Contents

Preface xxxv Acknowledgments xxxix

PART I. INTRODUCTION TO CONTRACTS 1

1	Introduction	to	Contracts	5
	IIILTUUUGUUII	LU	CUIILIACIS	- 1

A.	What Is a Contract?	5
В.	 The Contracts Analytic Framework Contract Formation: Is There a Deal? Defenses and Excuses Contract Terms: Interpretation and Implication Nonperformance: Breach, Anticipatory Repudiation, and Conditions Remedies Third Parties 	6 7 8 8 8
C.	Policies: Freedom of Contract vs. Equity and Fairness 1. Freedom of Contract 2. Equity and Fairness	12 13 13
D.	 About This Coursebook 1. How the Study of Contracts Differs from Other Subjects 2. Analytic Frameworks and Rules 3. Getting the Most Out of Every Chapter 4. Writing Is Thinking 	14 14 14 15 15
Suj	y Concepts pplements Online	16 16
So	ources of Contract Law 17	
A.	Sources of Contract Law 1. Common Law of Contracts	17 17

XII Contents

	3. Other Sources	19
	B. Choice of Law1. Understanding the Statutory Law2. Hybrid Transactions: Mixed Goods and Services	20 20 23
	Case Law: Audio Visual Artistry v. Tanzer Key Concepts Supplements Online Questions for Review Problem Solving and Analysis	26 30 30 30 31
PAR	T II. CONTRACT FORMATION 33 Mutual Assent & the Objective Theory 37	
_	 A. Objective Theory of Mutual Assent 1. Overview: Objective vs. Subjective Intent 2. Failure to Read 3. Bluffing, Boasting, and Joking 	37 37 38 39
	B. Determining Intent: Basic Rules of Interpretation	40
	 C. Resolving Disputes: Whose Meaning Prevails? 1. Both Parties Have the Same Subjective Interpretation 2. One Party Knows of the Different Interpretation Used by the Other Party 3. Each Party Has a Different Interpretation and Both Interpretations Are Reasonable 	42 43 44 45
	Case Law: Lucy v. Zehmer Key Concepts Supplements Online Questions for Review Problem Solving and Analysis	46 51 52 52 53
4	Making the Offer 55	
	 A. Overview 1. The Offer Defines the Terms 2. The Role of the Parties 3. Distinguishing Preliminary Negotiations 	55 55 56

2. Uniform Commercial Code ("UCC")

19

	Contents	xiii
B. Proving the Rule	57	
1. Manifestation of Present Intent to Enter a Bargain	58	
2. Stated in Certain and Definite Terms	59	
3. Communicated to an Identified Person or Persons4. Reasonable Understanding of Offeree That a Contra		
Will Result If Accepted	59	
C. Certain and Definite Terms	60	
1. Parsing the Rule	60	
2. Essential Terms and Implied Terms	61	
3. Agreement to Agree/Open Terms	63	
Case Law: Lonergan v. Scolnick	64	
D. Special Issues in Offer	68	
1. Advertisements and Price Quotes	68	
2. Family Contracts and Social Engagements	70	
E. UCC Approach to Offer	71	
1. Intent	71	
2. Certainty	72	
Case Law: Leonard v. Pepsico	73	
Key Concepts	78	
Supplements Online	78	
Questions for Review	78	
Problem Solving and Analysis	79	
5 Acceptance & the Negotiation Process &	31	
A. Overview	81	
Negotiating to Acceptance	81	
2. Bilateral vs. Unilateral Contracts	82	
B. Acceptance Requirements	83	
1. Proving the Rule	83	
2. Acceptance by Performance in a Bilateral Contract Case Illustration: Anderson Excavating and Wrecki	86 ng Co.	
v. Certified Welding Corp	86	
C. How Negotiating Affects the Power of Acceptance	87	
1. Rejection	88	
2. Counteroffer	89	
3. Revocation	91	
4. Lapse of Time	92	
Case Illustration: Akers v. J.B. Sedberry, Inc.	93	
5. Death or Incapacity	93	

XIV Contents

se Law: Benya v. Stevens and Thompson Paper Co.	94
Special Issues1. Unilateral Contracts2. Auctions3. Silence as Acceptance4. Mailbox Rule	97 97 99 100 102
y Concepts pplements Online estions for Review oblem Solving and Analysis	104 105 105 106
evocable Offers 109	
Overview	109
Option Contracts 1. The Nature of Option Contracts 2. Proving the Rule Case Illustration: Berryman v. Kmoch	110 110 111 113
Conditional Contracts	114
Part Performance of Unilateral Contract 1. Overview 2. Proving the Rule 3. Limits and Issues Case Illustration: Ragosta v. Wilder	115 115 115 116 117
Detrimental Reliance 1. Overview 2. General Contractor–Subcontractor Cases	117 117 119
se Law: Drennan v. Star Paving Co.	120
UCC Merchant's Firm Offer 1. Overview 2. Proving the Rule	124 124 124
se Law: Mid-South Packers, Inc. v. Shoney's, Inc. y Concepts pplements Online estions for Review pblem Solving and Analysis	128 131 131 131 133
	Special Issues 1. Unilateral Contracts 2. Auctions 3. Silence as Acceptance 4. Mailbox Rule y Concepts oplements Online estions for Review oblem Solving and Analysis evocable Offers 109 Overview Option Contracts 1. The Nature of Option Contracts 2. Proving the Rule Case Illustration: Berryman v. Kmoch Conditional Contracts Part Performance of Unilateral Contract 1. Overview 2. Proving the Rule 3. Limits and Issues Case Illustration: Ragosta v. Wilder Detrimental Reliance 1. Overview 2. General Contractor–Subcontractor Cases see Law: Drennan v. Star Paving Co. UCC Merchant's Firm Offer 1. Overview 2. Proving the Rule see Law: Mid-South Packers, Inc. v. Shoney's, Inc. y Concepts oplements Online estions for Review

