

Preface	xxiii
Acknowledgments	xxvii
Chapter 1 Introduction to Trademarks	1
I. Laws, Rules, and Guidelines Relevant to Trademark Registration Practice	1
A. Federal Trademark Legislation, State Law Registration, and Common Law	2
1. Federal Legislation	2
2. State Legislation	2
3. Common Law	2
B. USPTO Trademark Rules of Practice	3
C. Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (Examiner Guidelines)	3
II. Purpose and Legal Basis for Trademarks	4
A. Purpose of the Trademark Act	4
B. Constitutional Basis	5
III. Trademarks in General	5
A. What Is a Trademark?	5
B. The Value of a Trademark	6
C. What Does a Trademark Do?	7
<i>In re The Standard Oil Co.</i>	9
<i>Application of Bose Corp.</i>	11
D. Formal Definition of a Trademark	13
1. Learnings from the History of a Pioneering Trademark	13
<i>In re Rodeowave Entertainment, LLC</i>	15
<i>Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Products Co.</i>	23
2. Play-Doh Application for the Registration of the Play-Doh Scent	27
E. What Is a Service Mark?	30
<i>In re Republic National LLC</i>	31
F. Formal Definition of a Service Mark	35
1. What Is a Service?	35

2. Real Activity—TMEP §1301.01(a) (i)	36
3. For the Benefit of Others—TMEP §1301.01(a) (ii)	36
4. Sufficiently Distinct Activities—TMEP §1301.01(a) (iii)	38
<i>In re Dr Pepper Company</i>	40
G. Other Types of Marks	49
1. House Marks—TMEP §1402.03(b)	49
2. Collective Marks—TMEP §1304	50
3. Certification Marks—TMEP §1306	51
Chapter 2 Distinctiveness	53
I. Trademarks Must Be Distinctive	53
II. The “Spectrum of Distinctiveness”	55
<i>Abercrombie & Fitch Co. v. Hunting World, Inc.</i>	56
A. Generic Terms	60
<i>H. Marvin Ginn Corporation v. International Association of Fire Chiefs, Inc.</i>	62
<i>Frito-Lay North America, Inc. v. Real Foods Pty. Ltd.</i>	68
<i>In re Cordua Restaurants, Inc.</i>	79
<i>In re Cordua Restaurants, Inc. (Fed. Cir. 2016)</i>	88
B. Descriptive	97
C. Acquired Distinctiveness	99
D. Proving Acquired Distinctiveness	99
1. Word Marks	100
2. Design Marks	101
a. Look-for Advertising	102
E. Supplemental Register	103
<i>In re Arkive Information Management LLC</i>	104
<i>In re G2 Turftools, Inc.</i>	110
F. Descriptive Fair Use	114
G. Nominative Fair Use	115
H. Fair Use and Overuse	115
I. Suggestive	116
<i>In re Panasonic Avionics Corp.</i>	117
J. Arbitrary	120
K. Fanciful	120
III. Distinctiveness and Non-Traditional and Non-Literal Marks	121
A. Product Design Trade Dress	122
B. Product Packaging Trade Dress	123
<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Samara Brothers, Inc.</i>	124

