
CONTENTS

Preface	xxiii
Acknowledgments	xxv
Chapter 1 Placing Federal Courts in Context	1
A. The Stakes of the Enterprise	1
B. Animating Principles: Separation of Powers and Federalism	5
1. Separation of Powers and Federal Jurisdiction	5
2. Judicial Federalism	7
3. Democracy and Individual Rights	11
C. The Emergence of Judicial Review	13
<i>Marbury v. Madison</i>	14
<i>Fletcher v. Peck</i>	29
D. The Politics of Judicial Review	35
1. The Early Republic	35
2. The Civil War and Reconstruction	40
3. The Territorial Expansion of the United States and the Plenary Power Doctrine	42
4. Twentieth and Twenty-First Century Developments	43
E. Article III of the U.S. Constitution and Statutory Grants of Jurisdiction	46
1. A Mandatory Supreme Court and Congressional Power to Create Lower Courts	47
2. Judicial Independence: Tenure of Office and Protection Against Diminution of Salary	48
3. Textual Limits on Judicial Power	49
4. Division of Labor Among the Courts and Other Provisions of Article III	50
5. The Judiciary Act of 1789 and the Structure of the Federal Courts	52
a. Structure of the Judiciary	53
b. Changes in Supreme Court Size	55
c. Increases in Supreme Court Control Over Its Docket	56
d. Enlargement of Supreme Court's Jurisdiction and the End of Circuit Riding	57
	xi

xii	Contents
e. The U.S. Courts of Appeals	57
f. The U.S. District Courts	59
g. Specialized Federal Courts	60
F. Conclusion: Federal Courts and Battles over the Legitimacy of Judicial Review	62
<i>Cooper v. Aaron</i>	63
Chapter 2 Invoking the Authority of the Federal Courts	67
A. The Subject Matter Jurisdiction of the Federal District Courts	70
1. Federal Question Jurisdiction	70
<i>Osborn v. Bank of the United States</i>	73
<i>Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co. v. Mottley</i>	81
<i>Grable & Sons Metal Products, Inc. v. Darue Engineering & Mfg.</i>	83
2. Diversity and Alienage Jurisdiction	92
<i>National Mut. Ins. Co. v. Tidewater Transfer Co., Inc.</i>	96
3. Supplemental Jurisdiction	104
<i>Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Allapattah Services, Inc.</i>	106
4. Removal Jurisdiction	119
<i>Tennessee v. Davis</i>	121
<i>Mays v. City of Flint</i>	125
5. Concurrent and Exclusive Jurisdiction	133
<i>Tafflin v. Levitt</i>	133
6. State Law in Federal Court	141
B. Federal Court of Appeals Jurisdiction	141
1. Final Judgments	141
<i>Catlin v. United States</i>	142
2. Collateral Orders	146
<i>Mohawk Indus., Inc. v. Carpenter</i>	148
3. Supplemental Appellate Jurisdiction	154
<i>Swint v. Chambers County Comm'n</i>	154
4. Injunctions	161
5. Certification	162
6. Rulemaking	163
Chapter 3 Justiciability: Constitutional and Prudential Limits on Federal Judicial Power	165
A. Advisory Opinions and the Adverseness Requirement	166
<i>Muskrat v. United States</i>	169
<i>United States v. Windsor</i>	172