M	utual Assent and the Battle of the Forms 137	
A.	Overview	137
В.	Common Law Approach	139
C.	UCC \$2-207 Introduction1. Differences Between Common Law and UCC \$2-2072. Analytic Framework Overview	141 142 143
D.	Acceptance with Varying Terms Step 1. Has a Contract Formed? Step 2. Are the Varying Terms Incorporated into the Contract?	144 145 145
E.	Conditional Acceptance1. Has a Contract Formed?2. Are the Varying Terms Incorporated into the Contract?	149 150 150
Ke Su Qu Pr	ase Law: Diamond Fruit Growers v. Krack v. Metal-Matic ey Concepts applements Online uestions for Review coblem Solving and Analysis Onsideration 159	151 156 156 156 157
	Consideration and the Bargain Theory 1. Consideration as the Gatekeeper 2. Diagramming the Deal 3. Policy: Functions of Consideration	159 159 160 161
В.	Consideration: Proving the Rule 1. Bargained for Exchange 2. Legal Value Case Illustration: Oscar v. Simeonidis	161 162 165 168
C.	 Additional Characteristics and Issues Form of Consideration: Promise or Performance Consideration Provided to Third Parties Gifts/Gratuitous Promises Case Illustration: Stacy's Adm'r v. Stacy Alternatives to Consideration 	169 170 171 172 173
Ca Ke Su	ase Law: Hamer v. Sidway ase Law: Dougherty v. Salt ey Concepts applements Online auestions for Review	174 177 179 179 179
_	oblem Solving and Analysis	180

9 Conditional Gifts 183

	Α.	Overview	183
	В.	Conditions — A Short Introduction 1. What Is a Condition? 2. What Is an Event? 3. What Is a Conditional Gift?	184 184 184 185
		Distinguishing Between Conditional Gifts and Gratuitous Promises 1. Legal Value and Conditional Gifts 2. Bargained for Exchange and Conditional Gifts 3. Enforceability of a Promise for a Conditional Gift	185 186 186 187
		Distinguishing Between Consideration and Conditional Gifts se Law: Pennsy Supply v. American Ash	187 188
	Ke Suj Qu	y Concepts oplements Online estions for Review oblem Solving and Analysis	192 192 192 193
10	Co	nsideration Sub-Issues 195	
	A.	Overview	195
	В.	Adequacy of Consideration 1. Unequal Exchange Case Illustration: Wolford v. Powers 2. Gross Inadequacy 3. Nominal Consideration 4. Mutuality of Obligation	196 197 198 199 199 202
	Ca	se Law: Batsakis v. Demotsis	203
	C.	 Illusory Promises The Rule and the Rationale Exceptions to the Illusory Promise Rule Option Contracts as a Planning Device UCC: Requirements Contracts/Output Contracts 	205 205 206 206 207
	D.	Past Consideration 1. Overview 2. Understanding the Rationale	209 209 210
	Е.	Moral Obligation 1. Overview 2. Exceptions	212 212 213

	Contents	
Case Law: Plowman v. Indian Refining Company	215	
Key Concepts	218	
Supplements Online	219	
Questions for Review	219	
Problem Solving and Analysis	219	
Contract Modifications & the Consideration Requirement 223		
A. Overview	223	
Case Illustration: Lingenfelder v. Wainwright Brewery Co.		
B. Consideration Requirement	225	
C. Enforceable Contract Modifications	228	
1. Additional or a Different Type of Consideration	228	
2. Settlement of an Honest Dispute	230	
3. A Fair and Equitable Modification in View of Changed		
Circumstances	231	
Case Illustration: Quigley v. Wilson	231	
4. Good Faith Modification Under the UCC	232	
Case Illustration: Palmer v. Safe Auto Sales, Inc.	233	
5. Promissory Estoppel & Waiver	234	
Case Illustration: Calhoun v. Universal Credit Co.	234	
Case Law: Alaska Packers' Ass'n v. Domenico	235	
D. Types of Modification	239	
1. Mutual Rescission/Release	239	
2. Accord and Satisfaction	240	
3. Novation	240	
4. Account Stated	241	
Key Concepts	242	
Supplements Online	242	
Questions for Review	242	
Problem Solving and Analysis	243	
Promissory Estoppel 245		
A. Overview	245	
B. Analytic Framework: Proving the Rule	247	
1. Was There a Promise?	247	
2. Should Promisor Have Reasonably Expected the Promise		
to Induce Action or Forbearance?	247	
Case Illustration: Hoo Siong Chow v. Transworld Airlines	248	