Contents	xiii
<i>In re Joanne Slokevage</i>	130
C. Other Non-Word Marks	135
D. Stylization as a Basis for Distinctiveness	136
<i>In re One Nation Enterprises</i>	137
IV. When Trademarks or Service Marks Are Not Distinctive	145
<i>D.C. One Wholesaler, Inc. v. Jonathan E. Chien</i>	145
V. Non-Distinctive and Disqualified Terms	152
Chapter 3 Acquiring Rights in a Trademark	155
I. Statutory Requirements for Use in Commerce	155
A. Use	155
1. Bona Fide Use	156
2. Ordinary Course of Trade	156
3. Use in Commerce	158
a. Date of First Use in Commerce and First Use Anywhere	158
<i>MeUndies, Inc. v. Drew Massey, dba MyUndies, Inc.</i>	159
b. Use in Interstate Commerce	162
<i>Christian Faith Fellowship Church v. Adidas AG</i>	162
<i>Tao Licensing, LLC v. Bender Consulting Ltd. d/b/a Asian Pacific Beverages</i>	171
c. Intrastate Use	182
B. Priority of Use	182
<i>Blue Bell, Inc. v. Farah Mfg. Co.</i>	182
C. Proving Use in Commerce	188
D. Use by a Related Company—TMEP §1201.03	189
E. Intracompany Use	190
<i>Procter & Gamble Co. v. Johnson & Johnson Inc.</i>	191
F. Intent-to-Use Applications	196
G. Lawful Use	197
<i>In re Morgan Brown</i>	197
H. Analogous Use	201
<i>T.A.B. Systems v. PacTel Teletrac</i>	202
I. Concurrent Use	208
<i>Thrifty Rent-A-Car System v. Thrift Cars, Inc.</i>	209
<i>Dawn Donut Co. v. Hart's Food Stores, Inc.</i>	215
II. Additional Practical Application Guidelines Regarding Use in Commerce	216
A. A Mathematical Expression of the Phrase “Use in Commerce”	216
B. The Phrase “Transported in Commerce”	216

C. The Shipment Must Be for the Purposes of Trademark Use	217
D. Other Examples of Use That May Qualify as a Bona Fide Use	217
E. Sale of Goods Not Yet Manufactured	219
F. Exportation from United States as “Transportation”	219
Chapter 4 Specimens of Use	221
I. Specimens Generally	221
A. Specimens Described	223
B. When Is a Specimen Necessary	224
C. Number of Specimens per Class	224
D. Form of Specimen	225
E. Specimens Where the Mark Claims Color—TMEP §904.02(c) (ii)	226
F. Prominence of the Mark in the Specimen—TMEP §904.03(i) (B) (1)	226
II. Specimens for Goods	227
A. Labels, Tags, Containers for the Goods, or a Display Associated with the Goods Are Acceptable Specimens—TMEP §904.03	227
B. Other Examples of Specimens	230
1. Shipping Labels—TMEP §904.03(a)	230
2. Commercial Packaging—TMEP §904.03(c)	230
3. Vehicles—TMEP §904.03(c)	230
4. Electronic Media—TMEP §904.03(d)	230
5. Software, Movies, and Videos—TMEP §904.03(e)	230
6. Audio Recordings—TMEP §904.03(e)	231
7. Sound Marks—TMEP §904.03(f)	231
8. Display Associated with the Goods—TMEP §904.03(g)	231
9. Point-of-Sale Displays—TMEP §903.04(i)	233
10. Catalogs—TMEP §904.03(h)	233
III. Specimens for Services	238
<i>In re WAY Media, Inc.</i>	242
<i>In re Brian Aquart</i>	245
IV. Responding to Refusal of Specimen	254
Chapter 5 Conducting a Trademark Search	255
I. Basic Searching Techniques	255
A. The Purpose of a Trademark Search	256
B. Types of Searches	257
1. Full Trademark Search	257
2. Knock-Out and Comprehensive Knock-Out Searches	257

Contents	xv
II. Tips for Conducting a Search of the USPTO Trademark Database	258
A. Searching Basics	258
B. Field Tags Currently Used in the USPTO Trademark Search Database	258
C. Examples of Field Tag Searching	260
1. One Mark: CM:zebra	260
2. Two Marks: CM:zebra AND star	260
D. Pattern Matching Using the CM Field Tag	260
1. Example of Pattern Matching	261
2. Search for the Trademark Using Pattern Matching	262
3. Pattern-Matching Search Query for Trademarks	262
E. Truncation and Logical Operators	262
1. Truncation	262
2. Logical Operators	263
F. Tips for Using Design Codes	265
III. Conducting a Search of the USPTO Trademark Database	265
A. Search Tips	266
B. Search Problem: EZ Gro for Fertilizer in IC 001	266
Chapter 6 Analyzing a Trademark Search Report	269
I. Considerations for Clearing a Trademark	269
A. Review of Trademark Search	269
B. Likelihood of Confusion	270
1. Likelihood of Confusion Factors (<i>DuPont</i> factors)	270
II. Explanation of the <i>DuPont</i> Factors	271
A. Factor 1	271
1. Sample Analysis under Factor 1	272
B. Factor 2	273
1. Sample Analysis under Factor 2	274
C. Factor 3	274
1. Sample Analysis under Factor 3	275
2. Presumption	276
D. Factor 4	277
E. Factor 5	277
F. Factor 6	279
1. Sample Analysis under Factor 6	279
G. Factor 7	280
H. Factor 8	280