Contents	xiii
B. Standing	178
1. Injury	180
<i>Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife</i>	182
<i>TransUnion, LLC v. Ramirez</i>	192
<i>City of Los Angeles v. Lyons</i>	206
Notes on Standing and the Risk of Future Injuries	214
2. Causation and Redressability	216
<i>Linda R.S. v. Richard D.</i>	217
<i>Allen v. Wright</i>	220
Notes on Causation and Redressability	230
3. The Limitation on Third-Party Standing	234
<i>Singleton v. Wulff</i>	236
<i>June Medical Services, LLC v. Russo</i>	243
Notes on Overbreadth Doctrine	251
4. The Prohibition Against Generalized Grievances	253
<i>Hein v. Freedom from Religion Foundation</i>	254
5. Governmental Standing	264
a. The Standing of the Federal Government	265
<i>In re Debs</i>	267
b. State Standing	270
<i>Alfred L. Snapp & Son, Inc. v. Puerto Rico ex rel. Barez</i>	272
<i>Massachusetts v. EPA</i>	279
6. Representational Standing: Organizational Standing and Legislative Standing	287
a. Organizational Standing	287
b. Legislative Standing	288
C. Ripeness	289
<i>O’Shea v. Littleton</i>	290
<i>Poe v. Ullman</i>	296
<i>Abbott Laboratories v. Gardner</i>	301
<i>Trump v. New York</i>	305
D. Mootness	308
1. When Does a Case Become Moot?	309
<i>Chafin v. Chafin</i>	310
<i>Campbell-Ewald Co. v. Gomez</i>	315
2. What Should Happen to Underlying Relief?	319
<i>U.S. Bancorp Mortg. Co. v. Bonner Mall P’ship</i>	319
3. Exceptions to Mootness	323
a. Collateral Consequences	323

xiv		Contents
	b. Capable of Repetition Yet Evading Review	325
	<i>Weinstein v. Bradford</i>	326
	<i>Honig v. Doe</i>	327
	c. Voluntary Cessation	332
	<i>DeFunis v. Odegaard</i>	333
	4. Class Action	337
E.	Political Question Doctrine	339
	1. Congressional Self-Governance and Impeachment and Removal from Office	342
	<i>Nixon v. United States</i>	342
	2. Foreign Policy	349
	<i>Goldwater v. Carter</i>	349
	<i>Zivotofsky v. Clinton</i>	351
	3. The Structure of Government and Electoral Processes	359
	<i>Rucho v. Common Cause</i>	359
Chapter 4	Congressional Control of Federal and State Adjudication	379
A.	Congressional Control of Federal Court Jurisdiction	382
	1. Lower Federal Court Jurisdiction	382
	a. Congressional Restriction on the Jurisdiction of Lower Federal Courts and Statutory Limitations on Federal Remedies	382
	<i>Sheldon v. Sill</i>	383
	<i>Webster v. Doe</i>	384
	<i>Lauf v. E.G. Shinner & Co.</i>	395
	<i>Brown v. Plata</i>	405
	2. Congressional Control of the Jurisdiction of the U.S. Supreme Court	423
	<i>Ex Parte McCardle</i>	425
	<i>Ex Parte Yerger</i>	427
	<i>Felker v. Turpin</i>	432
	3. Dictating Rules of Decision in Pending Cases: The Klein Principle	438
	<i>Bank Markazi v. Peterson</i>	440
	4. Interference with Final Decisions	453
	<i>Pennsylvania v. Wheeling & Belmont Bridge Co.</i>	454
	<i>Plaut v. Spendthrift Farm</i>	457
	<i>Miller v. French</i>	465
B.	Congressional Power to Create Legislative Courts and to Authorize Administrative Adjudication	472
	1. Legislative Courts for the Territories and the District of Columbia	473

Contents	xv
a. Territorial Courts, Constitutional Rights, and the Doctrine of Unincorporated Territories	473
<i>Dorr v. United States</i>	475
b. District of Columbia Courts	478
2. Legislative Courts for the Military	479
3. Non-Article III Adjudication of Public Rights Cases	480
<i>Murray's Lessee v. Hoboken Land & Improvement Co.</i>	480
<i>Crowell v. Benson</i>	486
<i>Northern Pipeline Constr. Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co.</i>	493
<i>Stern v. Marshall</i>	507
<i>Oil States Energy Servs. v. Greene's Energy Group, LLC</i>	521
C. Congressional Power to Have State Courts Decide Federal Law Matters	529
1. Discrimination Against Federal Claims	531
<i>Testa v. Katt</i>	531
<i>Haywood v. Drown</i>	535
<i>Tarble's Case</i>	547
D. Congressional Power to Separate Challenges to the Validity of Regulations From the Court in Which they are Enforced	554
<i>United States v. Mendoza-Lopez</i>	555
Chapter 5 Federal Common Law	565
A. The Scope of Federal Common Law	566
1. The Prohibition of Federal Common Law Crimes	567
<i>United States v. Hudson & Goodwin</i>	568
2. The <i>Erie</i> Doctrine and the Development of Federal Common Law in Civil Actions	571
<i>Erie R.R. Co. v. Tompkins</i>	572
<i>Shady Grove Orthopedic Assocs. P.A. v. Allstate Ins. Co.</i>	580
B. The Development of Federal Common Law to Protect Federal Interests	590
1. Federal Common Law to Protect Proprietary Interests	590
<i>Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States</i>	591
<i>United States v. Kimbell Foods, Inc.</i>	593
2. Federal Common Law to Protect Federal Interests in International Relations	596
<i>Banco Nacional de Cuba v. Sabbatino</i>	596
3. Federal Common Law to Resolve Disputes Among Sovereigns	599
a. Federal Common Law and Interstate Controversies	599
<i>Illinois v. City of Milwaukee</i>	599
<i>City of Milwaukee v. Illinois</i>	601