xviii Contents

	3. Did the Promisee Take Action (or Forbearance) in Reliance on the Promise?	248
	4. Can Injustice Only Be Avoided by Enforcing the Promise?	249
	5. Should the Remedy Be Limited?	249
	6. Detrimental Reliance as a Contracts Principle	252
C.	Charitable Subscriptions	252
	se Law: Wright v. Newman	253
	se Law: Robinson v. The Detroit News, Inc.	257
	y Concepts pplements Online	261 261
	restions for Review	261
_	oblem Solving and Analysis	262
Re	estitution 265	
Α.	Overview	265
B.	Quasi-Contract	267
	1. Overview	268
	Case Illustration: Christiana Mall, LLC v. Emory Hill & Co.	268
	2. Limits: Officious Intermeddler (Volunteer) Doctrine	269
	3. Limits: Gratuitous Benefit (Gifts)4. Typical Scenarios	270 270
Ca	se Law: Watts v. Watts	272
C.	Promissory Restitution	276
	1. Past Consideration Exception	276
	2. Preventing Injustice	277
Ca	se Law: Webb v. McGowin	278
	y Concepts	281
	pplements Online	282
_	estions for Review	282
Pro	oblem Solving and Analysis	282
St	atute of Frauds 285	
Α.	Overview	285
	1. History of the Statute of Frauds	286
	2. Modern Adoption	286
	3. Policies and Controversy	287
	4. Proving the Rule	287

Contents	X	71	3	1
MILEIRO	_			•

ъ	T d A (Tarid) d Co ()	200
В.		288
	1. Marriage Provision	289
	Case Illustration: Dienst v. Dienst	289
	2. Over One-Year Provision Case Illustration N. Share Pottling Co. v. C. Schmidt &	289
	Case Illustration: N. Shore Bottling Co. v. C. Schmidt &	290
	Sons, Inc. 3. Land Sale Contract	290
	4. Executor Payment of Estate's Debts	291
	5. Sale of Goods of \$500 or More	291
	6. Suretyship Agreement	291
	Case Illustration: Power Entertainment, Inc. v. National	272
	Football League Properties	293
	Toolban League Troperties	273
C.	Does the Writing Satisfy the Statute?	294
	1. Overview	294
	2. Proving the Rule	294
	3. Miscellaneous Issues	296
D.	Exceptions to the Statute	297
	Promissory Estoppel	297
	Case Illustration: Alaska Democratic Party v. Rice	299
	2. Full Performance by Both Parties	299
	3. Full Performance by One Party (Over One-Year Provision)	300
	4. Part Performance (Land Sale Contracts)	300
	5. Part Performance (Other Contracts)	301
Ca	se Law: Crabtree v. Elizabeth Arden	301
E.	UCC Statute of Frauds	305
	1. Is the Contract Within UCC §2-201(1)?	306
	2. If So, Does the Writing Satisfy the Requirements of §2-	
	201(1) or §2-201(2)?	306
	3. If the Writing Is Not Sufficient, But the Contract Is Within the Statute, Is the Contract Enforceable Because of an	
	Exception in §2-201(3)?	308
	Case Illustration: Songbird Jet Ltd., Inc. v. Amax, Inc.	309
	·	309
	se Law: Cohn v. Fisher	309
	y Concepts	314
	oplements Online	314
	estions for Review	314
Pro	oblem Solving and Analysis	315

PART III. DEFENSES & EXCUSES 319

15	Defenses:	Incapacity	323

	Α.	Overview	323
	В.	 Minor Incapacity Policy Behind the Rule Proving the Rule Case Illustration: In re The Score Bd., Inc. Case Illustration: I. B. ex rel. Fife v. Facebook, Inc. Exceptions: Enforceable Obligations Case Illustration: Webster Street Partnership, Ltd. v. Sheridan 	324 324 326 327 328 328
	Ca	se Law: Halbman v. Lemke	329
	C.	Mental Incapacity 1. Proving the Rule 2. Effect of Incompetency 3. Exceptions	333 333 336 336
	Ca	se Law: Farnum v. Silvano	337
	D.	 Intoxication Similarities with Mental Incapacity Court Cases Case Illustration: Hunt v. Golden 	340 341 341 342
	Suj Qu Pro	y Concepts pplements Online testions for Review bblem Solving and Analysis	342 342 343 344
6	DE	efenses: Duress and Undue Influence 347	
	A.	Overview	347
	В.	 Duress Two Types of Duress Proving the Rule Case Illustration: Fuhrman v. California Satellite Sys. Physical Duress Economic Duress Third Person Coercion: Knowledge Requirement 	348 348 348 351 352 353 354
	Ca	se Law: Totem Marine v. Alyeska Pipeline Service Co.	354
	C.	Undue Influence	359