I. Factor 9	281
<i>7-Eleven, Inc. v. Lawrence I. Wechsler</i>	281
J. Factor 10	283
<i>In re Bay State Brewing Company, Inc.</i>	283
K. Factor 11	295
L. Factor 12	295
M. Factor 13	295
III. The Zone of Natural Expansion and Relatedness	296
A. The Zone of Natural Expansion Doctrine	296
B. Relatedness of the Goods/Services	297
IV. Other Considerations When Evaluating a Search Report	297
A. Registrant's Litigation History	297
B. Evaluating Dead and Canceled Registrations	297
C. Abandonment	298
V. Assessing a Mark for Registration and Use	299
A. Registrability	299
1. Similarity of the Marks	299
2. Similarity of the Services	300
3. Similarities in Trade Channels and Classes of Purchasers	300
B. Use of the Mark	301
C. Conclusion	301
VI. Categories of Risk	302
VII. Considerations under §§ 2(a)-(c) and 2(e) of the Trademark Act	302
A. Section 2(a)-(c), and 2(e) Pre-Search Considerations	303
B. Using the Results of a Knock-out Search to Anticipate Grounds for Refusing Registration	303
Chapter 7 Non-Substantive Examination of Trademark Applications	305
I. Information-Based Non-Substantive Refusals	306
A. Disclaimer Requirements—TMEP §1213	306
1. Factors Leading to a Disclaimer Requirement	306
2. Failure to Comply with a Disclaimer Requirement	307
3. Exceptions Disqualifying a Disclaimer Requirement	307
a. Unitary Marks	307
b. Compound Marks	309
c. Telescoped Words	309
d. Phrases and Slogans	309

Contents	xvii
e. Rhythm, Cadence, and Alliteration	310
f. Laudatory Terms	310
g. Disclaimer of the Literal Element of a Mark	311
<i>In re One Nation Enterprises</i>	311
II. Failure to Function	319
A. Statutory Basis for Refusal	320
B. Responding to Failure-to-Function Refusals	320
Chapter 8 Responses to Office Actions—Likelihood of Confusion	321
I. Timeline for Responding to a Likelihood-of-Confusion Refusal	321
A. Timeline for Responding to an Office Action	322
II. Likelihood-of-Confusion Requirements	323
A. Overview of §2(d) of the Trademark Act	323
B. Likelihood-of-Confusion Factors	324
<i>In re Redleg Rum Company Limited</i>	325
<i>Octocom Systems, Inc. v. Houston Computer Services, Inc.</i>	337
C. Adopter’s Intent	341
<i>QuikTrip West, Inc. v. Weigel Stores, Inc.</i>	342
III. Responding to Likelihood-of-Confusion Refusals	347
A. Unpacking Factors 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6	347
1. Factor 1: Similarity/Dissimilarity of the Marks	347
a. Similarity of the Marks Illustrated	348
<i>In re Authentic Brand Groups, LLC</i>	348
2. Factor 2: Similarity/Dissimilarity of the Goods/Services	350
a. Similarity/Dissimilarity of the Goods Illustrated	350
b. Summary of Applicant’s Arguments	351
3. Factor 3: Similarity/Dissimilarity of Established, Likely-to-Continue Trade Channels	354
4. Factor 4: The Conditions under Which and Buyers to Whom Sales Are Made, i.e., “Impulse” vs. Careful, Sophisticated Purchasing	354
5. Factor 6. The Number and Nature of Similar Marks in Use on Similar Goods/Services	355
a. Section 2(d) Refusal	355
<i>In re Foodcare SP. Z.O.O.</i>	355
<i>In re P.T. Arista Latindo</i>	370
<i>In re P.T. Arista Latindo (Fed. Cir. 2017)</i>	377
IV. Family of Marks	379