xvi	Contents
b. Federal Common Law and Indian Affairs	604
<i>County of Oneida, N.Y. v. Oneida Nation of N.Y. State</i>	604
C. The Development of Federal Common Law to Effectuate Congressional Intent	608
1. The Application of Federal Common Law Versus State Law to Answer Questions That a Federal Statute Does Not Expressly Address	609
<i>Robertson v. Wegmann</i>	610
2. Express Versus Implied Private Rights of Action Under Federal Statutes	613
<i>Touche Ross & Co. v. Redington</i>	616
<i>Alexander v. Sandoval</i>	621
<i>Sosa v. Alvarez-Machain</i>	626
<i>Kiobel v. Royal Dutch Petroleum</i>	632
3. Implied Rights of Action to Enforce Preemption?	638
Chapter 6 Suits Against State and Local Governments, Native Nations, Foreign Governments, and Their Officers	645
A. Suits Against State Governments: The Eleventh Amendment and Sovereign Immunity	645
1. The History and Ratification of the Eleventh Amendment: Competing Interpretations	646
2. Applications of the Eleventh Amendment and State Sovereign Immunity	648
<i>Hans v. Louisiana</i>	649
<i>Blatchford v. Native Village of Noatak and Circle Village</i>	656
3. Suits Against State Officers for Prospective Relief, Waiver, and Abrogation of State Sovereign Immunity	668
a. Suits Against State Officers for Prospective Relief	668
<i>Ex parte Young</i>	669
Notes on the Right of Action	679
Notes on the Legal Right Violated	681
Notes on the Identity of the Defendant	682
Notes on the Remedy Requested	684
Notes on Expenditures Ancillary to Prospective Relief	687
<i>Edelman v. Jordan</i>	688
b. Waiver	697
<i>Lapides v. Board of Regents of University System of Georgia</i>	698
c. Abrogation of Immunity by Congress	700
<i>Fitzpatrick v. Bitzer</i>	700

Contents	xvii
<i>Seminole Tribe of Florida v. Florida</i>	704
<i>Alden v. Maine</i>	721
d. Surrender of State Sovereign Immunity in the “Plan of the Convention”	736
<i>Central Virginia Community College v. Katz</i>	736
B. Federal Court Relief Against Local Governments and State and Local Government Officers: 42 U.S.C. §1983	747
1. “Under the Color of State Law”	749
<i>Monroe v. Pape</i>	749
2. Section 1983 Suits Against Local Governments	755
a. Formally Enacted Policy, Ordinance, or Regulation	755
<i>Monell v. Department of Social Services</i>	757
b. Authorization or Participation by a Final Policymaker	761
<i>Pembaur v. City of Cincinnati</i>	762
c. Deliberate Indifference	765
<i>Connick v. Thompson</i>	766
4. Section 1983 v. Collateral Habeas Proceedings	775
<i>Heck v. Humphrey</i>	776
5. Absolute Immunity	782
a. Judicial	783
<i>Stump v. Sparkman</i>	783
b. Prosecutorial	787
c. Legislative	789
<i>Tenney v. Brandhove</i>	789
6. Qualified Immunity	794
a. What Is Qualified Immunity?	795
<i>Harlow v. Fitzgerald</i>	795
b. What Is a “Clearly Established” Right?	799
(1) Plain Text	799
<i>Groh v. Ramirez</i>	799
(2) Materially Similar Facts	801
<i>Mullenix v. Luna</i>	802
<i>Jessop v. City of Fresno</i>	808
(3) “Obvious” Violations	811
<i>Hope v. Pelzer</i>	811
<i>Taylor v. Riojas</i>	818
c. Sources of Clearly Established Law	819
d. Procedural Issues	820
<i>Tolan v. Cotton</i>	820
e. The Future of Qualified Immunity	825

