v. Karlen (Ordered to Pay Attorney's Fees)	860
<i>Kruse v. Karlen</i>	861
<b>C. AI and Judicial Decisionmaking and Lawmaking</b>	<b>862</b>
1. Case Studies of Impact on Judicial Interpretation and Legal Systems	862
a. Snell v. United Specialty Insurance Co.	862
<i>Snell v. United Specialty Insurance Company</i>	863
b. Porto Alegre (Brazil) Law Generated by AI	870
 <b>Chapter 25 AI Ethics and Audits</b>	 <b>873</b>
<b>A. Ethical Frameworks</b>	<b>873</b>
1. Case Studies in Recommended AI Ethical Frameworks in Public and Private Context	873
a. UNESCO Recommendation on AI Ethics	873
Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence	874
b. Mastercard AI Ethics Rules	878
Mastercard's Data & Tech Responsibility Principles: Our Commitment	878
<b>B. AI Inventories and Governance Boards</b>	<b>879</b>
1. Case Study of Federal Agency AI Inventory and Governance	879
a. White House Office of Management and Budget (OMB)	
Memorandum: Advancing Governance, Innovation, and Risk Management in Federal Agencies' Use of Artificial Intelligence (Rescinded)	879
Advancing Governance, Innovation, and Risk Management for Agency Use of Artificial Intelligence (Rescinded)	879
<b>C. AI Auditing</b>	<b>882</b>
1. Case Studies in AI Auditing and Auditor Guidance	883
a. Auditing Artificial Intelligence (ISACA)	883
Auditing Artificial Intelligence	883

b. European Court of Auditors: Artificial Intelligence Initial Strategy and Deployment Roadmap	883
Artificial Intelligence Initial Strategy and Deployment Roadmap	884
c. AI Security Institute: Auditing of Five Large Language Models	885
Advanced AI Evaluations at AISI: May Update AI Security Institute	885
D. AI Assessments and Monitoring	886
1. Case Studies in AI Conformity Assessments and Evaluation of AI Systems	886
a. EU AI Act, Article 43 [Conformity Assessment]	886
b. EU AI Act, Article 46 [Derogation from Conformity Assessment Procedure]	887
c. EU AI Act, Article 74 [Market Surveillance and Control of AI Systems in the Union Market]	889
<b>Chapter 26 Remedies and Preventive Measures</b>	<b>891</b>
A. Case Studies of Preventive Measures and Ex Ante Options	891
1. AI Prohibitions and Abolition	892
a. EU AI Act, Article 5 [Prohibited AI Practices]	892
b. Facial Recognition Abolition Ordinance (Somerville, Mass.)	894
c. United States v. Amazon.com (Alexa) (Stipulated Order)	895
<i>United States v. Amazon.com (Alexa) (Stipulated Order)</i>	895
2. Limiting Deployment of High-Risk Technologies	898
a. EU AI Act, Articles 85-87 [Remedies]	898
b. Colorado AI Consumer Protection Act	899
B. Case Studies of Pretesting, Impact Assessments, and Ongoing Monitoring	900
1. International	900
a. UNESCO Readiness Assessment Methodology	901
Readiness Assessment Methodology: A Tool of the Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence	901
b. EU AI Act, Article 60 [Testing of High-Risk AI Systems in Real World Conditions Outside AI Regulatory Sandboxes]	902
c. EU AI Act, Article 61 [Informed Consent to Participate in Testing in Real World Conditions Outside AI Regulatory Sandboxes]	905
2. Federal and State	905
a. White House Executive Order on the Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of AI (Rescinded)	905
White House Executive Order 14110 (Rescinded): Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of Artificial Intelligence	906
b. Colorado AI Consumer Protection Act	907
3. Corporate	908
a. Microsoft Responsible AI Standard v2	908
Microsoft Responsible AI Standard, v2	908

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxxiii</b>
C. Case Studies of Ex Ante Options	910
1. EU AI Act: Prohibition, Testing, Documentation, and Disclosure	910
a. EU AI Act, Article 6 [Classification Rules for High-Risk AI Systems]	910
b. EU AI Act, Article 7 [Amendments to Annex III]	911
c. EU AI Act, Article 8 [Compliance with the Requirements]	912
D. Case Studies of Remedies and Ex Post Options	913
1. AI and Disgorgement	913
a. United States v. Kurbo (Algorithmic Disgorgement and Data Deletion)	913
<i>United States v. Kurbo et al. (Stipulated Order)</i>	913
2. Punitive Damages	916
a. Lehrman v. LOVO, Inc. (Complaint)	916
<i>Lehrman v. LOVO, Inc. (Complaint)</i>	916
3. Injunctive Relief and Temporary Restraining Orders	917
a. New York Times v. Microsoft, OpenAI et al. (Complaint)	917
<i>New York Times v. Microsoft, OpenAI et al. (Complaint)</i>	918
 <b>Chapter 27 National Security</b>	 <b>921</b>
A. Military and Intelligence Deployment of AI Systems	921
1. Ethical Principles of AI Use in Military Context	921
a. U.S. Department of Defense: Ethical Principles for Artificial Intelligence	922
DOD Adopts Ethical Principles for Artificial Intelligence	922
2. Autonomous Weapons and Automated Decisionmaking	924
a. Congressional Research Service Defense Primer: U.S. Policy on Lethal Autonomous Weapon Systems	924
Defense Primer: U.S. Policy on Lethal Autonomous Weapon Systems	924
b. National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024	928
c. Political Declaration on Responsible Military Use of Artificial Intelligence and Autonomy	928
Political Declaration on Responsible Military Use of Artificial Intelligence and Autonomy	929
3. National Security Policies and Objectives in AI Leadership	931
a. White House National Security Memorandum on Advancing the United States' Leadership in Artificial Intelligence	931
National Security Memorandum on Advancing the United States' Leadership in Artificial Intelligence; Harnessing Artificial Intelligence to Fulfill National Security Objectives; and Fostering the Safety, Security, and Trustworthiness of Artificial Intelligence	931