A. Applying the Family of Marks Doctrine	380
<i>J & J Snack Foods Corp. v. McDonald's Corp.</i>	380
B. Invoking the Family of Marks Doctrine	384
C. The Family of Marks Doctrine Is Not Available During <i>Ex Parte</i> Proceedings	384
<i>In re Hitachi High-Technologies Corp.</i>	385
Chapter 9 Substantive Refusals—Descriptive Refusals under §2(e)(1)	389
I. Refusals under §2(e)(1)	390
A. Primarily Merely Descriptive Refusals under §2(e)(1)	390
B. Deceptively Misdescriptive Refusals under §2(e)(1)	392
<i>In re Retail Brand Alliance, Inc.</i>	393
C. Other Noteworthy Considerations for Refusals under §2(e)(1)	397
1. Foreign Equivalents	397
2. Acronyms	399
3. Phonetic Equivalents	399
II. Practice Tips for Responding to a Descriptiveness Refusal	401
A. Effective Arguments for Refuting a Descriptiveness Refusal	401
1. Degree of Particularity	401
<i>In re AP&G Co., Inc.</i>	403
<i>In re i1 Sensortech, Inc.</i>	410
a. Descriptiveness versus Suggestiveness	426
b. Descriptiveness versus Genericness	426
<i>In re Wenger S.A.</i>	427
<i>In re Trademark Application of The No-Bake Cookie Company</i>	447
2. Incongruity	451
<i>In re Robert J. Olejar</i>	452
3. Double Entendre	453
<i>Application of Colonial Stores Incorporated</i>	455
B. Acquired Distinctiveness and Secondary Meanings—§2(f) of the Trademark Act	458
1. Acceptable Evidence for Showing Acquired Distinctiveness (Secondary Meaning)—TMEP §1212	459
<i>In re Sausser Summers, PC</i>	461
<i>In re Non-Visual Play-Doh Scent Mark</i>	471

Contents	xix
Chapter 10 Substantive Refusals under §2(e)(2), 2(e)(3), and 2(e)(4)	479
I. Primarily Geographically Descriptive, §2(e)(2)	479
A. Primarily Geographically Descriptive	480
<i>In re Spirits of New Merced, LLC</i>	481
<i>In re Robert J. Olejar</i>	489
<i>In re IMH LR Clubhouse, LLC</i>	492
<i>In re Newbridge Cutlery Co.</i>	495
II. Primarily Geographically Deceptively Misdescriptive, §2(e)(3)	497
A. Burden of Proof for Geographic Misdescriptiveness	498
<i>In re Arley B. de Paris, Inc.</i>	498
B. Arbitrary or Fanciful Use	499
<i>In re Santa Cruz Tobacco Co., Inc.</i>	499
III. Surname Refusal, §2(e)(4)	503
A. The Test for Determining When a Mark Is Primarily Merely a Surname	503
B. Evidence Relevant to a Surname Refusal	504
C. Composite Marks	505
<i>In re Hutchinson Technology Incorporated</i>	505
D. Visual Stylization	510
<i>In re Benthin Mgmt. GmbH</i>	510
<i>In re Sbe Hotel Licensing, LLC</i>	513
E. Unusual Surnames	514
<i>In re Eximius Coffee, LLC</i>	514
Chapter 11 Functionality Refusals under §2(e)(5)	529
I. Utilitarian Functionality	530
A. Overview of the Law of Utilitarian Functionality	530
<i>In re Morton-Norwich Products, Inc.</i>	531
<i>Traffix Devices, Inc. v. Marketing Displays, Inc.</i>	544
<i>Valu Engineering, Inc. v. Rexnord Corp.</i>	549
B. Responding to Utilitarian Functionality Refusals	558
<i>In re Becton, Dickinson and Company</i>	559
<i>In re Becton, Dickinson and Co.</i>	578
<i>In re MK Diamond Products, Inc.</i>	590
<i>In re MK Diamond Products, Inc. (TTAB 2020)</i>	616
II. Aesthetic Functionality	634
<i>In re Florists' Transworld Delivery, Inc.</i>	634
<i>JVMAX, Inc. v. ESR Performance Corp.</i>	643