	Contents	xxi
1. Inducement	360	
2. Unfair Persuasion	360	
3. Undue Susceptibility	361	
4. Inequitable Result	362	
Case Law: Odorizzi v. Bloomfield School District	362	
Key Concepts	367	
Supplements Online	367	
Questions for Review Problem Solving and Analysis	368 368	
Defenses: Misrepresentation and		
Nondisclosure 371		
A. Overview	371	
1. Misrepresentation	371	
2. Nondisclosure	372	
3. Remedies for Misrepresentation and Nondisclosure	372	
B. Defense of Misrepresentation	373	
1. Misrepresentation Case Illustration: Schott Motorcycle Supply, Inc. v. Am.	373	
Honda Motor Co.	374	
2. Fraudulent Misrepresentations	374	
3. Material Misrepresentations	375	
4. Inducement	377	
5. Justifiable Reliance	378	
Case Law: Foster v. Cross	378	
C. Defense of Nondisclosure	382	
1. Overview	382	
Case Illustration: Caples v. Steel	382	
2. Synthesizing a Rule	383	
3. Circumstances Leading to Nondisclosure Case Illustration: Bursey v. Clement	383 385	
·		
Case Law: Hill v. Jones Key Concepts	385 390	
Supplements Online	390	
Questions for Review	390	
Problem Solving and Analysis	391	
Defenses: Unconscionability 395		
_	205	
A. Overview 1. Historic Roots	395 396	
1. THISTOTIC ROOTS	390	

xxii Contents

		 Policy Considerations Unconscionability Must Exist at Contract Formation Sliding Scale Remedies Case Illustration: Vockner v. Erickson 	396 397 397 397 398
	В.	Procedural Unconscionability 1. Gross Inequality in Bargaining Power 2. Unfair Surprise	398 399 399
	C.	Substantive Unconscionability 1. Overly Harsh Allocation of Risks 2. Great Price Disparity	400 400 401
	Ca Ke Suj Qu	se Law: Williams v. Walker-Thomas Furniture se Law: Capili v. The Finish Line, Inc. y Concepts pplements Online testions for Review oblem Solving and Analysis	401 405 410 411 411 411
19	De	efenses: Public Policy and Illegality 415	
	A.	Overview 1. Balancing Test: Analytic Framework 2. Rescission vs. Partial Enforcement (Severance) Case Illustration: Keene v. Harling 3. Availability of Restitution Case Illustration: The Highwayman's Case 4. Types of Contracts Against Public Policy	415 416 417 417 418 419 420
	B.	Contracts Involving Illegality	421
	C.	Contracts That Restrain Trade 1. Overview 2. Reasonability Factors 3. Extent of Restraint 4. Striking or Modifying Restraints: Blue Pencil Rule	422 422 423 424 424
	Ca	se Law: Hopper v. All Pet Animal Clinic, Inc.	425
	D.	 Impairment of Family Relations Contracts That Prohibit Marriage Contracts Affecting Custody of a Child Case Illustration: United States v. King 	432 432 433 433
	Е.	Contracts That Violate Licensing Laws Case Illustration: Harness Tracks Sec., Inc. v. Bay State	433
		Raceway, Inc.	434

		Contents	xxiii
	Key Concepts	434	
	Supplements Online	435	
	Questions for Review	435	
	Problem Solving and Analysis	436	
20	Excuses: Mistake 439		
	A. Overview	439	
	B. Mutual Mistake	440	
	1. Analytic Framework	440	
	2. Made a Mistake	441	
	3. Basic Assumption	441	
	4. Material Effect	442	
	5. Bears the Risk	444	
	Case Illustration: Nelson v. Rice	445	
	Case Law: Lenawee County Board of Health v. Messerly	446	
	C. Unilateral Mistake	452	
	1. Overview	452	
	2. Unconscionable	453	
	3. Knowledge of Mistake or Fault in Causing the Mistake Case Illustration: Info. Int'l Assocs., Inc. v. United States	454 454	
	Case Law: Donovan v. RRL Corp.	455	
	Key Concepts	461	
	Supplements Online	461	
	Questions for Review	461	
	Problem Solving and Analysis	462	
21	Excuses: Changed Circumstances 465		
	A. Overview	465	
	Case Illustration: ConAgra, Inc. v. Bartlett P'ship	466	
	B. Impossibility	467	
	1. Early Common Law: Strict Liability	467	
	Case Illustration: Paradine v. Jane	467	
	2. Development of the Modern Approach to Impossibility	467	
	Case Illustration: Taylor v. Caldwell	467	
	3. Modern Approach to Impossibility	468	
	4. Proving the Rule	468	
	5. Remedies	471	
	C. Impracticability	471	
	1. Proving the Rule	472	