xviii	Contents
(1) Qualified Immunity and The Reckoning	826
<i>Est. of Jones by Jones v. City of Martinsburg</i>	826
<i>Jamison v. McClendon</i>	830
(2) Proposals for Reform	839
C. Federal Court Relief Against Tribal Governments and Tribal Government Officers	840
1. Causes of Action	840
<i>Santa Clara Pueblo v. Martinez</i>	842
2. Tribal Sovereign Immunity	851
<i>Kiowa Tribe v. Mfg. Techs.</i>	851
<i>Michigan v. Bay Mills Indian Cmty.</i>	854
D. Federal Court Relief Against Foreign Governments	862
<i>Federal Republic of Germany v. Philipp</i>	863
Chapter 7	Federal Court Relief Against the Federal Government and Federal Officers
	871
A. Suits Against the Federal Government	872
1. Federal Sovereign Immunity	872
<i>Federal Crop Ins. Corp. v. Merrill</i>	872
2. Injunctive Relief Against the United States	874
3. Other Congressional Waivers of Federal Sovereign Immunity	875
a. The Federal Torts Claims Act	875
b. The Tucker Act	878
<i>United States v. Bormes</i>	879
B. Suits Against Federal Officers	882
1. Causes of Action	882
2. Implied Causes of Action	882
a. For Constitutional Claims	882
<i>Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of the Fed. Bureau of Narcotics</i>	883
(1) The Expansion of <i>Bivens</i>	890
(2) The Narrowing of <i>Bivens</i> Suits	891
(3) The Current Test with Regard to <i>Bivens</i> Suits	896
<i>Ziglar v. Abbasi</i>	898
<i>Hernandez v. Mesa</i>	912
<i>Egbert v. Boule</i>	919
b. The Availability of Injunctive Relief to Enforce Federal Law	924
<i>Free Enterprise Fund v. PCAOB</i>	925
3. Immunity from Monetary Liability and Executive Privilege	928
<i>Nixon v. Fitzgerald</i>	928
Notes on Executive Power and Executive Privilege	938

Contents	xix
Chapter 8 The Writ of Habeas Corpus: Relief Against a State or Federal Officer Responsible for Unlawful Detention	943
A. Introduction to the Writ of Habeas Corpus	943
1. A Brief History of The Writ	943
2. Federal Habeas Jurisdiction in the New Republic: The First Judiciary Act of 1789 and the Habeas Corpus Act of 1867	946
3. Executive Detention	950
a. Habeas Corpus and National Security Enforcement: The First Suspension	950
<i>Hamdi v. Rumsfeld</i>	952
<i>Boumediene v. Bush</i>	966
b. Habeas Corpus and Immigration Detention	988
<i>Dep't of Homeland Security v. Thuraissigiam</i>	990
B. Collateral Attack on State Criminal Convictions	1009
1. Procedural Prerequisites to Collateral Attack on State Court Judgments	1014
a. Custody	1015
b. Exhaustion	1017
c. Procedural Default	1018
<i>Wainwright v. Sykes</i>	1019
d. The Statute of Limitations	1034
<i>Holland v. Florida</i>	1035
e. Successive Petitions	1047
<i>Felker v. Turpin, Warden</i>	1048
f. Fact Finding	1051
2. The Standard of Review	1054
a. The Warren Court Approach	1055
<i>Brown v. Allen</i>	1057
b. Non-Retroactivity of “New” Rules	1070
<i>Teague v. Lane</i>	1075
(i) Exceptions to <i>Teague’s</i> Non-Retroactivity Principle	1088
<i>Edwards v. Vannoy</i>	1089
(ii) Retroactivity Rules for State Courts	1099
<i>Montgomery v. Louisiana</i>	1100
c. Congress Intervenes: AEDPA §2254(d)	1112
<i>Terry Williams v. Taylor</i>	1114
(i) <i>Teague’s</i> Non-Retroactivity Principle and §2254(d)	1132
(ii) Harmless Error and §2254(d)	1133
(iii) Actual Innocence in Capital Cases	1134