Chapter 12. Section 2(a-c) and After Final Practice*

I. Substantive Refusals under §§2(a), 2(b), and 2(c)	661
A. Section 2(a)	662
1. Disparagement	662
2. Immoral and Scandalous	663
3. False Suggestion of Connection with Persons Living or Dead or Institutions, Beliefs, or National Symbols	663
a. Association with Individuals	663
<i>In re Nieves & Nieves LLC</i>	665
4. Deceptive	671
B. Interplay of §§2(a), 2(c), and 2(e) (1)	673
1. Fictional and Historical Figures	673
2. Public Figures	673
3. Fictional Public Figures	674
C. Section 2(c) — Living People and Dead Presidents	674
<i>In re Nieves & Nieves LLC</i>	676
D. Section 2(b) — Flags and Insignia	678
1. Section 2(b) and Material Alteration	678
<i>In re The Cruising Club of American, Inc. and Royal Bermuda Yacht Club</i>	681
II. After Final Practice — Responding to a Final Refusal	689

Chapter 13. Trademark Modernization Act Procedures: Expungement, Reexamination, and Letters of Protest

	691
I. Overview of the Trademark Modernization Act	692
II. Three-Month Response Period	692
III. <i>Ex Parte</i> Cancellation Procedures	693
A. Expungement	693
B. Reexamination	694
IV. <i>Ex Parte</i> Expungement/Reexamination Proceedings Compared to <i>Inter Partes</i> Cancellation Proceedings	694
V. Instructions for Filing a Petition for Expungement or Reexamination	694
VI. Sample Petition for Reexamination	695
<i>In re 24 Hour Beer LLC</i>	695
VII. Institution of a Petition Request	698
<i>In re 24 Hour Beer LLC</i>	698
VIII. Letters of Protest (See TMEP §1715)	699
A. Reasons or Basis for the Protest	699

* Chapters 12, 13, and 14 are available online.

Contents	xxi
B. Timeline for Filing the Protest	700
C. Evidence Supporting the Protest	700
D. Filing the Letter of Protest	701
E. Institution of Denial of Letter of Protest	701
Chapter 14. Trademark Registers and Application Requirements	703
I. Trademark Registration	704
II. Trademark Registers	704
A. Principal Register	704
B. Supplemental Register	704
III. Benefits of Trademark Registration	705
A. Principal Register	705
B. Supplemental Register	706
C. Trademark Notice	707
IV. Application Filing Basis	707
A. Use-Based Applications	708
B. Intent-to-Use Applications	713
1. Application Details	715
a. Preliminary Considerations	715
1. Identifying the Mark	716
2. Identifying International Classes	716
a. The International Classification System	716
b. Drafting the Identification of Goods and Services	716
c. Using the Trademark Search System to Get Class and ID Information	718
2. Drawing Requirements	718
a. Consistency	718
<i>In re Juxta</i>	718
b. Legal Ownership of the Mark	720
<i>In re Stealth</i>	720
c. Use-As-Of Date	722
3. False Information and Errors	722
a. Mark Usage	722
<i>Hurley Int'l LLC v. Volta</i>	723
b. Mark Ownership and Filing Entity	725
<i>Wonderbread 5 v. Patrick Gilles a/k/a Wonderbread 5 and/or Wonderbread Five</i>	726
c. Conflict Between Use-Based and Intent-to-Use Application(s)	729

<i>Emerald Cities Collaborative, Inc. v. Roese</i>	729
d. Issues Related to the Drawing	732
<i>In re Ai</i>	732

Table of Cases	T1
Index	I1