xxiv Contents

		2. What Is "Impracticable"?	472
		Case Illustration: Mineral Park Land v. Howard	472
		Case Illustration: Transatlantic Fin. Corp. v. United States	473
		3. UCC Approach	474
		Case Illustration: Publicker Indus. Inc. v. Union Carbide Corp.	474
	D.	Frustration of Purpose	475
		1. Proving the Rule	476
		2. Substantially Frustrated	476
		Case Illustration: Krell v. Henry	476
	E.	Typical Scenarios	477
		1. Destruction of a Thing	477
		2. Death/Incapacity of a Person	478
		Case Illustration: In re the Estate of Sheppard	478
		3. Government Action	479
		Case Illustration: Moyer v. City of Little Falls	479
	Ca	se Law: Newbury, LLC v. Caffé Nero Americas Inc.	480
		y Concepts	484
		oplements Online	485
		estions for Review oblem Solving and Analysis	485 485
R	ΓIV	INTERDRETATION O IMPLIED TERMS 400	
2		. INTERPRETATION & IMPLIED TERMS 489	
		rol Evidence Rule 491	
	Pa		491
	Pa A.	orol Evidence Rule 491 Overview	491 492
	Pa A.	rol Evidence Rule 491	
	Pa A.	orol Evidence Rule 491 Overview Parsing the Rule	492
	Pa A.	Overview Parsing the Rule 1. What Is Parol Evidence?	492 493
	Pa A.	Overview Parsing the Rule 1. What Is Parol Evidence? 2. What Is a Total Integration? 3. What Is a Partial Integration? 4. What Is Contradictory Evidence?	492 493 493
	Pa A.	Overview Parsing the Rule 1. What Is Parol Evidence? 2. What Is a Total Integration? 3. What Is a Partial Integration? 4. What Is Contradictory Evidence? 5. What Is Supplemental Evidence and Consistent	492 493 493 494
	Pa A.	Overview Parsing the Rule 1. What Is Parol Evidence? 2. What Is a Total Integration? 3. What Is a Partial Integration? 4. What Is Contradictory Evidence? 5. What Is Supplemental Evidence and Consistent Additional Terms?	492 493 493 494
	Pa A.	Parsing the Rule 1. What Is Parol Evidence? 2. What Is a Total Integration? 3. What Is a Partial Integration? 4. What Is Contradictory Evidence? 5. What Is Supplemental Evidence and Consistent Additional Terms? Case Illustration: Snyder v. Herbert Greenbaum &	492 493 493 494 494
	Pa A.	Overview Parsing the Rule 1. What Is Parol Evidence? 2. What Is a Total Integration? 3. What Is a Partial Integration? 4. What Is Contradictory Evidence? 5. What Is Supplemental Evidence and Consistent Additional Terms?	492 493 493 494 494
	Pa A. B.	Parsing the Rule 1. What Is Parol Evidence? 2. What Is a Total Integration? 3. What Is a Partial Integration? 4. What Is Contradictory Evidence? 5. What Is Supplemental Evidence and Consistent Additional Terms? Case Illustration: Snyder v. Herbert Greenbaum & Associates, Inc. Analytic Framework	492 493 493 494 494 495 495
	Pa A. B.	Parsing the Rule 1. What Is Parol Evidence? 2. What Is a Total Integration? 3. What Is a Partial Integration? 4. What Is Contradictory Evidence? 5. What Is Supplemental Evidence and Consistent Additional Terms? Case Illustration: Snyder v. Herbert Greenbaum & Associates, Inc. Analytic Framework 1. Step One: Determine Level of Integration	492 493 493 494 494 495 495 496
	Pa A. B.	Parsing the Rule 1. What Is Parol Evidence? 2. What Is a Total Integration? 3. What Is a Partial Integration? 4. What Is Contradictory Evidence? 5. What Is Supplemental Evidence and Consistent Additional Terms? Case Illustration: Snyder v. Herbert Greenbaum & Associates, Inc. Analytic Framework	492 493 493 494 494 495 495

Contonto	VVI
Contents	XXV

	Case Illustration: Influential Network, Inc. v. Darkstore, Inc. Case Illustration: Italian Cowboy Partners v. Prudential	503
	Insurance Co. of America	504
D.	 UCC Parol Evidence Rule Presumption of Partial Integration Interpretative Evidence Admissible 	506 507 507
Ca Ke Qu	se Law: Gianni v. R. Russell & Co. se Law: Lee v. Joseph E. Seagram & Sons, Inc. y Concepts lestions for Review oblem Solving and Analysis	507 510 513 513 514
In	terpreting Ambiguous Terms 519	
A.	The Analytic Framework	519
В.	Identifying Interpretation Issues1. Do the Parties Have a Dispute Over the Meaning of a Term?2. Is the Term (or Contract) Ambiguous?	521 521 523
C.	 Primary Rules of Interpretation Language of the Express Terms Case Illustration: Whittington v. Eli Lilly and Co. Course of Performance/Conduct Course of Dealing Trade Usage/Custom Case Illustration: Robinson v. United States Case Illustration: Hurst v. W.J. Lake & Co. Case Illustration: Ermolieff v. R.K.O. Radio Pictures 	526 527 528 530 531 531 532 533 533
D.	 Secondary Rules of Interpretation Preference to Interpret Contracts as Valid, Lawful, and Reasonable Case Illustration: Entremont v. Whitsell Conflicts Between Clauses Ejusdem Generis Case Illustration: 242-44 E. 77th St., LLC v. Greater New York Mut. Ins. Co. Interpretation Against the Drafter 	 533 534 535 535 536
	Case Illustration: Grove v. Charbonneau Buick-Pontiac, Inc.	536
Ke Su Qu	se Law: Frigaliment Importing v. BNS Int'l Sales Corp. y Concepts pplements Online lestions for Review oblem Solving and Analysis	537541542542543