B. AI, Cyberattacks, and Data in Geopolitical Conflict	935
1. Information Warfare and Cyberwar as Case Study	935
a. SolarWinds and Microsoft Attack: U.S. Government Accountability Office Report and Microsoft Response	936
Cybersecurity: Federal Response to SolarWinds and Microsoft Exchange Incidents	936
A Moment of Reckoning: The Need for a Strong and Global Cybersecurity Response	938
b. AI Weaponry and Information Warfare: Amicus Brief for U.S. Senator Mark Warner, <i>Murthy v. Missouri</i>	941
<i>Amicus Brief for U.S. Senator Mark Warner, Murthy v. Missouri</i>	941
2. Regulating Data and AI Systems as Dual-Use Technologies as Case Study	943
a. Defending Against Adversarial Artificial Intelligence	943
Defending Against Adversarial Artificial Intelligence	943
b. Protecting Americans' Data from Foreign Adversaries Act of 2024	945
c. White House Executive Order on Preventing Access to Americans' Bulk Sensitive Personal Data and United States Government-Related Data by Countries of Concern	948
White House Executive Order 14117: Preventing Access to Americans' Bulk Sensitive Personal Data and United States Government-Related Data by Countries of Concern	948
d. Provisions Pertaining to Preventing Access to U.S. Sensitive Personal Data and Government-Related Data by Countries of Concern or Covered Persons (Proposed Rule)	957
Provisions Pertaining to Preventing Access to U.S. Sensitive Personal Data and Government-Related Data by Countries of Concern or Covered Persons (Proposed Rule)	957
3. AI and Export Controls on Advanced Computing and Semiconductor Manufacturing as Case Study	961
a. Press Release on Restricting Semiconductor and Supercomputer Exports: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS)	962
Commerce Strengthens Restrictions on Advanced Computing Semiconductors, Semiconductor Manufacturing Equipment, and Supercomputing Items to Countries of Concern	962
C. Nuclear War and Other Threats	965
1. AI and Human Decisionmaking in Deployment of Nuclear Weapons	966
a. "Block Nuclear Launch by Autonomous Artificial Intelligence Act of 2023" (Proposed)	966
2. AI and Bioterrorism and Chemical Warfare	968
a. White House Executive Order on the Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of AI (Rescinded)	968



<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxxv</b>
White House Executive Order 14110 (Rescinded): Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of Artificial Intelligence	968
b. NIST AI Risk Management Framework: Generative AI Profile	971
Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework: Generative Artificial Intelligence Profile	971
<b>Chapter 28 Humanity and Sustainability</b>	<b>975</b>
A. Labor Strikes	975
1. WGA Labor Strike	975
a. Letter to Senate from WGA, NABET-CWA, and NewsGuild-CWA	976
Letter to Senate Majority Leader Chuck Schumer (D-NY)	976
Writers Guild of America West and Writers Guild of America East Comment on USCO [U.S. Copyright Office] Notice of Inquiry on Copyright & Artificial Intelligence	977
2. SAG-AFTRA Labor Strike	982
a. 2023 Agreement Between SAG-AFTRA and AMPTP	982
2023 Memorandum of Agreement Between SAG-AFTRA and AMPTP	983
B. Workforce Training	987
1. AI Upskilling and Workforce Training	987
a. AI in Government Act of 2020	987
b. AI Training Act	988
C. Education and Research	989
1. AI in Education and Research	989
a. National Artificial Intelligence Initiative Act of 2020	989
b. UNESCO Readiness Assessment Methodology	992
Readiness Assessment Methodology: A Tool of the Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence	992
2. AI and GDPR: Data Privacy in Research Rules	993
a. GDPR, Articles 5(1)(b) and 21(6) [Research]	993
3. Incentivizing AI Research	994
a. AI in Government Act of 2020	994
b. CHIPS and Science Act [CHIPS Act of 2022]	995
c. Identifying Outputs of Generative Adversarial Networks Act	996
D. Sustainability and the Environment	998
1. Sustainable Development Goals	998
a. Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive of the EU (CSRD)	998
b. UN Resolution — Enhancing International Cooperation on Capacity-Building of Artificial Intelligence	1002

<b>xxxvi</b>	<b>Contents</b>
2. AI and the Environment	1006
a. EU AI Act, Article 40 [Harmonised Standards and Standardisation Deliverables]	1006
b. White House Executive Order on the Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of Artificial Intelligence (Rescinded)	1007
White House Executive Order 14110 (Rescinded): Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of Artificial Intelligence	1007
c. Artificial Intelligence Environmental Impacts Act (Proposed)	1008
<b>Appendix—Constitution of the United States</b>	<b>1013</b>
<b>Table of Statutes and Regulations</b>	<b>1021</b>
<b>Table of Cases</b>	<b>1027</b>
<b>Index</b>	<b>1031</b>