Chapter 9	Supreme Court Review	1137
	Introduction: The Supreme Court’s Functions	1137
	A. Original Jurisdiction	1140
	<i>Ohio v. Wyandotte Chemicals Corp.</i>	1142
	<i>Nebraska v. Colorado</i>	1147
	B. Appellate Jurisdiction over Federal Courts	1150
	1. Review of Federal Courts of Appeals	1151
	<i>Hohn v. United States</i>	1151
	2. Review of Three-Judge District Courts	1159
	<i>Gunn v. University Committee</i>	1159
	C. Appellate Review of State Courts	1163
	1. Origins of Supreme Court Review of State Courts	1163
	<i>Martin v. Hunter’s Lessee</i>	1169
	<i>Cohens v. Virginia</i>	1178
	<i>NAACP v. Alabama ex rel. Flowers</i>	1185
	2. The Final Judgment Rule	1191
	<i>Cox Broadcasting Corp. v. Cohn</i>	1192
	3. The Independent and Adequate State Ground Doctrine	1199
	a. Origins of the Doctrine	1199
	<i>Murdock v. City of Memphis</i>	1200
	b. Justifications and Criticisms of the Independent and Adequate State Grounds Doctrine	1208
	c. When Is There an “Independent” and “Adequate” State Ground?	1209
	d. How to Proceed When the State Court’s Decision Is Unclear?	1214
	<i>Michigan v. Long</i>	1215
	D. Expedited Appellate Review	1222
	<i>Conkright v. Frommert</i>	1225
	<i>Maryland v. King</i>	1226
Chapter 10	The Relationship Between Federal District Courts and the State Courts	1231
	A. Statutory Control	1232
	1. The Anti-Injunction Act	1232
	<i>Atlantic Coast Line R.R. Co. v. Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers</i>	1234
	<i>Mitchum v. Foster</i>	1240
	2. The Tax Injunction Act	1245
	<i>Hibbs v. Winn</i>	1246

Contents	xxi
3. The Johnson Act	1255
4. The Civil Rights Removal Act	1255
<i>City of Greenwood v. Peacock</i>	1257
B. Abstention	1266
1. Unclear State Law and Federal Constitutional Avoidance	1268
<i>Railroad Comm’n of Texas v. Pullman Co.</i>	1269
a. Exceptions	1271
b. <i>England</i> Reservation	1271
c. Certification	1272
<i>Mckesson v. Doe</i>	1273
2. Unclear State Law and Diversity Jurisdiction	1275
<i>Louisiana Power & Light Co. v. City of Thibodaux</i>	1276
3. Unclear State Law and Complex Regulatory Schemes	1280
<i>New Orleans Pub. Serv., Inc. v. Council of City of New Orleans</i>	1280
4. Parallel Civil Proceedings	1282
<i>Colorado River Water Conservation Dist. v. United States</i>	1284
5. Ongoing State Coercive Proceedings	1290
a. Equitable Restraint and “Our Federalism”	1290
<i>Younger v. Harris</i>	1292
b. What Is an “Ongoing” Proceeding	1296
c. What Type of State Proceedings?	1297
<i>Sprint Communications, Inc. v. Jacobs</i>	1298
d. What Type of Federal Relief Implicates <i>Younger</i> ?	1302
<i>Samuels v. Mackell</i>	1302
e. Exceptions	1304
i. Bad Faith	1304
ii. Bias	1305
<i>Gibson v. Berryhill</i>	1305
iii. Timeliness	1309
iv. Patently Unconstitutional	1309
Table of Cases	1311
Table of Rules and Codes	1325
Table of Authorities	1329
Index	1341