Implied Terms 547 A. Overview 547 B. Supplementing the Contract with Implied Terms 548 1. Overview of the Common Law 548 Case Illustration: Beal v. Las Vegas Sav. Bank 549 2. UCC Gap Fillers 549 C. Implied Obligation of Good Faith and Fair Dealing 551 1. Common Factual Scenarios 552 2. Definition of Good Faith 552 Case Illustration: Sons of Thunder v. Borden, Inc. 554 3. Special Case: Satisfaction Clauses 555 Case Illustration: Morin Building Products Co. v. Baystone Construction 555 4. Implied Obligations of Reasonable Efforts 556 Case Law: Wood v. Lucy, Lady Duff-Gordon 556 D. UCC Article 2 Implied and Express Warranties 558 Case Law: Bayliner Marine Corp. v. Crow 562 E. Implied Warranty of Habitability 566 Case Law: Mease v. Fox 566 **Key Concepts** 569 **Supplements Online** 569 **Questions for Review** 569 **Problem Solving and Analysis 570** PART V. BREACH, CONDITIONS, & REPUDIATION **Breach of Contract** A. Overview 577 B. Levels of Breach 579 1. Partial Breach/Substantial Performance 579 Case Illustration: Jacob & Youngs, Inc. v. Kent 580 2. Material Breach 581 Case Illustration: Volvo Trucks North America v. Wisconsin Department of Transportation 582 3. Total Breach 584 C. Determining the Materiality of the Breach 584

Contents	XXVII

		 Extent of Loss of Expected Benefit Case Illustration: O. W. Grun Roofing & Constr. Co. v. Cope Adequacy of Money Damages Forfeiture (Loss) Suffered by Breaching Party Likelihood of Cure by Breaching Party Lack of Good Faith and Fair Dealing 	585 585 586 586 586 586
	D.	Discharge of Duties 1. Full Performance 2. Tender of Performance That Is Rejected 3. Agreement by the Parties 4. Valid Defense or Excuse 5. Occurrence of a Condition 6. Total Breach/Repudiation by the Other Party	588 588 588 588 588 588 588
	Cas	se Law: Milner Hotels, Inc. v. Norfolk & Western Railway Co.	589
	Е.	 UCC Nonperformance Rules Seller's Nonperformance The Perfect Tender Rule Buyer's Nonperformance Case Illustration: Neumiller Farms, Inc. v. Cornett 	594 595 599 600
26	Key Suj Qu Pro	se Law: Ramirez v. Autosport y Concepts oplements Online estions for Review oblem Solving and Analysis eticipatory Repudiation 611	601 606 606 606 607
	A.	Overview	611
	В.	 Analytic Framework 1. Has a Party Repudiated the Contract? Case Illustration: Convergent Group Corp. v. County of Kent 2. Rights of Non-Repudiating Party 3. Retraction of Repudiation 	612 612 614 616 616
	Cas	se Law: DiFolco v. MSNBC	617
	C.	Request for Adequate Assurance of Performance 1. Reasonable Grounds for Insecurity Case Illustration: Turntables, Inc. v. Gestetner 2. Demand Must Be Made in Good Faith for 3. Failure to Provide Adequate Assurances Is a Repudiation	622 624 624 625 625
		se Law: Hawa v. Moore y Concepts	626 628

XXVIII Contents

Supplements Online

Questions for Review

1. Conditions and Duties 2. Conditions Con Load to Hereb Consequences
 Conditions Can Lead to Harsh Consequences Express Conditions vs. Constructive Conditions
Characteristics of Express Conditions 1. Distinguishing Promises from Conditions
 Distinguishing Promises from Conditions Condition Precedent vs. Condition Subsequent
Analytic Framework
1. Did the Parties Intend to Include an Express Condition in the Contract?
 Has the Condition Occurred? If the Condition Has Not Occurred, Has the Condition
Been Excused? Case Illustration: Clark v. West
Case Illustration: Clark v. West Case Illustration: Billman v. V.I. Equities Corp. Case Illustration: Cantrell-Waind & Associates, Inc.
v. Guillaume Motorsports, Inc. Case Brief: Oppenheimer & Co., Inc. v. Oppenheim,
Appel, Dixon & Co. Case Brief: J.N.A. Realty Corp. v. Cross Bay Chelsea, Inc.
Constructive Conditions (Implied by Law)
 Overview Substantial Performance and Constructive Conditions
Concepts
plements Online
estions for Review blem Solving and Analysis

628

629

	Contents
2. Limits to Avoid Overcompensation	670
3. Avoiding Overcompensation Through Offsets	671
B. General Damages (Direct Damages)	673
1. Overview	673
2. Measure of General Damage	673
Case Illustration: Jacobs & Youngs, Inc. v. Kent	677
C. Consequential Damages (Special Damages)	678
 Different Types of Consequential Damages Case Illustration: Alaska Constr. Equip., Inc. v. Star 	678
Trucking, Inc.	680
2. Consequential Damages for Different Types of Contra	acts 681
Case Illustration: Alpine Indus., Inc. v. Gohl	682
D. Incidental Damages	683
 Overview of Incidental Damages 	683
2. Incidental Damages for Different Types of Contracts	684
E. Adjustments and Offsets	685
Prepayments Made by Breaching Party	686
2. Non-Breaching Party Reduces Loss Through Mitigation	
3. Breach Results in Gain for Non-Breaching Party	688
Key Concepts	688
Supplements Online	689
Questions for Review	689
Problem Solving and Analysis	690
Certainty, Causation, Foreseeability, & Mitigation 693 A. Overview	693
B. Certainty	69 4
Amount of Accuracy Needed	694
2. Certainty and Lost Profits	694
Case Illustration: Connoble v. Clark	695
3. New Businesses and Evidence of Loss	
3. New Businesses and Evidence of Loss Case Illustration: Kenford Co., Inc. v. County of Erie	695
Case Illustration: Kenford Co., Inc. v. County of Erie	695 696
	699 690 en Co. 690
Case Illustration: Kenford Co., Inc. v. County of Erie Case Illustration: El Fredo Pizza, Inc. v. Roto-Flex Ov	695 696 en Co. 696 697
Case Illustration: Kenford Co., Inc. v. County of Erie Case Illustration: El Fredo Pizza, Inc. v. Roto-Flex Ov. 4. Impact of Uncertainty	695 696 en Co. 696 697
Case Illustration: Kenford Co., Inc. v. County of Erie Case Illustration: El Fredo Pizza, Inc. v. Roto-Flex Ov. 4. Impact of Uncertainty C. Causation	695 696 en Co. 696 697 697
Case Illustration: Kenford Co., Inc. v. County of Erie Case Illustration: El Fredo Pizza, Inc. v. Roto-Flex Ov. 4. Impact of Uncertainty C. Causation 1. Proximate Causation	695 696 en Co. 696 697 697 698

xxix

XXX Contents

	D.	Foreseeability	699
		1. Test for Foreseeability Case Illustration, Managed Av. Trailways, Inc.	699
		Case Illustration: Mansfield v. Trailways, Inc. 2. General Damages	700 700
		3. Consequential Damages	700
		Impact of Unforeseeable Consequences	701
	C-	•	
		se Law: Hadley v. Baxendale se Law: Brown v. Vermont Justin Corp.	701 704
	Ε.	Mitigation	706
		Reasonable Efforts to Mitigate	707
		Case Illustration: Lewis v. Mobil Oil Corporation	707
		2. No Actual "Duty to Mitigate"	708
		3. Burden of Proof	708
		4. Unsuccessful Efforts	708
	F.	Effect of Mitigation on Damage Awards	708
		1. General Damages and Mitigation	708
		2. Consequential Damages and Mitigation	709
		3. Incidental Damages and Mitigation	709
		Case Illustration: Parker v. Twentieth Century-Fox Film Corp.,	709
	Ca	se Law: Rockingham County v. Luten Bridge Co.	711
	G.	Lost Volume Seller	715
		1. Overview	715
		2. Three-Prong Test	716
		3. Burden of Proof	717
		Case Illustration: C.I.C. Corp. v. Ragtime, Inc.	717
	Ke	y Concepts	718
	Su	pplements Online	718
	Qυ	estions for Review	718
	Pro	oblem Solving and Analysis	719
30	0t	her Damages 723	
	A.	Overview	723
	В	Punitive Damages	724
	υ.	Breach Involves a Tort	724
		Case Illustration: Walker v. Signal Companies	724
		Exceptions to Tort Requirement	725
		Case Illustration: White v. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of	
		Greater New York	726
	C.	Emotional Distress Damages	727
		1. Bodily Injury in Addition to Emotional Distress	728
		• • •	

		Contents	xxxi
	Case Illustration: Sullivan v. O'Connor	728	
	2. Serious Emotional Distress Was a Likely Result	729	
	Case Illustration: Christensen v. Superior Court	729	
	Case Illustration: Windeler v. Scheers Jewelers	730	
	Case Illustration: Agredano v. United States	731	
	D. Attorney's Fees	731	
	1. By Statute	732	
	2. Court Rules	732	
	3. By Agreement	732	
	4. Collateral Litigation	732	
	5. Bad Faith	733	
	E. Liquidated Damages and Other Agreed Remedies	733	
	1. Overview	733	
	2. Proving the Rule	734	
	Case Illustration: Vanderbilt University v. DiNardo	735	
	F. Prejudgment and Post-Judgment Interest	736	
	1. Prejudgment Interest	736	
	2. Post-Judgment Interest	737	
	G. Alternative Award: Nominal Damages	737	
	Case Illustration: Freund v. Washington Square Press	738	
	Key Concepts	738	
	Supplements Online	738	
	Questions for Review	739	
	Problem Solving and Analysis	739	
31	UCC Remedies 743		
	A. Overview	743	
	Buyer's vs. Seller's Remedies	7 43 744	
	2. Types of Damages	745	
	B. Buyer's Remedies: Nondelivery and Rejection	7 4 5	
	1. Analytic Framework Overview	745	
	2. Recover Any Price Paid — UCC §2-711	746	
	3. Cover Damages — UCC §2-712	746	
	4. Market Damages — UCC \$2-713	747	
	5. Incidental Damages — UCC §2-715(1)	750 751	
	6. Consequential Damages — UCC §2-715(2)	751 752	
	7. Cancellation — UCC §2-711(1)	753 753	
	8. Specific Performance — UCC §2-716	753	
	C. Buyer's Remedies: Acceptance of Non-Conforming Goods	753	
	1. Warranty Damages — UCC §2-714	753	

XXXII Contents

	2. Consequential and incidental Damages — UCC \$2-715	/55
Ca	se Law: Doner v. Snapp	756
D.	Seller's Remedies: Wrongful Rejection/Repudiation 1. Overview 2. Resale Damages — UCC \$2-706 Case Illustration: Coast Trading Co. v. Cudahy Co. 3. Market Damages — UCC \$2-708(1) 4. Seller's Expected Profit — UCC \$2-708(2) Case Illustration: Rodriguez v. Learjet, Inc. 5. Seller's Incidental Damages — UCC \$2-710	761 761 762 763 764 766 766
E.	Seller's Remedies: Seller Action for the Price — UCC §2-709	768
Ke Suj Qu	se Law: Sprague v. Sumitomo Forestry Co. y Concepts pplements Online testions for Review oblem Solving and Analysis	769 772 773 773 774
	pecific Performance & Other Equitable emedies 777	
A.	Overview 1. Equitable Remedies vs. Legal Remedies 2. Mandatory vs. Prohibitory Injunctions	777 777 778
В.	Rule Basics: Specific Performance 1. Inadequacy of Money Damages Case Illustration: Houseman v. Dare 2. Certain and Definite Terms Case Illustration: Bettancourt v. Gilroy Theatre Co. 3. Feasibility	779 779 780 781 781 782
C.	Other Limits 1. Unfairness and the Relationship to Defenses	782 782 783 783
	Case Illustration: Wollums v. Horsley Unclean Hands Laches	784
Ca	Case Illustration: Wollums v. Horsley 2. Unclean Hands	

	Contents	xxxiii
4. Construction Contracts (and Other Service Agreements) 791	
E. Prohibitory Injunctions	791	
1. Forbearance as a Duty	792	
Case Illustration: Minnesota Vikings Football Stadium	792	
2. Duty to Act and Specific Performance Is Denied	793	
Case Law: Dallas Cowboys Football Club v. Harris	793	
Key Concepts	797	
Supplements Online	797	
Questions for Review	797	
Problem Solving and Analysis Alternatives to Expectation: Reliance &	798	
Restitution 801		
A. Overview	801	
B. Reliance Interest	802	
1. When to Use Reliance Damages	802	
2. Types of Reliance: Essential vs. Incidental Reliance	803	
Case Illustration: Security Stove & Manufacturing Co. v. American Railway Express Co.	803	
3. Losing Contracts	805	
4. Certainty, Foreseeability, Causation, and Mitigation	806	
Case Law: Gruber v. S-M News Company	806	
C. Restitution Interest	809	
1. When to Use Restitution	810	
2. Restitution for Non-Breaching Party	810	
Case Illustration: Oliver v. Campbell	811	
3. Types of Restitution	812	
4. Measuring Market Value Restitution	813	
5. Losing Contracts Case Illustration: IT Corp. v. Motco Site Trust Fund	815 815	
Case Law: United States v. Algernon Blair	816	
D. Restitution for Breaching Party	818	
Case Law: Freedman v. Rector, Wardens and Vestrymen of St		
Mathias Parish	819	
Key Concepts	823	
Supplements Online	823	
Questions for Review	823	
Problem Solving and Analysis	824	

PART VII. THIRD-PARTY INTERESTS 827

34	Third-Party	Rights	829
		11191110	0_0

	A. 7	Third-Party Beneficiaries	830
	1	. Formation Requirements	830
		2. Rights of the Third Party and Promisee	832
		3. Defenses and Modification	833
	4	Special Case: Government Contracts	834
	Case	Law: Macedonia Church v. Lancaster Hotel	835
	B. A	Assignment of Rights	840
	1	. What Rights May Be Assigned?	841
	2	2. Formation Requirements	843
	3	3. Revocation of Gratuitous Assignments	844
	4	R. Rights of the Parties	844
	C. I	Delegation of Duties	845
		. What Duties May Be Delegated?	846
		Case Illustration: Price v. Pan-Am. Motor Corp.	847
	2	2. Formation Requirements	848
	3	3. Rights of the Obligee	848
		Case Brief: Sally Beauty Co. v. Nexxus Products Co.	849
	Key	Concepts	852
	Supp	plements Online	852
	Que	stions for Review	853
	Prob	lem Solving and Analysis	853
Table o	f Case	28	855
Index			861